

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
California City City of	South Lahontan	28%	28%	No	11.3%	16.7% C	7.0%	15.3%	76.7	14,120
Livingston City of	San Joaquin River	29%	29%	No	13.7%	15.3% A	19.0%	13.0%	110.3	13,849
Yucaipa Valley Water District	South Coast	34%	20%	Yes	9.3%	10.7%	24.3%	13.7%	189.5	45,115
Adelanto City of	South Lahontan	16%	16%	No	8.8%	7.2% C	18.6%	7.1%	73.6	29,796
Red Bluff City of	Sacramento River	33%	33%	No	26.7%	6.3%	19.1%	28.7%	102.8	14,076
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	South Coast	20%	20%	Yes	16.1%	3.9%	20.6%	14.0%	78.6	46,300
Bakman Water Company	Tulare Lake	34%	34%	No	30.7%	3.3%	32.4%	27.0%	142.7	16,756
Fillmore City of	South Coast	26%	26%	No	23.1%	2.9%	18.6%	26.6%	111.1	15,222
Los Banos, City of	San Joaquin River	24%	24%	No	23.5%	0.5%	21.8%	14.6%	120.0	37,168
Colton, City of	South Coast	18%	11%	Yes	11.2%	-0.2%	13.8%	11.2%	99.6	47,429
Tehachapi, City of	Tulare Lake	25%	25%	No	25.4%	-0.4%	25.1%	17.2%	132.7	8,923
Hi-Desert Water District	Colorado River	13%	0%	Yes	0.8%	-0.8%	5.0%	3.4%	68.7	24,601
San Lorenzo Valley Water District	Central Coast	12%	18%	Yes	18.8%	-0.8%	20.9%	24.9%	82.0	19,000
Pico Rivera City of	South Coast	16%	16%	No	17.1%	-1.1%	18.4%	14.4%	73.9	39,002
Atwater City of	San Joaquin River	33%	33%	No	34.6%	-1.6%	59.8%	51.9%	164.4	29,500
Exeter City of	Tulare Lake	34%	21%	Yes	22.8%	-1.8%	25.1%	12.8%	141.8	10,572
Glendora City of	South Coast	34%	20%	Yes	21.9%	-1.9%	32.0%	22.1%	144.3	51,463
Brea City of	South Coast	24%	15%	Yes	17.2%	-2.2%	22.9%	19.9%	97.8	43,328
Turlock City of	San Joaquin River	29%	16%	Yes	18.6%	-2.6%	20.0%	18.9%	124.6	72,050
Arcata City of	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	2.7%	-2.7%	5.8%	9.2%	60.3	18,392
Porterville City of	Tulare Lake	26%	26%	No	28.7%	-2.7%	34.7%	25.6%	113.7	61,946
City of Newman Water Department	San Joaquin River	21%	21%	No	23.8%	-2.8%	24.5%	29.8%	122.7	10,668
Loma Linda City of	South Coast	30%	11%	Yes	13.8%	-2.8%	19.5%	13.7%	124.3	23,751
Monterey Park City of	South Coast	20%	14%	Yes	16.9%	-2.9%	21.1%	15.6%	77.9	62,183
Fortuna City of	North Coast	20%	0%	Yes	3.5%	-3.5%	3.5%	9.0%	71.0	12,032
Orchard Dale Water District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	3.7%	-3.7%	20.3%	-2.9%	78.3	25,000
Modesto, City of	San Joaquin River	33%	20%	Yes	23.9%	-3.9%	23.9%	25.0%	126.8	223,432
La Palma City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	4.7%	-4.7%	26.4%	-42.0%	73.3	15,896

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	4.9%	-4.9% <i>A</i>	11.4%	25.0%	59.6	602
Covina City of	South Coast	26%	12%	Yes	17.0%	-5.0%	20.0%	16.2%	105.7	33,300
Vernon City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	5.2%	-5.2% <i>A</i>	6.1%	4.0%	41.8	112
Compton City of	South Coast	8%	8%	No	13.4%	-5.4%	15.4%	12.2%	55.7	81,963
Nipomo Community Services District	Central Coast	28%	23%	Yes	29.0%	-6.0%	28.7%	30.6%	109.1	13,351
San Buenaventura City of	South Coast	16%	16%	No	22.1%	-6.1%	25.2%	24.1%	70.7	113,478
Paramount City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	6.2%	-6.2%	11.1%	4.7%	54.4	55,302
Daly City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	4%	Yes	10.5%	-6.5%	10.9%	21.9%	48.5	109,139
Monrovia City of	South Coast	26%	12%	Yes	18.7%	-6.7%	22.6%	21.8%	128.9	37,406
Imperial, City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	7.0%	-7.0%	9.7%	2.4%	97.3	18,022
Bakersfield City of	Tulare Lake	31%	9%	Yes	16.7%	-7.7%	19.8%	12.6%	195.5	146,808
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	7.8%	-7.8%	-12.5%	-10.1%	55.9	25,846
Humboldt Community Service District	North Coast	12%	0%	Yes	8.0%	-8.0%	6.8%	7.7%	50.7	22,842
El Segundo City of	South Coast	20%	2%	Yes	10.2%	-8.2%	-8.4%	19.9%	83.7	16,654
Arroyo Grande City of	Central Coast	28%	28%	No	36.3%	-8.3%	31.8%	33.9%	87.2	17,636
Lathrop, City of	San Joaquin River	18%	18%	No	26.4%	-8.4%	24.6%	21.5%	70.3	20,353
El Monte City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	8.4%	-8.4%	19.4%	0.5%	56.8	22,968
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	8.7%	-8.7%	6.8%	6.0%	50.5	65,492
Yuba City City of	Sacramento River	28%	15%	Yes	23.8%	-8.8%	16.5%	24.0%	104.4	73,202
Valley County Water District	South Coast	14%	10%	Yes	18.9%	-8.9%	20.9%	14.4%	77.2	55,703
West Kern Water District	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	9.2%	-9.2% <i>A</i>	-0.9%	3.4%	102.5	20,591
