

Appendix X Construction Cost Estimates for Intake/Discharge Alternatives

Renewal of NPDES CA0109223
Carlsbad Desalination Project

Carlsbad Desalination Plant Intake/Discharge Construction Cost Estimates



5780 Fleet Street, Suite 140

Carlsbad, CA 92008

September 4, 2015

Table of Contents

| 1. | Inti | roduction | 3 |
|----|------|--|---|
| | | SIG Intake with Discharge Flow Augmentation | |
| | | | |
| - | B. | SIG Intake with Discharge Diffuser | 4 |
| (| C. | Screened Intake with Discharge Flow Augmentation | 7 |
| | D. | Screened Intake with Discharge Diffuser | 9 |

1. Introduction

The economic analysis presented below provides a relative comparison of the construction cost of the intake/discharge alternatives. These cost are included in the (per the Desalination Amendment), the life-cycle costs analyzed in Appendix N considered include permitting, design, land acquisition, financing, construction, operations, maintenance, mitigation, equipment replacement, insurance, taxes, management, and energy consumption over the lifetime of the facility. Savings considered include construction and operating allowances provided for in the Water Purchase Agreement between Poseidon and the San Diego County Water Authority (WPA) that are applicable to each of the of the intake/discharge alternatives and operational savings due reduced chemical consumption, extended membrane life, and reduced membrane cleaning frequency that is applicable to the subsurface intake alternatives.

A. SIG Intake with Discharge Flow Augmentation

The Desalination Amendment provides the following guidance for assessing the feasibility of subsurface intakes:

Subsurface intakes shall not be determined to be economically infeasible solely because subsurface intakes may be more expensive than surface intakes. Subsurface intakes may be determined to be economically infeasible if the additional costs or lost profitability associated with subsurface intakes, as compared to surface intakes, would render the desalination facility not economically viable.

In August of 2014, Poseidon evaluated the cost of implementing a SIG intake with discharge flow augmentation and presented the evaluation to the SWRCB as part of comments to the proposed Ocean Plan Amendment. Since August of 2014, Poseidon has been engaged with the California Coastal Commission in the evaluation of alternative intakes for the proposed Huntington Beach Desalination Facility (HBDF). As a result of the HBDF intake evaluation (Report of Waste Discharge Appendix U), updates have been made to the design and layout of the SIG. Specifically, the updated design now provides stand-alone piping for each cell and the provision for an intermediate pump station, both resulting in additional costs compared to the design from August 2014. Since the cost evaluation from August 2014 already appeared cost prohibitive, and the new design would only increase project costs, the cost evaluation has not been re-preformed. Rather, the cost evaluation from August 2014 is presented below and considered to be aggressive for the purposes of this intake evaluation.

The estimated construction cost for the SIG Intake with the Discharge Flow Augmentation (304 MGD) alternative is \$792,540,433.

