

# MEETING REPORT

DATE & TIME	December 20, 2016 (10:00-12:00pm)
ATTENDANCE LIST	Drew Kleis (City SD); James Smith (WB); Jeff Van Every (City SD); Jeremy Haas (WB); Jian Peng (OC); Rob Hutsel (SDR); Ruth Kolb (City SD); Michelle Santillan (WB); Todd Snyder (SDC); Jo Ann Weber (SDC); Lewis Michaelson (Katz); Clint Boschen (TT); Maso Motlow (EI); Mark Buckley (ECON); facilitator: Chad Praul (EI)

## MEETING GOAL & OBJECTIVES

Consulting team understands Steering Committee perspectives on analysis progress.

1. Review the response to action items from the previous CBA meetings
2. Review changes to the water quality input and benefit methodologies to understand analysis progress and results
3. SC makes decisions about scope and schedule

## DECISIONS

1. Include all three priority combinations (high, high + medium, high + medium + low) for the “Other Human Sources” scenario in the analysis. *[voice affirmation, no disagreement]*
2. It is appropriate to use 0.2 in/day as the definition of a wet day for the cost-benefit analysis, despite the fact that the current permit uses 0.1 in/day. *[voice affirmation, no disagreement]*
3. Continue current approach using rain gauges to represent local weather conditions throughout each watershed. It is not necessary to compare the currently selected rain gauges to a gauge in a different part of the watershed. *[voice affirmation, no disagreement]*
4. Move forward with analysis of added illness types for “any infectious symptom” but maintain the gastro-intestinal only results and present separately. *[voice affirmation, some concern for cost noted by City]*
  - a. Scope impact: additional budget (\$5500) and project duration (1-2 weeks) needed.

## ACTION ITEMS

ACTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	DEADLINE
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Revise definition and calculation of the “Move Compliance Location” scenario by moving compliance points to river mouths (point zero) rather than down current recreation locations because some beaches have recreation.</li> </ul>	Clint	Jan 12 <sup>th</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue to develop the calculation steps flow chart.               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Include a qualitative measure of uncertainty or relative ranking of uncertainty if quantifying uncertainty is not feasible.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Chad	Jan 12 <sup>th</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complete additional illnesses analysis for “any infectious symptom”</li> <li>• Approve scope changes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Jeff Soller</li> <li>• Jo Ann</li> </ul>	Jan 12 <sup>th</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update the Mission Bay Beaches included in the analysis.</li> </ul>	Mark, Ruth, Jo Ann, Jian	Jan 12 <sup>th</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide the SC more detailed information on the BMP strategies included within each BMP category in TT’s cost calculations.</li> </ul>	Clint	Jan 12 <sup>th</sup>

**MEETING AGENDA**

<b>Time</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Lead</b>
10:00	<b>Check meeting objectives</b>	<b>Chad Praul</b>
10:15	<b>Update on water quality input analyses</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ SC decision: select wastewater “scenario”</li><li>▪ Review flow chart of calculation steps</li><li>▪ Gain clarity on wet day and dry day calculation methods</li><li>▪ Review basis for “move compliance location” scenario</li></ul>	<b>Chad Praul</b>
10:45	<b>Update on benefit analyses</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Discuss proposed solution to recreation benefits issue</li><li>▪ Review updated health benefit results</li><li>▪ SC decision: determine if we should include addtl illness types in benefit calc</li></ul>	<b>Mark Buckley</b>
11:30	<b>Cost analysis discussion</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ SC understands initial methods &amp; results</li></ul>	<b>Maso Motlow</b>
12:00	<b>Adjourn</b>	