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	0%	Yes	9.2%	-9.2%	7.2%	14.1%	60.9	33,124
San Luis Obispo City of	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	21.3%	-9.3%	19.5%	19.4%	58.6	46,117
South Gate City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	9.4%	-9.4%	12.7%	16.0%	58.9	88,654
Big Bear City Community Services District	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	9.8%	-9.8%	-5.2%	-3.8%	61.7	12,411
Mesa Water District	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	9.8%	-9.8%	7.7%	1.4%	77.5	110,000
Crescent City City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	9.9%	-9.9%	11.9%	4.9%	61.8	18,382
Lompoc City of	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	22.4%	-10.4%	19.7%	21.1%	59.4	41,541

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
Norwalk City of	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	10.4%	-10.4%	13.9%	4.6%	97.6	18,361
Inglewood City of	South Coast	11%	5%	Yes	15.5%	-10.5%	18.2%	14.9%	67.6	86,418
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	10.6%	-10.6%	18.5%	4.0%	285.7	22,264
McKinleyville Community Service District	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	10.6%	-10.6%	5.4%	7.2%	59.4	16,900
El Centro City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	10.8%	-10.8%	15.0%	8.9%	105.7	45,170
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	10.9%	-10.9%	17.1%	13.2%	59.0	44,466
Crestline Village Water District	South Lahontan	8%	0%	Yes	11.1%	-11.1%	25.5%	9.4%	52.2	7,709
Riverbank City of	San Joaquin River	30%	0%	Yes	11.1%	-11.1%	6.2%	3.5%	144.7	23,734
Folsom City of	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	11.1%	-11.1%	22.8%	21.8%	154.8	63,951
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	11.2%	-11.2%	9.5%	7.7%	77.8	20,913
Estero Municipal Improvement District	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	11.2%	-11.2%	7.6%	30.0%	40.4	37,339
Greenfield, City of	Central Coast	14%	5%	Yes	16.2%	-11.2%	21.1%	23.7%	62.1	17,898
Ceres City of	San Joaquin River	26%	13%	Yes	24.3%	-11.3%	29.0%	31.1%	84.7	47,639
Palmdale Water District	South Lahontan	28%	10%	Yes	21.3%	-11.3%	20.4%	20.0%	106.5	116,530
California-American Water Company San Diego District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	11.7%	-11.7%	27.1%	7.4%	68.0	94,043
Mammoth Community Water District	South Lahontan	17%	17%	No	28.8%	-11.8%	6.9%	4.3%	41.3	16,739
Golden State Water Company Placentia	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	12.0%	-12.0%	25.7%	-2.3%	112.8	46,543
Golden State Water Company Southwest	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	12.0%	-12.0%	10.9%	10.3%	59.5	271,677
Susanville City of	North Lahontan	33%	0%	Yes	12.1%	-12.1%	8.9%	20.3%	142.2	8,497
Hawthorne City of	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	12.4%	-12.4%	11.3%	14.8%	63.2	44,593
Vista Irrigation District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	12.5%	-12.5%	20.0%	1.4%	89.4	127,699
North Marin Water District	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	12.7%	-12.7%	4.5%	9.8%	121.8	61,299
Seal Beach City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	12.7%	-12.7%	16.1%	7.2%	65.0	25,561
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	12.9%	-12.9%	15.0%	14.7%	40.8	859,276
Shasta Lake City of	Sacramento River	25%	10%	Yes	23.0%	-13.0%	29.7%	31.8%	108.1	10,293
Eureka City of	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	13.1%	-13.1%	13.1%	14.7%	57.8	27,052
Mountain House Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	13.2%	-13.2%	36.6%	15.6%	121.0	15,751
Golden State Water Company Artesia	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	13.4%	-13.4%	18.7%	13.1%	70.4	47,978

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
Oxnard City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	13.4%	-13.4%	18.9%	15.0%	53.4	196,720
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	13.5%	-13.5%	12.6%	11.7%	50.9	57,934
East Palo Alto, City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	8%	No	21.5%	-13.5%	24.6%	30.1%	46.1	29,143
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	13.5%	-13.5%	25.0%	10.7%	166.2	43,111
Bella Vista Water District	Sacramento River	33%	33%	No	46.7%	-13.7%	46.3%	57.2%	168.9	18,426
