

Final Technical Report	2007
------------------------	------

Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP) Report on the San Juan Hydrologic Unit

July 2007



SURFACE WATER AMBIENT MONITORING PROGRAM (SWAMP) REPORT ON THE SAN JUAN HYDROLOGIC UNIT

Raphael D. Mazor Ken Schiff

Southern California Coastal Water Research Project 3535 Harbor Blvd., Suite 110 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 www.sccwrp.org

Prepared for the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region (Region 9).

This project was funded by the Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program.

Technical Report 537_SanJuan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Abstract	4
2. Introduction	5
2.1 Geographic Setting	6
2.1.1 Climate	
2.1.2 Hydrology	8
2.1.3 Land Use within the Watershed	
2.1.4 Beneficial Uses and Known Impairments in the Watershed	10
3. Methods	
3.1 Indicators	
3.1.1 Water chemistry	
3.1.2 Toxicity	13
3.1.3 Tissue	13
3.1.4 Bioassessment	13
3.1.5 Physical Habitat	14
3.2 Data Analysis	14
3.2.1 Thresholds	15
3.2.2 Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC)	19
4. Results	
4.1 Water Chemistry	19
4.2 Toxicity	30
4.3 Tissue	33
4.4 Bioassessment	34
4.5 Physical Habitat	38
5. Discussion	40
6. Literature Cited	47
7. Appendices	49
APPENDIX I	I - 1
APPENDIX II	II - 1
APPENDIX III	111 - 1
APPENDIX IV	IV - 1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Location of the San Juan HU	6
Figure 2. Rainfall and sampling events at two stations in the San Diego re	egion
-	7
Figure 3. The San Juan HU, including major waterways	8
Figure 4. Land use within the San Juan HU.	9
Figure 5. SWAMP and non-SWAMP sampling locations	11
Figure 6. Aquatic life threshold exceedances for water chemistry at SWA	MP
sites	29
Figure 7. Human health exceedances for water chemistry at SWAMP site	es29
Figure 8. Frequency of toxicity at SWAMP sites	32
Figure 9. Fish tissue exceedances at SWAMP sites	33
Figure 10. IBI scores at sites in the San Juan HU	34
Figure 11. Mean IBI scores at each bioassessment site and each season	ı35
Figure 12. IBI values for each year and site	38
Figure 13. Assessment of physical habitat at SWAMP sites	40
Figure 14. Summary of the ecological health of SWAMP sites in the San	Juan
HU	42

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Watersheds monitored under the SWAMP program.	5
Table 2. Sources of data used in this report	
Table 3. SWAMP sampling site locations1	0
Table 4. Non-SWAMP sampling site locations1	2
Table 5. Threshold sources	6
Table 6. Water chemistry thresholds for aquatic life and human health	
standards1	7
Table 7. Threshold concentrations for fish tissue contaminants1	8
Table 8. Number of anthropogenic organic compounds detected at each site	
2	20
Table 9. Frequency of detection of anthropogenic organic compounds2	20
Table 10. Frequency of water chemistry threshold exceedances2	25
Table 11. Frequency of SWAMP sites with aquatic life and human health	
threshold exceedances of each constituent2	
Table 12. Number of constituents exceeding thresholds at each SWAMP site.	
-	30
Table 13. Frequency of toxicity detected for each endpoint and at each site.3	
Table 14. Concentrations of contaminants in fish tissues	3
Table 15. Mean and standard deviation of IBI scores at bioassessment sites3	
Table 16. Score and mean for each component of physical habitat	
Table 17. Summary of the ecological health for five SWAMP sites	1

1. ABSTRACT

In order to assess the ecological health of the San Juan Hydrologic Unit (San Diego, Orange, and Riverside Counties, CA), water chemistry, water and sediment toxicity, fish tissues, benthic macroinvertebrate communities, and physical habitat were assessed at multiple sites. Water chemistry, toxicity, and fish tissues were assessed under SWAMP between 2002 and 2003, and bioassessment samples were collected under other programs between 1998 and 2006. Most indicators showed evidence of widespread impact, especially in the northern and coastal areas of the watershed. For example, all sites in the Laguna Creek hydrologic subarea, as well as sites in the lower portions of the San Juan Creek watershed exceeded aquatic life thresholds for many (8) water chemistry constituents. Toxicity was moderate at most sites, and not observed at a few sites in the interior of the San Juan Creek watershed. Fish tissue collected from Aliso Creek did not indicate impairment, although no organic constituents were measured, and only one constituent (Selenium) had an applicable threshold. IBIs were poor or very poor at almost every coastal site, as well as at all sites in the Laguna Creek hydrologic subarea, meaning that biological communities characteristic of impairment were found at these sites. Sites with fair, good, or very good IBI scores were located in the interior or southern portions of the watershed. Physical habitat was very degraded at coastal and northern sites, but in moderate to good condition at interior sties. Some designated reference sites (e.g., 901SJMCC2, REF-CS, and 901SJATC2) did not appear to conform to expectations of reference condition. However, other reference sites appeared to be in good ecological health, as were sites that had not been designated as reference (e.g., 901SJBEL2). Despite limitations of this assessment (e.g., uncertain spatial and temporal variability, low levels of replication, nonprobabilistic sampling, and lack of thresholds for several indicators), multiple lines of evidence support the conclusion that parts of the San Juan HU are in poor ecological condition.

2. INTRODUCTION

The San Juan hydrologic unit (HU 901) is in Orange, Riverside, and San Diego Counties. The hydrologic unit represents an important water resource in one of the most arid regions of the nation. Despite strong interest in the surface waters of the San Juan HU, a comprehensive assessment of the ecological health of these waters has not been conducted at this time. The purpose of this report is to provide such an analysis using data collected in 2002-2003 under the Surface Waters Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP), as well as additional sources, such as including data collected by National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permittees and by the Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base. SWAMP monitoring efforts rotated among sets of watersheds, ensuring that each HU is monitored once every 5 years (Table 1). These programs collected data to describe water chemistry, water and sediment toxicity, fish tissues, physical habitat, and macroinvertebrate community structure. By examining these data from multiple sources, this report provides a measure of the ecological integrity of the San Juan HU.

Table 1. Watersheds monitored under the SWAMP program.						
Project Indicators		Years				
SWAMP	Water chemistry, toxicity, fish tissue	2002-2003				
CA Department of Fish and Game	Bioassessment	1998-2000				
Orange County NPDES	Water chemistry, bioassessment, toxicity, physical habitat	2002-2006				
Camp Pendleton	Water chemistry, bioassessment	2004-2005				
Laguna Niguel grant-funded projects Water chemistry		2004-2006				

Table 1 Watersheds menitored under the SWAMP program

There are two objectives for this assessment: 1) To evaluate the condition of SWAMP sites; and 2) To evaluate the overall condition of the watershed. Evaluations were based on multiple indicators of ecological integrity, including water chemistry, water and sediment toxicity, fish tissue bioaccumulation, biological assessment of benthic macroinvertebrate communities, and physical habitat assessment.

This report is organized into four sections. The first section (Introduction) describes the geographic setting in terms of climate, hydrology, and land use within the watershed. The second section (Methods) describes the approach to data collection, assessment indicators, and data analysis. The third section (Results) contains the results of these analyses. The fourth section (Discussion) integrates evidence of impact from multiple indicators, describes the limitations of this assessment, and summarizes the overall health of the watershed.

2.1 Geographic Setting

The San Juan HU is a collection of coastal watersheds in Orange, Riverside, and San Diego counties draining into the Pacific Ocean (Figure 1). The watershed covers 496 mi² and ranges from the Santa Margarita mountains in the interior to the Pacific Coast.



Figure 1. San Diego region (green) includes portions of San Diego, Riverside, and Orange counties. The San Juan HU (yellow, shaded) is located within Orange, Riverside, and San Diego Counties

2.1.1 Climate

The San Juan HU, like the entire San Diego region, is characterized by a Mediterranean climate, with hot dry summers and cool wet winters. Average monthly rainfalls measured at the Lindberg Airport (SDG) in San Diego, California between 1905 and 2006 show that nearly all rain fell between the months of October and April, with hardly any falling between the months of May and September (California Department of Water Resources 2007). The wettest month was January, with an average rainfall of 2.05"). Average annual rainfall at this station was 10.37". Daily rainfall measured at John Wayne Airport (north of the HU and near the coast) and at San Juan Canyon (in the Santa Ana mountains within the HU) shows considerable variability in rainfall throughout the HU (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 2007) (Figure 2).



Date

Figure 2. Rainfall and sampling events at two stations in the San Diego region. A. Average precipitation for each month at the Lindberg Station (DWR station code SDG), based on data collected between January 1905 and November 2006. B. Location of the John Wayne Airport and San Juan Canyon gauges. C. Storm events and sampling events in the San Juan HU. The top two plots show daily precipitation between 1998 and 2007 at the three stations. The bottom plot shows the timing of sampling events. SWAMP water chemistry and toxicity samples are shown as white circles. Bioassessment samples are shown as black circles.

2.1.2 Hydrology

The San Juan HU consists of several watersheds that drain directly into the Pacific Ocean. The largest watershed is San Juan Creek; its major tributaries are Bell Canyon, Arroyo Trabuco, and Oso Creeks. The second largest creek is San Mateo Creek, with Christianitos Creek as the largest major tributary. Smaller in size are Aliso, San Onofre, and Las Pulgas Creeks. Smaller still are numerous coastal streams, including Morro Canyon, Laguna Canyon, Salt Creek, Prima Deshecha, Segunda Deshecha, and several unnamed drainages (Figure 3).



Figure 3. The San Juan HU, including major waterways.

2.1.3 Land Use within the Watershed

Three counties and several municipalities have jurisdiction over portions of the watershed. Riverside County includes a small portion (17.8%) of the San Juan HU, and no municipalities are found within this portion. More than half the watershed (51.7%) is located within Orange County, and the remainder (30.5%) is in San Diego County. In Orange County, the cities of Aliso Viejo, Mission Viejo, Laguna Beach, Laguna Woods, Laguna Niguel, Dana Point, Lake Forest, Rancho Santa Margarita, San Juan Capistrano, and San Clemente occur within the HU. Although a small portion (7.2%) of the HU is developed, most of this development is concentrated within the northern portion of the watershed. The undeveloped portion, the southern and interior portions, occupies 91.8% of the watershed. Agricultural land use occupies less than 1% of the land (Figure 4). A very large and mostly undeveloped portion of the watershed is encompassed by the Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base in northern San Diego County. Other large areas of open space are found within the Cleveland National Forest. Caltrans is another major landowner, and it has jurisdiction over the major freeways that traverse the watershed (SANDAG 1998).



Figure 4. Land use within the San Juan HU. Undeveloped open space is shown as green. Agricultural areas are shown as orange. Urban and developed lands are shown as dark gray.

2.1.4 Beneficial Uses and Known Impairments in the Watershed

Beneficial uses in the watershed include agriculture; industrial service supply; recreation; warm and cold freshwater habitat; wildlife habitat; rare, threatened, or endangered species; and spawning habitat. All streams in the San Juan HU have been exempted from municipal uses (Appendix I).

Several streams in the San Juan HU are listed as impaired on the 303(d) list of water quality limited segments, affecting a total of 26.7 stream miles. These streams include Aliso Creek, English Creek, Laguna Canyon Channel, Oso Creek, San Juan Creek, Prima Deshecha Creek, and Segunda Deshecha Creek. Known stressors include indicator bacteria, total dissolved solids, turbidity, benzo(b)fluoranthene, DDE, dieldrin, sulfates, chloride, phosphorus, and sediment toxicity (Appendix I).

3. METHODS

This report combines data collected under SWAMP with data from California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG), Camp Pendleton, and NPDES monitoring (Table 2). Eleven sites of interest were sampled under SWAMP in the San Juan HU in 2003 (Table 3; Figure 5). Water chemistry, water and sediment toxicity, and physical habitat was measured at each site. Three of these sites were designated reference sites (i.e., Upper Arroyo Trabuco, Morro Canyon, and San Mateo Creek). Water chemistry, water and sediment toxicity, and physical habitat was measured at each of the eleven sites.

 Table 2. Sources of data used in this report.

Project	Indicators	Years
SWAMP	Water chemistry, toxicity, fish tissue	2002-2003
CA Department of Fish and Game	Bioassessment	1998-2000
Orange County NPDES	Water chemistry, bioassessment, toxicity, physical habitat	2002-2006
Camp Pendleton	Water chemistry, bioassessment	2004-2005
Laguna Niguel grant-funded projects Water chemistry		2004-2006

Table 3. SWAMP sampling site locations. Fish tissues were collected at the site marked with an asterisk (*).

Site	Description	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°E)
1 901SJALC6	Aliso Creek 6 (mouth)	33.5119	-117.7519
2 901SJATC2	Upper Arroyo Trabuco Creek 2 (reference)	33.6717	-117.5575
3 901SJATC5*	Lower Arroyo Trabuco Creek 5	33.5266	-117.6701
4 901SJBEL2	Bell Canyon Creek 2	33.6327	-117.5553
5 901SJENG2	English Creek 2	33.6278	-117.6806
6 901SJLAG2	Laguna Canyon Creek 2	33.5726	-117.7629
7 901SJMCC2	Morro Canyon Creek 2 (reference)	33.5622	-117.8188
8 901SJOSO3	Oso Creek 3	33.5348	-117.6762
9 901SJSJC5	Upper San Juan Creek 5	33.5879	-117.5164
10 901SJSJC9	Lower San Juan Creek 9	33.4847	-117.6746
11 901SJSMT2	San Mateo Creek 2 (reference)	33.5497	-117.3962





Data from several non-SWAMP monitoring were included in this report. Twenty-eight sites were sampled under programs other than SWAMP. Orange County NPDES monitoring at 18 sites included conventional water chemistry, toxicity, bioassessment, and physical habitat. Monitoring at 3 sites at Camp Pendleton included conventional water chemistry and bioassessment. Monitoring at grant-funded projects by the city of Laguna Niguel included conventional water chemistry, nutrients and bacteria (at Upper Sulphur Creek and Narco Channel). as well as metals and organic compounds (at Narco Channel). Additional bioassessment data was collected at 10 sites by the CDFG Aquatic Bioassessment Laboratory (ABL): of these 10 sites, 5 were also sampled by Orange County NPDES. When two non-SWAMP sites were located within 500 meters of each other, they were treated as a single site. This distance was based on published measures of spatial correlation of benthic communities in streams (Gebler 2004). Non-SWAMP samples were collected between 1998 and 2006; in some cases, non-SWAMP sites were very close to SWAMP sites (Table 4; Figure 5).

Table 4. Non-SWAMP sampling site locations. W = sites where water chemistry was sampled. T =
sites where samples were collected for toxicity assays. B = sites where benthic macroinvertebrates
were sampled. P = sites where physical habitat was assessed.

	·	SWAMP site							
Site	Description	within 500 m	W	Т	В	Ρ	Sources	Lat (°N)	Long (°E)
1	Aliso Creek at Country Club	901SJALC6			Х		CDFG (901ACCCRx)	33.5142	-117.7430
	Road		Х	Х	Х	Х	OC NPDES (AC-CCR)		
2	Aliso Creek at Pacific Park Drive	None			Х		CDFG (901ACPPDx)	33.5752	-117.7150
			Х	Х	Х	Х	OC NPDES (AC-PPD)		
3	Arroyo Trabuco Creek at	None			Х		CDFG (901ATCAPx)	33.5842	-117.6358
	Country Club Road						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4	Arroyo Trabuco Creek	None			Х		CDFG (901ATCTCx)	33.6748	-117.5471
	(reference)		Х	Х	Х	Х	OC NPDES (REF-TCAS,		
							REF-AT2, REF-TAC, REF-		
		Nees					ATC)	00 5000	117 5051
5	Bell Canyon Creek at Bell	None			Х		CDFG (901BCCBCT, 901BCCSRT)	33.5690	-117.5651
	Canyon Trail in Caspar Wilderness Park						901BCC3RT)		
6		None			Х	••••	CDFG (901SJC74x)	33.5192	-117.6237
			х				OC NPDES (SJC-74)		
7	San Mateo Creek at Devil's	None			Х		CDFG (901SMCDCx,	33.4728	-117.4648
	Canyon						901DCCDCx)		
8	San Mateo Creek at San Mateo	901SJSMT2			Х		CDFG (901SMCSMC)	33.5496	-117.3962
	Canyon								
9	San Mateo Creek at San Mateo	None			Х		CDFG (901SMCSMR)	33.4234	-117.5314
10	Road San Mateo Creek at I5	Nono	~		~	••••	OC NPDES (SMC-I5)	22 2024	117 5700
10		None							
11	Wood Creek	None			Х		CDFG (901WCCRTx, 901WCRMMx,	33.5681	-117.7477
							901WCEOTx)		
			х	х	х	х	OC NPDES (WC-WCT)		
12	Aliso Creek at Aliso Creek Park	None					OC NPDES (AC-ACP,	33.5435	-117.5681
							ACJ01)		
13	Christianitos Creek at				Х	Х	OC NPDES (CC-CR)	33.4666	-117.5681
	Christianitos Road								
14	English Creek at Madera Drive	901SJENG2	Х	Х	Х	Х	OC NPDES (EC-MD)	33.6275	-117.6804

3.1 Indicators

Multiple indicators were used to assess the sites in the San Juan HU. Water chemistry, water and sediment toxicity, fish tissues, benthic macroinvertebrate communities, and physical habitat.

3.1.1 Water chemistry

To assess water chemistry, samples were collected at each site. Water chemistry was measured as per the SWAMP Quality Assurance Management Plan (QAMP) (Puckett 2002). Measured indicators included conventional water chemistry (e.g., pH, temperature dissolved oxygen, etc.), inorganics, herbicides, pesticides, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), dissolved metals, pesticides, and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Appendix II contains a complete list of constituents that were measured. Limited water chemistry was collected under non-SWAMP NPDES monitoring as well. This monitoring was restricted to physical parameters, and followed procedures described in annual reports to California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region (e.g., Weston Solutions Inc. 2007).

3.1.2 Toxicity

To evaluate water and sediment toxicity to aquatic life in the San Juan HU, toxicity assays were conducted on samples from each site as per the SWAMP QAMP (EPA 1993, Puckett 2002). Water toxicity was evaluated with 7-day exposures on the water flea, *Ceriodaphnia dubia*, and 96-hour exposures to the alga *Selenastrum capricornutum*. Both acute and chronic toxicity to *C. dubia* was measured as decreased survival and fecundity (i.e., eggs per female) relative to controls, respectively. Chronic toxicity to *S. capricornutum* was measured as changes in total cell count relative to controls. Sediment toxicity was evaluated with 10-day exposures on the amphipod *Hyallela azteca*. Both acute and chronic toxicity to *H. azteca* was measured as decreased survival and growth (mg per individual) relative to controls, respectively. Chronic toxicity endpoints (i.e., *C. dubia* fecundity, *H. azteca* growth, and *S. capricornutum* total cell count) were used to develop a summary index of toxicity at each site.

Toxicity was assessed by Orange County NPDES as well (Weston Solutions Inc. 2006). Between 2003 and 2006, water and sediment samples were collected at all sites. Procedures were similar to those used in SWAMP monitoring, with the following differences: chronic toxicity to *C. dubia* was measured as decreased growth (mg per individual) relative to controls (as opposed to reduced fecundity), and chronic toxicity to *H. azteca* was not assessed. In addition, 7-day exposures of sample water to the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) was assessed as decreased survival (acute toxicity) and growth (chronic toxicity) relative to controls.

3.1.3 Tissue

To detect contamination in fish tissues in the San Juan HU, tissues from one red-ear sun fish and one crayfish were collected at Lower Arroyo Trabuco Creek. Samples were not combined so that variability among individual organisms could be estimated. Tissues were analyzed for metals and selenium as per the SWAMP QAMP (Puckett 2002). Wet-weight concentrations of each constituent were recorded.

