ITEM: 7

SUBJECT: General Chemical Corporation, Contra Costa County - Hearing to Consider Mandatory Minimum Penalty for Discharge of Partially Treated Wastewater to Waters of the State

CHRONOLOGY: April 2001 - Mandatory Minimum Penalty (MMP) December 2003 - MMP

DISCUSSION: General Chemical Corporation violated its effluent limits on 16 occasions during the period between September 1, 2003, and May 31, 2004. Fifteen of these violations are subject to mandatory penalty a total penalty of $45,000.

General Chemical has signed a waiver to a Water Board hearing (Appendix B), and intends to undertake a supplemental environmental project (SEP). The proposed SEP is for the Wetland Clean Up of the Bay Point Regional Shoreline located approximately one mile east of the Discharger's facility. The East Bay Regional Park District will conduct the cleanup of the debris. The attached complaint proposes civil liability in the amount of $45,000, of which $30,000 will be suspended to fund the SEP.

RECOMMENDATIONS: No action required.

File No.: 2119.1001 (MTC)

Appendix: A. Complaint No. R2-2004-0053 Appendix: B. Signed waiver
Pursuant to California Water Code Section 13385, this Complaint is issued to the General Chemical Corporation (hereinafter called the Discharger) to assess mandatory minimum penalties (MMP), based on a finding of the Discharger's violations of Waste Discharge Requirements contained in Order No. R2-2002-0071 for the period between September 1, 2003 and May 31, 2004.

The Executive Officer finds the following:


2. Water Code Section 13385(h)(1) requires the Water Board to assess an MMP of three thousand dollars ($3,000) for each serious violation.

3. Water Code Section 13385(h)(2) defines "serious violation" as any waste discharge of a Group I pollutant that exceeds the effluent limitation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements by 40 percent or more, or any waste discharge of a Group II pollutant that exceeds the effluent limitation by 20 percent or more.

4. Water Code Section 13385(i)(1) requires the Water Board to assess an MMP of three thousand dollars ($3,000) for each violation, not counting the first three violations, if the discharger does any of the following four or more times in any six consecutive months:

   a. Violates a waste discharge requirement effluent limitation.
   b. Fails to file a report pursuant to Section 13260.
   c. Files an incomplete report pursuant to 13260.
   d. Violates a toxicity effluent limitation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements where the waste discharge requirements do not contain pollutant specific effluent limitations for toxic pollutants.
5. Water Code Section 13385(1) allows the Regional Board, with the concurrence of the discharger, to
direct a portion of the MMP amount to be expended on a supplemental environmental project
(SEP) in accordance with the enforcement policy of the State Water Resources Control Board.
The discharger may undertake an SEP for up to the full amount of the MMP for liabilities less
than or equal to $15,000. If the MMP amount exceeds $15,000, the maximum MMP amount that
may be expended on an SEP may not exceed $15,000 plus 50 percent of the MMP amount that
exceeds $15,000.

6. Effluent Limitations
   Order No. R2-2002-0071 includes the following applicable effluent limitations:

   * Lead Interim Daily Maximum Limit: 56 mg/l
   * Mercury Interim Daily Maximum Limit: 1 Ltg/l
   * Mercury Interim Mass Emission Limit: 0.021 kg/month
   * Total Suspended Solids Weekly Average: 45 mg/l

7. Summary of Effluent Limit Violations
   During the period between September 1, 2003 and May 31, 2004, the Discharger had sixteen
   violations of its effluent limits. These violations are: one lead interim daily maximum limit
   violation, five mercury interim daily maximum limit violations, nine mercury interim mass
   emission limit violations, and one TSS weekly average limit violation. The details of these limit
   violations are summarized in the attached Table 1, which is incorporated herein by reference,
   and described in the following findings.

8. Lead Interim Daily Maximum
   The lead violation (item 1 in Table 1) is a serious violation. Therefore, this violation is
   subject to a $3,000 MMP.

9. Mercury Interim Daily Maximum
   The five violations (items 2, 4, 6, 8, and 12 in Table 1) are serious violations. Therefore,
   these violations are subject to a $15,000 MMP.

10. Mercury Interim Mass Emission Limit
    The first mercury interim mass emission limit violation in Table 1 (item 3) is a non serious
    violation and counts as the third chronic violation that is exempt from an MMP. The remaining
    eight violations (items 5, 7, 9, 10, and 13-16) are chronic violations and subject to an MMP since
    there have been four or more violations in a six-month period. Therefore, these violations are
    subject to a $24,000 MMP.

11. Total Suspended Solids
    The TSS violation (item 11) is a chronic violation and subject to an MMP since there have bee n
    four or more violations in a six-month period. Therefore, this violation is subject to a $3,000
    MMP.
12. **Water Code Exception**
   Water Code Section 133850) provides some exceptions related to the assessment of an MMP for effluent limit violations. None of the exceptions apply to the violations cited in this Complaint.

