



State Water Resources Control Board

NINTH AMENDED NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND PROCEDURAL RULING

The State Water Resources Control Board
Administrative Hearings Office is holding
a Public Hearing on the pending Petitions for Change of Water Right
Permits 16478, 16479, 16481, and 16482
(Applications 5630, 14443, 14445A, and 17512, respectively) of the

Department of Water Resources.

The case-in-chief portion of the Public Hearing
will continue on December 9, 2025, in hybrid format
(in person with the option to participate by Zoom Webinar),
starting at 9:00 a.m. at
Joe Serna Jr. CalEPA Building, Sierra Hearing Room,
1001 I Street, Second Floor, Sacramento, California,

and continuing on **December 9, 2025**, by Zoom Webinar <u>only</u>, after completion of witnesses to be heard in person.

The hearing will continue on **December 10, 2025,** starting at 9:00 a.m., by Zoom Webinar only, and will adjourn no later than 1:00 p.m.

Petitioner's rebuttal portion of the Public Hearing will begin on February 20, 2026, and continue on February 23, 24, & 25 and March 2, 5, & 6, 2026.

Protestants' rebuttal portion of the Public Hearing will begin on June 1, 2026, and continue on June 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 15, 18, 23, 24, & 26, 2026.

If necessary, Petitioner's surrebuttal portion of the Public Hearing will begin on **August 20**, **2026**, and continue on **August 21 & 24**, **2026**.

All hearing days will begin at 9:00 a.m. and will be held by Zoom Webinar, unless otherwise indicated. Representatives of parties will receive an individual invitation to join the hearing by e-mail from Zoom.

VISITOR CHECK-IN PROCEDURES:

Please check-in with the security booth on the 1st floor to receive a visitor badge and to be directed to the Sierra Hearing Room on the 2nd floor. If you experience any issues contact the Administrative Hearings Office at DCP-WR-Petition@waterboards.ca.gov or at (916) 341-6940.

LIVE STREAMING:

Parties or interested members of the public who would like to watch this hearing without participating may do so through the Administrative Hearings Office YouTube channel at: bit.ly/aho-youtube.

LANGUAGE SERVICES AND ACCESSIBILITY:

This hearing will be conducted in English. To request translation of a written document, interpretation services for the hearing, or sign language services, please use one of the following options at least **two days before the hearing dates 12/09/2025 and 12/10/2025 and two weeks before the remaining hearing dates.**

- Submit a Language Services Request online
- Call (916) 341-5254
- E-mail languageservices@waterboards.ca.gov

Users of a Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) may contact the California Relay Service at (800) 735-2929 or the teletype (TTY) voice line at (800) 735-2922.

PURPOSE OF HEARING

The State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board or Board) Administrative Hearings Office is conducting a public hearing about the Delta Conveyance Project. The purpose of the hearing is to gather evidence that the State Water Board will consider to determine whether to approve change petitions filed by the Department of Water Resources (DWR or Petitioner) to add two new points of diversion and rediversion to water right Permits 16478, 16479, 16481, and 16482 (Applications 5630, 14443, 14445A, and 17512, respectively) and, if so, terms and conditions the Board should include in the amended permits.

BACKGROUND

On February 22, 2024, the State Water Board's Division of Water Rights received petitions for change from DWR to add two new points of diversion and points of rediversion to water right Permits 16478, 16479, 16481, and 16482, which are associated with the State Water Project. The proposed new points of diversion and points of rediversion would consist of screened intakes 2.3 miles apart located on the lower Sacramento River between Freeport and Sutter Slough. The proposed new intakes are part of the Delta Conveyance Project, which would allow DWR to divert water from the Sacramento River in the northern portion of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary (Delta) and convey the water through a tunnel to existing water distribution facilities in the southern Delta. A more detailed description of the Delta Conveyance Project and the associated petitions for change can be found in the July 31, 2024 Notice of Public Hearing.

This hearing is being conducted in accordance with the procedural requirements in the July 31, 2024 Notice of Public Hearing as amended by subsequent rulings and notices.