3.1.4 Bioassessment

To assess the ecological health of the streams in San Juan HU, benthic macroinvertebrate samples were collected at 26 sites. Three of these sites were designated reference sties (site 4, 18, and 19). Samples were collected using SWAMP-comparable protocols, as per the SWAMP QAMP (Puckett 2002). Three replicate samples were collected from riffles at each site; 300 individuals were sorted and identified from each replicate, creating a total count of 900 individuals per site. Using a Monte Carlo simulation, all samples were reduced to 500 count for calculation of the Southern California Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI; Ode et al. 2005), a composite of seven metrics summed and scaled from 0 (poor condition) to 100 (good condition).

3.1.5 Physical Habitat

Physical habitat was assessed using semi-quantitative observations of 10 components relating to habitat quality, such as embeddedness, bank stability, and width of riparian zone. The assessment protocols are described in The California Stream Bioassessment Procedure (California Department of Fish and Game 2003). Each component was scored on a scale of 0 (highly degraded) to 20 (not degraded). Sites were assessed by the average component score.

Physical habitat was also assessed by Orange County NPDES, using methods identical to those used in SWAMP monitoring. Seventeen sites were monitored between 2002 and 2006 twice a year using the same protocols as the SWAMP program (Weston Solutions Inc. 2006).

3.2 Data Analysis

To evaluate the extent of human impacts to water chemistry in streams in the San Juan HU, two frequency-based approaches were employed to detecting impacts. First, established aquatic life and human health thresholds for individual constituents were evaluated for frequency of exceedances. Second, the frequency of detection for anthropogenic constituents (such as PCBs, pesticides, and PAHs) were also evaluated.

To evaluate the overall health of each site and of the watershed, three indicators were selected for analysis: number of constituents exceeding aquatic life water chemistry thresholds; frequency of chronic toxicity to *S. capricornutum*, *C. dubia*, and *H. azteca*; and mean IBI score. Tissue analysis was excluded because tissue samples were collected at only one site. Physical habitat assessment was excluded due to lack of agreed-upon thresholds for evaluation of physical habitat scores. These results were plotted on a map of the watershed, indicating the severity and distribution of human impacts.

Although non-SWAMP sources of water chemistry data were used, this report focuses on SWAMP data in order to maintain consistency of sampling

methods and parameters measured at each site. Analyses of non-SWAMP water chemistry data is presented separately. In contrast, bioassessment data from multiple sources is analyzed together because of the high compatibility of sampling protocols used in different programs, and because of the limited availability of bioassessment data from a single source. Toxicity, fish tissue, and physical habitat data were only available from SWAMP monitoring.

3.2.1 Thresholds

In order to use the data to assess the health of the watershed, thresholds were established for each indicator: water quality, toxicity, bioassessment, fish tissue, and physical habitat. Exceedance of appropriate thresholds was considered evidence for impact on watershed health.

Water chemistry data from this study were compared to water quality objectives established by state and federal agencies to protect the most sensitive beneficial uses designated in the San Juan HU. Therefore, the most stringent water quality objectives (e.g., municipal drinking water, aquatic life, etc.) for the measured constituents were used as thresholds points to evaluate the data.

The Water Quality Control Plan For the San Diego Basin (BP) was the primary source of water chemistry thresholds. Other sources for standards used in water chemistry thresholds included the California Toxics Rule (CTR), the Environmental Protection Agency National Aquatic Life Criteria (EPA), the National Academy of Sciences Health Advisory (NASHA), United States Environmental Protection Agency Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), and the California Code of Regulations §64449 (CCR). The sources for thresholds used in this study are shown in Table 5.

Indicator	Source	Citation
Water chemistry	Water Quality Control Plan For the San Diego Basin (BP)	California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region. 1994. Water quality control plan for the San Diego Region. San Diego, CA. <u>http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sandiego/programs/basi</u> <u>nplan.html</u>
	California Toxics Rule (CTR)	Environmental Protection Agency. 1997. Water quality standards: Establishment of numeric criteria for priority toxic pollutants for the state of California: Proposed Rule. <i>Federal Register</i> 62:42159-42208.
	EPA National Aquatic Life Criteria (EPA)	Environmental Protection Agency. 2002. National recommended water quality criteria. EPA-822-R-02- 047. Office of Water. Washington, DC.
	National Academy of Sciences Health Advisory (NASHA)	National Academy of Sciences. 1977. Drinking Water and Health. Volume 1. Washington, DC.
	US Environmental Protection Agency Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS)	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2007. Integrated Risk Information System. <u>http://www.epa.gov/iris/index.html</u> . Office of Research and Development. Washington, DC.
	California Code of Regulations §64449 (CCR)	California Code of Regulations. 2007. Secondary drinking water standards. Register 2007, No. 8. Title 22, division 4, article 16.
Fish tissue	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA)	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. 2006. Draft development of guidance tissue levels and screening values for common contaminants in California Sports Fish: Chlordane, DDTs, Dieldrin, Methylmercury, PCBs, Selenium, and Toxaphene. Sacramento, CA.
Bioassessment	Ode et al. 2005	Ode, P.R., A.C. Rehn and J.T. May. 2005. A quantitative tool for assessing the integrity of southern California coastal streams. <i>Environmental Management</i> 35:493-504.

Table 5. Threshold sources

Although human health thresholds (e.g., drinking water standards) were applied to relevant water chemistry data, this report focuses on aquatic life, and does not address the risks to human health in the San Juan HU. When multiple thresholds were applicable to a single constituent, the most stringent threshold was used. Water chemistry thresholds for aquatic life and human health standards used in this study are presented in Table 6. Impacts were assessed as the total number of constituents exceeding threshold, as opposed to the fraction of constituents. The fraction of constituents exceeding thresholds is not an ecologically meaningful statistic because the number of constituents below thresholds does not degrade or improve the ecological health of a site.

Table 6. Water chemistry thresholds for aquatic life and human health standards. San Diego Basin Plan (BP); California Toxics Rule (CTR); Environmental Protection Agency National Aquatic Life Standards (EPA); National Academy of Science Health Advisory (NASHA); Environmental Protection Agency Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS); California Code of Regulations §64449 (CCR). Sulfate threshold of 500 mg/l applies to the Laguna Creek Hydrologic Sub Area (HSU 901.1) (*).

¥	Aquatic life			/ (/	Human health			
Category	Constituent	Threshold	Unit	Source	Threshold	Unit	Source	
Inorganics	Alkalinity as CaCO3	20000	mg/l	EPA	none	mg/l	none	
Inorganics	Ammonia as N	0.025	mg/l	BP	none	mg/l	none	
Inorganics	Nitrate + Nitrite as N	10	mg/l	BP	none	mg/l	none	
Inorganics	Phosphorus as P,Total	0.1	mg/l	BP	none	mg/l	none	
Inorganics	Selenium, Dissolved	5	μg/l	CTR	none	µg/l	none	
Inorganics	Sulfate	250*	mg/l	BP	none	mg/l	none	
Metals	Aluminum, Dissolved	1000	μg/l	BP	none	µg/l	none	
Metals	Arsenic, Dissolved	50	μg/l	BP	150	µg/l	CTR	
Metals	Cadmium, Dissolved	5	μg/l	BP	2.2	µg/l	CTR	
Metals	Chromium, Dissolved	50	μg/l	BP	none	µg/l	none	
Metals	Copper, Dissolved	9	μg/l	CTR	1300	µg/l	CTR	
Metals	Lead, Dissolved	2.5	μg/l	CTR	none	µg/l	none	
Metals	Manganese, Dissolved	0.05	μg/l	none	none	μg/l	none	
Metals	Nickel, Dissolved	52	μg/l	CTR	610	μg/l	CTR	
Metals	Silver, Dissolved	3.4	μg/l	CTR	none	μg/l	none	
Metals	Zinc, Dissolved	120	μg/l	CTR	none	µg/l	none	
PAHs	Acenaphthene	none	μg/l	none	1200	μg/l	CTR	
PAHs	Anthracene	none	μg/l	none	9600	µg/l	CTR	
PAHs	Benz(a)anthracene	none	μg/l	none	0.0044	μg/l	CTR	
PAHs	Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0002	μg/l	BP	0.0044	μg/l	CTR	
PAHs	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	none	μg/l	none	0.0044	μg/l	CTR	
PAHs	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	none	μg/l	none	0.0044	μg/l	CTR	
PAHs	Chrysene	none	μg/l	none	0.0044	µg/l	CTR	
PAHs	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	none	μg/l	none	0.0044	μg/l	CTR	
PAHs	Fluoranthene	none	μg/l	none	300	μg/l	CTR	
PAHs	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	none	μg/l	none	0.0044	µg/l	CTR	
PAHs	Pyrene	none	μg/l	none	960	μg/l	CTR	
PCBs	PCBs	0.014	μg/l	CTR	0.00017	μg/l	CTR	
Pesticides	Aldrin	3	μg/l	CTR	1.3E-07	μg/l	CTR	
Pesticides	Ametryn	none	μg/l	none	60	μg/l	EPA	
Pesticides	Atrazine	3	μg/l	BP	0.2	μg/l	OEHHA	
Pesticides	Azinphos ethyl	none	μg/l	none	87.5	μg/l	NASHA	
Pesticides	Azinphos methyl	none	μg/l	none	87.5	μg/l	NASHA	
Pesticides	DDD(p,p')	none	μg/l	none	0.00083	μg/l	CTR	
Pesticides	DDE(p,p')	none	μg/l	none	0.00059	μg/l	CTR	
Pesticides	DDT(p,p')	none	μg/l	none	0.00059	μg/l	CTR	
Pesticides	Dieldrin	none	μg/l	none	0.00014	μg/l	CTR	
Pesticides	Dimethoate	none	μg/l	none	1.4	μg/l	IRIS	
Pesticides	Endosulfan sulfate	none	μg/l	none	110	μg/l	CTR	
Pesticides	Endrin	0.002	μg/l	BP	0.76	μg/l	CTR	
Pesticides	Endrin Aldehyde	none	μg/l	none	0.76	μg/l	CTR	
Pesticides	Endrin Ketone	none	μg/l	none	0.85	μg/l	CTR	
Pesticides	Heptachlor	0.0038	μg/l	CTR	0.00021	µg/l	CTR	
Pesticides	Heptachlor epoxide	0.0038	μg/l	CTR	0.0001	μg/l	CTR	
Pesticides	Hexachlorobenzene	1	μg/l	BP	0.00075	μg/l	CTR	
Pesticides	Methoxychlor	40	μg/l	BP	none	µg/l	none	
Pesticides	Molinate	20	μg/l	BP	none	µg/l	none	
Pesticides	Oxychlordane	none	μg/l	none	0.000023	µg/l	CTR	
Pesticides	Simazine	4	μg/l	BP	none	μg/l	none	
Pesticides	Thiobencarb	70	μg/l	BP	none	µg/l	none	
Physical	Oxygen, Dissolved	5	mg/l	BP	none	mg/l	none	
Physical	рН	>6 and <8	pН	BP	none	pН	none	
Physical	Specific Conductivity	1600	µS/cm	CCR	none	mS/cm	none	
Physical	Turbidity	20	NTU	BP	none	NTU	none	

Several anthropogenic water chemistry constituents had no applicable threshold (e.g., malathion), and impacts from these constituents would not be detected using the threshold-based approach described above. To assess the impact from these constituents, the number of organic constituents (i.e., PAHs, PCBs, and pesticides) detected at each site were calculated. The total number of sites at which these compounds were detected was recorded.

Thresholds for toxicity assays were determined by comparing study samples to control samples(non-toxic reference samples). Samples meeting the following criteria were considered toxic: 1) treatment responses significantly different from controls, as determined by a statistical t-test; and 2) endpoints less than 80% of controls. To summarize the toxicity at a site using multiple endpoints, the frequency of toxic samples was calculated. To assign equal weight to all three indicators, a single endpoint of chronic toxicity per indicator was used (*C. dubia*: fecundity, *H. azteca*: growth, and *S. capricornutum*: total cell count).

Thresholds for tissue samples shown in Table 7 were derived from the Draft Development of Guidance Tissue Levels and Screening Values for Common Contaminant in California Sport Fish: Chlordane, DDTs, Dieldrin, Methylmercury, PCBs, Selenium, and Toxaphene (OEHHA 2006). Several constituents, including total Mercury, had no applicable threshold. Because Methylmercury accounts for more than 95% of Mercury in fish tissues, the threshold for Methylmercury was applied to Mercury concentrations (OEHHA 2006).

Category	Constituent	Source	Threshold	Unit
Inorganics	Selenium	OEHHA	1.94	ppm
PCBs	PCBs	OEHHA	20	ppm
Pesticides	Chlordane	OEHHA	200	ng/g
Pesticides	DDTs	OEHHA	560	ng/g
Pesticides	Dieldrin	OEHHA	16	ng/g
Pesticides	Toxaphene	OEHHA	220	ng/g
Metals	Mercury	OEHHA	0.08	ppm

 Table 7. Threshold concentrations for fish tissue contaminants established by

 OEHHA. All thresholds apply to wet-weight concentrations.

*The threshold for methylmercury was used as a threshold for total mercury concentrations.

Thresholds for bioassessment samples were based on a benthic macroinvertebrate index of biological integrity (IBI) that was developed specifically for southern California (Ode et al. 2005). The results of the IBI produces a measure of impairment with scores scaled from 0 to 100, 0 representing the poorest health and 100 the best health. Based on the IBI, samples with scores equal to or below 40 are considered to be in "poor" condition, and samples below 20 are considered to be in "very poor" condition. Therefore, in this study samples with an IBI below 40 were considered impacted.

Thresholds for the evaluation of physical habitat have not been established. Therefore, measurements of physical habitat were excluded from the overall assessment of ecological health. However, because the protocol used to evaluate physical habitat qualitatively assigns scores lower than 10 (out of 20) to streams in poor condition, this number was used to determine sites with severely degraded habitat. Sites with scores below 15 were considered moderately degraded, and those with scores greater than 15 were considered unimpacted (California Department of Fish and Game 2003).

3.2.2 Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC)

The SWAMP QAMP guided QA/QC for all data collected under SWAMP (See SWAMP QAMP for detailed descriptions of QA/QC protocols, Puckett 2002). QA/QC officers flagged non-compliant physical habitat, water chemistry, toxicity, and tissue results. No chemistry, toxicity, or tissue data were excluded as a result of QA/QC violations. QA/QC procedures for NPDES water chemistry data and for Camp Pendleton were similar to those used in SWAMP . Non-SWAMP bioassessment samples were screened for samples containing fewer than 450 individuals. No bioassessment sample was excluded from this analysis. Details on QA/QC and on sampling methods for San Diego County NPDES can be found in Weston Solutions Inc. (2006), for Orange County NPDES in Weston Solutions Inc (2006), and for Camp Pendleton in Weston Solutions Inc. (2007).

4. RESULTS

4.1 Water Chemistry

Analysis of water chemistry at SWAMP sites indicated widespread impact to water quality from multiple constituents (Table 8; Figure 6). Across the entire watershed, 31 PAHs, 8 PCBs, and 28 pesticides were detected. The number of PAHs detected ranged from two at a site (at the Upper San Juan Creek and San Mateo Creek) to more than twenty (at English Creek and Oso Creek). PCBs were not detected at two of the reference sites (Upper Arroyo Trabuco and San Mateo Creek), as well as at Bell Canyon Creek. Between 1 and 4 PCB constituents were found at all other sites, including the Morro Canyon Creek reference site. Few pesticides (i.e., between 1 and 3) were detected at reference sites and at the upper San Juan Creek. Furthermore, no pesticides were detected at Bell Canyon Creek. However, a high number of pesticides (i.e., between 12 and 14) were detected at all other sites in the watershed. Means and standard deviations of all constituents are presented in Appendix II.

	P	AHs	P	CBs	Pes	ticides
Site	Tested	Detected	Tested	Detected	Tested	Detected
901SJALC6	43	12	50	2	91	13
901SJATC2	43	7	50	0	91	2
901SJATC5	43	17	50	1	91	14
901SJBEL2	43	3	50	0	91	0
901SJENG2	43	27	50	1	91	14
901SJLAG2	43	13	50	2	91	13
901SJMCC2	43	4	50	1	91	1
901SJOSO3	43	25	50	4	91	13
901SJSJC5	43	2	50	2	91	1
901SJSJC9	43	6	50	2	91	12
901SJSMT2	43	2	50	0	91	3
Ali sites	43	31	50	8	91	28

 Table 8. Number of anthropogenic organic compounds detected at each site in San Juan HU.

Several organic compounds were widespread throughout the watershed (Table 9). For example, C1- and C2-dibenzothiophenes were found at nearly every site, and many pesticides and PAHs were found at the majority of sites (the PAHs benzo(b)fluoranthene, C3-dibenzothiophene, C1-fluorene, C3- and C4- naphthalenes, C1-, C2- and C3-phenanthrene/anthracene; one PCB (PCB087); and the pesticides dacthal, p,p'-DDE, diazinon, disulfotan, delta HCH, heptachlor epoxide, hexachlorobenzene, and oxadiazon). Fifty-five additional constituents were detected at one or more sites in the San Juan HU.

