

24

OC Print-Mail Center

From: Halter, Amanda (OC)
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2009 12:58 PM
To: 'Catherine Hagan (George)'; 'DWoodward@waterboards.ca.gov'
Cc: 'pmaclaggan@poseidon1.com'; Garrett, Christopher (SD); Singarella, Paul (OC)
Subject: Poseidon: response to Table 5-2 calculation request

Catherine and Debbie,

In response to Debbie's question regarding Table 5-2, please see below:

Dr. Woodward is seeking clarification with respect to several points regarding Poseidon's recently submitted Minimization Plan. It was noted that "Table 5-2 (received 3/9/09 PM) has a somewhat different layout to the previous draft from last week, such that the overall concentrations are no longer displayed (i.e., they have been replaced with N/A)." Poseidon was asked to confirm whether in the last row of Table 5-2, the concentration values for fish would have contained the values 0.6178 fish/MG and 12.2874 grams/MG.

The second-to-last row in the following table presents the average concentration values for the entire data set. Our calculations indicate that the concentration values for fish would have contained the values 0.6177 fish/MG and 12.2861 grams/MG.

	CDP's Daily Flow Volume (MGD)	Bony Fishes & Sharks + Rays				Invertebrates		
		Number		Weight (g)		Number		Weight (g)
		Concentration (# Fish & Sharks + Rays / MG)	# Fish & Sharks + Ray Impinged	Concentration (Grams / MG)	Weight in Grams	Concentration (# Inverts / MG)	# Inverts Impinged	Concentration (Grams / MG)
Prorated Estimate of CDP's		0.6177	188	12.2861	3,735.0	0.0694	21	0.7049
Weighted Average Estimate (Last row, Table 5-2)		N/A	232	N/A	4,703.8	N/A	22	N/A

These concentration values were intentionally omitted from the last row of Minimization Plan Table 5-2 because they would have been confusing in that context. These values represent the average concentrations for the entire data set (i.e., all 52 events), whereas the estimates in the last row of Table 5-2 are based on a weighted-average combination of (a) the prorated concentrations and (b) the non-prorated averages of the 2 outliers (i.e., non-flow-related events).

Responses to your other questions will be forthcoming early next week.

4/1/2009

Best regards,
Amanda

Amanda Halter

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Direct Tel: 714-755-2238
Fax: 714-755-8290
Email: amanda.halter@lw.com

4/1/2009

25

OC Print-Mail Center

From: Catherine Hagan (George) [CHagan@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2009 9:55 AM
To: Halter, Amanda (OC); Garrett, Christopher (SD); Singarella, Paul (OC)
Cc: pmaclaggan@poseidon1.com; Chiara Clemente; Deborah Woodward
Subject: RE: Follow-up to March 9 Minimization Plan

Chris, thank you for getting back to us so quickly. We appreciate the offer to set up a call with Dr. Mayer. If you prefer, Debbie Woodward can call him directly. She also asked me to let you know that she is happy to have an email exchange with him, if that is easier. Please just let me know how you would like to proceed.
 Catherine

Catherine George Hagan
 Senior Staff Counsel
 Office of Chief Counsel
 State Water Resources Control Board
chagan@waterboards.ca.gov

 9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
 San Diego, CA 92123-4340
 Telephone: 858.467.2958
 Facsimile: 858.571.6972

>>> <CHRISTOPHER.GARRETT@LW.com> 3/12/2009 10:15 AM >>>
 Thank you for the email. We'll get back to you shortly.

I'm sure we can get follow up work from Mr. Nordby on the dry/wet weight issue, and set up a call with Dr. Mayer, including on the "one big shark" point.

Chris

-----Original Message-----

From: Catherine Hagan (George) [mailto:chagan@waterboards.ca.gov]
 Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2009 9:46 AM
 To: Halter, Amanda (OC); Garrett, Christopher (SD); Singarella, Paul (OC)
 Cc: Chiara Clemente; Deborah Woodward
 Subject: Follow-up to March 9 Minimization Plan

All,

Staff has reviewed the most recent revisions that were included in the March 9 Minimization Plan. There is one item in Mr. Nordby's statement that we feel is critical that he address for clarity and defensibility of the Plan. There is an apparent comparison error in his Mitigation Computation statement (Attachment 7 of the Plan). He compares impingement impact (in kg Wet Weight/yr) to the expected productivity in the mitigation acreage (in kg Dry weight/yr). We would expect to see a wet-to-wet or a dry-to-dry weight comparison. One avenue for submitting this would be a supplemental statement by Mr. Nordby.

Also, Debbie Woodward would like permission to contact David Mayer to follow up on a few questions of a clarifying/confirming nature concerning the following issues:

(a) Weight discrepancies: The EPS total weights for fish and invertebrate biomass (Table 5-1, second-to-last row) are still slightly less than the total weights (including bar rack) shown on the Tenera 2008 tables (e.g., Tables A and B in Attachment 8). At one time, Dr. Mayer mentioned that the weight discrepancy had to do with a mis-classified snake eel, and I understood him to say that the weights in the revised Plan would be correct. We also mentioned the weight discrepancy in our 2/27/09 letter. Because the matter was never resolved and the discrepancy persists, Dr. Woodward would like clarification as to the nature of the discrepancy, and confirmation as to which of the tables (Table 5-1 or Tables A + B) contain the correct weights.

