

# **FOCUSED MEETING AGENDA**

**Administrative Draft of  
Tentative Order No. R9-2012-0011, NPDES No. CAS0109266  
Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s) Draining the Watersheds within the  
San Diego Region**

**Wednesday, July 25, 2012  
9:30 a.m. - 3:30 p.m.**

9:30 a.m. - 9:40 a.m.	Introductions, Table Participants
9:40 a.m. - 9:50 a.m.	Meeting Recap & Feedback, Lewis Michaelson, Katz & Associates
9:50 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.	Focused Meeting Highlights, David Barker, San Diego Water Board
10:00 a.m. - 10:10 a.m.	Monitoring Requirements Intro, Eric Becker, San Diego Water Board
10:10 a.m. - 11:30 a.m.	Stakeholder Monitoring Presentations, Table Participants
11:30 a.m. - 12:30 p.m.	Lunch
12:30 p.m. - 1:30 p.m.	Discussion on Monitoring Proposals Related to Permit Requirements, Table Participants
1:30 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.	Break
1:45 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.	Discussion on Monitoring Proposals Related to Permit Requirements, Table Participants
3:00 p.m. - 3:30 p.m.	Parking Lot Discussion Comments & Questions All

## **ELECTRONIC MAIL LIST SUBSCRIPTION**

The San Diego Water Board has established an electronic mail list specifically for information and notices on the development of a Regional MS4 Storm Water Permit. The San Diego Water Board will use the email list to notify interested persons of upcoming important events and the availability of on-line documents. Persons interested in receiving future notices and information on this specific project can subscribe to one (1) of the electronic mail lists titled "Orange County MS4 Permit, " Riverside County MS4 Permit, or "San Diego MS4 Permit ", located on the San Diego Water Board website at:

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/resources/email\\_subscriptions/reg9\\_subscribe.shtml](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/resources/email_subscriptions/reg9_subscribe.shtml)

Meeting Date	Topic Discussion Questions	Draft Permit Provisions
<p><b>June 27, 2012</b></p> <p><b>July 11, 2012</b></p>	<p><b><u>ASSESSMENT/PLANNING</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In what ways can the proposed provisions of the administrative draft permit be modified to better assess if the Copermittees' jurisdictional runoff management programs are effectively prohibiting non-storm water discharges to their MS4s?</li> <li>2. In what ways can the proposed provisions of the administrative draft permit be modified to better assess if the Copermittees' jurisdictional runoff management programs are reducing pollutants in storm water to the maximum extent practicable (MEP)?</li> <li>3. In what ways can the proposed provisions of the administrative draft permit be modified to better assess that improvements are being achieved in the physical chemical, and biological conditions of receiving waters as a result of implementing the Copermittees' Water Quality Improvement Plans?</li> <li>4. How can adaptive management or the "iterative process" be incorporated into the proposed provisions of the administrative draft permit better?</li> <li>5. How can the proposed provisions of the administrative draft permit be modified to support a Copermittee's ability to plan and/or procure resources for its jurisdictional runoff management program?</li> <li>6. How can the proposed provisions of the administrative draft permit be modified to reduce or eliminate any conflicts with other requirements (e.g. TMDLs, ASBS) or programs (e.g. flood management, vector control, water supply, recycled water, groundwater recharge)?</li> </ol>	<p>II.A. Prohibitions and Limitations (includes WQBELs for TMDLs in Attachment E)</p> <p>II.B. Water Quality Improvement Plans</p> <p>II.C. Action Levels</p> <p>II.D.4.a. MS4 Discharges Assessments</p> <p>II.D.4.b. Receiving Waters Assessments</p> <p>II.D.4.c. Water Quality Improvement Assessments</p> <hr/> <p>II.F.1. Water Quality Improvement Plans</p> <p>II.F.2.c. Water Quality Improvement Plan Updates</p> <p>II.F.3. Progress Reporting</p> <p>II.F.4. Regional Clearinghouse</p>
<p><b>July 25, 2012</b></p>	<p><b><u>ASSESSMENT/MONITORING</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In what ways can the proposed provisions of the administrative draft permit be changed to improve how the Copermittees collect data to assess whether their jurisdictional runoff management programs are effectively prohibiting non-storm water discharges to their MS4s?</li> <li>2. In what ways can the proposed provisions of the administrative draft permit be changed to improve how the Copermittees collect data to assess whether their jurisdictional runoff management programs are reducing pollutants in storm water to the MEP?</li> <li>3. In what ways can the proposed provisions in the administrative draft permit be changed to improve how the Copermittees collect data to assess whether improvements to the physical, chemical, and biological conditions of receiving waters are being achieved as a result of implementing their Water Quality Improvement Plans?</li> </ol>	<p>II.D.1. Jurisdictional Monitoring Requirements</p> <p>II.D.2. Watershed Monitoring Requirements</p> <p>II.D.3. Regional Special Studies</p> <p>II.D.4.a. MS4 Discharges Assessments</p> <p>II.D.4.b. Receiving Waters Assessments</p> <p>II.D.4.c. Water Quality Improvement Assessments</p> <hr/> <p>II.F.3. Progress Reporting</p> <p>II.F.4. Regional Clearinghouse</p>

Meeting Date	Topic Discussion Questions	Draft Permit Provisions
<p><b>August 22, 2012</b></p>	<p><b><u>PLANNING/IMPLEMENTATION</u></b></p>	<p>II.B. Water Quality Improvement Plans</p> <p>II.E. Jurisdictional Runoff Management Programs</p>
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. How can adaptive management or the “iterative process” be incorporated into the proposed provisions of the administrative draft permit better?</li> <li>2. How can the proposed provisions of the administrative draft permit be modified to support a Copermittee’s ability to plan and/or procure resources for its jurisdictional runoff management program?</li> <li>3. How can the proposed provisions of the administrative draft permit be modified to reduce or eliminate any conflicts with other requirements (e.g. TMDLs, ASBS) or programs (e.g. flood management, vector control, water supply, recycled water, groundwater recharge)?</li> <li>4. How can the proposed provisions of the administrative draft permit be modified to support a Copermittee’s ability to implement and enforce its jurisdictional runoff management program to effectively prohibit non-storm water discharges to and from its MS4, and reduce pollutants in storm water discharges from its MS4 to the MEP?</li> <li>5. How can the proposed provisions of the administrative draft permit be modified to promote retrofitting of existing development and/or implementing projects that can restore or rehabilitate receiving waters as good tools to use as pollution control strategies?</li> <li>6. How can the proposed provisions of the administrative draft permit be modified to allow the Copermittees to better focus their resources on improving water quality?</li> </ol>	