	San Juan no. Constituent not t	ielecleu a	at any site	()
Category	Constituent	Tested	Detected	Frequency
PAHs	Acenaphthene	11	0	
PAHs	Acenaphthylene	11	0	
PAHs	Anthracene	11	0	
PAHs	Benz(a)anthracene	11	0	
PAHs	Benzo(a)pyrene	11	1	0.1
PAHs	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	11	6	0.5
PAHs	Benzo(e)pyrene	11	2	0.2
PAHs	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	11	3	0.3
PAHs	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	11	1	0.1
PAHs	Biphenyl	11	0	
PAHs	Chrysene	11	2	0.2
PAHs	Chrysenes, C1 -	11	3	0.3
PAHs	Chrysenes, C2 -	11	4	0.4
PAHs	Chrysenes, C3 -	11	2	0.2
PAHs	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	11	1	0.1
PAHs	Dibenzothiophene	11	3	0.3
PAHs	Dibenzothiophenes, C1 -	11	10	0.9
PAHs	Dibenzothiophenes, C2 -	11	10	0.9
PAHs	Dibenzothiophenes, C3 -	11	7	0.6
PAHs	DimethyInaphthalene, 2,6-	11	0	

 Table 9. Frequency of detection of anthropogenic organic compounds

 in the San Juan HU. Constituent not detected at any site (--)

organic co	ompounds.			
Category	Constituent	Tested	Detected	Frequency
PAHs	Fluoranthene	11	2	0.2
PAHs	Fluoranthene/Pyrenes, C1 -	11	1	0.1
PAHs	Fluorene	11	0	
PAHs	Fluorenes, C1 -	11	5	0.5
PAHs	Fluorenes, C2 -	11	3	0.3
PAHs	Fluorenes, C3 -	11	7	0.6
PAHs	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	11	2	0.2
PAHs	Methylnaphthalene, 1-	11	0	
PAHs	Methylnaphthalene, 2-	11	0	
PAHs	Methylphenanthrene, 1-	11	0	
PAHs	Naphthalene	11	2	0.2
PAHs	, Naphthalenes, C1 -	11	2	0.2
PAHs	Naphthalenes, C2 -	11	3	0.3
PAHs	Naphthalenes, C3 -	11	7	0.6
PAHs	Naphthalenes, C4 -	11	6	0.5
PAHs	Perylene	11	2	0.2
PAHs	Phenanthrene	11	0	
PAHs	Phenanthrene/Anthracene, C1 -	11	7	0.6
PAHs	Phenanthrene/Anthracene, C2 -	11	, 5	0.5
PAHs	Phenanthrene/Anthracene, C3 -	11	5	0.5
PAHs	Phenanthrene/Anthracene, C4 -	11	1	0.1
PAHs	Pyrene	11	3	0.3
PAHs	Trimethylnaphthalene, 2,3,5-	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 005	11	2	0.2
PCBs	PCB 008	11	1	0.2
PCBs	PCB 015	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 018	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 027	11	0	
PCBs				
	PCB 028	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 029	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 031	11	1	0.1
PCBs	PCB 033	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 044	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 049	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 052	11	1	0.1
PCBs	PCB 056	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 060	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 066	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 070	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 074	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 087	11	6	0.5
PCBs	PCB 095	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 097	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 099	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 101	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 105	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 110	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 114	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 118	11	0	

 Table 9, continued. Frequency of detection of anthropogenic organic compounds.

compound	s.		1.5	
Category	Constituent	Tested	Detected	Frequency
PCBs	PCB 128	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 137	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 138	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 141	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 149	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 151	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 153	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 156	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 157	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 158	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 170	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 174	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 177	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 180	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 183	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 187	11	2	0.2
PCBs	PCB 189	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 194	11	1	0.1
PCBs	PCB 194	11	1	0.1
PCBs		11	0	0.1
PCBs	PCB 200	11	0	
	PCB 201			
PCBs	PCB 203	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 206	11	0	
PCBs	PCB 209	11	0	
Pesticides		11	0	
Pesticides	-	11	0	
Pesticides	-	11	0	
Pesticides		11	0	
Pesticides		11	0	
	Azinphos ethyl	11	0	
	Azinphos methyl	11	0	
Pesticides		11	0	
	Carbophenothion	11	0	
	Chlordane, cis-	11	2	0.2
Pesticides	Chlordane, trans-	11	1	0.1
	Chlordene, alpha-	11	0	
	Chlordene, gamma-	11	4	0.4
Pesticides	Chlorfenvinphos	11	0	
Pesticides	Chlorpyrifos	11	0	
Pesticides	Chlorpyrifos methyl	11	0	
Pesticides	Ciodrin	11	0	
Pesticides	Coumaphos	11	0	
Pesticides	Dacthal	11	5	0.5
Pesticides	DDD(o,p')	11	0	
Pesticides		11	1	0.1
Pesticides	DDE(o,p')	11	1	0.1
Pesticides		11	6	0.5
	DDMU(p,p')	11	0	
Pesticides		11	0	
Pesticides		11	3	0.3
	· (۲۰۹۲) ·		5	0.0

 Table 9, continued. Frequency of detection of anthropogenic organic compounds.

compound	ls.			5
Category	Constituent	Tested	Detected	Frequency
Pesticides	Demeton-s	11	0	
Pesticides	Diazinon	11	6	0.5
Pesticides	Dichlofenthion	11	0	
Pesticides	Dichlorvos	11	0	
Pesticides	Dicrotophos	11	0	
Pesticides	Dieldrin	11	3	0.3
Pesticides	Dimethoate	11	1	0.1
Pesticides	Dioxathion	11	0	
Pesticides	Disulfoton	11	5	0.5
Pesticides	Endosulfan I	11	4	0.4
	Endosulfan II	11	1	0.1
	Endosulfan sulfate	11	4	0.4
Pesticides		11	2	0.2
	Endrin Aldehyde	11	0	
	Endrin Ketone	11	0	
Pesticides		11	0	
Pesticides		11	0	
Pesticides		11	0	
	•	11	-	
	Fenchlorphos Fenitrothion	11	0	
	Fensulfothion	11	0	
Pesticides			0	
		11	0	
Pesticides		11	0	
	HCH, alpha	11	1	0.1
	HCH, beta	11	0	
	HCH, delta	11	5	0.5
	HCH, gamma	11	0	
	Heptachlor	11	0	
	Heptachlor epoxide	11	5	0.5
	Hexachlorobenzene	11	5	0.5
	Leptophos	11	0	
Pesticides		11	0	
Pesticides	Merphos	11	0	
	Methidathion	11	0	
Pesticides	Methoxychlor	11	0	
Pesticides	Mevinphos	11	0	
Pesticides	Mirex	11	0	
Pesticides	Molinate	11	0	
Pesticides	Naled	11	0	
Pesticides	Nonachlor, cis-	11	1	0.1
Pesticides	Nonachlor, trans-	11	2	0.2
Pesticides	Oxadiazon	11	8	0.7
Pesticides	Oxychlordane	11	4	0.4
	Parathion, Ethyl	11	0	
	Parathion, Methyl	11	0	
Pesticides	•	11	0	
Pesticides		11	0	
	Phosphamidon	11	0	
Pesticides	•	11	0 0	
			v	

 Table 9, continued. Frequency of detection of anthropogenic organic compounds.

compound				
Category	Constituent	Tested	Detected	Frequency
Pesticides	Prometryn	11	0	
Pesticides	Propazine	11	0	
Pesticides	Secbumeton	11	2	0.2
Pesticides	Simazine	11	1	0.1
Pesticides	Simetryn	11	0	
Pesticides	Sulfotep	11	0	
Pesticides	Tedion	11	2	0.2
Pesticides	Terbufos	11	0	
Pesticides	Terbuthylazine	11	0	
Pesticides	Terbutryn	11	0	
Pesticides	Tetrachlorvinphos	11	0	
Pesticides	Thiobencarb	11	1	0.1
Pesticides	Thionazin	11	0	
Pesticides	Tokuthion	11	0	
Pesticides	Trichlorfon	11	0	
Pesticides	Trichloronate	11	0	

 Table 9, continued. Frequency of detection of anthropogenic organic compounds.

Comparison with applicable aquatic life and human health thresholds support the conclusion that water quality is impacted by these constituents (Table 10, Figure 6, 7). Nutrients, sulfate, selenium, manganese, specific conductivity, pH, and turbidity frequently exceeded aquatic life thresholds at several sites (Table 11). At certain sites, copper, benzo(a)pyrene, and heptachlor epoxide also exceeded aquatic life standards. In general, fewer constituents exceeded human health standards, although exceedances of benzo(b)fluoranthene, p,p'-DDE, and heptachlor epoxide were widespread (Table 10; Figure 7).

Table 10. Frequency of water chemistry threshold exceedances. A) Frequency of aquatic life threshold exceedances at SWAMP sites. B) Frequency of human health threshold exceedances at SWAMP sites. C) Frequency of aquatic life threshold exceedances at non-SWAMP sites. D) Frequency of human health thresholds at non-SWAMP sites. Freq = Frequency of samples exceeding applicable thresholds at each site. AL = Aquatic life. HH = Human health. -- = Constituent never exceeded threshold. NA = No applicable thresholds at that site. Empty cells indicate that the constituent was not measured at the site. (*) Sulfate threshold of 500 mg/l was applied to the Laguna Creek Hydrologic Subarea (HSU 901.1). This sub area includes 901SJMCC2 and 901SJLAG2.

A. Aquatic	life		901SJA	_C6	901SJA	TC2	01SJA	TC5	901SJB	EL2	901SJEN	NG2	901SJL/	AG2	901SJM	CC2	901SJO	SO3	901SJS	JC5	901SJS	IC9 S	901SJSI	MT2
Category	Constituent	Threshold Source	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n
Inorganics	Alkalinity as CaCO3	20000 mg/l EPA		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Inorganics	Ammonia as N	0.025 mg/l BP	0.50	4	0.50	2	0.25	4	0.50	2	0.75	4	0.50	4	1.00	4	0.75	4		4	0.50	4		2
Inorganics	Nitrate + Nitrite as N	10 mg/l BP		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Inorganics	Phosphorus as P,Total	0.1 mg/l BP	1.00	4	0.50	2	0.25	4	0.50	2	0.75	4	1.00	4	1.00	4	0.75	4		4	0.25	4		2
Inorganics	Selenium, Dissolved	5 μg/l CTR	0.75	4		2		4		2	0.75	4		4	1.00	4	0.75	4		4	0.50	4		2
Inorganics	Sulfate	250 mg/l* BP	0.75	4		3		4		2		4		4	1.00	4	0.75	4		4	0.75	4		2
Metals	Aluminum, Dissolved	1000 μg/l BP		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Metals	Arsenic, Dissolved	50 μg/l BP		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Metals	Cadmium, Dissolved	5 μg/l BP		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Metals	Chromium, Dissolved	50 μg/l BP		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Metals	Copper, Dissolved	9 µg/I CTR		4		2		4		2		4		4	0.25	4	0.50	4		4		4		2
Metals	Lead, Dissolved	2.5 μg/l CTR		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Metals	Manganese, Dissolved	0.05 μg/l BP	0.75	4		2		4		2		4	0.75	4	1.00	4	0.50	4		4	0.50	4		2
Metals	Nickel, Dissolved	52 μg/l CTR		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Metals	Silver, Dissolved	3.4 μg/l CTR		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Metals	Zinc, Dissolved	120 μg/I CTR		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
PAHs	Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0002 μg/l BP		4		2		4		2	0.25	4		4		4		4		4		4		2
PCBs	PCBs	0.014 μg/l CTR		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Aldrin	3 μg/l CTR		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Atrazine	3 μg/l BP		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Endrin	0.002 μg/l BP		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Heptachlor	0.0038 µg/I CTR		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Heptachlor epoxide	0.0038 µg/I CTR		4		2		4		2	0.25	4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Hexachlorobenzene	1 μg/l BP		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Methoxychlor	40 μg/l BP		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Molinate	20 µg/I BP		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Simazine	4 μg/l BP		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Thiobencarb	70 μg/I BP		4		2		4		2		4		4		4		4		4		4		2
Physical	pН	>6 or <8 pH units BP	0.50	4	0.50	2	0.33	3		2	0.75	4		4		4	0.75	4	0.25	4	0.25	4	0.50	2
Physical	SpecificConductivity	1.6 mS/cm CCR	0.75	4		2		4		2	0.25	4	0.25	4	1.00	4	0.75	4		4	0.50	4		2
Physical	Turbidity	20 NTU BP	0.25	4	0.50	2	0.25	4	0.50	2	0.25	4		4	0.50	4		4		4	0.25	4		2

B. Human			ę	901SJM	CC2		SO3		JC5		JC9	901SJSI	MT2		CC2		SO3		JC5		JC9	901SJS	MT2
Category	Constituent	Threshold	Source	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n	Freq	n
Metals	Arsenic, Dissolved	150 μg/	I CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Metals	Cadmium, Dissolved	2.2 μg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Metals	Copper, Dissolved	1300 μg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Metals	Nickel, Dissolved	610 μg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
PAHs	Acenaphthene	1200 μg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
PAHs	Anthracene	9600 μg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
PAHs	Benz(a)anthracene	0.0044 µg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
PAHs	Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0044 µg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
PAHs	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.0044 µg/	1 CTR	0.25	4	0.25	4		4		4		2	0.25	4	0.25	4		4		4		2
PAHs	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.0044 μg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
PAHs	Chrysene	0.0044 μg/	1 CTR		4	0.25	4		4		4		2		4	0.25	4		4		4		2
PAHs	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.0044 µg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
PAHs	Fluoranthene	300 μg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
PAHs	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	0.0044 μg/	1 CTR		4	0.25	4		4		4		2		4	0.25	4		4		4		2
PAHs	Pyrene	960 μg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
PCBs	PCBs	0.00017 μg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Aldrin	0.00000013 μg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Ametryn	60 μg/	'I EPA		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Atrazine	0.2 μg/	1 OEHHA		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Azinphos ethyl	87.5 μg/	1 NASHA		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Azinphos methyl	87.5 μg/	1 NASHA		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	DDD(p,p')	0.00083 µg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	DDE(p,p')	0.00059 μg/	1 CTR		4	0.25	4		4	0.50	4		2		4	0.25	4		4	0.50	4		2
Pesticides	DDT(p,p')	0.00059 μg/	1 CTR		4	0.25	4		4		4	0.50	2		4	0.25	4		4		4	0.50	2
Pesticides	Dieldrin	0.00014 μg/	1 CTR		4		4		4	0.25	4		2		4		4		4	0.25	4		2
Pesticides	Dimethoate	1.4 μg/	I IRIS		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Endosulfan sulfate	110 μg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Endrin	0.76 µg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Endrin Aldehyde	0.76 μg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Endrin Ketone	0.85 μg/	1 CTR		4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Heptachlor	0.00021 μg/			4		4		4		4		2		4		4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Heptachlor epoxide	0.0001 μg/			4	0.25	4		4	0.25	4		2		4	0.25	4		4	0.25	4		2
	Hexachlorobenzene	0.00075 μg/			4	0.25	4		4		4		2		4	0.25	4		4		4		2
Pesticides	Oxychlordane	0.000023 μg/			4	0.25	4		4	0.25	4		2		4	0.25	4		4	0.25	4		2

Table 10, continued. Frequency of water chemistry threshold exceedances.

C. A	quatic life (non-SW	(AMP)						Diss	olved					Sp	ecific	Тс	otal		
			Constituent	Cadr	nium	Сор	per	оху	gen	Nic	kel	p⊦	ł	cond	uctivity	phos	ohorus	Turl	bidity
			Threshold	5	ug/l	9	ug/l	5	mg/l	52	ug/l	>6 or	′ <8	1.6	mS/cm	0.1	mg/l	20	NTU
Site	Speci	fic location		BP	n	CTR	n	BP	n	CTR	n	BP	n	CCR	n	BP	n	BP	n
1	AC-CCR				0		0		6		0	0.33	6	1.00	6		0		0
2	AC-PPD				0		0		6		0	0.67	6	1.00	6		0		0
4	REF-AT2				0		0		4		0	0.50	4		4		0		0
6	SJC-74				0		0		5		0	0.60	5	0.40	5		0		0
10	SMC-I5				0		0	0.50	2		0		2		2		0		2
11	WC-WCT				0		0		2		0	1.00	2	1.00	2		0		0
12	AC-ACP				0		0		6		0	0.50	6	1.00	6		0		0
13	CC-CR				0		0		4		0	0.25	4		4		0		0
14	EC-MD				0		0		6		0	0.83	6	0.83	6		0		0
15	LC-133				0		0		6		0	0.83	6	0.50	6		0		0
16	LP-BR				0		0		4		0	0.25	4		4		0		2
17	PD-CGV				0		0		1		0	1.00	1	1.00	1		0		0
	REF-BC				0		0	0.25	4		0	0.25	4		4		0		0
19	REF-CS				0		0		6		0	1.00	6		6		0		0
20	SC-MB				0		0		6		0	0.17	6	1.00	6		0		0
21	SD-AP				0		0		6		0	0.33	6	1.00	6		0		0
22	SJC-CC				0		0	0.17	6		0	0.33	6	0.83	6		0		0
23	SOC-2				0		0		0		0	1.00	1		1		0		0
24	SOC-I5				0		0		2		0		2		2		0	0.50	2
25	TC-AP				0		0		6		0	0.67	6		6		0		0
26	TC-DO				0		0		6		0	1.00	6	1.00	6		0		0
27	Narco Downstrear	n		0.97	36	0.08	12		0	0.97	36		0	1.00	11	1.00	12		0
27	Narco Upstream			1.00	12		12		0	1.00	12		0	1.00	11	1.00	12		0
28	Lower Reach Dov	vnstream e	nd		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
28	Lower Reach mide Middle Reach Dov				0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
28	Post construction Middle Reach Dov		. ,		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
28	Pre construction Middle Reach ups		. ,		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
28	Vista) - Post const Middle Reach ups	ruction			0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
28	Vista) - Pre constr				0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	Upper Reach - Up				0		õ		0		0		0		õ		0		0

Table 10, continued. Frequency of water chemistry threshold exceedances.

Table 10, continued. Frequency of water chemistry threshold exceedances.

D. Human health (non-SWAMP)	Cadn	nium	Сор	per	Dimet	hoate	Nicł	kel
	2.2	ug/l	1300	ug/l	1.4	ng/l	610	ug/l
Site Specific location	CTR	n	CTR	n	EPA	n	CTR	n
27 Narco Channel (downstream)	1.00	36		12		4	0	36
Narco Channel (upstream)	1.00	12		12		4		12

Table 11. Frequency of SWAMP sites with aquatic life and human health threshold exceedances of each constituent. Number of SWAMP sites included in evaluation (n). Constituent never exceeded threshold at any site (--). No applicable threshold for constituent (NA).

<u>-). No app</u>	licable threshold for	' C(onstituent	(NA).
Category	Constituent	n	Aquatic life	Human health
Inorganics	Alkalinity as CaCO3	11		NA
Inorganics	Ammonia as N	11	0.82	NA
Inorganics	Nitrate + Nitrite as N	11		NA
Inorganics	Phosphorus as P,Total	11	0.82	NA
Inorganics	Selenium, Dissolved	11	0.45	NA
Inorganics	Sulfate	11	0.36	NA
Metals	Aluminum, Dissolved	11		NA
Metals	Arsenic, Dissolved	11		
Metals	Cadmium,Dissolved	11		
Metals	Chromium, Dissolved	11		NA
Metals	Copper, Dissolved	11	0.18	
Metals	Lead, Dissolved	11		NA
Metals	Manganese, Dissolved	11	0.45	NA
Metals	Nickel, Dissolved	11		
Metals	Silver, Dissolved	11		NA
Metals	Zinc, Dissolved	11		NA
PAHs	Acenaphthene	11	NA	
PAHs	Anthracene	11	NA	
PAHs	Benz(a)anthracene	11	NA	
PAHs	Benzo(a)pyrene	11	0.09	0.09
PAHs	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	11	NA	0.55
PAHs	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	11	NA	0.09
PAHs	Chrysene	11	NA	0.18
PAHs	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	11	NA	0.09
PAHs	Fluoranthene	11	NA	
PAHs	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	11	NA	0.18
PAHs	Pyrene	11	NA	
PCBs	PCBs	11		
Pesticides	Aldrin	11		
Pesticides	Ametryn	11	NA	
Pesticides	Atrazine	11		
Pesticides	Azinphos ethyl	11	NA	
Pesticides	Azinphos methyl	11	NA	
Pesticides	DDD(p,p')	11	NA	0.09
Pesticides	DDE(p,p')	11	NA	0.55
Pesticides	DDT(p,p')	11	NA	0.27
Pesticides	Dieldrin	11	NA	0.27
Pesticides	Dimethoate	11	NA	
Pesticides	Endosulfan sulfate	11	NA	
Pesticides	Endrin	11		
Pesticides	Endrin Aldehyde	11	NA	
Pesticides	Endrin Ketone	11	NA	
Pesticides	Heptachlor	11		
Pesticides	Heptachlor epoxide	11	0.09	0.45
Pesticides	Hexachlorobenzene	11		0.09
Pesticides	Methoxychlor	11		NA
Pesticides	Molinate	11		NA
Pesticides	Oxychlordane	11	NA	0.36
Pesticides	Simazine	11		NA
Pesticides	Thiobencarb	11		NA
Physical	Oxygen, Dissolved	0	nt	NA
Physical	рН	11	0.73	NA
Physical	SpecificConductivity	11	0.55	NA
Physical	Turbidity	11	0.64	NA



Figure 6. Map of aquatic life threshold exceedances for water chemistry at SWAMP sites. White circles indicate sites with one or fewer exceedances. Pink circles indicate sites with 2 to 5 exceedances. Red circles indicate sites with 6 to 9 exceedances. At all sites, 31 constituents were assessed.



Figure 7. Map of human health exceedances for water chemistry at SWAMP sites. White circles indicate sites with one or fewer exceedances. Pink circles indicate sites with 2 to 5 exceedances. Red circles indicate sites with 6 to 9 exceedances. At all sites, 34 constituents were assessed.

All sites in San Juan HU exceeded certain aguatic life and human health thresholds (Table 12; Figure 6, 7). Aliso Creek (901SJALC6), English Creek, Morro Canyon Creek, Oso Creek, and the lower San Juan Creek (901SJSJC9) each had eight exceedances of aguatic life thresholds. Reference sites ranged from having few exceedances (one at San Mateo Creek), moderate (four at Upper Arroyo Trabuco Creek), to high (eight at Morro Canyon Creek) numbers of aguatic life threshold exceedances. A high number of human health exceedances (i.e., 8 or more) were observed at English Creek and Oso Creek.