PROCEDURAL RULING

Clarification of Scope of Rebuttal

DWR requested clarification about the scope of rebuttal evidence and cross-examination of rebuttal witnesses. (2025-10-24 DWR Objections to 8th Hearing Notice.) Consistent with past practice in State Water Board and Administrative Hearings Office (AHO) hearings and the July 31, 2024 Notice of Public Hearing in this proceeding, cross-examination of rebuttal witnesses will be limited in scope to matters raised by the witness during rebuttal. (2024-07-31 Hearing Notice, p. 24.) Rebuttal evidence may respond to matters raised during cross-examination of a case-in-chief witness even if the matter was not raised in written case-in-chief testimony.

DWR's Objections to the Schedule in the Eighth Amended Hearing Notice

DWR filed an objection to the hearing schedule for rebuttal in the Eighth Amended Hearing Notice. (2025-10-24 DWR Objections to 8th Hearing Notice.) DWR raises concerns about the efficiency of the hearing schedule, identifying several aspects of the schedule that DWR believes could be accelerated. I have carefully re-considered the current schedule and DWR's recommendations but find that no change to the schedule

is feasible when considered with the multiple significant matters affecting the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta watershed (Bay-Delta Watershed) that are currently pending before the State Water Board. These high priority items are proceeding concurrently, and the deadlines associated with each of these processes must be coordinated within the constraints of the schedules of State Water Board members, staff resources of the Division of Water Rights and the AHO, and the burdens on public agencies, non-governmental organizations, and other entities who are participating in the various proceedings. The current schedule reflects a careful balancing of the various deadlines, comment periods, hearing and Board meeting and workshop dates, and contingencies that could affect these schedules. The AHO intends to pursue this hearing to completion and submit a recommended order to the Board as expeditiously as possible given the complexity of the factual and legal issues and the magnitude of the public policy considerations, while conducting this hearing in a manner that is equitable, allows for meaningful participation by the parties and other members of the public, and produces a robust record on which the Board can make an informed decision that will withstand judicial challenge.

SWC's Objections to the Schedule in the Eighth Amended Hearing Notice

State Water Contractors (SWC) objects to the staggered rebuttal schedule in the Eighth Amended Hearing Notice because the notice did not include an opportunity for SWC to present surrebuttal evidence in response to protestants' rebuttal evidence. (2025-10-24 SWC Objection to 8th Amended Hearing Notice.) The Eighth Amended Hearing Notice states that "Petitioner will have the option to submit surrebuttal exhibits, including testimony, and shall inform the hearing officer by the last day of the rebuttal portion of the hearing whether Petitioner intends to do so. The hearing schedule includes dates reserved for surrebuttal for use in the event Petitioner submits surrebuttal testimony." (2025-10-22 Eighth Amended Hearing Notice and Procedural Ruling, p. 4.) I did not intend to exclude SWC and other parties aligned with DWR from the option to present surrebuttal evidence. SWC and other parties aligned with DWR may exercise the same option to submit surrebuttal exhibits by stating their intent by the last day of the rebuttal portion of the hearing.

One reason for staggering the rebuttal portion of the hearing and requiring DWR, SWC, and other parties aligned with DWR to submit their rebuttal evidence in advance of protestants, is to avoid, or significantly limit, any surrebuttal portion of the hearing. By requiring DWR, SWC, and any other aligned parties to submit their rebuttal evidence before protestants, protestants will already have had the opportunity to respond to

_

¹ I previously declined to follow the recommendation of many of the protestants that these processes proceed linearly and to postpone this hearing until the update to the Water Quality Control Plan for the Bay-Delta Watershed was final. Although I concluded that such a delay was not warranted, the decision to proceed concurrently requires coordination of dates and deadlines to avoid unreasonable overlap with the schedules of the various matters pending before the Board that affect the Bay-Delta Watershed.

opposing rebuttal evidence. Therefore, surrebuttal will be limited to any evidence that DWR or aligned parties seek to present in response to protestants' rebuttal evidence.

SWC also submitted revised objections to the Eighth Amended Hearing Notice, explicitly seeking the opportunity to evaluate and respond to additional modeling that the AHO has directed DWR to submit prior to the rebuttal portion of the hearing. (2025-10-29 SWC Revised Objection to 8th Amended Hearing Notice.) Because the request is made in the abstract, without access to model results that DWR has yet to develop, I decline to rule on the request at this time. SWC may reiterate its request to submit evidence that responds to the additional model results after it has had an opportunity to review them. Furthermore, if DWR does not seek a similar opportunity to submit evidence related to the additional model results, I expect SWC to demonstrate why it should be allowed to respond even though the Petitioner does not seek to do so.

