

State of California
Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board

Minutes of the March 15, 2024 Board Meeting
City of Anaheim
200 S. Anaheim Blvd.
Anaheim, CA 92805
And via Video and Teleconference (Gov. Code, § 11123.2)

Court Reporter recorded items: 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10.

Chair Murray called the meeting to order at 9:19 a.m.

Item 1. Introductions and Declaration of Quorum

Information pertaining to this item is contained in a verbatim transcript of the proceedings.

***Indicates that attendance was virtual.

Board Members Present at Board Meeting

Kris A. Murray, Chair
John Scandura, Vice Chair
William Ruh, Board Member
Letitia Clark, Board Member
Gloria Alvarado, Board Member
Al Lopez, Board Member

Board Member Clark arrived late and joined the meeting during Item 8.

Board Members Absent at Board Meeting

None.

Staff Present at Board Meeting

Jayne Joy, Executive Officer
Eric Lindberg, Assistant Executive Officer
Jessica Baenre, Executive Assistant
Nick Amini, Branch Manager
Jagroop Khela, Branch Manager
Dan Mefford, Information Technology Specialist
Don Nguyen, Information Technology Associate
Fernanda Arechiga, Scientific Aid***
Maile Gee, Senior Engineering Geologist***
Adam Fischer, Senior Environmental Scientist (Supervisor)
Michael Jones, Water Resource Control Engineer
Paloma Abell-Orozco, Associate Governmental Program Analyst
Katie Duprey, Associate Governmental Program Analyst
Vanessa Fuerte, Staff Services Analyst
Adaoha Ollivierre, Water Resource Control Engineer

Amirhossein Rezaei Adaryani, Water Resource Control Engineer
Alice Nash, Water Resource Control Engineer

State Water Board Present at Board Meeting

Lori Okun, Regional Board Counsel
Dorene D'Adamo, State Water Board Liaison***

Court Reporter Present at Board Meeting

Marlee Nelson***

Spanish Interpreters Present at Board Meeting

Juan Carlos Juarez
Aldo Renteria

Public Attendance Present at Board Meeting

Tess Dunham, Kahn, Soares & Conway, LLP***
Arlene Chun, San Bernardino County
Keith Linker, City of Anaheim
Michael Roberts, City of Riverside
Raymond Hiemstra, Orange County Coastkeeper
Theodore Von Bitner, WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure, Inc.***
Vaikko Allen, Contech Engineered Solutions, LLC***
Brenda Stevens, WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure, Inc.***
Ian Achimore, SAWPA***
Daniel Apt, Olaunu
Hal Schillinger, Contech Engineered Solutions
Katie Payne, Enthalpy Analytical
Mark Grey, CICWQ
James Fortuna, County of Orange
Amanda Carr, County of Orange
Tricia Vivian, San Bernardino County
Brenda Ponton, Woodard & Curran

Item 2. Public Forum

Raymond Hiemstra, Associate Director at Orange County Coastkeeper, discussed the security measures in place at the City of Anaheim Council Chambers and recommended finding a more welcoming location to hold Board meetings. Mr. Hiemstra also spoke about transparency and the posting of documents.

In response, Ms. Joy stated that all Board meeting items are available online. She also asked Mr. Hiemstra to call her to discuss the specific documents he doesn't have access to.

Item 3. State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) Liaison Report

State Water Board Member Dorene D'Adamo provided an update on the following:

Division of Drinking Water Resolution

The Division of Drinking Water presents a yearly Resolution to the State Water Board that outlines the priorities for Drinking Water Activities for the upcoming year. The 2024 Resolution focuses on several key initiatives including adopting Maximum Contaminant Levels for Hexavalent Chromium, continuing to work on PFOA and PFAS, revising MCL for arsenic, establishing notification and response levels for four Cyanotoxins associated with harmful algal blooms, and beginning work on disinfected byproducts. Additionally, the Division of Drinking Water will make water quality standards for onsite treatment and reuse a priority.

Racial Equity Action Plan

The State Water Board has had an action plan in place for a year, and the State Water Board website provides useful categories for analyzing and measuring progress regarding goals.

Water Recycling/Budget Deficit

The January 2024 budget reduced the General Fund support for Water Recycling by approximately \$174 million and delayed about \$100 million dollars through FY 2025/2026.