Table 12. exceeding three site.		constituents each SWAMP
Site	Aquatic life	Human health
901SJALC6	8	4
901SJATC2	4	1
901SJATC5	4	3
901SJBEL2	3	0
901SJENG2	8	10
901SJLAG2	4	4
901SJMCC2	8	1
901SJOSO3	8	8
901SJSJC5	1	0
901SJSJC9	8	4
901SJSMT2	1	1

Results from non-SWAMP water chemistry monitoring at 23 sites were similar to results from SWAMP (Table 10C and D, above). For example, specific conductivity and pH frequently exceeded aquatic life thresholds at nearly every site. In addition, non-SWAMP monitoring found that dissolved oxygen was generally within acceptable levels. However, cadmium and nickel exceeded thresholds at Narco Channel on nearly every sampling date.

4.2 Toxicity

Toxicity was evident at nearly every site within the watershed, although results varied among sites and indicators (Table 13; Figure 8; Appendix III). Severity was high at English Creek, Oso Creek, and Laguna Canyon Creek, which showed evidence of toxicity to all three indicator species on at least one sampling date. No toxicity was evident at Bell Canyon Creek, although sediment toxicity was not assessed at this site. Across the watershed, chronic toxicity was observed in 30% of 96 samples.

Table 13. Frequency of toxicity detected for each endpoint and at each site. A sample was considered toxic if the percent control of the endpoint was less than 80% of reference samples, and the difference was considered significant at 0.05. Number of samples where the endpoint was evaluated (n). Toxicity not detected in any sample (--). A. Sites sampled under SWAMP. B. Sites sampled under OC NPDES.

A. SWAMP sites		(C. dubia		Н.	azt	eca		S. capricornutu	т	Multiple indicat	ors
Site	Surival	n	Young/Female	n	Survival	n	Growth	n	Total cell count	n	Frequency	n
901SJALC6		3		3	0.25	4		3	0.75	4	0.3	0 10
901SJATC2		2		2	1.00	1	no survival	0		2	0.2	05
901SJATC5		4		4		3	0.33	3	0.75	4	0.3	6 11
901SJBEL2		2		2	not tested	0	not tested	0		2	0.0	04
901SJENG2	0.50	4		3	0.50	4	0.25	4	0.50	4	0.2	7 11
901SJLAG2	0.25	4		4	0.50	4		4	1.00	4	0.3	3 12
901SJMCC2		2		2		4		4	1.00	4	0.4	0 10
901SJOSO3	0.33	3		3	0.50	2		1	1.00	4	0.5	08
901SJSJC5		4		4		1		1		4	0.0	09
901SJSJC9		4		4	0.25	4	0.25	4	1.00	4	0.4	2 12
901SJSMT2		2		2	not tested	0	not tested	0	0.50	2	0.2	54
Mean of all sites	0.12	34		33	0.30	27	0.13	24	0.66	38	0.3	0 96

Table 13, continued. Frequency of toxicity.

Table 13, con	inueu. Fr	eyu	lency of t		icity.							
B. Non-SWAMP sites	С	:. dı	ubia		H. aztec	a	S. capricornutur	п	Ρ. μ	oroi	nelas	
Site	Survival	n	Growth	n	Survival	n	Total cell count	n	Survival	n	Growth	n
1		7		7		7		6		3		3
2		7	0.14	7		7		7		3		3
4		5	0.17	6		6		5		0		0
6		7		7		7		7		0		0
11		2		2	0.50	2		2		1		1
12	0.29	7	0.29	7	0.14	7		7		3	0.33	3
13		5		5		5		4		0		0
14		6	0.17	6		6		5		1		1
15		7	0.14	7		7		7		0		0
17		1	1.00	1		1		1		0		0
18		5		5		5		4		0		0
19		7		7		7		7		0		0
20	0.43	7	0.43	7	0.17	6		7		0		0
21	0.67	6	1.00	5		5		4		0		0
22		6		6		6		5		0		0
25		7		7		7		6		0		0
26		6		6		6		5		0		0



Figure 8. Frequency of toxicity (*C. dubia* fecundity, *H. azteca* growth, and *S. capricornutum* total cell count) at SWAMP sites. White circles indicate low frequency (0.0 to 0.1) of toxicity (this value did not occur in this watershed). Pink circles indicate moderate frequency (0.1 to 0.5) of toxicity. Red circles indicate high (0.5 to 1.0) frequency of toxicity.

S. capricornutum was the most sensitive indicator, as total cell count was less than 80% of control at most sites in most samples. However, there was no evidence of toxicity to *S. capricornutum* at three sites, the Upper Arroyo Trabuco site (a designated reference site), the Upper San Juan Creek site, and Bell Canyon Creek. In contrast, at least half of all samples at all other sites were toxic to *S. capricornutum*, including the other two reference sites.

Toxicity tests using arthropod indicators showed widespread, but moderate toxicity to *H. azteca*, and more mild toxicity to *C. dubia*. Across the watershed, toxicity to *H. azteca* was observed at 7 sites. Although toxicity to *H. azteca* was not assessed at one of the reference sites (San Mateo Creek), no acute toxicity was observed at the other two. However, one sample from the Upper Arroyo Trabuco Creek reference site showed evidence of chronic toxicity in one sample. Across the entire watershed, 30% of samples were acutely toxic to *H. azteca*. Only three sites (Oso Creek, English Creek, and Laguna Canyon Creek) showed evidence of toxicity to *C. dubia*. Across the entire watershed, 12% of samples were acutely toxic to *C. dubia*.

4.3 Tissue

Analysis of fish tissue from Lower Arroyo Trabuco Creek did not find evidence of widespread impact (Table 14; Figure 9). Selenium did not exceed OEHHA thresholds. All other measured constituents lacked applicable thresholds. Every constituent occurred in higher concentration in crayfish tissue than sunfish tissue, particularly aluminum, cadmium, copper, and manganese. Nickel, which was not detected in either specimen, was an exception to this trend. Fish tissue concentrations of PCBs, PAHs, and pesticides were not assessed.

				Red-ear	
Category	Constituent	Threshold	Unit	sunfish	Crayfish
Metals	Ag		ppm	0.00	0.04
Metals	Al		ppm	0.22	96.10
Metals	As		ppm	0.12	0.44
Metals	Cd		ppm	0.01	0.18
Metals	Cr		ppm	0.10	0.18
Metals	Cu		ppm	0.35	13.10
Metals	Mn		ppm	1.6	55.1
Metals	Ni		ppm	0.00	0.00
Metals	Pb		ppm	0.00	0.04
Metals	Zn		ppm	11.2	15.3
Inorganics	Se	1.94	ppm	0.63	0.40

Table 14. Concentrations of contaminants in fish tissues collected at Aliso Creek (901SJALC6), compared with OEHHA thresholds.



Figure 9. Fish tissue exceedances at SWAMP sites. White circles indicate 1 or fewer exceedances. Pink circles indicate 2 to 3 exceedances (this value did not occur in this watershed). Red circles indicate 4 to 5 exceedances (this value did not occur in this watershed).
4.4 Bioassessment

Biological health varied widely across the watershed. Mean annual IBI scores ranged from 7.1 (at Prima Deshecha, site 17) to 81.4 (Arroyo Trabuco Creek, site 4) (Table 15; Figure 10). Sites in poor or very poor condition were found throughout the watershed, including near designated SWAMP reference sites. However, high IBI scores were observed at most of the designated reference sites. In addition to site 4, Bell Canyon Creek (site 18) had IBI scores above 60 in both Spring and Fall. In general, headwater sites at the interior of the watersheds of San Juan and San Mateo Creeks had the highest IBI scores. In addition, a site in San Onofre Creek near the coast (site 23) also had a moderately high IBI score (50). There was no consistent effect of season in IBI scores, and the differences between seasons were slight for most sites (Table 15; Figure 11).



Figure 10. IBI scores at sites in the San Juan HU. White circles indicate good or very good (60 to 100) IBI scores. Pink circles indicate fair (40 to 60) IBI scores. Red circles indicate poor (0 to 40) IBI scores. Open circles represent 500-m buffers around SWAMP sites; five of these buffers included bioassessment sites, and six of these buffers did not.



Figure 11. Mean IBI scores at each bioassessment site and each season. The height of the bar indicates the mean IBI score, and the size of each component of the bar represents the contribution of each metric to the IBI. Sites are split over three plots to improve clarity.

Table 15. Mean and standard deviation of IBI scores at bioassessment sites within the San Juan HU. Number of samples collected within each season (n). Range from first to last year of sampling at each site (Years). Frequency of poor or very poor IBI scores (IBI <40) at each site and season (Frequency).

			IB		
Site	Season	n Years	Mean	SD Condition	Frequency
1	Average	13 1998-2005	16.4	0.4 Very poor	0.92
1	Fall	7 1998-2005	16.1	7.4 Very poor	1.00
1	Spring	6 1998-2005	16.7	17.8 Very poor	0.83
2	Average	12 1998-2005	14.9	1.2 Very poor	1.00
2	Fall	6 1998-2005	15.7	9.9 Very poor	1.00
2	Spring	6 1998-2005	14	8.6 Very poor	1.00
3	Average	6 1998-2000	31.9	6.1 Poor	0.67
3	Fall	3 1998-2000	36.2	3.6 Poor	0.67
3	Spring	3 1998-2000	27.6	14.5 Poor	0.67
4	Average	6 2001-2005	68	23 Good	0.17
4	Fall	2 2003-2005	84.3	12.1 Very good	0.00
4	Spring	4 2001-2005	51.8	18.6 Fair	0.25
5	Spring	2 2001-2001	16.4	3 Very poor	1.00
6	Average	12 1998-2005	23.8	4.8 Poor	1.00
6	Fall	5 1998-2005	27.1	3.4 Poor	1.00
6	Spring	7 1998-2005	20.4	4.8 Poor	1.00
7	Spring	4 2001-2005	31.4	13.7 Poor	0.75
8	Spring	1 2001-2001	41.4	Fair	0.00
9	Spring	1 2001-2001	31.4	Poor	1.00
10	Average	2 2005-2006	23.6	27.3 Poor	0.50
10	Fall	1 2005-2005	42.9	Fair	0.00
10	Spring	1 2006-2006	4.3	Very poor	1.00
11	Average	5 2001-2004	15.5	6.4 Very poor	1.00
11	Fall	2 2002-2004	20	2 Poor	1.00
11	Spring	3 2001-2001	11	3 Very poor	1.00
12	Average	6 2002-2005	12	3.3 Very poor	1.00
12	Fall	4 2002-2005	9.6	4.7 Very poor	1.00
12	Spring	2 2003-2005	14.3	2 Very poor	1.00
13	Average	4 2003-2005	39.6	4.5 Poor	0.50
13	Fall	2 2003-2005	36.4	13.1 Poor	0.50
13	Spring	2 2003-2005	42.9	6.1 Fair	0.50
14	Average	5 2002-2005	14.5	0.3 Very poor	1.00
14	Fall	4 2002-2005	14.6	6.6 Very poor	1.00
14	Spring	1 2005-2005	14.3	Very poor	1.00
15	Average	6 2002-2005	17	6.8 Very poor	1.00
15	Fall	4 2002-2005	21.8	6.2 Poor	1.00
15	Spring	2 2003-2005	12.1	3 Very poor	1.00
16	Average	4 2004-2006	36.8	0.5 Poor	0.50
16	Fall	2 2004-2005	36.4	9.1 Poor	0.50
16	Spring	2 2005-2006	37.1	14.1 Poor	0.50
17	Average	2 2002-2003	7.1	6.1 Very poor	1.00
17	Fall	1 2002-2002	2.9	Very poor	1.00
17	Spring	1 2003-2003	11.4	Very poor	1.00
18	Average	4 2003-2005	65.7	5.1 Good	0.00
18	Fall	2 2003-2005	69.3	9.1 Good	0.00
18	Spring	2 2004-2005	62.1	9.1 Good 9.1 Good	0.00
19		2 2003-2005 6 2002-2005	33.8	3.3 Poor	
19	Average Fall	4 2002-2005 4 2002-2005	33.8 36.1	11.1 Poor	0.83
				2 Poor	0.75
19	Spring	2 2003-2005	31.4	2 FUUI	1.00

			IB		
Site	Season	n Years	Mean	SD Condition	Frequency
20	Average	6 2002-2005	7.3	1.3 Very poor	1.00
20	Fall	4 2002-2005	8.2	7.9 Very poor	1.00
20	Spring	2 2003-2005	6.4	5.1 Very poor	1.00
21	Average	6 2002-2005	18.9	0.5 Very poor	1.00
21	Fall	4 2002-2005	19.3	5.5 Very poor	1.00
21	Spring	2 2003-2005	18.6	4 Very poor	1.00
22	Average	6 2002-2005	15.4	3.5 Very poor	1.00
22	Fall	4 2002-2005	17.9	12.4 Very poor	1.00
22	Spring	2 2003-2005	12.9	4 Very poor	1.00
23	Spring	1 2005-2005	50	Fair	0.00
24	Average	3 2004-2006	27.9	1 Poor	0.67
24	Fall	2 2004-2005	28.6	16.2 Poor	0.50
24	Spring	1 2006-2006	27.1	Poor	1.00
25	Average	6 2002-2005	17	9.8 Very poor	1.00
25	Fall	4 2002-2005	23.9	10.6 Poor	1.00
25	Spring	2 2003-2005	10	0 Very poor	1.00
26	Average	6 2002-2005	18.8	2.3 Very poor	1.00
26	Fall	4 2002-2005	20.4	2.1 Poor	1.00
26	Spring	2 2003-2005	17.1	0 Very poor	1.00

Table 15, continued. Mean and standard deviations of IBI scores.

The EPT taxa metric appeared to be most sensitive component of the IBI, as it only contributed to the IBI at high scoring sites (Figure 11; Appendix IV). In contrast, the % collector and % tolerant taxa were a large component of the total IBI score at all sites, including those with very low IBI scores (e.g., Segunda Deshecha, site 21).

Examination of IBI scores over time did not indicate a trend towards improving or deteriorating biological condition (Figure 12). Variability among years was high, which may obscure trends in the data. Furthermore, a different set of sites were sampled in the early and late periods of study, increasing spatial variability and obscuring trends.

None of these sites were monitored under SWAMP, and all bioassessment data came from monitoring efforts by NPDES permittees, Camp Pendleton, or the California Department of Fish and Game.



Figure 12. IBI values for each year and site. Each symbol represents a single sampling event. Sites are split over three plots to improve clarity.

4.5 Physical Habitat

Physical habitat varied among sites throughout the watershed, although human alteration was evident at every site visited. San Mateo Creek had very good physical habitat, with a mean physical habitat score of 19.6. Bell Canyon Creek also had very good physical habitat, receiving a score greater than 15 for every component of physical habitat. However, six sites in the San Juan HU

received scores below 10, indicating that degraded physical habitat was widespread. More heavily degraded sites were concentrated in the northern and coastal portions of the HU. For example, Laguna Canyon Creek, Morro Canyon Creek, and Oso Creek all had mean physical habitat scores below 7. In contrast, sites at the interior or southern portions were less degraded. (Table 16; Figure 13).

Table 16. Score and mean for each component of physical habitat. Component range:	0 (heavily
impacted habitat) to 20 (unimpacted habitat). A. SWAMP sites. B. Non-SWAMP sites.	

A. SWAMP s	sites	Phab 1	Phab 2	Phab 3	Phab 4	Phab 5	Phab 6	Phab 7	Phab 8	Phab 9	Phab 10	
		Epifaunal		Velocity-	Sediment	Channel	Channel	Riffle	Bank	Vegetation	Riparian	Mean
Sitecode	Date	cover	Embeddedness	depth regime	deposition	flow	alteration	frequency	stability	protection	zone	score
901SJALC6	3/29/2002	8	8				10	7	13	13	13	10.3
901SJATC2	4/10/2003	15	17	14	18	15	14	18	7	9.5	8.5	13.6
901SJATC5	3/29/2002	13	8	9	4				1	10	13	8.3
901SJBEL2	2/1/2002	17	16	15	18	16	20	19	19	20	19	17.9
901SJENG2	10/11/2002	9	4	11	13	12	3	16	3	4	2	7.7
901SJLAG2	3/29/2002	5		5					8	5		5.8
901SJMCC2	3/29/2002	8		3	3	3	16	3	3	16		6.9
901SJOSO3	10/11/2002	3	0	6	6	17	0	3	19	9	0	6.3
901SJSJC5	10/4/2002	13	3	3	16	5	19	3	17	19	19	11.7
901SJSJC9	3/29/2002	10	15	8	10		5	12		0	0	7.5
901SJSMT2	10/4/2002	20	17				20	20	20	20	20	19.6
Mean of all s	ites	13.7	10.6	8.8	15.1	12.8	14.6	14.3	14.2	13.9	13.3	12.9

Table 16 continued	Moon cooree and standard	doviations for each	component of physical habitat.
raple ro. continued.	. Mean scores and standard	deviations for each	component of physical napital.

B. No	n-SI	WAMP sites	Phat	o 1	Phab	2	Phab	3	Pha	o 4	Phat	o 5	Phat	o 6	Phat	7	Phat	8 (Phat	9	Phab	10	
			Epifau	unal			Veloc	ity-	Sedin	nent	Chan	nel	Chan	nel	Riff	е	Ban	ık	Vegeta	ation	Ripar	rian	
			COV	er	Embedde	dness	depth re	gime	depos	ition	flov	v	altera	tion	freque	ncy	stabi	lity	protec	tion	zon	e	Mean
Site	n	Years	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Score
1	8	2002-2006	13.5	1.6	13.4	3.6	15.8	2	14.3	3.6	16.5	2.7	5.4	2.3	12.9	2.2	16.1	2	10.3	1.7	5.8	2.3	12.4
2	8	2002-2006	12.8	1.9	13.4	2.3	11.3	2.3	11.8	3.2	15.9	2.5	10	0.8	11.9	2.4	14.5	1.4	12.1	2.2	12	1.9	12.6
4	6	2003-2006	18.7	1.2	18.8	1	17	3.5	18.8	1	14.2	6	18.3	2.3	19.3	0.5	17.5	0.8	12.7	3.3	18.2	3.5	17.4
6	7	2003-2006	13.6	1.9	12.7	2.3	13.1	2.8	12.4	3.3	13.3	3.8	16.3	2.1	12	3.8	13.7	2.9	16.3	2.4	15.4	1.9	13.9
11	3	2002-2006	13.3	3.1	15	3	10.3	1.5	13	3.5	12.3	5.5	18.3	1.2	13.3	4.2	15.3	1.2	10.3	3.8	16	3	13.7
12	8	2002-2006	12.1	2.4	13.4	3	13.8	4.6	13.4	3.7	17.3	1.3	11.6	3.5	6.9	1.4	11.9	3.2	15.6	2.4	15.8	2.8	13.2
13	5	2003-2005	11	3	8.4	5.9	8.4	2.7	8	2.3	7.4	2.2	19.6	0.5	9.8	4.7	15	3	19.4	0.9	19.4	0.9	12.6
14	7	2002-2006	11.4	1.8	11.6	2.8	12.4	1.5	9.9	2.9	12.3	2.3	6.6	0.8	14.3	2.6	16.3	1.4	4.3	1.4	4.4	1.6	10.3
15	8	2002-2006	15.8	2.7	15.9	2.6	13.4	1.9	15.9	1.8	13.4	3.5	9.8	2.6	13.6	3.1	9.8	4.3	10	3.9	3.8	1.5	12.1
17	2	2002-2006	2	0	3	2.8	6.5	2.1	4	0	11	1.4	1	0	6.5	2.1	20	0	1	1.4	1	1.4	5.6
18	6	2003-2006	18.3	0.5	18.3	1	13.7	4.7	19	0	14.5	6.2	18.5	1.2	15.7	6.4	17.7	0.8	15.7	3.7	19	0	17
19	8	2002-2006	17	1.8	15.9	2.1	13.4	2.6	17.6	1.4	13.6	4.1	19.3	1.8	14.6	3.3	17.4	1.2	15.4	1.8	19.1	1.2	16.3
20	8	2002-2006	12.3	4.3	12.4	4.2	14.8	1.3	14.4	2.6	14.1	4.4	8	3	11	1.8	7.1	3.2	11.6	2.3	5	1.1	11.1
21	8	2002-2006	9.1	3.6	7.6	6.6	11.4	2.8	11.1	5.5	13.4	3.1	9.5	1.9	5.9	1.6	17.1	2.1	10	2.8	8.9	2.1	10.4
22	7	2002-2005	12.3	2.5	13.3	1.3	12.6	2.6	11.4	4.5	13.3	3.2	7.1	1.9	13	1	11.3	5.1	8	2	5.3	1	10.8
25	8	2002-2006	15.1	1.1	13.8	2.8	14.6	1.5	16.4	1.1	14.9	3	14.5	4	13.3	1.8	14.4	2.6	14.8	2.4	10.4	2.4	14.2
26	8	2002-2006	11.5	4	11.8	2.6	10.4	2.5	10	2.6	13.9	2	3.5	1.8	15	3.2	19.8	0.7	2.8	2.1	1.8	0.5	10



Figure 13. Assessment of physical habitat at SWAMP sites. White circles indicate sites with a mean physical habitat scores between 15 and 20. Pink circles indicate mean scores between 10 and 15. Red circles indicate mean scores between 0 and 10.