Deirdre Des Jardins' Motion to Address Pattern of Misrepresentation

During the case-in-chief portion of the hearing, Ms. Des Jardins submitted a "Motion to Address Pattern of Misrepresentation." (2025-09-16 DDJ Motion to Address Pattern of Misrepresentation.) By this motion, Ms. Des Jardins seeks to strike alleged "false testimony" from the record and other relief.

DWR submitted revisions to Mr. Murdock's testimony on September 11 (Exhibit DWR-00001R) to clarify that participants in the Stakeholder Engagement Committee included persons representing residents of Sacramento, Yolo, San Joaquin, Solano, and Contra Costa Counties, rather than the counties as entities. With this revision, much of Ms. Des Jardins' motion is rendered moot. In addition, Ms. Des Jardins' motion appears to consist of both an attempt to re-argue evidentiary objections that were to be submitted in writing by July 25 and substantive argument about the veracity of testimony that would be most appropriately included in closing briefs. Some of the requested relief in the form of "findings of facts" would be inappropriate at this time, as such findings are to be made by the State Water Board after considering the whole of the evidentiary record. Finally, Ms. Des Jardins has made no showing that the protestants have been or would be prejudiced in their ability to challenge the portions of testimony presented by DWR's witnesses to which she objects. Contrary to Ms. Des Jardins' assertions, time limits are a necessary and appropriate means to conduct efficient hearings, and Ms. Des Jardins was afforded four hours to cross-examine DWR's witnesses in addition to the 56 hours granted to the other protesting parties. For these reasons, I deny Ms. Des Jardins' motion.

Ms. Des Jardins also asserts that her requests for document production and depositions included in the evidentiary objections to DWR's case-in-chief evidence remain pending. (2025-09-16 DDJ Motion to Address Pattern of Misrepresentation, p. 7; 2025-07-25 DDJ Objections.) Ms. Des Jardins requests that the AHO order DWR to produce all documents related to the intake design process and allow deposition of certain witnesses.

The AHO declines to issue such an order because Ms. Des Jardins may request a subpoena pursuant to Government Code section 11450.20 that would require the production of documents or witnesses. Government Code section 11450.20 states that

a subpoena shall be issued by an agency at the request of a party in accordance with sections 1985 through 1985.4 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Section 1985 provides that "[t]he clerk, or a judge, shall issue a subpoena or subpoena duces tecum signed and sealed but otherwise in blank to a party requesting it, who shall fill it in before service." The AHO will issue a subpoena or subpoena duces tecum signed and sealed but otherwise in blank to Ms. Des Jardins if she submits a request, in accordance with section 1985, but only after Ms. Des Jardins has met and conferred with DWR. This conference shall address the specific documents to be requested, the identity of any witnesses sought to be deposed, and other means to focus the scope of or eliminate the need for a subpoena.

If issued, a subpoena is subject to a motion for a protective order or motion to quash. (Gov. Code, § 11450.30.) Any objections to a subpoena will be resolved by the hearing officer, who may quash or limit a subpoena as appropriate to protect parties or witnesses from unreasonable or oppressive demands.

Other Procedural Objections

Several protestants objected to the December 1 deadline for responses to evidentiary objections to case-in-chief evidence that was included in the Eighth Amended Hearing Notice. These objections were addressed in the hearing officer's e-mail ruling of October 31, which extended the deadline for these responses until December 10.

HEARING SCHEDULE AND DEADLINES

The hearing schedule is revised to include hearing days on December 9 and 10, 2025, for cross-examination of witnesses who testified during the site visit.

The deadline for submission by DWR of additional information in accordance with the hearing officer's October 10 letter, which is currently set concurrent with the deadline for submission by DWR of rebuttal exhibits, is subject to revision.