| <u>304</u> | 4 MGD Seafl | oor I | nfiltration | Gallery (S | IG) | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Description | QTY | Unit | Labor \$ | Equipment \$ | Material \$ | Sub \$ | Total \$ |
| | | redgir | g Operations | | | | |
| SIG Dredging | 1,749,290 | CY | \$9,183,773 | \$2,604,868 | \$0 | \$0 | \$11,788,641 |
| Export Dredged Material | 1,749,290 | CY | \$3,935,903 | \$2,736,064 | \$0 | \$61,225,150 | \$67,897,117 |
| | | | | | | Dredging Total | \$79,685,758 |
| | | a ala a u i | cal Operations | | | | |
| Junction Structures - 46 Each at 12' x 12' x 20' | 46 | EA | \$828,000 | \$26,422 | \$14,194,557 | \$1,000,408 | \$16,049,387 |
| Fuse 12" & 24" HDPE Pipe for 76 Cells | 157,168 | LF | \$3,420,054 | \$2,737,411 | \$36,934,480 | \$1,943,731 | \$45,035,677 |
| Set 12" & 24" HDPE Pipe for 76 Cells | 76 | EA | \$1,710,000 | \$54,568 | \$30,934,460 | \$2,047,060 | \$3,811,628 |
| Fuse and Set 24" - 32" HDPE Conveyance Pipe | 5,317 | LF | \$102,126 | \$81,742 | \$1,249,495 | \$58,042 | \$1,491,405 |
| Fuse and Set 42" - 63" HDPE Conveyance Pipe | 5,388 | LF | \$206,980 | \$117,820 | \$3,125,040 | \$167,654 | \$3,617,494 |
| Wrap and Set 60" - 120" FRP Conveyance Pipe | 6,572 | UF | \$7,399,125 | \$236,114 | \$7,399,125 | \$8,857,575 | \$23,891,939 |
| wrap and Set 60 × 120 PAP Conveyance Pape | 0,572 | u. | \$1,555,125 | \$250,114 | | Operations Total | \$93,897,530 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | neered Fill | | | | |
| Make Grade - 1 Foot Thick | 209,915 | TN | \$1,102,054 | \$30,144 | \$5,479,411 | \$1,130,812 | \$7,742,421 |
| Place Cell 1" Gravel Bedding - 1 Foot Thick | 209,915 | TN | \$1,102,054 | \$30,144 | \$5,479,411 | \$1,130,812 | \$7,742,421 |
| Place Cell 1" Gravel Zone- 5.5 Feet Thick | 1,118,115 | TN | \$5,870,104 | \$160,561 | \$29,186,156 | \$6,023,286 | \$41,240,107 |
| Place Cell 3/8" Gravel Backfill- 1 Foot Thick | 209,915 | TN | \$1,102,054 | \$30,144 | \$5,168,632 | \$1,130,812 | \$7,431,642 |
| Place Cell Sand Backfill- 5 Feet Thick | 1,049,574 | TN | \$5,510,264 | \$150,719 | \$24,399,447 | \$5,654,055 | \$35,714,485 |
| | | | | | Engi | neered Fill Total | \$99,871,076 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 4300 Dl 4 W - 1- | | _ | n to Plant | 440.400 | ** | dar roo | £00.00£ |
| 120" Plant Tie-In | 1 | EA | \$45,000 | \$10,406 | \$0 | \$25,500 | \$80,906 |
| | | | | | | Tie-In to Plant | \$80,906 |
| | School | dula De | lated Equipme | n m t | | | |
| 11.1.1 | | | elated Equipme | | ė. | i to i | |
| Liebherr 895 Crawler Crane | 4 | EA | \$0 | \$28,356,384 | \$0 | \$0 | \$28,356,384 |
| CAT 980 Loader | 4 | EA | \$0 \$0 | \$12,797,236 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$12,797,236 |
| Marine Vessel | 2 | EA | | \$1,772,274 | \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$1,772,274 |
| Lube Truck | 1 | EA | \$0 | \$1,859,655 | | ated Equipment | \$1,859,655 \$44,785,548 |
| | | | | | Scriedaic Nei | atea equipment | 344,703,340 |
| | | | | | | Sub Total Cost | \$318,320,818 |
| Dilution Water Pump Station | | | | | Taken at | 8% of Prior Sub Total | \$26,000,000 |
| Onation visite i unip station | | | | | INVESTIGATION | 0.001110130010101 | 920,000,000 |
| | | | | | | Sub Total Cost | \$344,320,818 |
| Indirects | | | | | Taken at 2 | 5% of Prior Sub Total | \$86,080,205 |
| Insurance and Environmental | | | | | | 5% of Prior Sub Total | \$17,216,041 |
| Insurance and extraordinates and Profit Sub Total Taken at 25% of Prior Sub Total Taken at 25% | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Sub Total Cost | \$533,697,268 |
| Engineering | | | | | Taken at | 5% of Prior Sub Total | \$26,684,863 |
| Legal | | | | | | 5% of Prior Sub Total | \$26,684,863 |
| | | | | | | Sub-Total Co. | Area ess ess |
| | | | | | | Sub Total Cost | \$587,066,995 |
| Contingency | | | | | Taken at 3 | 5% of Prior Sub Total | \$205,473,448 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Sub Total Cost | \$792,540,443 |