13. **MMP Assessment**
   Fifteen of the sixteen items listed in Table 1 are subject to an MMP. The total MMP amount is $45,000.

14. **Partial Suspended MMP Amounts**
   Instead of paying the full penalty amount to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account, the Discharger may spend an amount up to $30,000 on an SEP acceptable to the Executive Officer. Any such amount expended to satisfactorily complete an SEP will be permanently suspended.

15. **SEP Categories**
   If the Discharger chooses to propose an SEP, the proposed SEP shall be in the following categories:
   
   a. Pollution prevention; b. Pollution reduction;
   c. Environmental clean-up or restoration; and
   d. Environmental education.

THE GENERAL CHEMICAL CORPORATION IS HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE THAT:

1. The Executive Officer proposes that the Discharger be assessed MMP in the total amount of $45,000.

2. The Water Board will hold a hearing on this Complaint on October 20, 2004, unless the Discharger waives the right to a hearing by signing the last page (page 5) of this Complaint and checks the appropriate box. By doing so, the Discharger agrees to:
   
   a. Pay the full MMP of $45,000 within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective, or
   b. Propose an SEP in an amount up to $30,000. Pay the balance of the penalty within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective. The sum of the SEP amount and the amount of the fine to be paid to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account shall equal the full penalty of $45,000.

3. If the Discharger chooses to propose an SEP, it must submit a preliminary proposal by September 24, 2004 to the Executive Officer for conceptual approval. Any SEP proposal shall also conform to the requirements specified in Section IX of the Water Quality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board on February 19, 2002 and the attached Standard Criteria and Reporting Requirement for Supplemental Environmental Projects. If the proposed SEP is not acceptable to the...
Executive Officer, the Discharger has 30 days from receipt of notice of an unacceptable SEP to either submit a new or revised proposal, or make a payment for the suspended penalty of $530,000. All payments, including money not used for the SEP, must be payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account. Regular reports on the SEP implementation shall be provided to the Executive Officer according to a schedule to be determined. The completion report for the SEP shall be submitted to the Executive Officer within 60 days of project completion.

4. The signed waiver will become effective on the next day after the public comment period for this Complaint is closed, provided that there are no significant public comments on this Complaint during the public comment period. If there are significant public comments, the Executive Officer may withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate.

5. If a hearing is held, the Water Board may impose an administrative civil liability in the amount proposed or for a different amount; decline to seek civil liability; or refer the matter to the Attorney General to have a Superior Court consider imposition of penalty.

Table 1 - Violations Summary
Attachment A - Standard Criteria and Reporting Requirements for Supplemental Environmental Projects
WAIVER (The signed waiver will become effective on the next day after the public comment period for this Complaint is closed, provided that there are no significant public comments on this Complaint during the public comment period. If there are significant public comments, the Executive Officer may withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate.)

- **Waiver of the right to a hearing and agree to make payment in full.**
  
  By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Board with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R2-2004-0053 and to remit the full penalty payment to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account, c/o Regional Water Quality Control Board at 1515 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective as indicated above. I understand that I am giving up my right to be heard, and to argue against the allegations made by the Executive Officer in this Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the civil liability proposed.

- **Waiver of right to a hearing and agree to make payment and undertake an SEP.**
  
  By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Board with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R2-2004-0053, and to complete a supplemental environmental project (SEP) in lieu of the suspended liability up to $30,000. I also agree to remit payment of the balance of the fine to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account (CAA) with 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective. I understand that the SEP proposal shall conform to the requirements specified in Section IX of the Water Quality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board on February 19, 2002, and be subject to approval by the Executive Officer. If the SEP proposal, or its revised version, is not acceptable to the Executive Officer, I agree to pay the suspended penalty amount for the SEP within 30 days of the date of the letter from the Executive Officer denying the approval of the proposed/revised SEP. I also understand that I am giving up my right to argue against the allegations made by the Executive Officer in the Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the civil liability proposed. I further agree to satisfactorily complete the approved SEP within a time schedule set by the Executive Officer. I understand failure to adequately complete the approved SEP will require immediate payment of the suspended liability to the CAA.

Name (print) Signature
Date Title/Organization
A. BASIS AND PURPOSE

The San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board) accepts and encourages Supplemental Environmental Projects (SEP) in lieu of a portion of the ACL imposed on Dischargers in the Bay Area.

The Water Board does not select projects for SEP; rather, the Discharger identifies a project it would like to fund and then obtains approval from the Water Board’s Executive Officer. The Water Board facilitates the process by maintaining a list of possible projects, which is made available to Dischargers interested in pursuing the SEP option. This list is available on the Water Board website:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/wb2/

Dischargers are not required to select a project from this list. Dischargers may contact local governments or public interest groups for potential projects in their area, or develop projects of their own.