Embeddedness and poor velocity-depth regimes appeared to be the most widespread impacts to physical habitat. For example, although embeddedness was minimal at four of the sites (i.e., physical habitat component score was greater than 15), the remaining sites were strongly impacted (i.e. score was less than 10). Every component of physical habitat showed signs of severe degradation (i.e., score was 5 or less) at multiple sites in the watershed.

Results from monitoring by Orange County NPDES were similar, in that mean physical habitat scores were high (i.e., > 15) at reference sites (sites 4, 18, 19), and lowest (i.e., <10) at northern and coastal portions of the watershed (e.g., site 17, in Prima Deshecha). Although sites were monitored over several years (often 5), values changed little, and standard deviations were typically under 4.

5. DISCUSSION

This analysis of the San Juan HU suggests that the northern and coastal portions of the watershed are in poor ecological health, but the condition of streams in the southern and interior portions are moderate to good. However, every site sampled under SWAMP in the San Juan HU showed evidence of

impact from multiple indicators (Table 17; Figure 14). These impacts ranged from very sight (e.g., the Upper Arroyo Trabuco Creek reference site) to severe (e.g., English Creek).

Table 17. Summary of the ecological health for five SWAMP sites in San Juan HU. Aquatic life (AL). Human health (HH). Toxicity frequency is frequency of toxicity for three chronic toxicity endpoints: *C. dubia* (fecundity), *H. azteca* (growth), and *S. capricornutum* (total cell count). Biology frequency is the frequency of IBIs below 40. n.t. = Indicator not tested.

	Water c	hemistry	Tissue	Toxicity	Biology	Physical habitat
Site	# constituents (AL)	# constituents (HH)	# constituents (OEHHA)	Frequency	Frequency	Mean score
901SJALC6	8	4	0	0.30	0.92*	10.3
901SJATC2	4	1	n.t.	0.20	1.00*	13.6
901SJATC5	4	3	n.t.	0.36	n.t.	8.3
901SJBEL2	3	0	n.t.	0.00	n.t.	17.9
901SJENG2	8	10	n.t.	0.27	1.00*	7.7
901SJLAG2	4	4	n.t.	0.33	1.00*	5.8
901SJMCC2	8	1	n.t.	0.40	n.t.	6.9
901SJOSO3	8	8	n.t.	0.50	n.t.	6.3
901SJSJC5	1	0	n.t.	0.00	n.t.	11.7
901SJSJC9	8	4	n.t.	0.42	n.t.	7.5
901SJSMT2	-		n.t.	0.25	0.00*	19.6

* = Estimated from data collected at nearby (within 500 meters) non-SWAMP sites.

The Laguna Creek hydrologic subarea, in the northern portion of the hydrologic unit, contained several sites in poor ecological health, including the reference site at Morro Canyon Creek. Water chemistry at this site exceeded aquatic life thresholds for numerous constituents. Furthermore, toxicity to *S. capricornutum* was observed at every sampling date at this site. In addition, physical habitat received a very low score at this site (6.9). The data collected by SWAMP do not support the designation of Morro Canyon Creek as a reference site.



Figure 14. Summary of the ecological health of SWAMP sites in the San Juan HU, as determined by water chemistry, toxicity, and bioassessment indicators. Each pie slice corresponds to a specific indicator, as described in the inset, with darker colors corresponding to more degraded conditions (unmeasured indicators are shown in cross-hatched gray). The top-left slice corresponds to the number of water chemistry constituents exceeding aquatic life thresholds. The bottom slice corresponds to the frequency of toxicity among three endpoints: *C. dubia* (fecundity), *H. azteca* (growth), and *S. capricornutum* (total cell count). The top-right slice corresponds to the IBI of bioassessment samples.

Other sites in the Laguna Creek hydrologic subarea were also in poor ecological health. Laguna Canyon Creek, Aliso Creek, and English Creek all had many (i.e., 8) water chemistry constituents in exceedance of aquatic life thresholds. Furthermore, English Creek also exceeded a high number of human health thresholds (8 and 10, respectively). These results were consistent with the inclusion of these streams on the 303(d) list of impaired water bodies; for example, known stressors like phosphorus, benzo(b)fluoranthene, and dieldrin exceeded thresholds at these sites. Toxicity was also evident throughout this region. Samples from all sites were toxic to *S. capricornutum*, and all but Morro Canyon Creek were toxic to *H. azteca* as well. Toxicity to *C. dubia* was observed at two sites in the Laguna Creek hydrologic subarea (i.e., English Creek and Laguna Canyon Creek), but at only one other site in the San Juan HU. These results are consistent with the listing of toxicity as a stressor at Aliso, English, and Laguna Canyon Creeks on the 303(d) list. All bioassessment samples collected within this area were in poor or very poor condition, and physical habitat ranged from moderately (i.e., Aliso Creek) to severely degraded (all other sites). Fish tissues collected from Aliso Creek did not show evidence of impact, although few constituents were measured, only one of which (i.e., Selenium) had an applicable threshold to detect impact. Water chemistry monitoring by NPDES permittees found additional water chemistry constituents, such as Cadmium, that exceed aquatic life thresholds in the watershed.

The Mission Viejo hydrologic area (i.e., San Juan Creek watershed) included many sites representing a wide range of ecological health. Oso Creek, a tributary to the San Juan Creek, was in very poor condition, comparable to many sites in the Laguna Creek hydrologic subarea. Eight water chemistry constituents exceeded both aguatic life and human health thresholds, and toxicity to all indicator species was observed on at least one sampling date. Physical habitat was also degraded at Oso Creek. Conditions were marginally less impacted at the downstream San Juan Creek site, which also had a high number (8) of aquatic life threshold exceedances. p,p'-DDE exceeded thresholds in half the samples at the downstream site, supporting the listing of DDE as a known stressor at San Juan Creek on the 303(d) list. Water and sediment samples from this site were toxic to both S. capricornutum and H. azteca, but not C. dubia. Physical habitat at the downstream San Juan Creek site, like Oso Creek. received one of the lowest scores in the HU. Ecological health was better at sites further inland. For example, the Upper San Juan Creek site had water chemistry comparable to reference sites, with only one constituent exceeding aquatic life thresholds. In addition, toxicity was never observed at this site. However, physical habitat was moderately degraded at this site, as embeddedness, velocity-depth regime, and channel flow all received physical habitat scores of 5 or lower. The health of other sites in the San Juan watershed was intermediate between the upper San Juan Creek site and Oso Creek. For example, toxicity was not observed at Bell Canyon Creek, but a moderate number (3) of water chemistry constituents exceeded aquatic life thresholds. This site also had very good physical habitat, with a mean score of 17.9. Bioassessment samples

collected elsewhere from Bell Canyon Creek ranged from good (site 18) to very poor (site 5). Toxicity was moderately higher at other sites, such as those on Arroyo Trabuco Creek, and water chemistry slightly more impacted. Bioassessment samples collected nearby designated reference site on Arroyo Trabuco Creek (site 4) were in very good condition, although low IBI scores were sometimes observed, perhaps due to natural variability.

The reference site in the San Mateo watershed was in good ecological health. Only one water chemistry constituent exceeded aquatic life thresholds, and toxicity was not frequently observed. Physical habitat was extremely good at the San Mateo Creek site, with nearly all components of physical habitat receiving perfect scores (i.e. 20). However, the bioassessment sample collected near this site was in fair condition (IBI 41.4). This low IBI score may be caused by natural variability, and additional sampling may yield higher IBI scores, or it may indicate low-level impacts which have not yet caused major degradation of the site. All bioassessments in the lower part of the watershed were in poor or very poor ecological condition.

Bioassessment monitoring in other hydrologic areas of the San Juan HU (e.g., San Onofre hydrologic area) found poor ecological health at most sites. Apart from one site in San Onofre Creek (site 23), all bioassessment samples were in poor or very poor ecological condition, and had IBI scores below 40.

This study's assessment of the San Juan HU suggests that the northern and coastal portions of the watershed are in poor ecological health, but the inland and southern portions (particularly San Juan and San Mateo Creeks, and to a lesser extent San Onofre Creek) are in moderate to good health. Multiple lines of evidence support this conclusion. For example, several water chemistry constituents exceeded aquatic life and human health thresholds, in the northern and coastal portions. Toxicity was observed at every site in this area, but not at some sites in the interior of the San Juan Creek watershed. Bioassessment samples were in very poor ecological health along the northern and coastal regions, but fair or good at the interior. Physical habitat was degraded at coastal and northern sites but less so in the interior.

Despite the strength of the evidence, limitations of this study affect the assessment. These limitations include difficulties integrating data from SWAMP and non-SWAMP sources, the non-randomization of sample sites, small sample size, and the lack of applicable thresholds for several indicators. Although these limitations require that results be interpreted with caution, it is unlikely that they would alter the conclusion that portions of the San Juan HU are in poor ecological health.

The geographical approach to integrating SWAMP and non-SWAMP data relies on assumptions about the spatial and temporal variability of the variables measured by these programs. For example, bioassessment data may have been collected up to 500 meters away and up to 4 years before or 3 years after water chemistry, toxicity, and tissue data were collected. This study assumes that anthropogenic impacts do not change across these distances or over these spans of time. There is little published research on either of these assumptions, although there may be greater support for the assumptions about spatial variability (e.g., Gebler 2004) than for temporal variability (e.g., Sandin and Johnson 2000, Bêche et al. 2006).In this study, bioassessment data were observed to be highly variable, and the use of data collected many years before water chemistry data is questionable.

The targeted selection of sites monitored under the SWAMP program facilitated integration of pre-existing data from non-SWAMP sources, but this non-probabilistic approach severely limits the extrapolation of data from these sites to the rest of the watershed. Non-random sampling violates assumptions underlying most statistical analyses, and the sites selected in this study cannot be assumed to represent the entire watershed (Olsen et al. 1999, Stevens Jr. and Olsen 2004). Although three reference sites were designated for monitoring under SWAMP (and four for monitoring under NPDES permittees and Camp Pendleton), it is unclear if the proportion of reference sites sampled reflect the proportion of minimally degraded streams in the HU.

The small number of sites monitored under SWAMP also limits the certainty of this study's assessment. For example, tissue samples were collected at only one site, and only a small number of constituents were evaluated; therefore, tissue contamination may have gone undetected in unsampled regions of the watershed. Although SWAMP has produced a wealth of data about the San Juan watershed using limited resources, some indicators (especially those with high variability) may require more extensive sampling to produce more precise and accurate assessments.

Thresholds are an essential tool for assessing water quality and ecological health. However, their use is limited to indicators that have been well studied, and they cannot provide a holistic view watershed health. This limitation is exacerbated by the fact that many constituents and indicators lack applicable thresholds. For example, of the 54 water chemistry constituents, 20 (37%) had no applicable water quality objectives that could be used as thresholds for water quality. No thresholds exist for physical habitat scores. Furthermore, thresholds applied to IBI scores and toxicity were based on statistical distributions and professional judgment (respectively), rather than on risks to ecological health. For example, the 80% threshold used to identify toxic samples is based on the assumption that this level is ecologically meaningful, although this assumption has not been verified in the field. The development of biocriteria to establish meaningful thresholds for bioassessment is subject of active interest in California (Bernstein and Schiff 2002).

Despite these limitations, the data gathered under SWAMP and other programs strongly support the conclusion that the northern and coastal portions San Juan HU are in poor ecological health, and that the southern and interior portions are in good ecological health. Some of these limitations (such as the lack of applicable thresholds and the small sample size) may in fact have caused this assessment to underestimate the severity of degradation in the watershed. All indicators showed signs of human impacts. Multiple stressors, including degraded water quality, sediment, and physical habitat are the likely cause of the impact. Future research (see final report on the SWAMP monitoring program for further study recommendations) is necessary to determine which stressors are responsible for the impacts seen in the watershed.

6. LITERATURE CITED

Bêche, L.A., E.P. McElravy and V.H. Resh. 2005. Long-term seasonal variation in the biological traits of benthic-macroinvertebrates in two Mediterranean climate streams in California, USA. *Freshwater Biology* 51:56-75.

California Code of Regulations. 2007. Barclay's Official California Code of Regulations. Title 22. Social Security Division 4. Environmental Health Chapter 15. Domestic Water Quality and Monitoring Regulations Article 16. Secondary Drinking Water Standards. §64449.

California Department of Fish and Game. 2003. California Stream Bioassessment Procedure: Protocol for Biological and Physical/Habitat Assessment in Wadeable Streams. Available from www.dfg.ca.gov/cabw/cabwhome.html.

California Department of Water Resources. 2007. <u>http://www.water.ca.gov/</u>. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1993. Methods for measuring acute toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater and marine organisms, Fourth Edition. EPA 600/4-90/027. US Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Research Laboratory. Duluth, MN.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1997. Water quality standards: Establishment of numeric criteria for priority toxic pollutants for the state of California: Proposed Rule. *Federal Register* 62:42159-42208.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2002. National recommended water quality criteria. EPA-822-R-02-047. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Water. Washington, DC.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2007. Integrated Risk Information System. <u>http://www.epa.gov/iris/index.html</u>. Office of Research and Development. Washington, DC.

Gebler, J.B. 2004. Mesoscale spatial variability of selected aquatic invertebrate community metrics from a minimally impaired stream segment. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 23:616-633.

National Academy of Sciences. 1977. Drinking Water and Health. Volume 1. Washington, DC.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2007. National Weather Service data. Available from http://www.wrh.noaa.gov/sgx/obs/rtp/rtpmap.php?wfo=sgx Ode, P.R., A.C. Rehn and J.T. May. 2005. A quantitative tool for assessing the integrity of southern California coastal streams. *Environmental Management* 35:493-504.

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). 2006. Draft development of guidance tissue levels and screening values for common contaminants in California Sports Fish: Chlordane, DDTs, Dieldrin, Methylmercury, PCBs, Selenium, and Toxaphene. OEHHA. Sacramento, CA.

Olsen, A.R., J. Sedransk, D. Edwards, C.A. Gotway, W. Liggett, S. Rathburn, K.H. Reckhow and L.J. Young. 1999. Statistical issues for monitoring ecological and natural resources in the United States. *Environmental Management and Assessment* 54:1-45.

Puckett, M. 2002. Quality Assurance Management Plan for the State of California's Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program: Version 2. California Department of Fish and Game, Monterey, CA. Prepared for the State Water Resources Control Board. Sacramento, CA.

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region. 1994. Water quality control plan for the San Diego Region. San Diego, CA. http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sandiego/programs/basinplan.html

SANDAG. 1998. Watersheds of the San Diego Region. SANDAG INFO.

Sandin, L. and R.K. Johnson. 2000. The statistical power of selected indicator metrics using macroinvertebrates for assessing acidification and eutrophication of running waters. *Hydrobiologia* 422/423:233-243.

Stevans, Jr., D.L. and A.R. Olsen. 2004. Spatially balanced sampling of natural resources. *Journal of the American Statistical Association: Theory and Methods* 99:262-278.

Weston Solutions Inc. 2006. Stream bioassessment December 2005 survey data summary report. County of Orange. Santa Ana, CA.

Weston Solutions Inc. 2007. Stream bioassessment of the Camp Pendleton Watersheds. 2005/2006 monitoring surveys. Final Report. US Navy—Naval Facilities Engineering Services Center. Camp Pendleton, CA.

7. APPENDICES

APPENDIX I

A. Beneficial uses of streams in the San Juan HU (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region 1994). B. Streams on the 303(d) list of impaired water bodies in the San Juan HU. HUC = Hydrologic Unit Code. MUN = Municipal and domestic supply. AGR = Agricultural supply. IND = Industrial service supply. REC1 = Contact recreation. REC2 = Non-contact recreation. WARM = Warm freshwater habitat. COLD = Cold freshwater habitat. WILD = Wildlife habitat. RARE = Rare, threatened, or endangered species. SPWN = Spawning, reproduction, and/or early development. X = Exempted from municipal supply. E = Existing beneficial use. P = Potential beneficial use.

A. Beneficial uses of streams in t	he San										
San Juan Watershed (901)	HUC	MUN	AGR	IND	REC1	REC2	WARM	COLD	WILD	RARE	SPWN
Orange County coastal streams											
Moro canyon	901.11	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е		Е		
Unnamed intermittent coastal streams	901.11	Х	Е		Р	E	Е		Е		
Emerald Canyon	901.11	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е		Е		
Laguna Canyon	901.12	Х	Е		Р	E	Е		Е		
Blue Bird Canyon	901.12	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е		Е		
Rim Rock Canyon	901.12	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е		Е		
Unnamed intermittent coastal streams	901.13	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е		Е		
Hobo Canyon	901.13	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е		Е		
Aliso Creek Watershed											
Aliso Creek											
English Canyon Creek	901.13	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е				
Sulphur Creek	901.13	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е				
Wood Canyon	901.13	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е				
Dana Point Watershed											
Unnamed intermittent coastal streams	901.14	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е		Е		
Salt Creek	901.14	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е		Е		
San Juan Canyon	901.14	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е		Е		
Arroyo Salada	901.14	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е		Е		
San Juan Creek Watershed											
San Juan Creek	901.25	Х	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е		
Morrel Canyon	901.25	Х	E	E	E	E	E	E	E		
Decker Canyon	901.25	X	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		
Long Canyon	901.25	X	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		
Lion Canyon	901.25	Х	E	E	E	E	Ē	E	E		Е
Hot Spring Canyon	901.25	Х	E	E	E	E	Ē	E	E		E
Cold Spring Canyon	901.25	X	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	E	Ē	Ē		-
Lucas Canyon	901.25	X	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		
Aliso Canyon	901.25	X	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		
Verdugo Canyon	901.25	X	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		
Bell Canyon	901.25	X	E	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		
Fox Canyon	901.25	X	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		
Dove Canyon	901.24	X	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		
Crow Canyon	901.25	X	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		
San Juan Creek	901.26	X	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	E	Ē	Ē		
Trampas Canyon	901.26	X	E	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		
Canada Gobernadora	901.24	X	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		
Canada Chiquita	901.24	X	E	E	E	Ē	E	Ē	Ē		
San Juan Creek	901.24	X	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		
San Juan Creek	901.27	X	E	E	Ē	Ē	E	E	Ē		
Horno Creek	901.27	X	E	E	E	Ē	E	E	Ē		
Arroyo Trabuco Creek	901.22	X	E	Ē	E	Ē	E	Ē	Ē		Е
Holy Jim Canyon	901.22	X	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	E	Ē	Ē		Ē
Falls Canyon	901.22	X	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		-
Rose Canyon	901.22		E	Ē	Ē	Ē	E	Ē	Ē		
Hickey Canyon	901.22		E	E	Ē	E	E	E	Ē		
Live Oak Canyon	901.22		E	Ē	Ē	E	E	Ē	Ē		
Arroyo Trabuco Creek	901.22		E	E	Ē	E	E	E	Ē		
Tijeras Canyon	901.23		E	E	Ē	E	E	E	Ē		
Arroyo Trabuco Creek	901.23		E	E	Ē	E	E	E	E		
Oso Creek	901.27	x	E	E	Ē	Ē	Ē	E	Ē		
La Paz Creek	901.21		E	E	E	E	E	E	E		
La Faz Uleek	901.21	٨							C		

A. Denenicial uses of streams in the San Juan Hu	A. Beneficial uses of strea	ams in the Sar	۱ Juan HU.
--	-----------------------------	----------------	------------

Appendix Ia, continued.