Note: Proposal Based on Rates Effective May of 2014

B. SIG Intake with Discharge Diffuser

Similar to the implementation of a SIG with discharge flow augmentation, Poseidon evaluated the cost of implementing a SIG with discharge diffuser in August of 2014. Since the cost evaluation from August 2014 already appeared cost prohibitive, and the new design would only increase project costs, the cost evaluation has not been re-preformed. Rather, the cost evaluation from August 2014 is presented below and considered to be aggressive for the purposes of this intake evaluation.

The estimated construction cost for the SIG Intake (104 MGD) with the Discharge Diffuser alternative is \$545,126,147, the addition of the SIG (104 MGD) construction costs of \$231,494,147, plus the Diffuser construction cost estimate of \$313,632,000.

| Sept | <u>1</u> | .04 MGD Seaf | loor I | nfiltration | Gallery (S | IG) | | | |
|---|---|--------------|---------|----------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------|--|
| Specify Spec | Description | QTY | Unit | Labor \$ | Equipment \$ | Material \$ | Sub \$ | Total \$ | |
| Sept | | | Dredgin | g Operations | | | | | |
| Mechanical Operations | IG Dredging | 592,018 | CY | \$3,108,095 | \$881,574 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,989,669 | |
| Mechanical Operations | xport Dredged Material | 592,018 | CY | \$1,332,041 | \$925,975 | \$0 | \$20,720,630 | \$22,978,646 | |
| Section Sect | | | | | | | Dredging Total | \$26,968,315 | |
| Section Sect | | | | | | | | | |
| use 12° 8, 28° HDPF Pipe for 76 Cells 33,768 IF \$1,170,019 \$936,483 \$12,635,480 \$664,961 \$15,806,841 use and \$2 12° A2° HDPF Pipe for 76 Cells 26 IA \$585,000 \$18,668 \$9 \$700,310 \$1,903,782 use and \$2 12° A2° HDPF Conveyance Pipe 2,765 IF \$100,580 \$69,900 \$55,107 \$645,181 Wrap and Set 60° - 120° FRP Conveyance Pipe 2,775 IF \$100,580 \$69,900 \$562,500 \$573,375 \$1,816,325 Wrap and Set 60° - 120° FRP Conveyance Pipe \$00 IF \$562,500 \$17,950 \$625,500 \$573,375 \$1,816,325 Wrap and Set 60° - 120° FRP Conveyance Pipe \$00 IF \$562,500 \$17,950 \$62,500 \$573,375 \$1,816,325 Wrap and Set 60° - 120° FRP Conveyance Pipe \$00 IF \$582,500 \$10,900 \$62,500 \$573,375 \$1,816,325 Wrap and Set 60° - 120° FRP Conveyance Pipe \$00 IF \$582,500 \$10,800 \$382,703 \$1,816,325 Wrap and Set 60° - 120° FRP Conveyance Pipe \$100 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | | |
| ta 12" 8.2" HOPE (Pipe for 76 Cells | | | | | | | | | |
| use and set 24" - 32" HOPE Conveyance Pipe 2,300 IF \$44,177 \$31,359 \$540,000 \$52,107 \$645,149 use and \$412" - 3" HOPE Conveyance Pipe 2,785 IF \$106,986 \$60,000 \$16,153,00 \$86,658 \$1,869,449 Wrap and Set 60" - 120" FRP Conveyance Pipe 500 IF \$562,500 \$17,950 \$562,500 \$673,375 \$1,816,329 Mechanical Operations Total Engineered Fill Intelligence of Fill Language Frage \$1,000 \$10,000 \$1,854,409 \$382,703 \$2,62,75,72 Language Frage \$10,000 \$10,000 \$1,854,409 \$382,703 \$2,62,728 Engineered Fill Language Frage \$10,000 \$10,000 \$1,854,409 \$382,703 \$2,500,288 Language Frage \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| use and Set 42" - 63" HDPE Conveyance Pipe 2,785 IF \$106,986 \$60,000 \$1,615,300 \$86,558 \$1,869,844 Wrap and Set 60" - 120" FRP Conveyance Pipe 500 IF \$552,500 \$517,950 \$562,500 \$567,375 \$1,816,300 \$626,275,721 Mechanical Operations Total \$26,275,721 \$1,854,409 \$382,703 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,824 \$26,202,824 \$27,202 \$28,202,923 \$26,202,823 \$26,202,824 \$26,202,824 \$26,202,824 \$26,202,824 \$26,202,824 \$26,202,822 \$26,202,822 \$26,202,822 \$26,202,822 \$26,202,822 \$26,202,822 \$26,202,822 \$26,202,822 \$26,202,822 \$26,202,822 \$26,202,822 \$26,202,822 \$26,202,822 \$26,202,822< | | | | | | | | | |
