Consideration of further Conservation Orders appears ill-advised and counter to the major effort now under way with SGMA. Ill-advised as there is little or no legal basis for continuing to trample water rights by issuing any conservation orders (Neither a scientific basis, nor statutory basis other than thinly supported "no waste" of water clause in Constitution.) On the other hand, SGMA, with all its faults, confirms the legislatures clear intent, recognition, and support that water management should begin and be reflective of local control and conditions. Arbitrary, unfounded statewide blanket orders serve no purpose other than create unnecessary expense for water suppliers and users, and excessive legal expenses from litigation over enforcement that will fall on the suppliers rather than the State where it should rest if they pursue this unnecessary "rulemaking."