Deadlines / Schedule	Date and Time
Deadline for parties to identify whether site visit witnesses will testify in person (on the morning of December 9) or by Zoom Webinar or will offer testimony for acceptance as a policy statement only.	December 5, 2025.
Hearing days for cross-examination of witnesses presenting testimony during site visit.	December 9, 2025, starting at 9:00 a.m., in person (with Zoom Webinar option) for cross-examination of witnesses appearing in person, continuing after a one-hour break by Zoom Webinar only, for cross-examination of witnesses appearing remotely. Continuing on December 10,

	2025 , (by Zoom Webinar only) starting at 9:00 a.m., and adjourning by 1:00 p.m.
Deadline for parties to respond to evidentiary objections to case-in-chief evidence (except Hood Community Council and Save the California Delta Alliance [HCC-SCDA] evidence).	December 10, 2025.
Deadline for Petitioner to submit model results and updated SacWAM model per October 10, October 31, and November 10, 2025 letters.	December 22, 2025.
Deadline for parties to file objections to direct or cross-examination testimony of site visit witnesses or HCC-SCDA evidence.	December 22, 2025.
Deadline for Petitioner and aligned parties to submit rebuttal exhibits, updated exhibit identification indices, and proposed order of witness presentation.	December 22, 2025.
Deadline for parties to respond to evidentiary objections submitted pursuant to the December 22 deadline above.	January 9, 2026.
Hearing on Petitioner's and aligned parties' rebuttal testimony.	February 20, 23, 24, & 25 and March 2, 5, & 6, 2026, starting at 9:00 a.m.
Deadline for Protestants to submit rebuttal exhibits, updated exhibit identification indices, and proposed order of witness presentation.	April 30, 2026.
Hearing on Protestants' rebuttal testimony.	June 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 15, 18, 23, 24, & 26, 2026, starting at 9:00 a.m.

Deadline for Petitioner to submit surrebuttal exhibits and updated exhibit identification indices (if requested and approved by hearing officer).	July 24, 2026.
Hearing on Petitioner's surrebuttal testimony (as necessary).	August 20, 21, & 24, 2026, starting at 9:00 a.m.

Date: December 4, 2025

Nicole L. Kuenzi
Presiding Hearing Officer
Administrative Hearings Office
State Water Resources Control Board

Attachments:

- Attachment 1 - Service List

ATTACHMENT 1 SERVICE LIST

Ann Carroll
Collin Chandler
Maya Ferry Stafford
Stefanie Morris
Kenneth Bogdan
Christopher Butcher
Department of Water Resources

Ann.Carroll@water.ca.gov
Collin.Chandler@water.ca.gov
Maya.Stafford@water.ca.gov
smorris@calnevawaterlaw.com
Kenneth.Bogdan@water.ca.gov
Christopher.Butcher@water.ca.gov

Russell van Loben Sels Amistad Ranches revanlobensels@gmail.com

Kelly Taber
Janie Collier
Ellen M. Moskal
Gloria Loomis
Yolanda De La Cruz
Pennie MacPherson
Danielle Fatta
Somach Simmons & Dunn
ktaber@somachlaw.com
jcollier@somachlaw.com
emoskal@somachlaw.com
gloomis@somachlaw.com
ydelacruz@somachlaw.com
pmacpherson@somachlaw.com
dfatta@somachlaw.com

Attorneys for Byron-Bethany Irrigation District

Michael E. Vergara
Alyson E. Ackerman
Downey Brand LLP
mvergara@downeybrand.com
aackerman@downeybrand.com
Attorneys for Byron-Bethany Irrigation
District

Adam Keats Law Office of Adam Keats, Pc adam@keatslaw.org Attorney for California Water Impact Network

Chris Shutes
Eric Woodruff
Jessica Zimmer
California Sportfishing Protection
Alliance (CSPA)
blancapaloma@msn.com
ewoodruff@calsport.org
jessica@calsport.org

Barbara Vlamis AquAlliance barbarav@aqualliance.net

David Fries
San Joaquin Audubon Society
dfries.audubon@gmail.com

Roger B. Moore Law Office of Roger B. Moore rbm@landwater.com Attorney for California Water Impact Network

S. Dean Ruiz
John Herrick
Dante J. Nomellini, Sr.
Dante J. Nomellini, Jr.
Mohan, Harris, Ruiz LLP
dean@mohanlaw.net
dean@sdeltawater.net
jherrlaw@aol.com
john@sdeltawater.net
ngmplcs@pacbell.net
dantejr@pacbell.net
brettgbaker@gmail.com