| Schedule Related Equipment Schedule Relat | | | | | | | | | |
| Engineered Fill | | | | | | | | | |
| Engineered Fill | Vrap and Set 60" - 120" FRP Conveyance Pipe | 500 | LF | \$562,500 | \$17,950 | | | | |
| Tie-In to Plant Tie-In to | | | | | | Mechanical C | perations rotal | \$26,275,729 | |
| Tie-In to Plant Tie-In to | | | Fngi | neered Fill | | | | | |
| | Make Grade - 1 Foot Thick | 71.042 | | | \$10.202 | \$1.854.409 | \$382.703 | \$2,620,285 | |
| Schedule Related Equipment Schedule Relat | | | | | | | | | |
| Schedule Related Equipment September | | | | | | | | | |
| Schedule Related Equipment Schedule Related Equipment Same at 25% of Prior Sub Total Cost | | | | | | | | | |
| Tie-In to Plant S80,906 Tie-In to Plant Tie-In to Plan | | | | | | | | | |
| Tie-In to Plant Tie-In to Plant Tie-In to Plant September Schedule Related Equipment Schedule Related Equipment September Schedule Related Equipment September Septemb | | | | | | | | | |
| Schedule Related Equipment Security Se | | | | | | | • | | |
| Schedule Related Equipment | | | | | | | | | |
| Schedule Related Equipment September | .20" Plant Tie-In | 1 | EA | \$45,000 | \$10,406 | \$0 | | \$80,906 | |
| Sub Total Cost St. Sub Tot | | | | | | | Tie-In to Plant | \$80,906 | |
| Sub Total Cost St. Sub Tot | | Caho | dula D | alated Faulana | ont | | | | |
| AT 980 Loader | labbase BOT Consider Conse | | | | | ÷a. | to I | £0.003.054 | |
| Taken at 5% of Prior Sub Total Cost S10,86,75 Sub Total Cost S10,86,75 S20,434,416 Sub Total Cost S17,794,416 S17,794,4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 EA \$0 \$1,008,675 \$0 \$0 \$1,008,675 \$0 \$0 \$1,008,675 \$0 \$0 \$1,008,675 \$0 \$0 \$1,008,675 \$0 \$0 \$1,008,675 \$0 \$0 \$1,008,675 \$0 \$0 \$1,008,675 \$0 \$0 \$1,008,675 \$0 \$0 \$1,008,675 \$0 \$0 \$1,008,675 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,008,675 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,008,675 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Schedule Related Equipment \$13,258,420 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub Total Cost \$100,573,10 | due Huck | | EM | <i>\$0</i> | 31,000,073 | | 7- | | |
| Taken at 25% of Prior Sub Total \$25,143,277 | | | | | | benedule ne | acca Equipment | \$15j£50j4£0 | |
| Sub Total Cost S155,888,31 Sub Total Cost S7,794,416 Sub Total Cost S7,794,416 Sub Total Cost S17,794,416 S17,79 | | | | | | | Sub Total Cost | \$100,573,100 | |
| Sub Total Cost S155,888,31 Sub Total Cost S7,794,416 Sub Total Cost S7,794,416 Sub Total Cost S17,794,416 S17,79 | 40 | | | | | T-1 | EN ADDRESS IN TAXABLE | 60F 440 000 | |
| Taken at 25% of Prior Sub Total \$25,143,272 \$25,143, | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub Total Cost \$155,888,31 | | | | | | | | | |
| Taken at 5% of Prior Sub Total \$7,794,416 \$7,794,416 \$7,794,416 \$7,794,416 \$7,794,416 \$7,794,416 \$7,794,416 \$7,794,416 \$7,794,416 \$1,794,41 | with various of the rivers | | | | | rakerrat 2 | 2.0 0171101 300 10(8) | 4E0,E70,E11 | |
| Taken at 5% of Prior Sub Total \$7,794,416 \$7,794,416 \$171,477,14 | | | | | | | Sub Total Cost | \$155,888,31 | |
| Taken at 5% of Prior Sub Total \$7,794,416 \$7,794,416 \$171,477,14 | nainearing | | | | | Taken at | 58. of Dring Sub Total | \$7.794.416 | |
| Sub Total Cost \$171,477,14 | | | | | | | | | |
| ontingency Taken at 35% of Prior Sub Total \$60,017,000 | cgal | | | | | raken at | 376 OF PRIOR 30D 10(8) | 37,794,410 | |
| ontingency Taken at 35% of Prior Sub Total \$60,017,000 | | | | | | | Sub Total Cost | \$171,477,14 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| estance many | ontingency | | | | | Taken at 3 | 5% of Prior Sub Total | \$60,017,001 | |
| | | | | | | | Sub Total Cost | \$231,494,14 | |