Coastside County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	13.7%	-13.7%	17.6%	13.7%	72.1	16,704
Pinedale County Water District	Tulare Lake	14%	14%	No	27.8%	-13.8%	24.4%	18.5%	118.0	16,735
California Water Service Company Dominguez	South Coast	15%	0%	Yes	13.8%	-13.8%	20.5%	12.7%	65.0	142,793
Fairfield City of	Sacramento River	19%	0%	Yes	13.9%	-13.9%	15.4%	21.6%	87.2	108,392
Long Beach City of	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	16.3%	14.1%	64.1	487,339
San Diego City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	16.7%	6.3%	67.6	1,339,958
Marin Municipal Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	14.1%	-14.1%	17.3%	24.4%	81.7	189,400
Yreka, City of	North Coast	30%	0%	Yes	14.2%	-14.2%	-16.9%	9.8%	71.3	7,840
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	South Coast	10%	0%	Yes	14.3%	-14.3%	15.0%	12.6%	61.7	27,656
Huntington Park City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	14.3%	-14.3%	10.9%	11.4%	38.0	64,219
North Tahoe Public Utility District	North Lahontan	25%	0%	Yes	14.3%	-14.3%	19.8%	16.3%	91.9	7,500
Lodi City of Public Works Department	San Joaquin River	30%	6%	Yes	20.3%	-14.3%	23.5%	29.5%	103.5	63,719
Lee Lake Water District	South Coast	31%	0%	Yes	14.4%	-14.4%	23.4%	-4.3%	136.9	15,543
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	South Lahontan	13%	0%	Yes	14.4%	-14.4%	25.9%	11.9%	51.1	22,235
Sierra Madre City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	14.5%	-14.5%	20.5%	11.1%	170.2	11,100
Golden State Water Company Culver City	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	14.5%	-14.5%	17.5%	15.5%	68.8	36,215
Vaughn Water Company	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	14.6%	-14.6%	21.5%	7.4%	345.1	31,584
Coachella City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	14.6%	-14.6%	27.1%	13.7%	97.1	45,407
Corona City of	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	14.7%	-14.7%	20.8%	13.7%	129.5	168,070
Azusa City of	South Coast	18%	3%	Yes	17.8%	-14.8%	18.5%	15.5%	82.5	106,400
Valley Water Company	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	14.8%	-14.8%	30.6%	14.3%	289.8	9,900
Jurupa Community Service District	South Coast	23%	0%	Yes	14.9%	-14.9%	20.0%	16.0%	129.7	118,731
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	23.0%	15.1%	129.2	96,139

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
Vallejo City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	17.2%	19.4%	81.3	124,000
Riverside City of	South Coast	25%	0%	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	19.2%	13.1%	108.3	301,710
California-American Water Company Monterey District	Central Coast	8%	0%	Yes	15.1%	-15.1%	16.3%	17.6%	64.3	91,884
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	15.1%	-15.1%	14.9%	14.7%	73.8	4,099,365
Mission Springs Water District	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	15.2%	-15.2%	19.6%	5.3%	123.2	37,600
Huntington Beach City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	15.3%	-15.3%	23.1%	20.1%	70.1	196,041
Soledad, City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	15.3%	-15.3%	12.6%	8.7%	80.7	16,729
Laguna Beach County Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	24.1%	12.9%	88.5	19,121
Goleta Water District	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	27.4%	-15.4%	26.4%	31.3%	51.9	86,946
Rowland Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	-4.5%	16.7%	74.3	62,106
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	17.3%	15.0%	41.4	158,675
Chino City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	17.3%	15.7%	124.0	74,639
Hesperia Water District City of	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	14.5%	6.3%	108.8	93,226
Eastern Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	15.5%	-15.5%	16.7%	13.0%	89.0	558,768
Calaveras County Water District	San Joaquin River	13%	0%	Yes	15.6%	-15.6%	21.2%	15.5%	132.6	20,698
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	25%	0%	Yes	15.6%	-15.6%	6.6%	15.7%	68.4	32,776
Lomita City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	15.7%	-15.7%	20.8%	8.8%	89.8	20,463
Casitas Municipal Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	15.7%	-15.7%	-0.6%	39.0%	90.3	9,944
Whittier City of	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	15.8%	-15.8%	19.3%	30.3%	80.1	49,954
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	15.8%	-15.8%	24.9%	5.5%	150.6	84,351