Water Use Efficiency Regulation

The State Water Board has released an updated draft of regulations for outdoor water use efficiency. A workshop will be held next week on 3/20/24 regarding the revisions. Comments will be accepted until 3/27/24. California needs to obtain about 500,000 acre-feet annually in savings in order to meet the administration strategy to address water supply challenges.

Important Hearings

In April 2024, the State Water Board has planned three important events. The first is a Tulare Sub-Basin workshop, followed by a three-day workshop on the Bay-Delta Plan. The third event is a pre-hearing conference concerning a petition for a new off-stream storage facility for Sites Reservoir.

Board Members asked questions and provided comments.

Item 4. Consideration of the Consent Calendar items are listed with an asterisk

Information pertaining to this item is contained in a verbatim transcript of the proceedings.

Chair Murray noted that Item *5. Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanmina Corporation, In-situ Remediation Pilot Test at Former Sanmina Plant and Michelson Plaza, Orange County; R8-2024-0016 was postponed to the May 3, 2024 Board meeting.

Chair Murray asked the Board Members for a motion and asked Board Clerk Ms. Baenre for a roll call vote. It was noted that Item 7 had an errata sheet.

Item *6. Revision Order to Amend Multiple Existing Orders; R8-2024-0030

Item *7. Renewal of Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements for Rancho Paseo De Valencia, LLC dba Forest Park; R8-2024-0032

Motion: It was moved by Board Member Ruh, seconded by Board Member Lopez, and Order Number R8-2024-0030 and Order Number R8-2024-0032 with the errata sheet were approved as presented by roll call vote.

Roll call vote: Board Member Lopez- Aye, Board Member Alvarado- Aye, Board Member Ruh- Aye, Vice Chair Scandura- Aye, Chair Murray- Aye.

Item 8. Resolution for Condemning Racism, Xenophobia, Bigotry, and Racial Injustice and Strengthening Commitment to Racial Equity, Diversity, Inclusion, Access, and Anti-Racism in the Santa Ana Region; Resolution Number R8-2024-0029

Information pertaining to this item is contained in a verbatim transcript of the proceedings.

Chair Murray noted that Spanish Interpreters are present and available.

Regional Board staff Jayne Joy, Executive Officer, and Adaoha Ollivierre, Water Resources Control Engineer, presented this item.

Mission Statement/ Racial Equity Vision

Ms. Joy presented the Water Board's mission statement and proposed racial equity resolution. She stated that this resolution will strengthen the Santa Ana Region's commitment to promote racial equity and environmental justice. Ms. Joy highlighted California's vision, which is to ensure that a person's access to or quality of water resources is not determined by their race. Additionally, she mentioned the importance of having a diverse workforce that reflects the racial and ethnic diversity of California and consistently applying a racial equity lens to the Water Board's decision-making processes.

State Water Board Racial Equity Actions

Ms. Joy discussed the State Water Board's efforts to promote racial equity. She discussed the State Water Board Resolution No. 2021-0050, the Racial Equity Action Plan Development, and the Racial Equity Action Plan.

Santa Ana Water Board Racial Equity Actions

Ms. Joy talked about the steps taken by the Santa Ana Water Board to promote racial equity. She started with the Human Right to Water Resolution, followed by the development of the Racial Equity Resolution, Tentative Santa Ana Water Board Resolution No. R8-2024-0029, and finally, the creation of the Santa Ana Water Board's Action Plan.

Assembly Bill 2108

Ms. Joy reviewed Assembly Bill 2108, which added two sections to the Water Code.

The first section, 189.7, requires Water Boards to conduct fair and culturally relevant community outreach to encourage meaningful civil engagement with communities that might be affected by proposed waste discharges. These discharges may have a disproportionate impact on water quality in these communities.

The second section, 13149.2, requires the Water Boards to include findings in their basin planning activities, regional permits, individual permits, waste discharge requirements or waivers, time schedule orders, or alternative compliance plans. These findings should identify any potential environmental injustices, tribal impacts, or racial equity concerns associated with that action.