Note: Proposal Based on Rates Effective May of 2014

As noted in the Table below, the estimated cost of a 6,000 ft. outfall with a four multiport diffuser designed to discharge up to 54 MGD is \$313,632,000. Since the cost evaluation from August 2014 already appeared cost prohibitive, and the new design would only increase project costs, the cost evaluation has not been re-preformed. Rather, the cost evaluation from August 2014 is presented below and considered to be aggressive for the purposes of this diffuser evaluation.

| 54 MGD Outfall with High Energy Diffuser | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description | QTY | Unit | Unit Cost \$ | Total \$ | | | | | | | | |
| Di | Direct Cost Work | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tunnel Installation | 6,000 | LF | \$10,500 | \$63,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| Pipe Installation | 1 | LS | \$50,000,000 | \$50,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| Diffuser Installation | 1 | LS | \$15,000,000 | \$15,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | | Dire | ct Cost Total | \$128,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| Project Management | | Takon at 25% o | f Prior Sub Total | \$32,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| Project Management Insurance and Environmental | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contractor Overhead and Profit Taken at 25% of Prior Sub Total | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Sub Total Cost | \$211,200,000 | | | | | | | | |
| Engineering | | Taken at 5% o | f Prior Sub Total | \$10,560,000 | | | | | | | | |
| Legal | | | f Prior Sub Total | . , , | | | | | | | | |
| Ecgui | | Tuken at 370 0 | 111101 300 10101 | 710,300,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Sub Total Cost | \$232,320,000 | | | | | | | | |
| Contingency Taken at 35% of Prior Sub Total | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Sub Total Cost | \$313,632,000 | | | | | | | | |
| Note: Proposal Based on Rates Effective Augu | ıst of 2014 | | | | | | | | | | | |

C. Screened Intake with Discharge Flow Augmentation

Poseidon evaluated the cost of implementing a Screened Intake with Flow Augmentation (New Screen/Fish Friendly Pumping Structure) in August of 2015.

