

VIA FACSIMILE & U. S. MAIL

August 30, 2006

Mary Rose Cassa
California Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Francisco Region
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400
Oakland, CA 94612

RE: Feasibility Study Comments
Hookston Station Site

Dear Ms. Cassa:

The following questions/comments are based on my preliminary review of the scope of Alternative 4 (the proposed alternative) described in the FS for the Hookston Station project:

- 1) Will there be a contingency plan in the event that groundwater goes around the PRB instead of through it? If groundwater goes around the PRB to the west, then contaminated groundwater will be more directly up gradient of the MDUSD school property.
- 2) The planned location for PRB is in the vicinity of the highest detected concentrations of chlorinated compounds in soil vapor. Will air be monitored during the installation of the PRB? Will there be a plan to stop or adjust the scope of work if air quality is adversely impacted during installation of the PRB? Can this work be scheduled to occur when school is not in session?
- 3) Will air be monitored in the area surrounding the residences when SVE is used to prevent contaminated vapors from entering houses? And/or, will the vapors be treated before they are discharged to the atmosphere?

We look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Richard Nicoll
Assistant Superintendent