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	15.9%	-15.9%	18.7%	7.4%	108.5	86,596
Sunnyvale City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	5%	Yes	20.9%	-15.9%	12.3%	24.5%	59.9	148,372
Ontario City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	15.9%	-15.9%	21.5%	21.3%	85.0	169,869
La Habra City of Public Works	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	24.4%	20.9%	88.3	63,118
Windsor, Town of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	16.8%	26.2%	69.9	27,183
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	South Coast	35%	0%	Yes	16.2%	-16.2%	26.7%	11.6%	161.9	75,384
Santa Ana City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.2%	-16.2%	15.3%	16.9%	60.9	332,005
San Juan Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	16.3%	-16.3%	26.0%	40.5%	221.0	32,779

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
Camarillo City of	South Coast	20%	3%	Yes	19.3%	-16.3%	21.6%	21.0%	89.3	47,909
Otay Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	16.3%	-16.3%	21.6%	9.0%	82.5	220,200
Arvin Community Services District	Tulare Lake	21%	0%	Yes	16.4%	-16.4%	35.0%	14.6%	67.8	20,499
Placer County Water Agency	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	25.6%	20.0%	138.0	98,128
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	South Coast	27%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	28.8%	21.5%	90.5	151,965
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	16.6%	-16.6%	22.4%	10.8%	131.5	122,998
Nevada Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	20.4%	23.6%	136.7	44,761
El Dorado Irrigation District	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	25.9%	33.3%	103.7	118,500
Anaheim City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	20.1%	16.8%	81.4	360,972
Irvine Ranch Water District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	23.7%	19.4%	70.9	395,787
Coachella Valley Water District	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	27.7%	7.3%	230.5	286,861
Moulton Niguel Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	17.4%	15.9%	95.2	172,068
Tulare, City of	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	21.6%	16.8%	134.3	63,837
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	South Coast	19%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	17.7%	15.6%	77.4	96,003
Montebello Land and Water Company	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	13.9%	15.2%	67.1	32,219
Westminster City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	19.9%	17.3%	79.7	96,046
Port Hueneme City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	14.8%	22.2%	45.1	21,555
Vallecitos Water District	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	22.7%	8.8%	88.5	97,564
Cerritos City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	24.4%	20.4%	113.3	49,041
Helix Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	22.3%	11.0%	83.5	271,759
Delano City of	Tulare Lake	21%	0%	Yes	17.3%	-17.3%	17.9%	11.4%	70.8	55,459
Garden Grove City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.3%	-17.3%	23.8%	18.0%	73.0	177,020
Santa Cruz City of	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	25.3%	-17.3%	24.9%	26.1%	42.2	94,887
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	17.4%	-17.4%	29.5%	21.9%	89.1	5,334
Wasco City of	Tulare Lake	32%	17%	Yes	34.4%	-17.4%	6.2%	27.4%	72.4	26,159
San Dieguito Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.5%	-17.5%	22.1%	11.8%	107.9	37,376
West Valley Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	24.8%	22.8%	116.7	81,784
Hanford City of	Tulare Lake	23%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	24.3%	12.3%	132.6	59,338

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
Newport Beach City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	14.2%	15.4%	110.2	66,550
Sweetwater Authority	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	17.5%	10.5%	63.6	188,886
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	24.7%	9.6%	150.8	207,833
Lynwood City of	South Coast	10%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	18.9%	22.1%	40.4	65,965
Oceanside City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	20.7%	17.2%	71.5	175,948
Fallbrook Public Utility District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	27.2%	16.6%	58.3	34,894
