| TESTING TYPE ☐ Installation ☐ Repair | ☐ 12 Mor | nth | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1. FACILITY INFORMATION | | | |
| CERS ID | | Test Da | ate |
| Facility Name | | | |
| Facility Address | City | | ZIP Code |
| 2. SERVICE TECHNICIAN INFORMATION | | | |
| Company Performing Testing | | Phone | |
| Mailing Address | | | |
| Service Technician Performing Testing | | | |
| Contractor License Number | | | |
| ICC Certification | | ICC Ex | piration Date |
| 3. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATIONS | | | |
| Manufacturer and Test Equipment Training Certifications | | raining E | Expiration Date |
| <u> ментандандан жана жана — 4-ир</u> | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 4 CERTIFICATION BY SERVICE TECHNICIAN CONDUC | CTING TEST | | |
| 4. CERTIFICATION BY SERVICE TECHNICIAN CONDUCTION In the service of the service o | has been tested oter 16, section 2 | 663; that | required |

CERS = California Environmental Reporting System, GPH = Gallons Per Hour, ID = Identification, ICC = International Code Council, LLD = Line Leak Detector, NA = Not Applicable, UDC = Under-Dispenser Containment, UST = Underground Storage Tank, VPH = Vacuum/Pressure/Hydrostatic

| 5. MONITORING SYSTEM AND | PROGRAMMING | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|----------|------|----|
| A separate Release Detection I monitoring system. | Equipment Testing Report Form | must be prepare | d for | each | |
| Manufacturer of Monitoring System Control Panel | Model of Monitoring System Control Panel | Software Version | ı Instal | led | |
| , , , | the monitoring system is capable of the control of | • | Yes | No | NA |
| Is all release detection equipmen specifications? | t that was tested operational per r | nanufacturer's | | | |
| Is the secondary containment fre | e of damage, debris, or liquid? | | | | |
| Are the release detection audible | and visual alarms operational? | | | | |
| | y inspected for wiring kinks, break or functionality and confirmed oper | | | | |
| Are all sensors installed to detec | t a release at the earliest opportun | ity? | | | |
| Was the monitoring system set-u | p reviewed, and proper settings co | onfirmed? | | | |
| Was the monitoring system's bac and confirmed operational? | ckup battery visually inspected, fur | nctionally tested, | | | |
| Was it confirmed that the flow of release is detected in the under- | hazardous substance stops at the dispenser containment? | dispenser if a | | | |
| | automatically shut down if the pipi ails to operate or is disconnected? | • | | | |
| containment monitoring system of | automatically shut down if the pipin letects a release? Which sensors) Containment Sump UDC | | | | |
| If release detection alarms are recommunication equipment opera | elayed to a remote monitoring cent tional? | er, is all | | | |
| confirmed using the inspection m | suction hazardous substance pipin ethod approved by the Unified Pro into the UST if the suction is relea | ogram Agency, | | | |

| 6. SENS | OR TEST | RESULTS | | | | | |
|---------|--|---|------|------|------|------|------|
| ☐ Check | k this box i | f Appendix 5.1 continuation page is attached. | | | | | |
| _ | | ested. List " Sensor ID " as labeled in system p | _ | _ | | | _ |
| _ | | confirmed between the most distant points in | | | - | | tent |
| Sensor | ractical as approved by the Unified Program Agency and the sensor that monitors the zone. Sensor Sensor Function Continuity | | | | | , | |
| ID | Sensor Model | Component(s) Monitored | Pass | Fail | Pass | Fail | NA |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Describe all answers marked "No" or "Fail" and the proposed remedy in **Section 8**. List all equipment either replaced or repaired (including cleaning or adjustment) in **Section 8**.

| 7. LINE LEAK DETECTOR TEST RESULTS | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|------|------|--|
| ☐ Check this box if line leak detectors ARE NOT installed. (Do not complete this section.) | | | | | | |
| ☐ Check this box if Appendix 5.2 continuation page is attached. | | | | | | |
| Simulated r □ 3 GPI testing) | , , , , , | | | | NA | |
| Has the testing equipment been properly calibrated? | | | | | | |
| For emergency tank systems, does the LLD create an audible and visual alarm when a release is detected? | | | | | | |
| | For mechanical LLDs, does the LLD restrict the flow through the pipe when a release is detected? | | | | | |
| a release is | detected? | sure supply pump automatically shut off when | | | | |
| monitoring | system or LLD is disabl | | | | | |
| monitoring | system or LLD malfunc | sure supply pump automatically shut off if the tions or fails a tightness test? | | | | |
| For electronic LLDs, have all accessible wiring connections been visually inspected for kinks and breaks? | | | | | | |
| | Were all items on the equipment manufacturer's maintenance checklist completed? | | | | | |
| Were all LL | LLDs confirmed operational within regulatory requirements? | | | | | |
| | <u>'</u> | iai maini regulatory regali emeriter | | | Ш | |
| List only line | e leak detectors tested. | | | | | |
| List only line | · | | | Pass | Fail | |
| , | e leak detectors tested. | | | | | |
| , | e leak detectors tested. | | | Pass | Fail | |
| , | e leak detectors tested. | | | Pass | Fail | |
| , | e leak detectors tested. | | | Pass | Fail | |
| , | e leak detectors tested. | | | Pass | Fail | |
| , | e leak detectors tested. | | | Pass | Fail | |
| , | e leak detectors tested. | | | Pass | Fail | |
| , | e leak detectors tested. | | | Pass | Fail | |
| , | e leak detectors tested. | | | Pass | Fail | |
| , | e leak detectors tested. | | | Pass | Fail | |
| , | e leak detectors tested. | | | Pass | Fail | |
| , | e leak detectors tested. | | | Pass | Fail | |

Describe all answers marked "No" or "Fail" and the proposed remedy in **Section 8**. List all equipment either replaced or repaired (including cleaning or adjustment) in **Section 8**.

| 8. COMMENTS |
|--|
| Describe all answers marked "No" or "Fail" and proposed remedy. |
| List all release detection equipment either replaced or repaired (including cleaning or adjustment). |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

9. MONITORING SITE PLAN

Attach a copy of the facility's UST Monitoring Site Plan that shows the general layout of tanks and dispensers, locations of the monitoring panel and all other release detection equipment, VPH monitoring zones (if applicable), and the date the site plan was prepared. Include a legend for all symbols depicted.