4/1/2009

(b) Table 5-2: last row. Table 5-2 (received 3/9/09 PM) has a somewhat different layout to the previous draft from last week, such that the overall concentrations are no longer displayed (i.e., they have been replaced with N/A). Dr. Woodward would like to confirm that the N/A cells for fish (i.e., in the last row, "Weighted Average") would have contained the values 0.6178 fish/MG and 12.2874 grams/MG. If these values are not correct, she would like clarification as to the correct values. [The Plan does not estimate the CDP incremental impacts, and one option for calculation involves the use of such concentrations.]

(c) 2/23/05 survey: In our 3/5/09 PM telecon, we believe it was Dr. Mayer who stated that high impingement on this 307 MGD day was due to "one big shark." Dr. Woodward would like to be certain that we did not misunderstand what Dr. Mayer was saying, as the Plan (e.g., Attachment 5, p.8) and the raw data do not indicate a big shark.

Please let me know at your earliest convenience if Dr. Woodward is free to contact Dr. Mayer directly. If you reply by email, please be sure to include Dr. Woodward's email address in the reply as I am out of the office today and checking email only infrequently. You can also contact me on my cell phone - 619-204-1884 if you have any questions.

Thank you.

Catherine George Hagan
Senior Staff Counsel
Office of Chief Counsel
State Water Resources Control Board
E-mail Address: cgeorge@waterboards.ca.gov

9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92123-4340
Telephone: 858.467.2958
Facsimile: 858.571.6972

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message.

To comply with IRS regulations, we advise you that any discussion of Federal tax issues in this e-mail was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used by you, (i) to avoid any penalties imposed under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

For more information please go to <http://www.lw.com/docs/irs.pdf>

This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

Latham & Watkins LLP

26

OC Print-Mail Center

From: Catherine Hagan (George) [CHagan@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2009 1:56 PM
To: Halter, Amanda (OC); Garrett, Christopher (SD); Singarella, Paul (OC)
Cc: pmaclaggan@poseidon1.com; dmayer@tenera.com; Chiara Clemente; Deborah Woodward
Subject: RE: Follow-up to March 9 Minimization Plan

Chris,

Thank you. We'll follow up accordingly as necessary.

Catherine

>>> <CHRISTOPHER.GARRETT@LW.com> 3/13/2009 1:49 PM >>>

Catherine---

1. It would probably be most efficient for Debbie to email Dr. Mayer, and just cc me and Peter MacLaggan. As discussed, we are hoping to have a complete record of the information he is providing so there are no miscommunications. However, Debbie should also feel free to call Dr. Mayer directly (without including us) with any followup if that is needed.

2. Regarding this item:

"There is one item in Mr. Nordby's statement that we feel is critical that he address for clarity and defensibility of the Plan. There is an apparent comparison error in his Mitigation Computation statement (Attachment 7 of the Plan). He compares impingement impact (in kg Wet Weight/yr) to the expected productivity in the mitigation acreage (in kg Dry weight/yr). We would expect to see a wet-to-wet or a dry-to-dry weight comparison. One avenue for submitting this would be a supplemental statement by Mr. Nordby."

At our request, Mr. Nordby is preparing a supplemental statement that uses a consistent wet weight/dry weight comparison. We agree with you that the same units have to be used, either wet to wet or dry to dry. My layperson's view is that this comparison will show that more mitigation is being provided relative to impacts, because the mitigation value was measured in dry terms versus a wet weight impact. Mr. Norby should have the statement to you by next Wednesday.

3. Regarding this item

"a) Weight discrepancies: The EPS total weights for fish and invertebrate biomass (Table 5-1, second-to-last row) are still slightly less than the total weights (including bar rack) shown on the Tenera 2008 tables (e.g., Tables A and B in Attachment 8). At one time, Dr. Mayer mentioned that the weight discrepancy had to do with a mis-classified snake eel, and I understood him to say that the weights in the revised Plan would be correct. We also mentioned the weight discrepancy in our 2/27/09 letter. Because the matter was never resolved and the discrepancy persists, Dr. Woodward would like clarification as to the nature of the discrepancy, and confirmation as to which of the tables (Table 5-1 or Tables A + B) contain the correct

4/1/2009

weights."

We are asking Dr. Mayer to provide this clarification to us as well. He is copied on this email.

4. Regarding this item

"(b) Table 5-2: last row. Table 5-2 (received 3/9/09 PM) has a somewhat different layout to the previous draft from last week, such that the overall concentrations are no longer displayed (i.e., they have been replaced with N/A). Dr. Woodward would like to confirm that the N/A cells for fish (i.e., in the last row, "Weighted Average") would have contained the values 0.6178 fish/MG and 12.2874 grams/MG . If these values are not correct, she would like clarification as to the correct values. [The Plan does not estimate the CDP incremental impacts, and one option for calculation involves the use of such concentrations.] "

Amanda Halter sent you an email responding to this point at 1 pm today.

5. Regarding this item:

(c) 2/23/05 survey: In our 3/5/09 PM telecon, we believe it was Dr. Mayer who stated that high impingement on this 307 MGD day was due to "one big shark." Dr. Woodward would like to be certain that we did not misunderstand what Dr. Mayer was saying, as the Plan (e.g., Attachment 5, p.8) and the raw data do not indicate a big shark.