Rubidoux Community Service District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	25.0%	24.4%	89.0	32,200
Clovis City of	Tulare Lake	33%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	23.4%	13.3%	143.4	111,927
Santa Margarita Water District	South Coast	23%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	21.0%	17.8%	99.7	159,307
Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	20.8%	14.9%	110.4	166,545
Napa City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	18.0%	-18.0%	15.1%	26.4%	75.1	86,848
Golden State Water Company West Orange	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	18.0%	-18.0%	21.7%	16.2%	81.2	111,904
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	18.0%	-18.0%	18.9%	4.1%	156.9	9,018
California Water Service Company Visalia	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	24.6%	15.5%	124.8	141,483
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	21.0%	24.1%	64.8	1,400,000
Rohnert Park City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	20.6%	26.6%	82.7	43,398
California Water Service Company Marysville	Sacramento River	21%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	16.2%	16.8%	76.9	12,237
La Verne City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	27.3%	13.7%	153.0	32,228
Walnut Valley Water District	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	23.0%	17.2%	122.8	113,236
Reedley City of	Tulare Lake	22%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	18.4%	14.3%	64.7	24,194
Lincoln City of	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	26.2%	29.8%	121.4	47,339
Orange City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	29.9%	20.1%	110.5	140,094
Downey City of	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	20.8%	17.3%	84.5	111,931
Pittsburg City of	San Francisco Bay	19%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	15.2%	22.5%	69.1	67,628
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	South Coast	26%	15%	Yes	33.2%	-18.2%	39.3%	35.7%	117.9	52,914
Joshua Basin Water District	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	30.2%	28.5%	96.6	9,514
Santa Maria City of	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	14.2%	19.3%	70.1	102,087
Shafter City of	Tulare Lake	32%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	23.3%	16.6%	157.6	19,347

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
Chino Hills City of	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	32.2%	20.4%	119.7	77,596
Fullerton City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	20.4%	17.8%	109.6	138,000
Newhall County Water District	South Coast	25%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	23.4%	17.3%	121.7	45,036
Camrosa Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	19.8%	23.7%	133.8	35,783
Santa Rosa City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	21.2%	25.8%	69.2	173,071
San Bernardino County Service Area 70J	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	22.3%	11.2%	35.0	11,592
Tracy City of	San Joaquin River	25%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	24.0%	20.2%	99.7	88,208
Hollister City of	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	19.9%	23.5%	85.0	21,130
Trabuco Canyon Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	31.0%	13.4%	113.0	13,175
Millbrae City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	25.2%	18.9%	65.6	21,532
Indio City of	Colorado River	27%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	19.8%	16.5%	128.9	88,411
Oakdale City of	San Joaquin River	30%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	23.0%	1.6%	148.4	21,442
Martinez City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	25.9%	20.3%	34.3	35,375
Watsonville City of	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	19.9%	20.8%	60.4	65,966
Blythe City of	Colorado River	28%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8% C	23.5%	19.7%	99.5	13,839
Coalinga City of	Tulare Lake	30%	5%	Yes	23.9%	-18.9%	27.9%	20.6%	137.8	16,529
Rialto City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	18.9%	-18.9%	24.3%	20.9%	97.7	54,453
Kerman, City of	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	18.9%	-18.9%	23.0%	15.5%	131.2	14,359
San Gabriel County Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	18.9%	-18.9%	23.9%	18.8%	81.2	45,000