Appendix Ia, continued.											
San Juan Watershed (901)	HUC	MUN	AGR	IND	REC1	REC2	WARM	COLD	WILD	RARE	SPWN
Orange County Coastal Streams											
Prima Deshecha Canada	901.31	Х	Е		Р	Е	E		Е		
Unnamed intermittent coastal streams	901.3	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е		Е		
Segunda Deshecha Canada	901.32	Х	Е		Р	Е	Е		Е		
San Mateo Creek Watershed											
San Mateo Creek	901.4				Р	Е	E	Е	Е	Е	Е
Devil Canyon	901.4	Х			Р	Е	Е	Е	Е		Е
Cold Spring Canyon	901.4	Х			Р	Е	Е	Е	Е		
San Mateo Canyon	901.4	Х			Р	Е	E	Е	Е	Е	Е
Los Alamos Canyon	901.4	Х			Р	Е	Е	Е	Е		Е
Wildhorse Canyon	901.4	Х			Р	Е	Е	Е	Е		
Tenaja Canyon	901.4	Х			Р	Е	E	Е	Е		Е
Bluewater Canyon	901.4	Х			Р	Е	Е	Е	Е		
Nickel Canyon	901.4	Х			Р	Е	Е	Е	Е		
Christanitos Creek	901.4	Х			Р	Е	E	Е	Е		
Gabino Canyon	901.4	Х			Р	Е	Е	Е	Е		
La Paz Canyon	901.4	Х			Р	Е	Е	Е	Е		
Blind Canyon	901.4	Х			Р	Е	E	Е	Е		
Talega Canyon	901.4	Х			Р	Е	Е	Е	Е		
San Onofre Creek Watershed											
San Onofre Creek	901.51	Х	Е		Е	Е	Е	Е	Е		Е
San Onofre Canyon North Fork	901.51	Х	Е		Е	Е	Е	Е	Е		Е
Jardine Canyon	901.51	Х	Е		Е	Е	E	Е	Е		
San Onofre Canyon	901.51	Х	Е		Е	Е	Е	Е	Е		Е
San Onofre Canyon South Fork	901.51	Х	Е		Е	Е	E	Е	Е	Е	
Unnamed intermittent coastal streams	901.51	Х	Е		Е	Е	Е		Е		
Foley Canyon	901.51	Х	Е		Е	Е	Е		Е		
Horno Canyon	901.51	Х	Е		Е	Е	E		Е		
Las Flores Creek	901.52	Х	Е		Е	Е	E	Е	Е	Е	
Piedra de Lumbre Canyon	901.52	Х	Е		Е	Е	E	Е	Е	Е	
Unnamed intermittent coastal streams	901.52	Х	Е		Е	Е	Е		Е		
Aliso Canyon	901.53	Х	Е		Е	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е	
French Canyon	901.53	Х	Е		Е	Е	Е		Е	Е	
Cocklebur Canyon	901.53	Х	Е		Е	Е	E		Е		

B. 303(d)-listed streams in the San Juan HU.

Name	HUC	Stressor	Potential source	Affected length
Aliso Creek	901.13	Indicator bactera	Urban runoff/storm sewers, unknown point	19 miles
			source, and nonpoint/point source	
		Phosphorus	Urban runoff/storm sewers, unknown point	19 miles
			source, and nonpoint/point source	
		Toxicity	Urban runoff/storm sewers, unknown point	19 miles
			source, and nonpoint/point source	
English Canyon	901.13	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Sources unknown	3.6 miles
		Dieldrin	Sources unknown	3.6 miles
		Sediment toxicity	Sources unknown	3.6 miles
Laguna Canyon Channel	901.12	Sediment toxicity	Sources unknown	1.6 miles
Oso Creek (at Mission Viejo Golf Course)	901.2	Chloride	Sources unknown	1 miles
		Sulfates	Sources unknown	1 miles
		Total dissolved solids	Sources unknown	1 miles
Prima Deshecha Creek	901.3	Phosphorus	Urban runoff/storm sewers, unknown point	1.2 miles
			source, and nonpoint/point source	
		Turbidity	Urban runoff/storm sewers, unknown point	1.2 miles
			source, and nonpoint/point source	
San Juan Creek	901.2	DDE	Sources unknown	1 miles
		Indicator bactera	Nonpoint/point source	1 miles
Segunda Deshecha Creek	901.3	Phosphorus	Urban runoff/storm sewers, unknown point	0.92 miles
-			source, and nonpoint/point source	
		Turbidity	Construction/land development, urban	0.92 miles
		-	runoff/storm sewers, channelization, flow	
			regulation/modifications, unknown nonpoint	
			source, unknown point source	

APPENDIX II

Means, standard deviations (SD), and number of samples (n) of water chemistry constituents in (A) SWAMP sites and (B) Non-SWAMP (NPDES) sites. The watershed average was calculated as the mean of the site averages. Blank cells indicate that the constituent was not analyzed at that site. -- = Constituent not detected at that site. SWAMP sites were monitored in 2002 to 2003. Non-SWAMP sites were monitored in Spring and Fall between 2002 and 2006.

A. SWAMP sites

			901SJ	ALC6		901SJ	ATC2		901SJ	ATC5		901SJ	BEL2		901SJ	ENG2		901SJ	LAG2	
Category	Constituent	Units	Mean	SD	n															
Inorganics	Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/l	180	71	4	112	80	2	186	45	4	162	22	2	179	98	4	309	119	4
Inorganics	Ammonia as N	mg/l	0.09	0.11	4	0.1	0.14	2	0.02	0.03	4	0.03	0.04	2	0.48	0.72	4	0.03	0.03	4
Inorganics	Nitrate + Nitrite as N	mg/l	0.52	0.35	4	0.54	0.35	2	0.1	0.1	4	0.1	0.03	2	0.4	0.24	4	0.16	0.13	4
Inorganics	Nitrate as N	mg/l	0.51	0.34	4	0.53	0.34	2	0.1	0.1	4	0.1	0.03	2	0.37	0.22	4	0.16	0.12	2 4
Inorganics	Nitrite as N	mg/l	0.02	0.02	4	0.01	0.02	2			4			2	0.03	0.03	4	0.01	0.01	4
Inorganics	Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl	mg/l	0.69	0.38	4	1.84	2.27	2	0.29	0.27	4	0.23	0.15	2	1.16	1.13	4	1.03	0.94	4
Inorganics	OrthoPhosphate as P	mg/l	0.17	0.07	4	0.1	0.11	2	0.04	0.02	4	0.03	0.01	2	0.17	0.08	4	0.19	0.05	6 4
Inorganics	Phosphorus as P,Total	mg/l	0.24	0.16	4	0.71	1	2	0.1	0.11	4	0.08	0.11	2	0.28	0.25	4	0.21	0.05	6 4
Inorganics	Selenium, Dissolved	μg/l	22	22.1	4	2.8	0.4	2	1.5	0.8	4	3.1	0.1	2	5.5	3.8	4	2	1.1	4
Inorganics	Sulfate	mg/l	943	513	4	105	59	3	183	47	4	151	16	2	298	191	4	212	90) 4
Metals	Aluminum, Dissolved	μg/l	1.6	0.7	4	0.5	0.8	2	0.8	1.3	4	0.4	0.6	2	1.6	1.6	4	1.5	2.4	4
Metals	Arsenic, Dissolved	μg/l	7.7	5.3	4	1.4	0.4	2	2.9	0.8	4	0.9	0.2	2	3.1	1.2	4	3.2	0.3	4
Metals	Cadmium, Dissolved	μg/l	0.62	0.25	4	0.05	0.01	2	0.04	0.01	4	0.02	0.01	2	0.23	0.13	4	0.05	0.01	4
Metals	Chromium, Dissolved	μg/l	0.63	0.3	4	0.1	0.14	2	0.15	0.1	4			2	0.24	0.06	4	0.23	0.11	4
Metals	Copper, Dissolved	μg/l	6.94	2.21	4	1.83	0.12	2	2.1	0.52	4	1.51	0.24	2	4.27	0.81	4	2.55	0.73	4
Metals	Lead, Dissolved	μg/l	0.02	0.01	4	0.01	0.01	2	0.02	0.02	4			2	0.05	0.03	4	0.03	0.02	2 4
Metals	Manganese, Dissolved	μg/l	125	65	4	16	7	2	6	6	4	3	3	2	9	7	4	188	210) 4
Metals	Nickel, Dissolved	μg/l	14.3	2.7	4			2	0.9	0.5	4			2	2.5	1.4	4	1.9	1.3	; 4
Metals	Silver, Dissolved	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4	0	0.01	4
Metals	Zinc,Dissolved	μg/l	6.5	1.5	4	1.2	0.2	2	1.6	0.4	4	0.8	0	2	5.6	1.9	4	2.6	0.5	j 4
PAHs	Acenaphthene	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
PAHs	Acenaphthylene	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
PAHs	Anthracene	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
PAHs	Benz(a)anthracene	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
PAHs	Benzo(a)pyrene	μg/l			4			2			4			2	0.017	0.034	4			4
PAHs	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	μg/l	0.003	0.006	4			2	0.004	0.007	4			2	0.018	0.024	4	0.003	0.005	; 4
PAHs	Benzo(e)pyrene	μg/l			4			2			4			2	0.009	0.018	4			4
PAHs	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	μg/l			4			2			4			2	0.024	0.035	4	0.012	0.023	34
PAHs	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	μg/l			4			2			4			2	0.014	0.028	4			4
PAHs	Biphenyl	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
PAHs	Chrysene	μg/l			4			2			4			2	0.003	0.007	4			4
PAHs	Chrysenes, C1 -	μg/l			4			2			4			2	0.004	0.008	4			4
PAHs	Chrysenes, C2 -	μg/l			4			2	0.003	0.005	4			2	0.006	0.012	4			4
PAHs	Chrysenes, C3 -	μg/l			4			2			4			2	0.178	0.339	4			4
PAHs	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	μg/l			4			2			4			2	0.024	0.047	4			4
PAHs	Dibenzothiophene	μg/l			4			2	0.003	0.007	4			2	0.008	0.016	4			4
PAHs	Dibenzothiophenes, C1 -	μg/l	0.007	0.014	4	0.009	0.012	2	0.014	0.021	4	0.006	0.008	2	0.028	0.055	4	0.005	0.01	4
PAHs	Dibenzothiophenes, C2 -	μg/l	0.013	0.025	4	0.02	0.005	2	0.024	0.035	4	0.017	0.004	2	0.052	0.103	4	0.009	0.018	; 4
PAHs	Dibenzothiophenes, C3 -	μg/l	0.008	0.016	4	0.008	0.011	2	0.012	0.024				2	0.03	0.061		0.006	0.012	2 4
PAHs	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,6-	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
PAHs	Fluoranthene	μg/l			4			2			4			2	0.003	0.005	4			4
PAHs	Fluoranthene/Pyrenes, C1 -	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
PAHs	Fluorene	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
PAHs	Fluorenes, C1 -	μg/l	0.003	0.007	4			2	0.003	0.006				2	0.008	0.015		0.003	0.006	54
PAHs	Fluorenes, C2 -	μg/l	0.003					2			4			2	0.004	0.007			0.005	
PAHs	Fluorenes, C3 -	μg/l		0.023		0.006	0.009	2	0.006	0.012	4				0.011					
PAHs	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	μg/l			4			2			4			2	0.032	0.053				4
PAHs	Methylnaphthalene, 1-	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
PAHs	Methylnaphthalene, 2-	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
PAHs	Methylphenanthrene, 1-	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4	_		4

Not o <i>e</i>	Constituent	11-14-	901SJ			ATC2		901SJ			901SJE			901SJI				LAG2
Category	Constituent	Units	Mean	SD	n Mean	SD		Mean			Mean	SD	-	Mean	SD		Mean	SD
PAHs	Naphthalene	μg/l			4		2	0.004	0.007	4			2			4		
AHs	Naphthalenes, C1 -	μg/l			4		2	0.003	0.005	4			2			4		
AHs	Naphthalenes, C2 -	μg/l			4		2	0.004	0.007	4			2	0.004	0.008	4		
AHs	Naphthalenes, C3 -	μg/l	0.005	0.011	4 0.006	0.008	2	0.008	0.016	4			2	0.008	0.016	4	0.003	0.007
AHs	Naphthalenes, C4 -	μg/l	0.003	0.006	4 0.022	0.031	2	0.013	0.025	4			2	0.026	0.052	4	0.003	0.007
AHs	Perylene	μg/l			4		2	0.007	0.014	4			2	0.01	0.021	4		
AHs	Phenanthrene	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
AHs	Phenanthrene/Anthracene, C1 -	μg/l	0 004	0.008	4		2	0.011	0.016		0.007	0.009		0.012	0.017		0.004	0 008
AHs	Phenanthrene/Anthracene, C2 -				4 0.006	0.008		0.004	0.009	4	0.007	0.000	2	0.007	0.015	4	0.004	0.000
		μg/l				0.000											0.004	0.00
AHs	Phenanthrene/Anthracene, C3 -	μg/l	0.003	0.007	4		2	0.004	0.007				2	0.008	0.017		0.004	
AHs	Phenanthrene/Anthracene, C4 -	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4	0.004	0.00
AHs	Pyrene	μg/l			4		2			4			2	0.004	0.009	4		
AHs	Trimethylnaphthalene, 2,3,5-	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 005	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 008	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 015	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 018	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 027	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 028	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 029			_	4		2	_	_	4	_	_	2	_	_	4	_	
		μg/l	0.001	0.000									2			4		
CBs	PCB 031	μg/l	0.001	0.002	4		2			4								
CBs	PCB 033	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 044	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 049	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 052	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4	0.003	0.00
CBs	PCB 056	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 060	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 066	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 070	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 074				4		2			4			2			4		
		μg/l																
CBs	PCB 087	μg/l	0.002	0.004	4		2	0.001	0.002	4			2	0.001	0.002	4	0.001	0.00
CBs	PCB 095	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 097	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 099	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 101	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 105	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 110	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 114	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 118	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 128	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 137	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 138	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 141	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 149	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 151	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 153	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 155				4		2			4			2					
		μg/l														4		
CBs	PCB 157	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 158	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 170	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 174	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 177	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 180	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 183	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 187	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 189			_	4		2	_	_	4		_	2	-	_	4	_	
		μg/l																
CBs	PCB 194	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 195	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 200	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 201	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 203	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs	PCB 206	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
	PCB 209	μg/l			4		2			4			2			4		
CBs																		

	.		901SJ			901SJ/			901SJ			901SJB			901SJI			901SJ	
	Constituent	Units	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean S	SD		Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD
esticides	Aldrin	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	Ametryn	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	Aspon	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	Atraton	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	Atrazine	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	Azinphos ethyl	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	Azinphos methyl	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides		μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	Carbophenothion	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	Chlordane, cis-	μg/l			4			2			4			2	0.002	0.005	4		
	Chlordane, trans-	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	Chlordene, alpha-	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	Chlordene, gamma-	μg/l	0.001	0.003	4			2			4			2	0	0.001	4		
	Chlorfenvinphos	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	Chlorpyrifos	μg/l		_	4	_		2			4			2			4		
					4			2			4			2			4		
	Chlorpyrifos methyl	μg/l																	
esticides		μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	Coumaphos	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides		μg/l	0	0.001	4			2	0	0.001	4			2				0.001	0.002
	DDD(o,p')	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	DDD(p,p')	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4	0	0.001
	DDE(o,p')	μg/l			4			2	0	0.001	4			2			4		
	DDE(p,p')	μg/l	0.001	0.001	4	0.001	0.001		0.001	0.001	4			2	0	0.001	4		
	DDMU(p,p')	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	DDT(o,p')	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	DDT(p,p')	μg/l			4			2			4			2	0.001	0.002	4		
esticides	DDTs	μg/l	0.001	0.001	4	0.001	0.001	2	0.001	0.002	4			2	0.001	0.003	4	0	0.00
esticides	Demeton-s	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	Diazinon	μg/l	0.049	0.027	4			2	0.044	0.058	4			2	0.204	0.286	4	0.029	0.03
esticides	Dichlofenthion	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	Dichlorvos	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	Dicrotophos	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides		μg/l			4			2			4			2	0.001	0.001	4	0	0.00
	Dimethoate	μg/l	0.01	0.02	4			2			4			2			4		
	Dioxathion	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	Disulfoton		0.008	0.015				2	0.008	0.015				2	0.008	0.015		0.008	0.01
	Endosulfan I	μg/l	0.000					2	0.008	0.013	4			2	0.000	0.013		0.008	
	Endosulfan II	μg/l	0	0.001				2	0	0.001	4			2		0.001		0	0.00
		μg/l			4										0				
	Endosulfan sulfate	μg/l	0	0.001				2	0		4			2	0	0.001	4		
esticides		μg/l			4			2	0	0.001	4			2			4	0	0.00
	Endrin Aldehyde	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	Endrin Ketone	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	Ethion	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	Ethoprop	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	Famphur	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	Fenchlorphos	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	Fenitrothion	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	Fensulfothion	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	Fenthion	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	Fonofos	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	HCH, alpha	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4	0	0.00
	HCH, beta				4			2			4			2			4	0	
		μg/l	^	0.004				2	^	0.001				2				0	0.00
	HCH, delta	μg/l	0	0.001				2	0	0.001	4			2			4	0	0.00
	HCH, gamma	μg/l			4						4						4		
	Heptachlor	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
	Heptachlor epoxide	μg/l	0	0.001	4			2			4				0.001	0.002		0	0.00
	Hexachlorobenzene	μg/l			4			2			4			2	0	0	4		
esticides	Leptophos	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	Malathion	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
esticides	Merphos	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
acticidae	Methidathion	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		
cationues																			
	Methoxychlor	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4		

			901SJ	ALC6		901SJ	ATC2		901SJ	ATC5		901SJ	BEL2		901SJ	ENG2		901SJL	AG2	
Category	Constituent	Units	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n												
Pesticides	Mirex	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Molinate	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Naled	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Nonachlor, cis-	μg/l			4			2	0	0.001	4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Nonachlor, trans-	μg/l			4			2	0	0.001	4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Oxadiazon	μg/l	0.012	0.009	4	0.001		2	0.046	0.061	4			2	0.006	0.007	4	0.052	0.034	4
Pesticides	Oxychlordane	μg/l	0	0.001	4			2	0	0.001	4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Parathion, Ethyl	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Parathion, Methyl	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Phorate	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Phosmet	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Phosphamidon	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Prometon	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Prometryn	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Propazine	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Secbumeton	μg/l	0.017	0.034	4			2			4			2			4	0.013	0.026	4
Pesticides	Simazine	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Simetryn	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Sulfotep	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Tedion	μg/l			4			2	0	0.001	4			2	0	0.001	4			4
Pesticides	Terbufos	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Terbuthylazine	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Terbutryn	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Tetrachlorvinphos	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Thiobencarb	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4	0.038	0.075	4
Pesticides	Thionazin	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Tokuthion	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Trichlorfon	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Pesticides	Trichloronate	μg/l			4			2			4			2			4			4
Physical	Fine-ASTM, Passing No. 200 Sieve	%	7.2	9.3	3	22.9		1	33.3	17.5	3				11.1	9.2	4	28.8	31.7	4
Physical	Oxygen, Saturation	%	145	30	4	94	8	2	127	22	4	95	6	2	132	28	4	94	15	4
Physical	pН	рН	8.1	0.6	4	7.8	0.4	2	8.2	0.5	3	8	0	2	8.7	1.5	4	7.5	0.6	4
Physical	Salinity	ppt	3.8	4.1	4	0.2	(2	0.4	0.3	4	0.2	0	2	0.6	0.5	3	0.6	0.4	3
Physical	SpecificConductivity	mS/cm	6756	6892	4	420	103	2	844	501	4	426	18	2	1330	805	4	1299	638	4
Physical	Suspended Sediment Concentration	%	3		1				1		1				4		1	321.1		1
Physical	Temperature	°C	16.7	3.1	4	18.1	6.8	2	16.4	2.4	4	15.8	2.9	2	19.7	4.4	4	14.6	2.2	4
Physical	Total Suspended Solids	mg/l	46.4	76.1	3	139.2	190.7	2	420.2	724.7	3	112.5	159.1	2	121.3	199.9	3	10.9	4.7	3
Physical	Turbidity	NTU	22.9	38.1	4	95.3	125.4	2	73.8	142.9	4	140.3	197.6	2	58	108.1	4	4.2	4	4
Physical	Velocity	ft/s			4	1.3	0.7	2	0.6	0.6	4	1.8	1.4	2	0.6	0.6	4	0.2	0.3	4

Appendix IIa, continued. Means and standard deviations of water chemistry constituents.