This statement was not made by Dr. Mayer. I do remember it being made. It is an incorrect statement, and Dr. Mayer has confirmed that this was not correct. Someone at Poseidon had a miscommunication on this point. The raw data submitted to you and the Plan statements on this measurement are correct, the verbal statement was not. We apologize for the incorrect statement on this point..

Chris

Christopher W. Garrett

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
San Diego, CA 92101-3375
Direct Dial: +1.619.238.2827
Fax: +1.619.696.7419
Email: christopher.garrett@lw.com
<http://www.lw.com>

From: Catherine Hagan (George) [mailto:CHagan@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2009 9:55 AM
To: Halter, Amanda (OC); Garrett, Christopher (SD); Singarella, Paul (OC)
Cc: pmaclaggan@poseidon1.com; Chiara Clemente; Deborah Woodward

4/1/2009

Subject: RE: Follow-up to March 9 Minimization Plan

Chris, thank you for getting back to us so quickly. We appreciate the offer to set up a call with Dr. Mayer. If you prefer, Debbie Woodward can call him directly. She also asked me to let you know that she is happy to have an email exchange with him, if that is easier. Please just let me know how you would like to proceed.

Catherine

Catherine George Hagan
Senior Staff Counsel
Office of Chief Counsel
State Water Resources Control Board
chagan@waterboards.ca.gov

9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92123-4340
Telephone: 858.467.2958
Facsimile: 858.571.6972

>>> <CHRISTOPHER.GARRETT@LW.com> 3/12/2009 10:15 AM >>>
Thank you for the email. We'll get back to you shortly.

I'm sure we can get follow up work from Mr. Nordby on the dry/wet weight issue, and set up a call with Dr. Mayer, including on the "one big shark" point.

Chris

-----Original Message-----

From: Catherine Hagan (George) [mailto:chagan@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2009 9:46 AM
To: Halter, Amanda (OC); Garrett, Christopher (SD); Singarella, Paul (OC)
Cc: Chiara Clemente; Deborah Woodward
Subject: Follow-up to March 9 Minimization Plan

All,

Staff has reviewed the most recent revisions that were included in the March 9 Minimization Plan. There is one item in Mr. Nordby's statement that we feel is critical that he address for clarity and defensibility of the Plan. There is an apparent comparison error in his Mitigation Computation statement (Attachment 7 of the Plan). He compares impingement impact (in kg Wet Weight/yr) to the expected productivity in the mitigation acreage (in kg Dry weight/yr). We would expect to see a wet-to-wet or a dry-to-dry weight comparison. One avenue for submitting this would be a supplemental statement by Mr. Nordby.

Also, Debbie Woodward would like permission to contact David Mayer to follow up on a few questions of a clarifying/confirming nature concerning the following issues:

4/1/2009

(a) Weight discrepancies: The EPS total weights for fish and invertebrate biomass (Table 5-1, second-to-last row) are still slightly less than the total weights (including bar rack) shown on the Tenera 2008 tables (e.g., Tables A and B in Attachment 8). At one time, Dr. Mayer mentioned that the weight discrepancy had to do with a mis-classified snake eel, and I understood him to say that the weights in the revised Plan would be correct. We also mentioned the weight discrepancy in our 2/27/09 letter. Because the matter was never resolved and the discrepancy persists, Dr. Woodward would like clarification as to the nature of the discrepancy, and confirmation as to which of the tables (Table 5-1 or Tables A + B) contain the correct weights.

(b) Table 5-2: last row. Table 5-2 (received 3/9/09 PM) has a somewhat different layout to the previous draft from last week, such that the overall concentrations are no longer displayed (i.e., they have been replaced with N/A). Dr. Woodward would like to confirm that the N/A cells for fish (i.e., in the last row, "Weighted Average") would have contained the values 0.6178 fish/MG and 12.2874 grams/MG. If these values are not correct, she would like clarification as to the correct values. [The Plan does not estimate the CDP incremental impacts, and one option for calculation involves the use of such concentrations.]

(c) 2/23/05 survey: In our 3/5/09 PM telecon, we believe it was Dr. Mayer who stated that high impingement on this 307 MGD day was due to "one big shark." Dr. Woodward would like to be certain that we did not misunderstand what Dr. Mayer was saying, as the Plan (e.g., Attachment 5, p.8) and the raw data do not indicate a big shark.

Please let me know at your earliest convenience if Dr. Woodward is free to contact Dr. Mayer directly. If you reply by email, please be sure to include Dr. Woodward's email address in the reply as I am out of the office today and checking email only infrequently. You can also contact me on my cell phone - 619-204-1884 if you have any questions.

Thank you.

Catherine George Hagan
Senior Staff Counsel
Office of Chief Counsel
State Water Resources Control Board
E-mail Address: cgeorge@waterboards.ca.gov

9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92123-4340
Telephone: 858.467.2958

4/1/2009

Facsimile: 858.571.6972

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message.