San Fernando City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	19.0%	-19.0%	19.5%	16.8%	88.8	24,560
Davis City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	19.0%	-19.0%	22.6%	29.0%	92.4	68,320
Lamont Public Utility District	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	16.3%	11.2%	102.0	20,009
Cucamonga Valley Water District	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	25.0%	17.4%	106.1	204,376
Lakewood City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	28.0%	21.0%	93.2	59,081
Sonoma City of	San Francisco Bay	28%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	24.6%	35.8%	106.6	11,579
California Water Service Company Stockton	San Joaquin River	18%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	20.5%	26.6%	57.6	171,552
Pico Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	24.5%	17.4%	91.5	25,284
Hemet City of	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	25.3%	23.0%	66.7	29,982

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
Glendale City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	24.5%	19.7%	84.9	193,300
Golden State Water Company Cordova	Sacramento River	32%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	24.2%	23.5%	155.4	43,767
Crescenta Valley Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	28.0%	16.2%	102.2	32,385
Santa Clara City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	17.2%	26.5%	56.5	120,973
San Juan Capistrano City of	South Coast	27%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	21.3%	15.8%	102.6	39,189
Rio Vista, city of	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	29.6%	33.2%	145.9	8,324
Sacramento County Water Agency	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	33.1%	31.5%	103.4	179,694
Manhattan Beach City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	16.5%	28.9%	85.6	35,726
Banning City of	Colorado River	28%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	31.0%	19.0%	118.6	30,325
Stockton City of	San Joaquin River	26%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	24.3%	25.7%	95.0	177,808
Amador Water Agency	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	22.1%	26.1%	64.7	23,747
Norco City of	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	21.8%	24.6%	158.7	25,890
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	27.0%	20.0%	103.3	115,000
Desert Water Agency	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	19.6%	15.7%	159.6	105,954
Santa Monica City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	23.3%	20.3%	74.3	93,640
Santa Paula City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	22.4%	15.3%	112.9	30,009
Buena Park City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	16.6%	11.1%	83.7	83,347
Healdsburg City of	North Coast	24%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	22.7%	26.1%	92.9	11,815
East Niles Community Service District	Tulare Lake	33%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	24.9%	19.7%	177.5	31,772
Milpitas City of	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	17.0%	20.0%	64.9	70,817
Redding City of	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	18.7%	31.3%	166.1	91,207
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	36.2%	22.1%	163.6	9,600
Perris, City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	17.2%	5.4%	77.4	9,000
Redwood City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	20.0%	24.4%	55.3	87,696
San Bruno City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	20.2%	-20.2%	19.7%	13.7%	43.7	44,409
Fountain Valley City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	20.4%	-20.4%	19.8%	17.1%	74.4	59,227
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	23.7%	20.7%	54.1	22,258
Sweetwater Springs Water District	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	11.0%	21.6%	55.1	7,805

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
Redlands City of	South Coast	33%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	23.3%	18.6%	169.0	85,276
Santa Fe Springs City of	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	22.1%	15.1%	76.5	18,199
Pismo Beach City of	Central Coast	22%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	21.2%	18.9%	96.5	7,861
Park Water Company	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	21.3%	18.8%	47.1	125,784
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	22.3%	12.7%	82.6	88,995