Strategic Objectives

Ms. Joy presented the strategic objectives which the Santa Ana Water Board used to guide the tentative resolution. These objectives include integrating racial equity, measuring impact, creating and maintaining spaces for inclusion and belonging, activating the wisdom of Black, Indigenous, Latinx, Asian, and People of Color communities, and sharing power and knowledge with communities.

Tentative Resolution (R8-2024-0029)

Ms. Joy provided an overview of the Santa Ana Water Board's Tentative Resolution (R8-2024-0029). The Tentative Resolution condemns acts of racism and acknowledges the Water Board's responsibility to address racial inequities and dismantle institutional and systemic racism. It also commits to advancing racial equity and environmental justice as the Water Quality Programs are implemented, ensuring that access to benefits is not determined by a person's race and that the benefits are shared equitably by all people.

The Tentative Resolution further emphasizes the importance of centering the Water Board's work and decision-making on Black, Indigenous, Latinx, Asian, and People of Color while ensuring that the full benefits of the Water Board's programs are available to everyone. The Tentative Resolution aims to expand the implementation of the State Board's Climate Change Resolution. The Tentative Resolution directs staff to normalize conversations about racial equity and develop strategies to improve the meaningful inclusion of Black, Indigenous, Latinx, Asian, and People of Color communities and tribes.

Racial Equity Action Plan

Ms. Ollivierre explained the racial equity action plan, covering its structure, community collaboration, climate change, public involvement, performance measures, and progress report.

Structure

The action plan is made up of five components: Vision, Determine Strategies, Goals, Action, and Evaluation. Ms. Ollivierre explained that the action plan will provide detailed actions for the Santa Ana Water Board staff to execute their programs. It will use a racial equity lens consistently to guide the decision-making process for the Santa Ana Water Board's water quality programs. The action plan will engage the Santa Ana Water Board units, programs, and staff to incorporate actions as described in the resolution. It will encompass all aspects of work, including Regional and Basin Planning, Underground Storage Tank, Site Cleanup, Land Disposal, Compliance and Enforcement, South Coast Cannabis, Non-point Source, Irrigated Lands, Stormwater, Wastewater, Recycled Water, Dredge and Fill, Total Maximum Daily Load, Dairy, and Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations. The action plan will use the State Board's "Immediate Action Plan for Advancing Workforce Diversity" as a guide. It will include coordination with the State Water

Board's Division of Administrative Services to develop a framework to address workforce diversity, recruitment, hiring, promotion, and retention. Ms. Ollivierre stated that the goal is for the Santa Ana Water Board employees to reflect the racial and ethnic diversity of the region they serve.

Community Collaboration

The goal is for staff to provide consistent and transparent status updates to Black, Indigenous, Latinx, Asian, People of Color communities, and underrepresented members of the Santa Ana region. Also, the goal is for staff to provide consistent and transparent status updates to the California Native American Tribes to seek their input in the development of the action plan.

Climate Change

Staff will identify climate change impacts and how they may potentially disproportionately impact Black, Indigenous, Latinx, Asian, and People of Color communities.

Public Involvement

The action plan will provide accessible, open, and transparent opportunities for people to participate in our public meetings, hearings, and workshops. Racial equity will also be a standing item on Board meeting agendas.

Performance Measures

The Santa Ana Region's efforts for achieving racial equity will be enhanced through transparency, accountability, and continuous improvement. This will be done by establishing metrics to measure success through quantitative and qualitative data collection methods. Additionally, community input will also be integrated to evaluate and measure progress.

Progress Report

Staff will report on the progress of the action plan to the Board annually and will include lessons learned. Ms. Ollivierre mentioned that the action plan will be paramount to the Santa Ana Water Board Racial Equity resolution.

Comments and Responses

Ms. Joy shared that Maria Kennedy provided a comment letter of support for the Tentative Resolution.

Next Steps

Ms. Joy stated that the next steps include finalizing a region-specific Racial Equity Action Plan, implementing the plan, making any necessary amendments, and reporting back to the Santa Ana Water Board.

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommended the adoption of Resolution Number R8-2024-0029.

Board Members asked questions and provided comments.

Chair Murray asked the Board Members for a motion and asked Board Clerk Ms. Baenre for a roll call vote.

Motion: It was moved by Board Member Ruh, seconded by Board Member Clark, and Resolution Number R8-2024-0029 was unanimously approved as presented by roll call vote.