To comply with IRS regulations, we advise you that any discussion of Federal tax issues in this e-mail was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used by you, (i) to avoid any penalties imposed under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

For more information please go to <http://www.lw.com/docs/irs.pdf>

This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

Latham & Watkins LLP

To comply with IRS regulations, we advise you that any discussion of Federal tax issues in this e-mail was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used by you, (i) to avoid any penalties imposed under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

For more information please go to <http://www.lw.com/docs/irs.pdf>

This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

Latham & Watkins LLP

27

OC Print-Mail Center

From: Catherine Hagan (George) [CHagan@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 1:25 PM
To: Halter, Amanda (OC); Garrett, Christopher (SD)
Cc: Chiara Clemente; Deborah Woodward
Subject: Supplemental Submittals

Chris and Amanda,

I wanted to follow-up from my discussion with Chris and Peter on Friday about the supplemental materials Poseidon anticipates submitting. First, I wanted to find out if you are still on track to submit the supplements tomorrow. We are planning to make a staff report available this week, but if they will be submitted tomorrow, we will attempt to evaluate the supplements and include that evaluation in the staff report before circulating either at the end of this week or Monday. If they will be delayed, please let me know as we may post a preliminary staff report that we would revise once the supplements are evaluated. Second, Chris and Peter had asked me to give thought to how the supplemental information should be transmitted or presented. Upon reflection, I think that clarity is of utmost importance. For purposes of the anticipated supplements, I would suggest a redline/strikeout from the March 9, 2009 Plan to clearly show what has changed since March 9. I believe this is what Chris and Peter had suggested. In addition, I would suggest another redline of the entire Plan be prepared, showing all changes since the March 6, 2008 submittal. The latter would not replace the redline version you previously provided, that shows changes from the March 6, 2008 Plan as of March 9, 2009, but would be in addition and posted on the Board's website as well. It may seem cumbersome, but the public will be able to clearly track the changes.

Thank you.

Catherine

Catherine George Hagan
Senior Staff Counsel
Office of Chief Counsel
State Water Resources Control Board
chagan@waterboards.ca.gov

9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92123-4340
Telephone: 858.467.2958
Facsimile: 858.571.6972

28

OC Print-Mail Center

From: Deborah Woodward [DWoodward@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 1:21 PM
To: Pete Raimondi
Cc: Halter, Amanda (OC); Garrett, Christopher (SD); PMacLaggan@poseidon1.com; Chiara Clemente; Catherine Hagan (George)
Subject: Poseidon project: Proposed Scope of Work

Good afternoon, Dr. Raimondi,

Please see the proposed scope of work (below). The Regional Board and Poseidon would like to have a brief introductory call with you this afternoon, if possible. Would you be available for 10-15 minutes sometime between 3:00 and 4:00? If so, please let us know what time would work for you, and Catherine will send out a call-in number. We don't expect you to have your schedule or cost estimate prepared for this call.

If you're not available this afternoon, please let us know if sometime tomorrow would work.

Incidentally, you can see the March 2009 Flow, Entrainment, and Impingement Minimization Plan on our website at:
http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sandiego/press_room/announcements/carlsbad_desalination/carlsbad_desalination.shtml

Thank you,
 Debbie

Proposed Scope of Work
Desired Completion Date: March 26, 2009 by close of business

Section 13142.5(b) of the California Water Code requires new or expanded coastal industrial facilities using seawater for cooling, heating, or industrial processing, to use the best available site, design, technology, and mitigation measures feasible to minimize the intake and mortality of all forms of marine life. Section VI.C.2.e. of Order No. R9-2006-0065, adopted by the Regional Board in August 2006, requires Poseidon to submit for Regional Board approval a Flow, Entrainment and Impingement Minimization Plan (Minimization Plan) to ensure that the CDP complies with section 13142.5(b) of the Water Code during times when the CDP is co-located with EPS (i.e., not in permanent stand-alone operations), but CDP's intake requirements exceed the volume of water being discharged by EPS under its power generation operations. These conditions include the situation in which EPS is temporarily shut down or when EPS is generating power, but discharging less volume than CDP's projected need of 304 MGD so EPS operates the seawater intake and outfall to meet CDP's incremental intake needs up to and including 304 MGD.

Poseidon submitted a revised Flow, Entrainment and Impingement Minimization Plan dated March 9, 2009 for consideration by the Regional Board. Chapter 5 and related attachments to the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan present five approaches to estimating impingement effects associated with the Carlsbad Desalination Project's projected operations. Poseidon will submit a sixth approach as a supplement to its Minimization Plan during the week of March 16. The March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan incorporates the November 14, 2008 Marine Life Mitigation Plan (MLMP) previously approved by the California Coastal Commission. We would request Dr. Raimondi review the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan, together with any supplements submitted by Poseidon during the week of March 16, and complete the following tasks:

1. Review and evaluate the existing data and the approaches to estimating impingement effects presented in Chapter 5, Attachment 5, and the related discussion of incremental impacts in Chapter 3, of the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan (and any supplements submitted by Poseidon) and provide an opinion on whether the approaches provide reasonable estimates of impingement expected to be caused by CDP's operations under the co-location conditions described above.
2. Review and evaluate Chapter 6 of the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan, including Attachment 7 and the November 14, 2008 Marine Life Mitigation Plan (Chapter 6, Part A), in light of the evaluation of impingement approaches and effects in Task 1, above, and provide an opinion on whether the proposed mitigation adequately accounts for the effects of both impingement and entrainment. Available data from 2008 EPS operations, as presented by Poseidon, shows that EPS would have met CDP's intake needs all but 11% of the time had CDP been operating during 2008. Therefore, evaluation of mitigation adequacy under the co-location conditions described above should consider a range of operations, from EPS operating to meet 89% of CDP's intake needs (as in 2008), to more conservative projections of EPS operating to meet 75%, 50%, and 25% of CDP's intake needs.

