

## Mary Rose Cassa - Hookston Station Plume Feasibility Study Comments

---

**From:** Colleen Goya <cbgoya@astound.net>  
**To:** <mcassa@waterboards.ca.gov>  
**Date:** 8/6/2006 5:49 PM  
**Subject:** Hookston Station Plume Feasibility Study Comments

---

### Hookston Station Plume Feasibility Study Comments

I have reviewed the published feasibility study, attended the Colony Park/Water Board Working Group meeting, and read the yellow data sheet that invites public comment on the FS.

My impression of the FS remedial alternatives and the analysis of those alternatives is:

• The alternatives involve selection of different technologies or combinations of technologies aimed at remedying the contaminated zones A and B. These alternatives are evaluated based on the 9 criteria established as standards for such situations. The evaluations and the criteria, however, *seem to be missing a discussion of how adaptable the various alternatives are as remedial solutions.*

• How flexible and adaptable is each alternative, especially the recommended alternative #4? Is it adaptable to potential changes in the plume size and location? The FS seems to assume a static size and location that is not affected over time. What if monitoring results show poor progress in expected levels of remediation? Is there a fallback plan and are there contingencies for changing remediation methods or technologies if needed?

• I am concerned about other source of plume contamination coming from mixed sources mentioned in the FS. Will Alternative #4 be complicated by those additional contamination sources—does this remedy preclude any other approaches to address the other contaminants?

• I would like to see the FS directly address the adaptability of the remedial alternatives, perhaps as part of the criteria of “implementability.”

• The proposed timeframe for the next phases through the start of actual remediation work feels discouragingly long. Is there any way to speed this process up?

### Other Comments

I very much appreciate the email updates, the fact sheet, and the working group meetings—they have been of high quality, and they have helped enormously to bridge the communication gap between the Water Board and the community. Thank you!

Colleen Goya