Walsh, Laurie@Waterboards

From:	Peck Pool Services <bill@peckpool.com></bill@peckpool.com>
Sent:	Thursday, September 13, 2012 2:18 AM
То:	Walsh, Laurie@Waterboards
Cc:	joe.sunpools@yahoo.com
Subject:	NPDES Draft Permit (Order #R9-2012-0011) comment on a proposed additional rule

The purpose of this letter is to comment on a possible proposed rule addition to the NPDES Draft Permit (Order #R-9-2012-0011). On page 56 (4) (c) this document addresses "Dechlorinated swimming pool discharges". It has come to my attention that a "non-profit coastal group" is planning to propose an additional rule to require residents to use the services of an onsite reverse osmosis filtering company rather than drain their pool into either the MS4 or sanitary sewer. While water conservation is commendable, the scope and purpose of the NPDES is not water conservation but rather the elimination of pollutants entering the MS4. Therefore this proposed rule does not belong in this Permit. In addition, it should be noted that most swimming pool water in the Region covered by this Permit was on its way to the ocean when it was diverted for use in swimming pools, and when discharged (in compliance with the Permit) it finishes this journey. Therefore the water itself is not creating a burden upon the receiving waters (the Pacific Ocean).

If any clarification of this comment is needed please feel free to e-mail me or call me at 858-735-2565.

Respectfully submitted,

Bill Peck, owner, Wm. Peck Pool Services, San Diego, CA