



**From:** Paul Bird  
**To:** [commentletters](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Urban Water Conservation: Division 3, Chapter 3.5, Article 2, Title 23, California Code of Regulations  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 14, 2017 6:43:19 AM

---

To whom it may concern:

After reading the proposed regulation/legislation, I have come to the conclusion that the proposed regulation is really over regulation and intrusive into the lives of the citizens. The statement below from the summary sheet states that there will be no significant savings of water in relation to the overall amount of usage. Therefore, the regulation then becomes a way to create revenue through fines to the citizens and non-compliant municipalities. In addition, because these regulations are not backed by funding for enforcement, enforcement will be selectively doled out as resources are available. What would be a better use of time and resources would be working to find ways to capture the rainwater we do get for later use. The infrastructure for such capture systems is limited at best. Stop "wasting" our tax dollars regulating and find ways to use the precious resources we do have.

Thank you for listening,

Paul Bird

570 N. 8th Ave.

Upland, CA 91786

[lawnbird@gmail.com](mailto:lawnbird@gmail.com)

909-767-5808

Quote from initial statement of reasons:

*"Though the potential overall water saving from the proposed regulation are likely to be relatively minor, the water savings associated with the proposed regulation would nonetheless realize or promote a number of the aforementioned benefits. Each of these benefits is discussed below. The proposed regulation would not by itself necessarily achieve a significant level or amount of these benefits, relative to a comprehensive suite of conservation actions like water pricing changes or mandatory supply reductions; but, by*

*prohibiting some of the more wasteful and discretionary water use practices, it can reasonably be expected to have a positive impact on each of the areas described below.”*