			901SJ	MCC2	_	901SJ	0503		901SJ	SJC5		901SJ	SJC9		901SJ	SMT2		Waters	hed m	ean
Category	Constituent	Units	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n												
Inorganics	Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/l	427	19	4	166	96	4	123	26	4	193	55	4	128	27	2	197	92	2 11
Inorganics	Ammonia as N	mg/l	0.32	0.24	4	0.81	1.41	4			4	0.08	0.1	4			2	0.18	0.26	11
Inorganics	Nitrate + Nitrite as N	mg/l	0.45	0.13	4	0.92	0.7	4	0.05	0.01	4	0.57	0.68	4	0.09	0.08	2	0.36	0.28	11
Inorganics	Nitrate as N	mg/l	0.42	0.12	4	0.87	0.66	4	0.05	0.01	4	0.55	0.66	4	0.09	0.07	2	0.34	0.26	11
Inorganics	Nitrite as N	mg/l	0.03	0.02	4	0.05	0.04	4			4	0.02	0.02	4	0	0	2	0.02	0.02	11
Inorganics	Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl	mg/l	0.98	0.46	4	1.57	1.5	4	0.03	0.06	4	0.6	0.55	4	0.41	0.23	2	0.8	0.57	' 11
Inorganics	OrthoPhosphate as P	mg/l	0.13	0.01	4	0.22	0.18	4	0.01	0	4	0.1	0.13	4	0.04	0.03	2	0.11	0.07	' 11
Inorganics	Phosphorus as P,Total	mg/l	0.31	0.12	4	0.37	0.32	4	0.01	0.02	4	0.17	0.23	4	0.04	0.05	2	0.23	0.2	11
Inorganics	Selenium, Dissolved	μg/l	19.7	8	4	10.3	7.9	4	1.8	0.6	4	4.7	2	4	1.1	0	2	6.8	7.4	11
Inorganics	Sulfate	mg/l	1415	152	4	761	506	4	109	21	4	549	292	4	46	20	2	434	438	11
Metals	Aluminum, Dissolved	μg/l	1.4	1.1	4	8.3	6.1	4	1.5	2.1	4	1.1	0.9	4	1.3	0	2	1.8	2.2	11
Metals	Arsenic, Dissolved	μg/l	3.5	0.9	4	4.8	1.9	4	1.4	0.2	4	3.2	0.2	4	1.5	0.2	2	3.1	1.9	11
Metals	Cadmium, Dissolved	μg/l	0.29	0.05	4	0.73	0.7	4	0.03	0	4	0.26	0.15	4	0.03	0.01	2	0.21	0.25	i 11
Metals	Chromium, Dissolved	μg/l	0.37	0.18	4	0.43	0.09	4			4	0.26	0.06	4	0.05	0.07	2	0.22	0.2	2 11
Metals	Copper, Dissolved	μg/l	7.69	2.47	4	7.25	3.53	4	1.02	0.18	4	4.51	1.08	4	2.06	1.93	2	3.79	2.49	11
Metals	Lead, Dissolved	μg/l	0	0	4	0.06	0.02	4	0.01	0.01	4	0.02	0.01	4	0.02	0.01	2	0.02	0.02	11
Metals	Manganese, Dissolved	μg/l	902	643	4	50	44	4	2	1	4	87	82	4	22	23	2	128	264	11
Metals	Nickel, Dissolved	μg/l	15.6	1.5	4	8.7	4.8	4	0.1	0.1	4	3.7	1.4	4	0.2	0.2	2	4.4	5.8	11
Metals	Silver, Dissolved	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0	0	11
Metals	Zinc, Dissolved	μg/l	8.1	1.4	4	7.9	3.4	4	0.9	0.5	4	4.1	1.6	4	1.1	1.4	2	3.7	2.9	11

			901SJ	MCC2		901SJ	0503		901SJS	SJC5		901SJ	SJC9	g	01SJ	SMT2		Waters	hed m	ear
Category	Constituent	Units	Mean			Mean		n	Mean		n	Mean			Nean			Mean	SD	n
PAHs	Acenaphthene	μg/l			4			4		-	4			4			2			1
PAHs	Acenaphthylene	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PAHs	Anthracene	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PAHs	Benz(a)anthracene	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PAHs	Benzo(a)pyrene	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0.002	0.005	
PAHs	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	μg/l	0.003	0.005	4	0.005	0.01				4			4			2	0.002		
PAHs	Benzo(e)pyrene	μg/i μg/l	0.003	0.005	4	0.003	0.007			_	4			4			2	0.003		
PAHs	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene				4		0.012				4			4			2	0.001		
PAHs	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	μg/l			4	0.000	0.012	4			4			4			2	0.004		
PAHs	Biphenyl	μg/l			4		-	4			4			4			2	0.001	0.004	1 1
		μg/l			4	0.004	0.009	4			4			4			2	0.001	0.000	
PAHs PAHs	Chrysene	μg/l				0.004		4	0.01	0.02				4			2	0.001		
	Chrysenes, C1 -	μg/l			4						4			4				0.002		
PAHs	Chrysenes, C2 -	μg/l			4	0.005	0.01		0.012	0.024							2	0.002		
PAHs	Chrysenes, C3 -	μg/l			4	0.008	0.015	4			4			4			2	0.017		
PAHs	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0.002		
PAHs	Dibenzothiophene	µg/l			4	0.005		4			4			4			2	0.002		
PAHs	Dibenzothiophenes, C1 -	μg/l	0.006	0.006	4	0.017	0.025				4	0.005		4			2	0.011		
PAHs	Dibenzothiophenes, C2 -	µg/l	0.007	0.008	4	0.033	0.052				4	0.008	0.017		0.015	0.008	2	0.018		
PAHs	Dibenzothiophenes, C3 -	µg/l			4	0.024	0.041				4	0.005	0.01				2	0.008	0.01	
PAHs	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,6-	µg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PAHs	Fluoranthene	µg/l			4		0.011				4			4			2	0.001		
PAHs	Fluoranthene/Pyrenes, C1 -	µg/l			4	0.004	0.008				4			4			2		0.001	
PAHs	Fluorene	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PAHs	Fluorenes, C1 -	μg/l			4	0.003	0.005	4			4			4			2	0.002		
PAHs	Fluorenes, C2 -	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0.001		
PAHs	Fluorenes, C3 -	µg/l			4	0.011	0.021	4			4	0.003	0.006	4			2	0.005	0.006	i 1
PAHs	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	µg/l			4	0.005	0.01	4			4			4			2	0.003	0.01	1
PAHs	Methylnaphthalene, 1-	µg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PAHs	Methylnaphthalene, 2-	µg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PAHs	Methylphenanthrene, 1-	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PAHs	Naphthalene	μg/l			4	0.009	0.019	4			4			4			2	0.001	0.003	1
PAHs	Naphthalenes, C1 -	μg/l			4	0.01	0.02	4			4			4			2	0.001	0.003	1
PAHs	Naphthalenes, C2 -	μg/l			4	0.003	0.007	4			4			4			2	0.001	0.002	! 1
PAHs	Naphthalenes, C3 -	μg/l			4	0.004	0.007	4			4	0.003	0.007	4			2	0.003	0.003	1
PAHs	Naphthalenes, C4 -	μg/l			4	0.015	0.03	4			4			4			2	0.007	0.01	1
PAHs	Perylene	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0.002	0.004	1
PAHs	Phenanthrene	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PAHs	Phenanthrene/Anthracene, C1 -	μg/l			4	0.009	0.012	4			4	0.005	0.01	4			2	0.005	0.004	1
PAHs	Phenanthrene/Anthracene, C2 -	μg/l			4	0.006	0.012	4			4			4			2	0.002	0.003	1
PAHs	Phenanthrene/Anthracene, C3 -	μg/l			4	0.008	0.015	4			4			4			2	0.002	0.003	3 1
PAHs	Phenanthrene/Anthracene, C4 -	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0	0.001	1
PAHs	Pyrene	μg/l	0.008	0.015	4	0.014	0.016	4			4			4			2	0.002	0.005	i 1 [.]
PAHs	Trimethylnaphthalene, 2,3,5-	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PCBs	PCB 005	μg/l	0.001	0.002	4	0.003	0.005	4			4			4			2	0	0.001	1
PCBs	PCB 008	μg/l			4	0.004	0.008	4			4			4			2	0	0.001	1
PCBs	PCB 015	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PCBs	PCB 018	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PCBs	PCB 027	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PCBs	PCB 028	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PCBs	PCB 029	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PCBs	PCB 031	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0	C	
PCBs	PCB 033	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PCBs	PCB 044	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PCBs	PCB 049	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PCBs	PCB 052	μg/i μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0	0.001	
PCBs	PCB 056				4	_		4			4			4			2			1
		μg/l									4			4			2			
PCBs	PCB 060	μg/l			4			4												1
PCBs	PCB 066	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PCBs	PCB 070	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PCBs	PCB 074	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PCBs	PCB 087	µg/l			4	0.002	0.003	4			4	0.002	0.004	4			2	0.001	0.001	
PCBs	PCB 095	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1
PCBs	PCB 097	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			1

Appen	dix IIa, continued. I	Means and	stan	dard	devia	tions	s of	wate	r cl	hemis	stry c	onsti	tuent	ts.			
			901SJ		901SJ			SJSJC		901SJ		901SJ			Vaters		nean
Category	Constituent	Units	Mean		n Mean	SD		an SD		n Mean	SD	n Mean	SD		lean	SD	n
PCBs	PCB 099	μg/l			4		4 -			4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 101	μg/l			4		4 -			4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 105	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4			4		2			11
PCBs PCBs	PCB 110 PCB 114	μg/l			4 4		4 - 4 -		- 4	4 4		4		2 2			11 11
PCBs	PCB 114 PCB 118	μg/l			4 4		4 -			+ 4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 128	μg/l μg/l			4		4 -			-		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 137	μg/l			4	_	4 -			-		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 138	μg/l			4		4 -					4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 141	μg/l			4		. 4 -		- 4	1		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 149	μg/l			4		. 4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 151	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 153	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 156	μg/l			4		4 -		- 2	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 157	μg/l			4		4 -		- 2	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 158	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 170	μg/l			4		4 -		- 2	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 174	μg/l			4		4 -		- 2	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 177	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 180	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 183	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 187	μg/l			4 0.001	0.002	4 -		- 4	4 0.001	0.001	4		2	0	(0 11
PCBs	PCB 189	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 194	μg/l			4		4 0.0	002 0.0	04 4	4		4		2	0	0.001	1 11
PCBs	PCB 195	μg/l			4		4 0.0	002 0.0	04 4	4		4		2	0	0.001	1 11
PCBs	PCB 200	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 201	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 203	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 206	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCB 209	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
PCBs	PCBs	μg/l	0.001	0.002	4 0.009	0.018	4 0.0	004 0.0	07 4	4 0.002	0.005	4		2	0.002	0.003	3 11
Pesticides	Aldrin	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
Pesticides	Ametryn	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
Pesticides	Aspon	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
Pesticides	Atraton	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
Pesticides	Atrazine	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
Pesticides	Azinphos ethyl	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
Pesticides	Azinphos methyl	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
Pesticides	Bolstar	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
Pesticides	Carbophenothion	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
Pesticides	Chlordane, cis-	μg/l			4 0.001	0.001	4 -		- 4	4		4		2	0	0.001	1 11
Pesticides	Chlordane, trans-	μg/l			4 0	0.001	4 -		- 4	4		4		2	0	(0 11
Pesticides	Chlordene, alpha-	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
Pesticides	Chlordene, gamma-	μg/l			4 0.001	0.003	4 -		- 4	4 0	0.001	4		2	0	(0 11
Pesticides	Chlorfenvinphos	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
	Chlorpyrifos	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
	Chlorpyrifos methyl	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
Pesticides		μg/l			4		4 -			4		4		2			11
	Coumaphos	μg/l			4		4 -			4		4		2			11
Pesticides		μg/l			4 0	0.001	4 -				0.001	4		2	0	(0 11
	; DDD(o,p')	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2			11
	; DDD(p,p')	μg/l			4		4 -			4		4		2	0		0 11
	DDE(o,p')	μg/l			4		4 -		- 4	4		4		2	0	(0 11
	DDE(p,p')	μg/l			4 0	0.001				4 0.001	0.001			2	0	(0 11
	DDMU(p,p')	μg/l			4		4 -			4		4		2			11
	; DDT(o,p')	μg/l			4		4 -			4		4		2			11
	; DDT(p,p')	μg/l			4 0.001	0.002			- 4	4			0.001		0		0 11
Pesticides		μg/l			4 0.001	0.003	4 -				0.001		0.001		0.001	(0 11
	Demeton-s	μg/l			4		4 -			4		4		2			11
Pesticides		μg/l				0.029					0.032			2		0.059	
Pesticides	Dichlofenthion	μg/l			4		4 -			4		4		2			11
	Dieblessee	μg/l			4		4 -		- /	4		4		2			11
Pesticides	Dicrotophos	μg/l			-		4 -			+ 1		4		2			11

	Appendix IIa, continued. Means and standard deviations of water chemistry constituents.
--	---

			901SJM			901SJ			901SJ	SJC5		901SJ	SJC9		901SJ	SMT2		Waters		ean
Category	Constituent	Units	Mean S	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n
Pesticides	Dieldrin	μg/l			4			4			4	0	0.001	4			2	0	0) 11
Pesticides	Dimethoate	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0.001	0.003	11
Pesticides	Dioxathion	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Disulfoton	μg/l			4			4			4	0.008	0.015	4			2	0.003	0.004	11
Pesticides	Endosulfan I	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0	0	11
Pesticides	Endosulfan II	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0	0	11
Pesticides	Endosulfan sulfate	μg/l			4			4			4	0	0.001	4			2	0	0	11
Pesticides	Endrin	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0	0	11
Pesticides	Endrin Aldehyde	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
	Endrin Ketone	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Ethion	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides		μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Famphur	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Fenchlorphos	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Fenitrothion	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Fensulfothion	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Fenthion	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Fonofos	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	HCH, alpha	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0	0) 11
Pesticides	HCH, beta	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	HCH, delta	μg/l			4	0	0.001	4			4	0	0.001	4			2	0	0	11
Pesticides	HCH, gamma	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Heptachlor	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Heptachlor epoxide	μg/l			4	0	0.001	4			4	0	0.001	4			2	0	0) 11
Pesticides	Hexachlorobenzene	μg/l	0	(04	0.001	0.002	4	0		04	0	0	4			2	0	0	11
Pesticides	Leptophos	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Malathion	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Merphos	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Methidathion	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Methoxychlor	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Mevinphos	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Mirex	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Molinate	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Naled	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Nonachlor, cis-	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0	0	11
Pesticides	Nonachlor, trans-	μg/l			4	0	0.001	4			4			4			2	0	0) 11
Pesticides	Oxadiazon	μg/l			4	0.024	0.025	4			4	0.299	0.48	4	0.001	0.001	2	0.04	0.088	11
Pesticides	Oxychlordane	μg/l			4	0	0.001	4			4	0	0.001	4			2	0	0	11
Pesticides	Parathion, Ethyl	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
	Parathion, Methyl	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Phorate	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	Phosmet	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
	Phosphamidon	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides		μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
	Prometryn	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides		μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
	Secbumeton	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0.003	0.006	; 11
Pesticides		μg/l			4			4			4			4	0.017	0.024		0.002		
Pesticides		μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	•	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides		μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0	0) 11
Pesticides		μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
	Terbuthylazine	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
Pesticides	•	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
	Tetrachlorvinphos	μg/i μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
	Thiobencarb	μg/i μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0.003		
Pesticides		μg/i μg/l			4			4			4			4			2	0.003		11
Pesticides					4			4			4			4			2			11
		μg/l																		
	Trichlorfon	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11
resticides	Trichloronate	μg/l			4			4			4			4			2			11

			901SJ	MCC2		901SJ	OSO3		901SJ	SJC5		901SJS	SJC9		901SJS	SMT2	1	Waters	hed m	ean
Category	Constituent	Units	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean 3	SD	n	Mean	SD	n I	Mean	SD	n
Physical	Fine-ASTM, Passing No. 200 Sieve	%	42.9	37.6	4	66.4		1	7.9		1	18	16	4				26.5	19.2	2 9
Physical	Oxygen, Saturation	%	61	31	4	104	25	4	75	24	4	117	18	4	93	7	2	103	25	5 11
Physical	рН	pН	7.1	0.7	4	8	0.4	4	7	0.9	4	7.7	0.8	4	8	0.3	2	7.8	0.5	5 11
Physical	Salinity	ppt	2	0.5	3	0.9	0.7	3	0.2	0.1	3	0.7	0.4	3	0.2	0	2	0.9	1.1	11
Physical	SpecificConductivity	mS/cm	4015	833	4	2173	1418	4	473	184	4	1797	987	4	384	76	2	1811	1963	3 11
Physical	Suspended Sediment Concentration	%	50.7		1	5.3		1	173.6		1	4.9		1				70.5	117.2	2 8
Physical	Temperature	°C	16.5	1.5	4	19.1	3.6	4	14.2	1.4	4	17.6	4.3	4	16.2	0.8	2	16.8	1.7	7 11
Physical	Total Suspended Solids	mg/l	81.2	96.8	3	127.2	212.9	3	5.1	8.2	3	57.9	97.1	3	2.7	1.4	2	102.2	116.9) 11
Physical	Turbidity	NTU	21	19	4	1.8	1.7	4	2.8	4.4	4	29.9	53.4	4	9.4	12.8	2	41.8	45.1	11
Physical	Velocity	ft/s	0	0.1	4	0	0.1	4	0	0.1	4	0.3	0.4	4			2	0.4	0.6	5 11

Appendix IIb. Means and standard deviations of water chemistry constituents at non-SWAMP sites.