B. GENERAL SEP QUALIFICATION CRITERIA

All SEPs approved by the Water Board must satisfy the following general criteria:

(a) An SEP shall only consist of measures that go above and beyond all legal obligations of the Discharger (including those from other agencies). For example, sewage pump stations should have appropriate reliability features to minimize the occurrence of sewage spills in that particular collection system. The installation of these reliability features following a pump station spill would not qualify as an SEP.

(b) The SEP should benefit or study groundwater or surface water quality or quantity, and the beneficial uses of waters of the State. SEPs in the following categories have received approval from the Water Board’s Executive Officer:

Pollution prevention. These are projects designed to reduce the amount of pollutants being discharged to either sewer systems or to storm drains. Examples include improved industrial processes that reduce production of pollutants or improved spill prevention programs.

Pollution reduction. These are projects that reduce the amounts of pollution being discharged to the environment from treatment facilities. An example is a program to recycle treated wastewaters.

Environmental restoration. These projects either restore or create natural environments. Typical examples are wetland restoration or planting of stream bank vegetation.
• Environmental education. These projects involve funding environmental education programs in schools (or for teachers) or for the general public.

Further, an SEP should be located near the Discharger, in the same local watershed, unless the project is of region-wide importance.

C. APPROVAL PROCESS
The following information shall be submitted to the Executive Officer for approval of an SEP:

1. Name of the organization and contact person, with phone number.
2. Name and location of the project, including watershed (creek, river, bay) where it is located.
3. A detailed description of the proposed project, including proposed activities, time schedules, success criteria, other parties involved, monitoring program where applicable, and any other pertinent information.
4. General cost of the project.
5. Outline milestones and expected completion date.

Generally SEP proposals are submitted along with waivers of hearings. In such a case the approval of a proposal will not become effective until the waiver goes into effect, i.e. at the close of the public comment period. There will not be a public hearing on the SEP proposal unless new and significant information becomes available after the close of the public comment period that could not have been presented during the comment period.

If the Discharger needs additional time to prepare an SEP it may waive its right to a hearing within 30 days of the issuance of a Complaint (and retain its right to a hearing to contest the Complaint at a later date), and request additional time to prepare an SEP proposal. Any such time extension needs to be approved by Water Board staff.

D. REPORTING REQUIREMENT
On January 15 and July 15 of each year, progress reports shall be filed for the SEPs with expected completion date beyond 240 days after the issuance of the corresponding complaint.

E. FINAL NOTIFICATION
No later than 60 days after completion of the approved SEP, a final notification shall be filed. The final notification shall include the following information:

- Outline completed tasks and goals;
- Summary of all expenses with proof of payment; and
- Overall evaluation of the SEP.

F. THIRD PARTY PROJECT OVERSIGHT
For SEPs of more than $10,000 the Water Board requires there to be third party oversight of the project. The Water Board has made arrangements with the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) to provide this oversight, or a Discharger may choose an alternative third party acceptable to the Executive Officer. If ABAG is chosen, six percent of the SEP funds shall be directed to ABAG for oversight services (the remaining 94% of funds go directly to the SEP). If an alternative third party is chosen, the amount of funds directed to the SEP, as opposed to oversight, shall not be less than 94% of the total SEP funding. For projects greater than $10,000 the Discharger shall indicate when submitting the information required under C. above whether ABAG or an alternative third party oversight entity will be used.
WAIVER (The signed waiver will become effective on the next day after the public comment period for this Complaint is closed, provided that there are no significant public comments on this Complaint during the public comment period. If there are significant public comments, the Executive Officer may withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate.)

O Waiver of the right to a hearing and agree to make payment in full

By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Board with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R2-2004-0053 and to remit the full penalty payment to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account, c/o Regional Water Quality Control Board at 1515 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective as indicated above. I understand that I am giving up my right to be heard, and to argue against the allegations made by the Executive Officer in this Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the civil liability proposed.

Waiver of right to a hearing and agree to make payment and undertake an SEP

By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Board with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R2-2004-0053, and to complete a supplemental environmental project (SEP) in lieu of the suspended liability up to $30,000. I also agree to remit payment of the balance of the fine to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account (CAA) within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective. I understand that the SEP proposal shall conform to the requirements specified in Section IX of the Water Quality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board on February 19, 2002, and be subject to approval by the Executive Officer. If the SEP proposal, or its revised version, is not acceptable to the Executive Officer, I agree to pay the suspended penalty amount for the SEP within 30 days of the date of the letter from the Executive Officer denying the approval of the proposed/revised SEP. I also understand that I am giving up my right to argue against the allegations made by the Executive Officer in the Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the civil liability proposed. I further agree to satisfactorily complete the approved SEP within a time schedule set by the Executive Officer. I understand failure to adequately complete the approved SEP will require immediate payment of the suspended liability to the CAA.

Signature

Name (print)

Date

Title/Organization