Roll call vote: Board Member Lopez- Aye, Board Member Alvarado- Aye, Board Member Clark- Aye, Board Member Ruh- Aye, Vice Chair Scandura- Aye, Chair Murray- Aye.

Item 9. Declaration of Conformance with the State Recycled Water Policy; Resolution Number R8-2024-0031

Information pertaining to this item is contained in a verbatim transcript of the proceedings.

Eric Lindberg, Assistant Executive Officer for the Regional Board, and Tess Dunham, from Kahn, Soares & Conway, LLP regulatory facilitator on behalf of the Basin Monitoring Task Force, presented this item.

Mr. Lindberg introduced the Declaration of Conformance with the State Recycled Water Policy, which aims to achieve a target of 800,000 acre-feet per year of recycled water reuse by 2030. The Santa Ana Region is leading the way toward achieving this goal by developing Salt and Nutrient Management Plans. In 2022, the Santa Ana Region recycled 206,000 acre-feet, which is more than 25% of the 2030 goal.

Ms. Dunham provided an overview of the Santa Ana Region's total dissolved solids and nitrogen (TDS and N) management plan, the Basin Monitoring Task Force, and how the Santa Ana Region meets the requirements of the State Water Board's recent recycled water policy.

The TDS and N management plan was first adopted by the Santa Ana Water Board in 2004. It identified groundwater management zones and established salinity and nitrogen water quality objectives for each zone. The plan also included significant measures to manage the salt and nutrient loading in each basin and performed a comprehensive antidegradation analysis.

Ms. Dunham presented a map of the 35 groundwater management zones within the Santa Ana Basin and discussed the Basin Monitoring Task Force. The task force was established in 2004 and is managed by the Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority (SAWPA). The task force has several roles, including analyzing TDS and nitrate levels in the watershed and groundwater, conducting periodic water quality assessments, preparing annual surface water quality reports for the Santa Ana River, and updating the Waste Load Allocation Model to ensure efficient resource usage. The task force has also conducted salt and nitrogen investigations to understand how these elements are affecting the basin and how to protect beneficial uses.

The Basin Monitoring Program Task Force is composed of various agencies and continues to add more. The Santa Ana Regional Board, a non-funding task force member,

has participated in meetings for over 10 years, which has helped to maintain collaborative efforts.

Ms. Dunham also highlighted some of the task force's accomplishments, which include triennial ambient water quality updates, waste load allocation model updates, review of monitoring programs for surface and groundwater, and collection of samples from the Santa Ana River.

Ms. Dunham emphasized the importance of a science-based approach and the need for collaboration, negotiation, and compromise to ensure successful outcomes.

Ms. Dunham talked about the Recycled Water Policy of the State Water Board. She informed that the State Water Board accepted Resolution No. 2009-11 in 2009, while the Santa Ana Water Board approved Resolution R8-2010-0012 in 2010. The State Water Board started the process of updating and amending the 2009 recycled water policy in December 2017. Later, in June 2018, the State Water Board held hearings on the proposed amendments. In November 2018, the State Water Board released revised draft amendments, and finally, in December 2018, the State Water Board adopted the revised Recycled Water Policy. The Office of Administrative Law approved the revised policy on April 8, 2019.

The key goals of the recycled water policy are to increase the use of recycled water to 2.5 million acre-feet per year by 2030, reuse all dry weather direct discharges of treated wastewater, and maximize the use of recycled water in areas where groundwater supplies are in a state of overdraft.

The 2019 Recycled Water Policy had some significant requirements that include managing salt and nutrients on a watershed or basin basis, ensuring the attainment of water quality goals, and safeguarding beneficial uses. As part of the 2019 policy on recycled water, all regional Water Boards were required to review their existing plans by April 8, 2024. The regional board was responsible for evaluating and analyzing monitoring data from the existing plans, assessing the data, updating the available assimilative capacity, evaluating trends and concentrations, and determining if any revisions were necessary based on their assessment of the data.

Ms. Dunham outlined the five requirements for the Salt and Nutrient Management Plan, which are as follows: basin or sub-basin-wide monitoring plan; water recycling goals and objectives; identification of salt and nutrient sources; estimation of assimilative capacity and loading; and implementation measures to manage or reduce salt and nutrient loading, along with antidegradation analysis that satisfies Resolution 68-16. Ms. Dunham then proceeded to explain how the Santa Ana Water Board's Salt and Nitrate Management plan met the five requirements.