The estimated construction cost for the Screened Intake with the Flow Augmentation (299 MGD) alternative is \$31,699,730.

| Carlsbad New Screening/Fish Friendly Pumping Structure & Fish Return System | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|---------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Description | QTY | Unit | Labor \$ | Equip- ment \$ | Material \$ | Sub \$ | Total \$ | | | |
| | | Civil | Operations | | | | | | | |
| Civil Work | | | | | | | | | | |
| Excavate & Set Grade | 26,500 | CY | | \$30 | | \$1,550 | \$796,550 | | | |
| Backfill | 16,800 | CY | | \$20 | | \$0 | \$336,000 | | | |
| L/R/F Base | 601 | TON | | \$50 | | \$0 | \$30,050 | | | |
| Exc/BF 12" Fish Return Line | 232 | LF | | \$74 | | \$0 | \$17,168 | | | |
| Set Up / Tear Down Access | 1 | LS | | \$112,320 | | \$0 | \$112,320 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shoring Systems | 15,797 | SF | | \$0 | | \$1,597,000 | \$1,597,000 | | | |
| Civil MHR ST&S | | MHR | | \$83,045 | | \$0 | \$83,045 | | | |
| Civil SUB | | | | | | | | | | |
| Excess Soil Haul Off | 14,326 | CY | | \$0 | | \$573,040 | \$573,040 | | | |
| Dewatering | 12 | EA | | \$0 | | \$1,188,000 | \$1,188,000 | | | |
| Water Treatment | 1 | LS | | \$0 | | \$675,000 | \$675,000 | | | |
| AC Paving | 13,181 | SF | | \$0 | | \$39,543 | \$39,543 | | | |
| Underpinning | 815 | SF | | \$0 | | \$211,900 | \$211,900 | | | |
| Civil Operations Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | \$5,659,616 | | | |
| | | Structu | res Operations | | | | | | | |
| Structures Work | | | | | | | | | | |
| Concrete | 3,974 | СУ | \$1,307,446 | \$0 | \$1,138,630 | \$667,632 | \$3,113,708 | | | |
| 2' Thick CIP Retaining Wall | 210 | CY | \$69,531 | \$1 | \$60,169 | \$27,720 | \$157,421 | | | |
| Temporary Stop Logs | 4 | EA | \$33,660 | \$0 | \$136,340 | \$0 | \$170,000 | | | |
| Permanent Stop Logs (Off-Load Only) | 2 | EA | \$1,440 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,440 | | | |
| Flow Distributors | 16 | EA | \$134,642 | \$0 | \$2,548,960 | \$0 | \$2,683,602 | | | |
| Structures MHR ST&S | | MHR | ,, | \$171,470 | , ,,3 | \$0 | \$171,470 | | | |
| Structures SUB | | | | | | | | | | |
| H20 Grating | 262 | SF | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$34,060 | \$34,060 | | | |
| Access Fence and Gate | 68 | LF | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$19,625 | \$19,625 | | | |
| Paintings / Coatings | 1 | LS | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$195,000 | \$195,000 | | | |
| U | | | | | | perations Total | | | | |
| | | | | | Structures O | perations rotal | \$6,546,327 | | | |