4/1/2009

Deborah L. Woodward
Water Quality Standards Unit
San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board
9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92123-4340
phone: 858-637-5586
fax: 858-571-6972
email: dwoodward@waterboards.ca.gov

OC Print-Mail Center

From: Halter, Amanda (OC)
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 11:24 AM
To: 'Catherine Hagan (George)'
Cc: Garrett, Christopher (SD); PMacLaggan@poseidon1.com; Chiara Clemente; Deborah Woodward
Subject: RE: FW: Raimondi Scope of Work

Thanks, Catherine. We are coordinating schedules and will get back to you with availability as soon as possible.

Best,
Amanda

From: Catherine Hagan (George) [mailto:CHagan@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 10:49 AM
To: Halter, Amanda (OC)
Cc: Garrett, Christopher (SD); PMacLaggan@poseidon1.com; Chiara Clemente; Deborah Woodward
Subject: Re: FW: Raimondi Scope of Work

Amanda,
Your revisions are fine with us. We have one additional revision to make to task 1 as follows: 1. Review and evaluate the existing data and the approaches to estimating impingement effects presented in **the Chapter 5, Attachment 5 and the related discussion of incremental impacts in Chapter 3** of the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan (and any supplement **submitted by Poseidon**) and provide an opinion on whether the approaches provide reasonable estimates of impingement expected to be caused by CDP's operations under the co-location conditions described above.

The Regional Board's first agenda mailing goes out Friday, March 27. I think it will be important for Dr. Raimondi to submit his opinion so that it can be included in that mailing and made available to the public at that time. If he is unable to meet that date, we will need the opinion as soon thereafter as possible.

I think it would make sense to send Raimondi the scope of work this morning, and hopefully schedule a call for later today with Raimondi, Debbie Woodward, someone from Poseidon and myself. He can let us know at that point what the cost would be and whether he can work within the scheduling constraints or if modifications to the schedule need to be made. Can you let me know if this approach works for you and what some available times for a conference call would be?

Thank you.

Catherine George Hagan
Senior Staff Counsel
Office of Chief Counsel
State Water Resources Control Board
chagan@waterboards.ca.gov

9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92123-4340
Telephone: 858.467.2958
Facsimile: 858.571.6972

>>> <Amanda.Halter@lw.com> 3/17/2009 7:43 AM >>>

4/1/2009

Catherine,

Thank you for the revisions. A couple of additional clarifying revisions are below in red. Before proceeding, we would like to discuss budget and scheduling for Dr. Raimondi's work.

Best regards,
Amanda

Amanda Halter

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Direct Tel: 714-755-2238
Fax: 714-755-8290
Email: amanda.halter@lw.com

From: Catherine Hagan (George) [mailto:CHagan@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2009 5:11 PM
To: CHRISTOPHER.GARRETT@LW.com; Peter MacLaggan
Cc: Chiara Clemente; Deborah Woodward; Philip Wyels
Subject: Raimondi Scope of Work

Chris and Peter,

I have made a minor modification to the scope of work I emailed you on Saturday. Please see bold text, below. I believe the proposed wording for the scope of work is consistent with the discussions we had on Friday. You expressed concern that obtaining an opinion from Dr. Raimondi should not interfere with bringing the matter to the board for action at the April meeting. We share your concern, but continue to believe that board action will benefit from Raimondi's neutral opinion. Accordingly, I would very much like to finalize the scope of work by cob tomorrow. I look forward to hearing from you about any concerns you have with the proposed wording or suggestions for alternative wording. Thank you.

Catherine

Section 13142.5(b) of the California Water Code requires new or expanded coastal industrial facilities using seawater for cooling, heating, or industrial processing, to use the best available site, design, technology, and mitigation measures feasible to minimize the intake and mortality of all forms of marine life. Section VI.C.2.e. of Order No. R9-2006-0065, adopted by the Regional Board in August 2006, requires Poseidon to submit for Regional Board approval a Flow, Entrainment and Impingement Minimization Plan (Minimization Plan) to ensure that the CDP complies with section 13142.5(b) of the Water Code during times when the CDP is co-located with EPS (i.e., not in permanent stand-alone operations), but CDP's intake requirements exceed the volume of water being discharged by EPS under its power generation operations. These conditions include the situation in which EPS is temporarily shut down or when EPS is generating power, but discharging less volume than CDP's projected need of 304 MGD so EPS operates the seawater intake and outfall to meet CDP's incremental intake needs up to and including 304 MGD.