	27 (Narc	o Downstre	eam)	27 (Na	rco Upstr	eam)
Constituent	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν
Cadmium, dissolved (ug/l)	19.2	23	36	12.3	1.9	12
Chlorpyrifos (ng/l)			4			4
Copper, dissolved (ug/l)	1.3	4.3	12			12
Diazinon (ng/l)	5.8	11.5	4	6.3	12.7	4
Malathion (ng/l)	735	848.7	4	78.5	90.6	4
Nickel, dissolved (ug/l)	137.9	153.4	36	84.3	14.8	12
Dimethoate (ng/l)			4			4
Total phophorus (mg/l)	0.7	1	12	0.7	1	12

Appendix IIb, continued. Means and standard deviations of water chemistry constituents at non-SWAMP sites.

_

		Ente	roccoccus		Feca	al Coliform	ı	Total	Colilform	-	TKN		Ortho	phosph	nate
		(cfu	/ 100 ml)		(cfu	i / 100 ml)		(cfu	/ 100 ml)	(mg/l)			(mg/l)	
Site	Specific location	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD N	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν
27	NarcoDownstream	6608	4965	12	7905	12898	42	1E+05	114456 11	6.3	2.7	12			
27	NarcoUpstream	8236	6124	11	25075	42113	12	2E+05	93720 11	6	2.1	12			
28	Lower Reach Downstream end	1698	1438	56	1008	1572	56	8946	8231 56	6	27.8	56	0.4	0.1	56
28	Lower Reach midreach (La Plata)	2981	2790	67	1036	1358	67	15311	17776 67	2.6	0.9	67	0.4	0.2	67
28	Middle Reach Downstream end (La	1559	2015	19	606	861	19	6589	5636 19	2.1	0.9	19	0.5	0.7	19
	Paz)-Post construction														
28	Middle Reach Downstream end (La	3778	3035	30	1672	2355	30	28023	36401 30	2.6	0.5	30	0.5	0.5	30
	Paz)-Pre construction														
28	Middle Reach upstream end (Nueva	1872	2677	19	498	627	19	9884	14492 19	2.4	0.7	19	0.4	0.1	19
	Vista) - Post construction														
28	Middle Reach upstream end (Nueva	3179	2921	41	1248	1627	41	17541	18562 41	2.6	0.7	41	0.5	0.2	41
	Vista) - Pre consrtuction														
28	Upper Reach - Upper End	5660	7075	55	4761	6371	55	1E+05	107626 55	2.9	0.5	55	0.4	0.2	55

		D	issolved	1							Re	lative		S	pecific								
		оху	gen (mg	ı∕I)		pН			Temperature (C)			ohyll (u	g/l)	conduct	Turbidity (NT								
Site	Specific location	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	N				
1	AC-CCR	9.6	1.9	6	8.0	0.3	6	16.5	4	6	7.9	5.1	5	3	0.3	6							
2	AC-PPD	9	1.6	6	8.1	0.2	6	17.8	2.2	6	2.3	0.7	5	2.6	0.4	6							
4	REF-AT2	10	2	4	8.0	0.3	4	14.6	2.4	4	0.2	0.3	4	0.5	0.3	4							
6	SJC-74	8.2	2.2	5	7.7	0.5	5	17.4	2.8	5	1	0.3	5	1.4	0.4	5							
10	SMC-I5	6	1.6	2	7.2	0.1	2	18.7	0.5	2	0.9	0.2	2	0.8	0.1	2	1.2	0.2	2				
11	WC-WCT	12.3	6	2	8.2	0.2	2	13.1	1.2	2	2.5		1	2.2	0.7	2							
12	AC-ACP	8.7	2.7	6	8.0	0.2	6	18.5	2.9	6	2.5	1.7	5	3.2	0.4	6							
13	CC-CR	12.4	3.6	4	7.9	0.1	4	15.4	2.5	4	0.9	0.7	4	1	0.2	4							
14	EC-MD	11	2.2	6	8.4	0.6	6	18	4.2	6	3	1.1	5	1.9	0.2	6							
15	LC-133	8.9	2.9	6	8.2	0.2	6	15.8	2	6	3.2	0.9	5	1.6	0.4	6							
16	LP-BR	9.3	2.5	4	7.8	0.2	4	17.2	3.1	4	1.5	0.9	4	0.9	0.1	4	1.1	0.8	2				
17	PD-CGV	10.4		1	8.8		1	15.2		1			0	3.8		1							
18	REF-BC	8.6	3.3	4	7.8	0.5	4	16	0.2	4	0.1	0.1	4	0.8	0.1	4							
19	REF-CS	11.6	0.9	6	8.4	0.3	6	15.5	2.6	6			0	0.6	0.1	6							
20	SC-MB	9.4	1.3	6	7.8	0.3	6	15.7	2.9	6	5.4	1.4	5	3.7	0.7	6							
21	SD-AP	9.8	3.4	6	8.0	0.5	6	14.1	3.3	6	7.6	2	5	4.5	0.9	6							
22	SJC-CC	8	2.5	6	7.8	0.5	6	17.5	4.1	6	2.5	1	5	2.2	0.8	6							
23	SOC-2				9.2		1	27.3		1	2.4		1	0.5		1							
24	SOC-15	8.4	0.9	2	7.6	0.1	2	13.5	3.8	2	2	0.3	2	1	0.1	2	412	580.9	2				
25	TC-AP	10.2	4.3	6	8.2	0.4	6	16.3	2.6	6	0.9	0.7	5	1.1	0.2	6							
26	TC-DO	12.8	2.4	6	8.4	0.2	6	20.2	7.2	6	9.4	10.1	5	2.2	0.5	6							
27	Narco Downstream	18.3							4	41			0	73.2	3.4	11							
27	Narco Upstream	22.7							1.1	11			0	72.9	2	11							
28	Lower Reach Downstream end Lower Reach midreach (La	15							3.8	56													
28	Plata)	16.2							5	67													
28	Middle Reach Downstream end (La Paz)-Post construction	13.4							5.1	19													
28	Middle Reach Downstream end	16.8							3.2	30													
28	(La Paz)-Pre construction Middle Reach upstream end (Nueva Vista) - Post	11.5							3.8	19													
28	construction Middle Reach upstream end (Nueva Vista) - Pre	16.8							3.6	41													
28	consrtuction Upper Reach - Upper End	18.2							2	55													

Appendix IIb, continued. Means and standard deviations of water chemistry constituents at non-SWAMP sites.

APPENDIX III

Results from toxicity assays for each endpoint at each site in the watershed. Mean = mean percent control. SD = standard deviation. A. SWAMP sites. B. Sites assessed by Orange County NPDES.

A. SWAMP-Sites

			С. (dubia				ŀ	. а	zteca		S. capricornutum						
	Sur	vival		Young	/ fem	nale	Sur	vival	l –	Gr	owth		Total cell count					
Site	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD I	1			
901SJALC6	104	6	3	84	43	3	86	20	4	135	64	3	52	34	4			
901SJATC2	95	7	2	71	5	2	0		1			0	95	8	2			
901SJATC5	94	12	4	87	25	4	101	16	3	120	44	3	52	47	4			
901SJBEL2	95	7	2	78	34	2			0			0	98	25	2			
901SJENG2	60	49	4	94	18	3	67	34	4	88	36	4	84	15	4			
901SJLAG2	78	45	4	91	36	4	66	41	4	123	34	4	49	11	4			
901SJMCC2	101	15	2	79	10	2	97	6	4	338	478	4	10	8	4			
901SJOSO3	77	40	3	102	38	3	52	71	2	82		1	52	24	4			
901SJSJC5	93	10	4	102	17	4	109		1	221		1	106	15	4			
901SJSJC9	98	5	4	111	22	4	93	23	4	119	45	4	53	21	4			
901SJSMT2	100	0	2	102	24	2			0			0	78	15	2			
Mean of all sites	87	30	34	93	26	33	79	34	27	155	197	24	99	35	38			

B. Orange County NPDES

	ange oo	ancy		210																
		(C. (dubia			Н. а.	zteca	1	S. ca	pricc	ornutu	ım	P. promelas						
	Surv	vival		Gr	owth		Sur	vival		Tota	al cel	nt	Sur	vival		Growth				
Site	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean		SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	
1	94	10	7	105	12	7	99	10	7		146	56	6	99	17	3	130	29	3	
2	102	13	7	113	29	7	103	8	7		162	70	7	85	11	3	129	70	3	
4	99	16	5	87	14	6	102	3	6		172	63	6							
6	105	10	7	110	19	7	98	4	7		168	60	7							
11	100	0	2	139	30	2	84	22	2		202	49	2	97		1	248		1	
12	80	37	8	75	39	8	94	13	8		154	57	8	93	22	4	134	8	4	
13	100	0	5	116	19	5	101	8	5		151	72	4							
14	95	11	6	103	29	6	105	7	6		148	47	5	83		1	150		1	
15	105	8	7	516	1030	7	99	5	7		200	110	7							
17	0		1	0		1	100		1		179		1							
18	97	5	6	104	14	6	100	7	6		161	42	5							
19	102	4	7	124	29	7	100	10	7		185	66	7							
20	67	46	7	65	60	7	91	20	6		160	67	8							
21	38	44	6	9	8	5	100	0	5		129	48	4							
22	98	4	6	111	11	6	106	5	6		147	49	5							
25	124	62	7	131	25	7	113	21	7		157	68	6							
26	100	7	6	118	24	6	102	6	6		147	46	5							

APPENDIX IV

Mean IBI and metric scores for bioassessment sites in the San Juan HU. Note that the number listed under IBI is the mean IBI for each site, and not the IBI calculated from the mean metric values.

	IBI				Coleor	otera	EP	T	Pred	ator	% Coll	ectors	% Intol	erant	% Nor	i-insect	% Tolerant	
					Taxa		Таха		Taxa						Taxa		Таха	
Site	Season	n Years	Mean	SD	Mean		Mean		Mean		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean		Mean SD	
1	Average	13 1998-2005	16.4	0.4	1.5	1.4	1.2	0.1	1.7	0.2	2.6	0.2	0	0	1.5	0.2	2.9 1.2	
1	Fall	7 1998-2005	16.1	7.4	0.6	1	1.3	0.5	1.6	2.1	2.7	3.7	0	0	1.4	1.5	3.7 2.7	
1	Spring	6 1998-2005	16.7	17.8	2.5	4.2	1.2	0.8	1.8	4	2.5	1.8	0	0	1.7	2.6	2 1.8	
2	Average	12 1998-2005	14.9	1.2	0.8	0.2	1.2	0.2	1.3	0.2	2.8	2	0	0	1.7	0.9	2.7 0.5	
2	Fall	6 1998-2005	15.7	9.9	0.7	1	1.3	0.8	1.5	2.8	4.2	3.9	0	0	1	0.9	2.3 1.9	
2	Spring	6 1998-2005	14	8.6	1	1.7	1	0	1.2	2.9	1.3	1.4	0	0	2.3	1.9	3 1.8	
3	Average	6 1998-2000	31.9	6.1	3.5	0.2	2.3	0.9	2.7	0.5	6.7	3.8	0	0	3.5	0.2	3.7 0.9	
3	Fall	3 1998-2000	36.2	3.6	3.3	1.2	3	1	3	2	9.3	1.2	0	0	3.7	0.6	3 0	
3	Spring	3 1998-2000	27.6	14.5	3.7	1.5	1.7	1.2	2.3	2.1	4	5.2	0	0	3.3	1.2	4.3 0.6	
4	Average	6 2001-2005	68	23	7.1	2.7	7.4	1.6	5	3.5	7.1	4.1	6.6	4.1	7.5	0.7	6.9 0.5	
4	Fall	2 2003-2005	84.3	12.1	9	1.4	8.5	2.1	7.5	2.1	10	0	9.5	0.7	8	1.4	6.5 0.7	
4	Spring	4 2001-2005	51.8	18.6	5.3	3.4	6.3	1.9	2.5	3.7	4.3	2.2	3.8	2.8	7	0.8	7.3 0.5	
5	Spring	2 2001-2001	16.4	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	8.5	2.1	0	0		0	0 0	
6	Average	12 1998-2005	23.8	4.8	0.6	0.8	1.9	0.2	2.6	1.2	5.4	3.4	0	0		0.1	3.9 0.7	
6	Fall	5 1998-2005	27.1	3.4	0	0	2	1.9	3.4	1.7	7.8	2.7	0	0		1.7	3.4 2.1	
6	Spring	7 1998-2005	20.4	4.8	1.1	1.6	1.7	1	1.7	1	3	2.1	0	0		2.1	4.4 2.3	
7	Spring	4 2001-2005	31.4	13.7	1.5	1.9	4.5	1.7	1.5	1.9	3	3.6	1.5	1.7	4.8	1	5.3 1	
8	Spring	1 2001-2001	41.4		8		5		5		1		3		4		3	
9	Spring	1 2001-2001	31.4		4		2	_	2		10		0	_	2		2	
10	Average	2 2005-2006	23.6	27.3	4.5	3.5	1	0	2.5	3.5	5	7.1	0	0	1	1.4	1 1.4	
10	Fall	1 2005-2005	42.9		7		1		5		10		0		2		2	
10	Spring	1 2006-2006	4.3	~ 4	2	~	1	o 7	0	~ 4	0	5.0	0	~	0	0	0	
11	Average	5 2001-2004	15.5	6.4	0	0	0.5	0.7	0.3	0.4		5.2	0	0		0	4.8 1.8	
11	Fall	2 2002-2004	20	2	0	0	1	0	0.5	0.7	9	1.4	0	0		0	3.5 2.1	
11	Spring	3 2001-2001	11	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.7	2.9	0	0		0	6 4	
12 12	Average Fall	6 2002-2005 4 2002-2005	12 9.6	3.3 4.7	0 0	0 0	1.3 1	0.4 0	0.3 0	0.4 0	2.6 0.8	2.7 1	0 0	0 0		0.2 1.7	2.4 1.2 3.3 2.5	
12	Spring	2 2002-2005	9.0 14.3	4.7	0	0	1.5	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.8 4.5	0.7	0	0	1.0	1.4	1.5 0.7	
13	Average	4 2003-2005	39.6	4.5	4.5	2.1	3.8	1.1	4.8	1.1	5.3	1.1	0.3	0.4	6	0.7	3.3 1.1	
	Fall	2 2003-2005	36.4	13.1	5	1.4	4.5	0.7	4.0	1.4		1.4	0.5	0.4	-	2.1	2.5 2.1	
13	Spring	2 2003-2005	42.9	6.1	6	1.4	5	0.7	5.5	0.7	4.5	0.7	0.5	0.7	6.5	0.7	4 1.4	
14	Average	5 2002-2005	14.5	0.3	0	0	1.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	-	0.7	0.0	0.7		0.4	2 0	
14	Fall	4 2002-2005	14.6	6.6	Ő	Ő	1.3	0.5	0.5	0.6	6	3.9	0	Ő		0.6	2 1.4	
14	Spring	1 2005-2005	14.3	0.0	Ő	Ũ	1	0.0	0	0.0	7	0.0	0	Ũ	0.0	0.0	2	
15	Average	6 2002-2005	17	6.8	0	0	1.3	0.4	1	0.7		1.4	0	0		1.8	4.9 0.5	
15	•	4 2002-2005	21.8	6.2	0	0	1.5	0.6	1.5	1.3	3	2	0	0		0.8	5.3 2.2	
15	Spring	2 2003-2005	12.1	3	0	0	1	0	0.5	0.7	1	0	0	0		0.7	4.5 0.7	
	Average	4 2004-2006	36.8	0.5	2.8	1.1	3.3	1.1	3.5	0.7	4.5	2.1	1	0		1.8	6 1.4	
16	Fall	2 2004-2005	36.4	9.1	2	2.8	4	0	4	2.8	6	1.4	1	0	3.5	2.1	50	
16	Spring	2 2005-2006	37.1	14.1	3.5	4.9	2.5	0.7	3	2.8	3	1.4	1	0	6	1.4	7 0	
17	Average	2 2002-2003	7.1	6.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.5	2.1	1.5 2.1	
17		1 2002-2002	2.9		0		0		0		0		0		2		0	
17	Spring	1 2003-2003	11.4		0		0		0		0		0		5		3	
18	Average	4 2003-2005	65.7	5.1	6.5	1.4	6	0	7	0	6.5	1.4	5	2.1	7.5	0.7	7.5 0.7	
18	Fall	2 2004-2005	69.3	9.1	7.5	3.5	6	1.4	7	1.4	7.5	3.5	6.5	2.1	7	1.4	7 1.4	
18	Spring	2 2003-2005	62.1	9.1	5.5	2.1	6	1.4	7	2.8	5.5	0.7	3.5	2.1	8	0	8 0	

App	bendix I	/, continued	. меа	n IBI	and	metr	ic sc	ore	s.										
			IE	31	Coleop	otera	EP	Т	Pred	ator	% Col	ectors	% Into	lerant	% Non	-insect	% Tolerant		
					Tax	Taxa		Таха		Taxa						ixa	Taxa		
Site	Season	n Years	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
19	Average	6 2002-2005	33.8	3.3	2.5	2.1	3.5	0	1.3	1.1	2.5	2.1	0.6	0.2	6.9	0.2	6.4	0.9	
19	Fall	4 2002-2005	36.1	11.1	4	3.6	3.5	1	0.5	1	4	3.8	0.8	0.5	6.8	1.5	5.8	2.1	
19	Spring	2 2003-2005	31.4	2	1	1.4	3.5	0.7	2	1.4	1	0	0.5	0.7	7	1.4	7	1.4	
20	Average	6 2002-2005	7.3	1.3	0	0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	3.5	0	0	0	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.4	
20	Fall	4 2002-2005	8.2	7.9	0	0	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.5	3.5	1.7	0	0	0.8	1.5	1	1.4	
20	Spring	2 2003-2005	6.4	5.1	0	0	0.5	0.7	0	0	3.5	2.1	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.7	
21	Average	6 2002-2005	18.9	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.2	1	0	7.5	2.8	0	0	1.4	0.9	2.5	0.7	
21	Fall	4 2002-2005	19.3	5.5	0	0	0.3	0.5	1	1.4	9.5	1	0	0	0.8	1.5	2	0	
21	Spring	2 2003-2005	18.6	4	1	1.4	0.5	0.7	1	0	5.5	6.4	0	0	2	1.4	3	4.2	
22	Average	6 2002-2005	15.4	3.5	1	1.4	1	0	1.5	1.4	3.6	3	0	0	1.9	0.2	1.8	0.4	
22	Fall	4 2002-2005	17.9	12.4	0	0	1	0	2.5	1.3	5.8	4.9	0	0	1.8	1	1.5	1.9	
22	Spring	2 2003-2005	12.9	4	2	0	1	0	0.5	0.7	1.5	2.1	0	0	2	0	2	0	
23	Spring	1 2005-2005	50		10		3		8		4		0		7		3		
24	Average	3 2004-2006	27.9	1	3.8	0.4	0.8	0.4	3.5	0.7	7.5	3.5	0	0	3	1.4	1	0	
24	Fall	2 2004-2005	28.6	16.2	3.5	4.9	0.5	0.7	3	1.4	10	0	0	0	2	2.8	1	1.4	
24	Spring	1 2006-2006	27.1		4		1		4		5		0		4		1		
25	Average	6 2002-2005	17	9.8	0.5	0.7	1.4	0.5	1.5	0	4.1	4.4	0	0	1.6	0.5	2.8	1.8	
25	Fall	4 2002-2005	23.9	10.6	1	1.2	1.8	0.5	1.5	1.3	7.3	3.2	0	0	1.3	1	4	2.4	
25	Spring	2 2003-2005	10	0	0	0	1	0	1.5	2.1	1	1.4	0	0	2	1.4	1.5	2.1	
26	Average	6 2002-2005	18.8	2.3	0.8	0.4	1.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	3.9	5.5	0	0	3.3	1.8	3.9	2.3	
26	Fall	4 2002-2005	20.4	2.1	0.5	1	1.3	0.5	0.5	0.6	7.8	4.5	0	0	2	2.7	2.3	1.7	
26	Spring	2 2003-2005	17.1	0	1	1.4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.5	0.7	5.5	2.1	

Appendix IV, continued. Mean IBI and metric scores.