Attorneys for Central Delta Water Agency, South Delta Water Agency, Heritage Land Co., and Rudy Mussi Investment L.P. Matthew Emerick Law Offices of Matthew Emerick matthew@mlelaw.com

Aaron Ferguson Kelley M. Taber Casey A. Shorrock William Burke Gloria Loomis Crystal Rivera Pennie MacPherson Michelle Chester Danielle Fatta Somach Simmons & Dunn, PC aferguson@somachlaw.com ktaber@somachlaw.com cshorrock@somachlaw.com burkew@saccounty.gov gloomis@somachlaw.com crivera@somachlaw.com pmacpherson@somachlaw.com mchester@somachlaw.com dfatta@somachlaw.com

Attorneys for Sacramento County, and Sacramento County Water Agency

Wes Miliband
Miliband Water Law
wes.miliband@mwaterlaw.com
Attorney for City of Sacramento and
Diablo Water District

Osha Meserve Soluri Meserve, A Law Corporation osha@semlawyers.com legal@semlawyers.com

Attorney for County of Contra Costa, Contra Costa County Water Agency, County of San Joaquin, County of Solano, David J. Elliot & Sons/Stillwater Orchards, Frank Loretz, Friends of Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge, Local Agencies of the North Delta, and Wurster Ranches, LP Thomas H. Keeling Freeman Firm tkeeling@freemanfirm.com Attorney for San Joaquin County

Aaron Ferguson Kelley M. Taber Ellen M. Moskal Casey A. Shorrock Mel Lytle Lori Asuncion Danielle Fatta Somach Simmons & Dunn aferguson@somachlaw.com ktaber@somachlaw.com emoskal@somachlaw.com cshorrock@somachlaw.com mel.lytle@stocktonca.gov lori.asuncion@stocktonca.gov gloomis@somachlaw.com crivera@somachlaw.com pmacpherson@somachlaw.com dfatta@somachlaw.com Attorneys for the City of Stockton

Protestant County of San Joaquin Kirnpreet Kaur Virk Deputy County Counsel Office of the County Counsel County of San Joaquin kvirk@sjgov.org

Jennifer Spaletta Stoel Rives, LLP 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600 Sacramento, CA 95814 jennifer.spaletta@stoel.com

Protestant County of Contra Costa and the Contra Costa County Water Agency Contra Costa County Contra Costa County Water Agency c/o Ryan Hernandez Department of Conservation and Development Ryan.Hernandez@dcd.cccounty.us Thomas L. Geiger, County Counsel Stephen Siptroth, Assistant Co. Counsel Contra Costa County Counsel's Office Stephen.Siptroth@cc.cccounty.us

Protestant County of Solano
Bernadette Curry, County Counsel
Holly E. Tokar, Deputy County Counsel
Solano County
BSCurry@SolanoCounty.com
HETokar@SolanoCounty.com

Dan Whaley
Delta Communities Inc.
Whaley500@yahoo.com

Dan Muelrath
Diablo Water District
dmuelrath@diablowater.org

Deirdre Des Jardins ddj@cah2oresearch.com

Jon Salmon
East Bay Municipal Utility District
Jon.salmon@ebmud.com

Ellison, Schneider, Harris & Donlan LLP kwb@eslawfirm.com
Attorney for East Contra Costa Irrigation
District

Brian Poulsen
Rachel Sarge
El Dorado Irrigation District
bpoulsen@eid.org
rsarge@eid.org

Amber McDowell
Farm Bureau Delta Caucus
Sacramento County Farm Bureau
executivedirector@sacfarmbureau.org

Michael A. Brodsky
Law Offices of Michael A. Brodsky
michael@brodskylaw.net
Attorney for Hood Community Council
and Save the California Delta Alliance

Alexis K. Stevens
Ellen M. Moskal
Kelley M. Taber
Danielle Fatta
Somach Simmons & Dunn
astevens@somachlaw.com
emoskal@somachlaw.com
ktaber@somachlaw.com
crivera@somachlaw.com
pmacpherson@somachlaw.com
dfatta@somachlaw.com
Attorneys for Naglee Burk Irrigation
District No. 2058