Poseidon submitted a revised Flow, Entrainment and Impingement Minimization Plan dated March 9, 2009 for consideration by the Regional Board. Chapter 5 and related attachments to the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan present five approaches to estimating impingement effects associated with the Carlsbad Desalination Project's projected operations. Poseidon will submit a sixth approach as a supplement to its Minimization Plan during the week of March 16. The March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan incorporates the November 14, 2008 Marine Life Mitigation Plan (MLMP) previously approved by the California Coastal Commission. We would request Dr. Raimondi **review the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan, together with any supplements submitted by Poseidon during the week of March 16**, and complete the following tasks:

1. Review and evaluate the existing data and the approaches to estimating impingement effects presented in **the Chapter 5 and Attachment 5 of the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan (and any supplement submitted by Poseidon)** and provide an opinion on whether the approaches provide reasonable estimates of impingement expected to be caused by CDP's operations under the co-location conditions described above.
2. Review and evaluate **Chapter 6 of the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan, including Attachment 7 and the November 14, 2008 Marine Life Mitigation Plan (Chapter 6, Part A)**, in light of the evaluation of impingement approaches and effects in Task 1, above, and provide an opinion on whether the proposed mitigation adequately accounts for the effects of both impingement and entrainment. Available data from 2008 EPS operations, as presented by Poseidon, shows that EPS would have met CDP's intake needs all but 11% of the time had CDP been operating during 2008. Therefore, evaluation of mitigation adequacy under the co-location conditions described above should consider a range of operations, from EPS operating to meet 89% of CDP's intake needs (as in 2008), to more conservative projections of EPS operating to meet 75%, 50%, and 25% of CDP's intake needs.

Catherine George Hagan
Senior Staff Counsel
Office of Chief Counsel
State Water Resources Control Board

chagan@waterboards.ca.gov

9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92123-4340
Telephone: 858.467.2958
Facsimile: 858.571.6972

To comply with IRS regulations, we advise you that any discussion of Federal tax issues in this e-mail was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used by you, (i) to avoid any penalty imposed under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) to promote, market or recommend to another party a transaction or matter addressed herein.

For more information please go to <http://www.lw.com/docs/irs.pdf>

This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

Latham & Watkins LLP

4/1/2009

30

OC Print-Mail Center

From: Pete Raimondi [raimondi@biology.ucsc.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2009 8:18 AM
To: Catherine Hagan (George); Halter, Amanda (OC); Garrett, Christopher (SD); PMacLaggan@poseidon1.com; Chiara Clemente; Deborah Woodward
Subject: Re: Fwd: Re: Poseidon project: Proposed Scope of Work

I wanted to get back to you with my estimate of time. This has to be a very rough estimate given that there are documents I have not seen yet. Based on the idea that all of my work will be done by the 26th of March and that there will be no follow-up work I estimate that I will spend no more than 40 hours on this review. If this is ok please let me know asap. I will not start work until I get approval.

Pete

At 03:19 PM 3/17/2009, Catherine Hagan (George) wrote:

Hello Dr. Raimondi,

Deborah Woodward was able to check her email and informed me that you are available at 3:45 p.m. today. Please use the following call-in number.

(866) 222-7056, Access Code: 7117675.

Thank you
 Catherine

Catherine George Hagan
 Senior Staff Counsel
 Office of Chief Counsel
 State Water Resources Control Board
chagan@waterboards.ca.gov

9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
 San Diego, CA 92123-4340
 Telephone: 858.467.2958
 Facsimile: 858.571.6972

Date: Tue, 17 Mar 2009 14:56:52 -0700
 From: "Catherine Hagan (George)" <CHagan@waterboards.ca.gov>
 To: "Pete Raimondi" <raimondi@biology.ucsc.edu>,
 "Deborah Woodward" <DWoodward@waterboards.ca.gov>
 Cc: "Amanda Halter" <Amanda.Halter@LW.com>,
 "Christopher Garrett" <CHRISTOPHER.GARRETT@LW.com>,
 <PMacLaggan@poseidon1.com>,
 "Chiara Clemente" <CClemente@waterboards.ca.gov>
 Subject: Re: Poseidon project: Proposed Scope of Work
 Mime-Version: 1.0
 Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="=__Part4961CDB4.2__="

4/1/2009

Hello Dr. Raimondi,

Deborah Woodward had to leave for the day. I am contacting you in case you had replied only to her regarding your availability. If you are available for a call sometime between 3 and 4 today, please reply to all of the recipients on this email and we can then specify the time and circulate a call-in number. Otherwise, please let us know of your availability in the next day or two.
Thank you.

Catherine

Catherine George Hagan
Senior Staff Counsel
Office of Chief Counsel
State Water Resources Control Board
chagan@waterboards.ca.gov

9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92123-4340
Telephone: 858.467.2958
Facsimile: 858.571.6972

>>> Deborah Woodward 3/17/2009 1:20 PM >>>
Good afternoon, Dr. Raimondi,

Please see the proposed scope of work (below). The Regional Board and Poseidon would like to have a brief introductory call with you this afternoon, if possible. Would you be available for 10-15 minutes sometime between 3:00 and 4:00? If so, please let us know what time would work for you, and Catherine will send out a call-in number. We don't expect you to have your schedule or cost estimate prepared for this call.

If you're not available this afternoon, please let us know if sometime tomorrow would work.

Incidentally, you can see the March 2009 Flow, Entrainment, and Impingement Minimization Plan on our website at:
http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sandiego/press_room/announcements/carlsbad_desalination/carlsbad_desalination

Thank you,
Debbie

Proposed Scope of Work
Desired Completion Date: March 26, 2009 by close of business

Section 13142.5(b) of the California Water Code requires new or expanded coastal industrial facilities using seawater for cooling, heating, or industrial processing, to use the best available site, design, technology, and mitigation measures feasible to minimize the intake and mortality of all forms of marine life. Section VI.C.2.e. of Order No. R9-2006-0065, adopted by the Regional Board in August 2006, requires Poseidon to submit for Regional Board approval a Flow, Entrainment and Impingement Minimization Plan (Minimization Plan) to ensure that the CDP complies with section 13142.5(b) of the

4/1/2009

Water Code during times when the CDP is co-located with EPS (i.e., not in permanent stand-alone operations), but CDP's intake requirements exceed the volume of water being discharged by EPS under its power generation operations. These conditions include the situation in which EPS is temporarily shut down or when EPS is generating power, but discharging less volume than CDP's projected need of 304 MGD so EPS operates the seawater intake and outfall to meet CDP's incremental intake needs up to and including 304 MGD.