| | | Mechani | Mechanical Operations | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Misc. Small Bore | 1 | LS | \$14,416 | \$0 | \$1,077 | \$0 | \$15,493 | | | | | | |
| 108" FRP Dilution Pipe | 367 | LF | \$66,520 | \$0 | \$191,974 | \$0 | \$258,494 | | | | | | |
| · | | | | · | | · | | | | | | | |
| 72" FRP Process Pipe | 130 | LF | \$62,474 | \$0 | \$52,277 | \$0 | \$114,751 | | | | | | |
| 24" FRP Fish Return Line | 275 | LF | \$11,625 | \$0 | \$74,951 | \$0 | \$86,576 | | | | | | |
| 16" FRP Pump Risers | 90 | LF | \$56,021 | \$0 | \$21,150 | \$0 | \$77,171 | | | | | | |
| Encasement/Pipe Supports | 515 | CY | \$23,566 | \$0 | \$147,558 | \$106,620 | \$277,744 | | | | | | |
| Intake Screens | 8 | EA | \$151,680 | \$0 | \$3,674,376 | \$0 | \$3,826,056 | | | | | | |
| Axial Flow Pumps | 4 | EA | \$35,932 | \$0 | \$1,051,315 | \$0 | \$1,087,247 | | | | | | |
| Mechanical MHR ST&S | | MHR | | \$56,628 | | \$0 | \$56,628 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Mechanical O | perations Total | \$5,800,161 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 70,000,000 | | | | | | |
| | | Electric | al Operations | | | | | | | | | | |
| Electrical, I&C,HVAC Operations | | | | | | \$2,500,000 | \$2,500,000 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Electrical O | perations Total | \$2,500,000 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Channel Tie-In | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pump Down Channel | 2,006,400 | GAL | \$20,720 | \$0 | \$20,000 | \$0 | \$40,720 | | | | | | |
| Concrete Core Intake Tunnel | 12 | EA | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$551,218 | \$551,218 | | | | | | |
| Dilution Pipe Channel Connection (DEMO) | 1 | EA | \$5,200 | \$0 | \$0 | \$75,581 | \$80,781 | | | | | | |
| Tie-In Hot Taps | 2 | EA | \$10,400 | \$0 | \$0 | \$151,163 | \$161,563 | | | | | | |
| Dispose Concrete | 97 | CY | \$1,920 | \$0 | \$0 | \$9,650 | \$11,570 | | | | | | |
| Channel Tie-In ST&S MHR \$2,680 \$0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Chan | nel Tie-In Total | \$848,532 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | ,, - | | | | | | |
| | | Schedul | e Based Costs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct Supervision | 400 | WKS | \$1,920,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,920,000 | | | | | | |
| 300 Ton Crane | 64 | WKS | \$0 | \$773,164 | \$0 | \$0 | \$773,164 | | | | | | |
| 185 CFM Compressor | 91 | WKS | \$0 | \$54,266 | \$0 | \$0 | \$54,266 | | | | | | |
| 24 kW Generator | 64 | WKS | \$0 | \$38,168 | \$0 | \$0 | \$38,168 | | | | | | |
| RT Scissor Lift | 64 | WKS | \$0 | \$103,760 | \$0 | \$0 | \$103,760 | | | | | | |
| 10,000# Extendable Forklift | 64 | WKS | \$0 | \$172,448 | \$0 | \$0 | \$172,448 | | | | | | |
| | | | | Sch | nedule Based Ed | quipment Total | \$3,061,805 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | • | | | | | | |
| Sub Total Cost | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Engineering | | | | | | Sub Total | \$2,000,000 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub Total Cost | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub Total Cost | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contingency | | | | 7 | Taken at 15% of | Prior Sub Total | \$5,283,288 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Total Cost | \$31,699,730 | | | | | | |

D. Screened Intake with Discharge Diffuser

As noted in the Tables above, the estimated construction cost for the Screened Intake with the Flow Augmentation (299 MGD) alternative is \$31,699,730. A Screened Intake without Flow Augmentation (127.5MGD) is estimated to cost \$12,680,000, approximately 40% of the Screened Intake with Flow Augmentation. In addition, also as noted above, the estimated construction cost of a 6,000 ft. outfall with a four multiport diffuser designed to discharge up to 67 MGD is \$313,632,000. Therefore the estimated construction cost for the Screened Intake with Discharge Diffuser is \$326,312,000.