Kevin O'Brien
Brian Hamilton
Austin Cho
Downey Brand LLP
bhamilton@downeybrand.com
acho@downeybrand.com
dfillon@downeybrand.com
kobrien@downeybrand.com
Attorneys for North Delta Water Agency
and associated Reclamation Districts

Robert Cheng Coachella Valley Water District rcheng@cvwd.org

Howard Penn Planning and Conservation League howard@pcl.org

Regina Chichizola Save California Salmon regina@californiasalmon.org

Emily Pappalardo
DCC Engineering Co., Inc
Epappalardo@dcceng.net
Representative for Steamboat Resort

Philip J. Pogledich County of Yolo Philip.pogledich@yolocounty.gov Aaron Ferguson Kelley M. Taber Ellen M. Moskal Casey A. Shorrock Diane McElhern Danielle Fatta Somach Simmons & Dunn, PC aferguson@somachlaw.com ktaber@somachlaw.com emoskal@somachlaw.com cshorrock@somachlaw.com mcelhernd@saccounty.gov gloomis@somachlaw.com crivera@somachlaw.com pmacpherson@somachlaw.com dfatta@somachlaw.com

Attorneys for Sacramento Area Sewer District

E. Robert Wright
Caty Wagner
Molly Culton
Sierra Club California
bwrightatty@gmail.com
Caty.Wagner@sierraclub.org
Molly.Culton@sierraclub.org

John Buse Harrison Beck Center for Biological Diversity jbuse@biologicaldiversity.org hbeck@biologicaldiversity.org

Jann Dorman
Keiko Mertz
Gary Bobker
Don Mooney
Jason Flanders
Friends of the River
janndorman@friendsoftheriver.org
keiko@friendsoftheriver.org
gbobker@friendsoftheriver.org
dbmooneylaw@gmail.com
jrf@atalawgroup.com

Eric Buescher Christie Ralston San Francisco Baykeeper eric@baykeeper.org christie@baykeeper.org

Clavey Wendt
OARS California Rafting (OARS)
claveywendt@gmail.com

Kevin Wolf
Restoring the Stanislaus River
kevinjwolf@gmail.com

Dan Kelly Elise M. Nelson Placer County Water Agency dkelly@pcwa.net enelson@pcwa.net

Stephanie Safdi
Danna Castro-Galindo
Terra Baer
Stephanie Prufer
Justin Saint-Loubert Bie
Naji Thompson
Environmental Justice Law and
Advocacy Clinic, Yale Law School
stephanie.safdi@ylsclinics.org
danna.castrogalindo@ylsclinics.org
terra.baer@YLSClinics.org
stephanie.prufer@ylsclinics.org
justin.saint-loubert-bie@ylsclinics.org
naji.thompson@ylsclinics.org

Counsel for Buena Vista Rancheria of Me-Wuk Indians, Little Manila Rising, Restore the Delta, Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians, Winnemem Wintu Tribe

Charles Center
Camp Lotus
charlesmcenter@gmail.com

Scott Armstrong
All-Outdoors California Whitewater
Rafting
scott@aorafting.com

Isaac Ingram
American River Touring Association isaac@arta.org

Theresa Lorejo-Simsiman American Whitewater theresa@americanwhitewater.org

Keith Miller California Canoe and Kayak cckjefe@gmail.com

Nathan Rangel California Outdoors nathanjrangel@gmail.com

Brian Jobson Foothill Conservancy jobsonbrian@hotmail.com

Scott Underwood Mother Lode River Center scott@malode.com

Marty McDonnell Sierra Mac River Trips marty@sierramac.com

Aaron Zettler-Mann South Yuba River Citizens League (SYRCL) aaron@yubariver.org

Patrick Koepele Tuolumne River Trust patrick@tuolumne.org

Stephen Smallcombe Upper Merced River Watershed Council kristinarylands@gmail.com Sean Wirth ECOS/Habitat 2020 office@ecosacramento.net

Barbara Barrigan-Parrilla
Cintia Cortez
Morgen Snyder
Restore the Delta
barbara@restorethedelta.org
cintia@restorethedelta.org
morgen@restorethedelta.org