Poseidon submitted a revised Flow, Entrainment and Impingement Minimization Plan dated March 9, 2009 for consideration by the Regional Board. Chapter 5 and related attachments to the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan present five approaches to estimating impingement effects associated with the Carlsbad Desalination Project's projected operations. Poseidon will submit a sixth approach as a supplement to its Minimization Plan during the week of March 16. The March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan incorporates the November 14, 2008 Marine Life Mitigation Plan (MLMP) previously approved by the California Coastal Commission. We would request Dr. Raimondi review the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan, together with any supplements submitted by Poseidon during the week of March 16, and complete the following tasks:

1. Review and evaluate the existing data and the approaches to estimating impingement effects presented in Chapter 5, Attachment 5, and the related discussion of incremental impacts in Chapter 3, of the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan (and any supplements submitted by Poseidon) and provide an opinion on whether the approaches provide reasonable estimates of impingement expected to be caused by CDP's operations under the co-location conditions described above.
2. Review and evaluate Chapter 6 of the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan, including Attachment 7 and the November 14, 2008 Marine Life Mitigation Plan (Chapter 6, Part A), in light of the evaluation of impingement approaches and effects in Task 1, above, and provide an opinion on whether the proposed mitigation adequately accounts for the effects of both impingement and entrainment. Available data from 2008 EPS operations, as presented by Poseidon, shows that EPS would have met CDP's intake needs all but 11% of the time had CDP been operating during 2008. Therefore, evaluation of mitigation adequacy under the co-location conditions described above should consider a range of operations, from EPS operating to meet 89% of CDP's intake needs (as in 2008), to more conservative projections of EPS operating to meet 75%, 50%, and 25% of CDP's intake needs.

Deborah L. Woodward
 Water Quality Standards Unit
 San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board
 9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
 San Diego, CA 92123-4340
 phone: 858-637-5586
 fax: 858-571-6972
 email: dwoodward@waterboards.ca.gov

Pete Raimondi
 Professor and Chair, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology
 University of California
 Center for Ocean Health, Long Marine Lab
 100 Shaffer Road
 Santa Cruz CA 95060
 831-459-5674
 831-459-3383 (FAX)
 raimondi@biology.ucsc.edu

OC Print-Mail Center

From: Catherine Hagan (George) [CHagan@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2009 11:48 AM
To: 'raimondi@biology.ucsc.edu'; Halter, Amanda (OC); Garrett, Christopher (SD); Peter MacLaggan; Chiara Clemente; Deborah Woodward
Subject: Re: Fwd: Re: Poseidon project: Proposed Scope of Work

Thank you. We appreciate Poseidon's willingness to help in this regard.

Catherine

Catherine George Hagan
 Senior Staff Counsel
 Office of Chief Counsel
 State Water Resources Control Board
chagan@waterboards.ca.gov

9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
 San Diego, CA 92123-4340
 Telephone: 858.467.2958
 Facsimile: 858.571.6972

>>> Peter MacLaggan <PMacLaggan@poseidon1.com> 3/18/2009 10:59 AM >>>
 Dr. Raimondi's estimated level of effort is acceptable to Poseidon.

----- Original Message -----

From: Pete Raimondi <raimondi@biology.ucsc.edu>
 To: Catherine Hagan (George) <CHagan@waterboards.ca.gov>; Amanda.Halter@LW.com <Amanda.Halter@LW.com>; CHRISTOPHER.GARRETT@LW.com <CHRISTOPHER.GARRETT@LW.com>; Peter MacLaggan; Chiara Clemente <CClemente@waterboards.ca.gov>; Deborah Woodward <DWoodward@waterboards.ca.gov>
 Sent: Wed Mar 18 08:17:32 2009
 Subject: Re: Fwd: Re: Poseidon project: Proposed Scope of Work

I wanted to get back to you with my estimate of time. This has to be a very rough estimate given that there are documents I have not seen yet. Based on the idea that all of my work will be done by the 26th of March and that there will be no follow-up work I estimate that I will spend no more than 40 hours on this review. If this is ok please let me know asap. I will not start work until I get approval.

Pete

At 03:19 PM 3/17/2009, Catherine Hagan (George) wrote:

Hello Dr. Raimondi,

Deborah Woodward was able to check her email and informed me that you are available at 3:45 p.m. today. Please use the following call-in number.

(866) 222-7056, Access Code: 7117675.