Ms. Dunham asked the Board to adopt Resolution Number R8-2024-0031.

Board Members asked questions and provided comments.

Chair Murray asked the Board Members for a motion and asked Board Clerk Ms. Baenre for a roll call vote.

Motion: It was moved by Board Member Ruh, seconded by Board Member Lopez, and Resolution Number R8-2024-0031 was approved as presented by roll call vote.

Roll call vote: Board Member Lopez- Aye, Board Member Alvarado- Aye, Board Member Clark- Aye, Board Member Ruh- Aye, Vice Chair Scandura- Aye, Chair Murray- Aye.

Item 11. Executive Officer's Report

Ms. Joy provided a verbal report on the South Mesa Water Company, the Huntington Beach Oil Spill, and Ford Aeronutronics.

South Mesa Water Company

Board Member Lopez, Board Member Scandura, and Ms. Joy attended a groundbreaking celebration for the South Mesa Water Company in the Inland Empire. The company was awarded a \$10.2 million grant from the State to fund two important projects.

The first project involves providing municipal water to the Hillcrest Mobile Home Park, which previously relied on contaminated groundwater containing nitrates.

The second project will see the construction of a 24,000-foot pipeline to bring imported water into Calimesa, which will be used to recharge the groundwater basin.

Huntington Beach Oil Spill

Ms. Joy reported an oil spill at Huntington Beach. The sheen was located approximately 3 miles off the coast and measured about 2 ½ miles in length and 1 mile in width. The source of the spill has not yet been identified, and the incident is currently under investigation.

Ford Aeronutronics

Ms. Joy informed the Board that on March 13, 2024, the Santa Ana Water Board had a Ford Aeronutronics site meeting in Newport. Ms. Joy will provide the Board with a written report on Ford Aeronutronics at the next Board meeting.

Chair Murray provided comments.

Item 12. Board Member and Executive Officer Communications

Board Member Clark thanked Ms. Joy for providing support and answering questions related to a Navy hanger fire in the City of Tustin.

Vice Chair Scandura mentioned that attending the Calimesa ceremony was a pleasure and privilege.

Item 10. Workshop on the Regional NPDES Permit and Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges of Pollutants in Runoff from the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4s) in the Counties of Orange, Riverside, and San Bernardino (NPDES No. CAS618000); Order Number R8-2024-0001

Information pertaining to this item is contained in a verbatim transcript of the proceedings.

Board Member Clark and Board Member Ruh recused themselves and left the meeting before the Item 10 presentation started.

Chair Murray mentioned that Item 10 is an information item and that there will be no voting.

Regional Board staff Adam Fischer, Senior Environmental Scientist (Supervisor) presented this item. The presentation included an introduction to the MS4 program, a brief history of the Region 8 MS4 permits, the program's major requirements, proposed Regional MS4 permit and authority, benefits of a Regional MS4 Permit, what's new, outreach efforts, and next steps.

Mr. Fischer began his presentation by introducing the MS4 program, which was established through the federal Clean Water Act in 1972. In 1987, the Act was amended to include pollutants from municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s). According to the Code of Federal Regulations MS4 is defined as a conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, channels, or storm drains) that are owned and operated by the municipalities.

Mr. Fischer explained that the Santa Ana Region has several MS4 permits, including the Caltrans permit, which is jointly administered by the Santa Ana Water Board and State Water Board, the Phase I MS4 Permits, which are administered by Regional Boards throughout the State, and apply to municipalities with populations equal to or greater than 100,000, and the Phase II MS4 Permit, which is administered by the State Water Board and applies to municipalities and other institutions with populations less than 100,000. The Santa Ana Region also includes the Claremont and Pomona Permit for discharges to the Santa Ana River Watershed. The Santa Ana Water Board has regulated parts of the Counties located within the Santa Ana Region through three Phase I MS4 permits for Riverside County, San Bernardino County, and Orange County for over 30 years.