Sherri Norris
California Indian Environmental Alliance
sherri@cieaweb.org

Glen Spain
Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's
Associations (PCFFA) and Institute for
Fisheries Resources (IFR)
fish1ifr@aol.com

Rebecca Akroyd
Rebecca L. Harms
Daniel J. O'Hanlon
Andreya Woo Nazal
Terri Whitman
Sherry Ramirez
Jessica Anton
rebecca.akroyd@sldmwa.org
rebecca.harms@sldmwa.org
dohanlon@kmtg.com
awoonazal@kmtg.com
twhitman@kmtg.com
sramirez@kmtg.com
janton@kmtg.com
San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority

Mike Savino Save our Sandhill Cranes yogoombah@yahoo.com Adam Nickels
Lisa Holm
Anna Brathwaite
Amy Aufdemberge
Kate Laubach
United States Department of Interior
Bureau of Reclamation
anickels@usbr.gov
Iholm@usbr.gov
anna.brathwaite@sol.doi.gov
Amy.Aufdemberge@sol.doi.gov
Katharine.Laubach@usdoj.gov

Scott Slater
Elisabeth Esposito
Benjamin Markham
Mary Loum
Mack Carlson
Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP
sslater@bhfs.com
eesposito@bhfs.com
bmarkham@bhfs.com
mloum@bhfs.com
mcarlson@bhfs.com
Attorneys for Westlands Water District
Allison Febbo
Westlands Water District

Miles Krieger
Steven Moctezuma
Best Best & Krieger
Miles.Krieger@bbklaw.com
steven.moctezuma@bbklaw.com
heather.mccoy@bbklaw.com
Attorneys for State Water Contractors

afebbo@wwd.ca.gov

Vance Staplin
Barry Nelson
Golden State Salmon Association
vance@goldenstatesalmon.org
barry@westernwaterstrategies.com

Peter Kiel
Vincent Goble
Aubrey Mauritson
Josh Fox
pkiel@cawaterlaw.com
vgoble@cawaterlaw.com
amauritson@visalialaw.com
jfox@visalialaw.com
Attorneys for Tulare Lake Basin Water
Storage District

Wade Crowfoot
California Natural Resources Agency
wade.crowfoot@resources.ca.gov

Adnan Anabtawi Mojave Water Agency aanabtawi@mojavewater.org

Steve L. Johnson Desert Water Agency sjohnson@dwa.org

James D. Ciampa Kern County Water Agency jciampa@lagerlof.com

Michael Plinski San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District MichaelP@sbvmwd.com

Anecita Agustinez
Carolyn Buckman
Karla Nemeth
California Department of Water
Resources
anecita.agustinez@water.ca.gov
carolyn.buckman@water.ca.gov
karla.nemeth@water.ca.gov

Janet Barbieri JB-Comm, Inc. janet@jb-comm.com Rebecca Sheehan Metropolitan Water District Rsheehan@mwdh2o.com

Antonio Alfaro Santa Clara Valley Water District AAlfaro@valleywater.org

Ed Stevenson Alameda County Water District ed.stevenson@acwd.com

Thomas Berliner
John Sweigard
Hicham EITal
Bryan Kelly
Phillip McMurray
Merced Irrigation District
tmberliner@duanemorris.com
jsweigard@mercedid.org
heltal@mercedid.org
bkelly@mercedid.org
pmcmurray@mercedid.org

Lance Eckhart
San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency
LEckhart@sgpwa.com

Valerie Pryor Sarah Palmer, Ph.D. Zone 7 Water Agency vpryor@zone7water.com palmer.sarahL@gmail.com

Yvonne Perkins
Tribal Historic Preservation Officer
YD0122202005
Yocha Dehe Wintun Nation
THPO@yochadehe.gov

Janet Lake
Freeport Citizens Community (FCC)
rivercitybrand@gmail.com

Robert E. Donlan Kevin W. Bursey Danika E. Jones Wanger Jones Helsey PC
rdonlan@wjhattorneys.com
kbursey@wjhattorneys.com
djones@wjhattorneys.com
Counsel for El Dorado Irrigation District
and Placer County Water Agency

Debie Rasmussen Enterprise Rancheria debier@enterpriserancheria.org