Thank you
 Catherine

Catherine George Hagan
 Senior Staff Counsel
 Office of Chief Counsel
 State Water Resources Control Board
chagan@waterboards.ca.gov <mailto:chagan@waterboards.ca.gov>

9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
 San Diego, CA 92123-4340
 Telephone: 858.467.2958
 Facsimile: 858.571.6972

Date: Tue, 17 Mar 2009 14:56:52 -0700
 From: "Catherine Hagan (George)" <CHagan@waterboards.ca.gov>
 To: "Pete Raimondi" <raimondi@biology.ucsc.edu>,
 "Deborah Woodward" <DWoodward@waterboards.ca.gov>
 Cc: "Amanda Halter" <Amanda.Halter@LW.com>,

4/1/2009

"Christopher Garrett" <CHRISTOPHER.GARRETT@LW.com>,
 <PMacLaggan@poseidon1.com>,
 "Chiara Clemente" <CClemente@waterboards.ca.gov>

Subject: Re: Poseidon project: Proposed Scope of Work

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="=_Part4961CDB4.2_="

Hello Dr. Raimondi,

Deborah Woodward had to leave for the day. I am contacting you in case you had replied only to her regarding your availability. If you are available for a call sometime between 3 and 4 today, please reply to all of the recipients on this email and we can then specify the time and circulate a call-in number. Otherwise, please let us know of your availability in the next day or two.

Thank you.

Catherine

Catherine George Hagan
 Senior Staff Counsel
 Office of Chief Counsel
 State Water Resources Control Board
 chagan@waterboards.ca.gov <mailto:chagan@waterboards.ca.gov>

 9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
 San Diego, CA 92123-4340
 Telephone: 858.467.2958
 Facsimile: 858.571.6972

>>> Deborah Woodward 3/17/2009 1:20 PM >>>

Good afternoon, Dr. Raimondi,

Please see the proposed scope of work (below). The Regional Board and Poseidon would like to have a brief introductory call with you this afternoon, if possible. Would you be available for 10-15 minutes sometime between 3:00 and 4:00? If so, please let us know what time would work for you, and Catherine will send out a call-in number. We don't expect you to have your schedule or cost estimate prepared for this call.

If you're not available this afternoon, please let us know if sometime tomorrow would work.

Incidentally, you can see the March 2009 Flow, Entrainment, and Impingement Minimization Plan on our website at:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sandiego/press_room/announcements/carlsbad_desalination/carlsbad_desalination.shtml
 <http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sandiego/press_room/announcements/carlsbad_desalination/carlsbad_desalination.shtml>

Thank you,
 Debbie

Proposed Scope of Work

Desired Completion Date: March 26, 2009 by close of business

Section 13142.5(b) of the California Water Code requires new or expanded coastal industrial facilities using seawater for cooling, heating, or industrial processing, to use the best available site, design, technology, and mitigation measures feasible to minimize the intake and mortality of all forms of marine life. Section VI.C.2.e. of Order No. R9-2006-0065, adopted by the Regional Board in August 2006, requires Poseidon to submit for Regional Board approval a Flow, Entrainment and Impingement Minimization Plan (Minimization Plan) to ensure that the CDP complies with section 13142.5(b) of the Water Code during times when the CDP is co-located with EPS (i.e., not in permanent stand-alone operations), but CDP's intake requirements exceed the volume of water being discharged by EPS under its power generation operations. These conditions include the situation in which EPS is temporarily shut down or when EPS is generating power, but discharging less volume than CDP's projected need of 304 MGD so EPS operates the seawater intake and outfall to meet CDP's incremental intake needs up to and including 304 MGD.

Poseidon submitted a revised Flow, Entrainment and Impingement Minimization Plan dated March 9, 2009 for consideration by the Regional Board. Chapter 5 and related attachments to the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan present five approaches to estimating impingement effects associated with the Carlsbad Desalination Project's projected operations. Poseidon will submit a sixth approach as a supplement to its Minimization Plan during the week of March 16. The March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan incorporates the November 14, 2008 Marine Life Mitigation Plan (MLMP) previously approved by the California Coastal Commission. We would request Dr. Raimondi review the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan, together with any supplements submitted by Poseidon during the week of March 16, and complete the following tasks:

1. Review and evaluate the existing data and the approaches to estimating impingement effects presented in Chapter 5, Attachment 5, and the related discussion of incremental impacts in Chapter 3, of the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan (and any supplements submitted by Poseidon) and provide an opinion on whether the approaches provide reasonable estimates of impingement expected to be caused by CDP's operations under the co-location conditions described above.
2. Review and evaluate Chapter 6 of the March 9, 2009 Minimization Plan, including Attachment 7 and the November 14, 2008 Marine Life Mitigation Plan (Chapter 6, Part A), in light of the evaluation of impingement approaches and effects in Task 1, above, and provide an opinion on whether the proposed mitigation adequately accounts for the effects of both impingement and entrainment. Available data from 2008 EPS operations, as presented by Poseidon, shows that EPS would have met CDP's intake needs all but 11% of the time had CDP been operating during 2008. Therefore, evaluation of mitigation adequacy under the co-location conditions described above should consider a range of operations, from EPS operating to meet 89% of CDP's intake needs (as in 2008), to more conservative projections of EPS operating to meet 75%, 50%, and 25% of CDP's intake needs.

Deborah L. Woodward

4/1/2009

Water Quality Standards Unit
San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board
9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92123-4340
phone: 858-637-5586
fax: 858-571-6972
email: dwoodward@waterboards.ca.gov

Pete Raimondi
Professor and Chair, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology
University of California
Center for Ocean Health, Long Marine Lab
100 Shaffer Road
Santa Cruz CA 95060
831-459-5674
831-459-3383 (FAX)
raimondi@biology.ucsc.edu