Mr. Fischer then went on to explain the major requirements of the MS4 program, which are to effectively prohibit non-stormwater discharges into the MS4s (with some exceptions), remove pollution to the maximum extent practicable (MEP standard), receive water limitations, and include effluent limitations that incorporate Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL).

Mr. Fischer explained the program's minimum control measures, which are based on federal regulations and include public education and outreach, municipal inspections, new development/significant redevelopment, construction programs, municipal facilities and activities programs, illicit discharge detection and elimination programs, and monitoring and reporting programs.

Mr. Fischer explained that a regional MS4 permit would provide consistent requirements for the permittees and minimize barriers to permittees' cooperation and resource sharing. Permittees would be able to collaborate and share information and resources to achieve water quality goals. The permit would also save the Santa Ana Water Board time and resources by administering one permit rather than three separate permits.

Mr. Fischer explained several new requirements to the proposed Regional MS4 Permit which would include trash provisions, a methodical iterative process, limit the use of “incorporation by reference,” and centralize the requirements from the plans into the permit.

Lastly, Mr. Fischer provided details on outreach efforts performed by staff and mentioned several next steps.

Board Members provided comments and asked questions.

Public Comments

Arlene Chun-

Arlene Chun, who represents the Tri-County Group consisting of 60 permittees and three principal permittees (the County of Orange, Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, and the San Bernardino County Flood Control District), addressed the Santa Ana Water Board. Ms. Chun requested additional time to review the tentative order, to hold one or more technical workshops, and to extend the written comment deadline by 60 days.

Keith Linker-

Keith Linker, Stormwater Program Coordinator for the City of Anaheim, addressed how the MS4 permit would impact Anaheim’s existing stormwater credit program and how it could potentially set a precedent for similar programs. Mr. Linker expressed concern about subsection three in the MS4 tentative order, which references the Waters of the US and could reduce the size of the watersheds currently established in the Anaheim Credit program. He highlighted three unintended consequences of this provision: first, it would significantly reduce the effectiveness of the Anaheim Stormwater Credit Program and could result in its complete failure; second, the term "Waters of the US" is ambiguous and subject to interpretation; and third, it would prevent other permittees from developing their own credit programs. Mr. Linker requested additional time to review the tentative order.

Michael Roberts-

Michael Roberts, who represents the City of Riverside, requested an extension of time to review the permit. Mr. Roberts highlighted the far-reaching impact of the MS4 permit on the entire city. He stated that additional time is necessary to communicate with various departments and affected communities to ensure their concerns are addressed. Mr. Roberts also expressed concerns about the cost of large-scale capital improvement projects.

Raymond Hiemstra-

Raymond Hiemstra, Associate Director at Orange County Coast Keeper, announced that his organization will be submitting a letter to the Santa Ana Water Board regarding the MS4 permit. Orange County Coast Keeper believes that the permit is unenforceable and illegal. Mr. Hiemstra raised several concerns regarding the Monitoring Program, TMDL Implementation, and Management Plans.

- Monitoring Program- Mr. Hiemstra stated that the monitoring program lacks detail.

- TMDL Implementation- Mr. Hiemstra pointed out that the draft permit merely asks permittees to comply with the TMDL without providing any specific load allocation, making it impossible to determine if permittees are complying.
- Management Plans- Orange County Coast Keeper is particularly concerned about the timing of the watershed management plans. Mr. Hiemstra explained that, under the current draft permit, it could take a couple of years for an agency to receive a notice of violation and another couple of years before a plan is developed. Mr. Hiemstra cited State Board Orders 2020-0038 and 2015-0075 that demonstrate management plan compliance. Mr. Hiemstra recommended that staff review these orders.

Mr. Hiemstra said that he looks forward to the public comment and input process.

Board Members asked questions and provided comments.

Chair Murray proposed the following:

1. Extend the comment period to July 3, 2024.
2. Asked for an executive summary that outlines, clarifies, and summarizes the proposal's goals, objectives, and components.
3. Asked for the fact sheet to use a larger font.
4. Asked for a FAQ that hits on the bigger, broader topic.
5. Asked for additional public workshops.
6. Asked for more extensive outreach and communication in full compliance with the racial equity resolution.

Closed Session

None.

Adjournment

Chair Murray adjourned the meeting at 12:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted:

JAYNE JOY, P.E.
Executive Officer

/jb