San Bernardino City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	23.4%	18.2%	102.7	199,634
Rancho California Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	28.6%	18.7%	198.3	149,362
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	34.7%	23.4%	227.2	8,948
Lemoore City of	Tulare Lake	28%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	36.9%	25.2%	121.7	25,281
Fresno City of	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	20.9%	-20.9%	20.8%	15.5%	147.5	520,453
Beverly Hills City of	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	20.9%	-20.9%	18.5%	23.5%	119.4	51,403
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	20.9%	-20.9%	24.1%	21.1%	122.1	90,687
Upland City of	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	21.0%	-21.0%	31.0%	19.1%	153.5	76,469
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	24.4%	16.8%	111.3	54,872
Soquel Creek Water District	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	29.2%	-21.2%	26.0%	29.1%	50.7	40,401
Elk Grove Water Service	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	28.2%	23.0%	97.9	44,316
Sunny Slope Water Company	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	30.6%	19.7%	88.0	30,700
Rosamond Community Service District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	21.3%	-21.3%	31.5%	20.1%	110.6	17,700
Indian Wells Valley Water District	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	21.3%	-21.3%	25.6%	25.5%	164.3	31,120
Pomona City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	21.4%	-21.4%	25.3%	20.2%	78.1	155,604
Lakeside Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	23.2%	13.1%	83.6	35,500
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	27.5%	22.4%	179.8	70,037
Valencia Water Company	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	27.2%	20.6%	116.5	97,300
Marina Coast Water District	Central Coast	11%	11%	No	32.6%	-21.6%	31.2%	31.2%	58.0	34,235
Victorville Water District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	24.4%	37.1%	74.8	121,667
Linda County Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	22.9%	24.4%	124.3	18,808
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	30.1%	19.4%	103.3	209,035
Escondido City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	21.7%	-21.7%	15.7%	12.8%	78.4	137,941

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
Hayward City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	21.7%	-21.7%	26.6%	21.8%	51.4	158,985
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	21.8%	-21.8%	32.8%	17.5%	103.2	16,126
Madera City of	San Joaquin River	26%	0%	Yes	21.8%	-21.8%	23.3%	20.0%	77.1	65,474
Tustin City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	25.3%	23.6%	115.3	67,700
Monte Vista Water District	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	17.3%	21.2%	83.8	55,995
Riverside Highland Water Company	South Coast	33%	0%	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	30.5%	16.2%	162.6	15,700
Palo Alto City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	22.0%	-22.0%	31.6%	33.9%	87.5	64,403
Sunnyslope County Water District	Central Coast	26%	0%	Yes	22.0%	-22.0%	36.5%	19.6%	106.8	19,970
Tuolumne Utilities District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	22.1%	-22.1%	25.9%	25.6%	88.2	30,809
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	22.1%	-22.1%	26.6%	29.3%	60.5	135,558
Sacramento Suburban Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	22.1%	-22.1%	28.4%	27.2%	95.3	176,565
Woodland City of	Sacramento River	20%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	19.4%	19.7%	86.3	57,526
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	31.1%	19.9%	131.5	101,777
West Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	26.2%	27.8%	106.1	50,836
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	23.0%	19.8%	83.3	178,500
Ramona Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	27.7%	17.2%	122.8	36,564
Olivehurst Public Utility District	Sacramento River	33%	10%	Yes	32.3%	-22.3%	46.2%	47.3%	131.6	19,509
Golden State Water Company Barstow	South Lahontan	20%	0%	Yes	22.3%	-22.3%	18.2%	19.3%	83.8	31,209
Discovery Bay Community Services District	San Joaquin River	29%	0%	Yes	22.3%	-22.3%	29.8%	34.2%	131.6	15,079
San Clemente City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	22.3%	-22.3%	28.0%	20.1%	87.3	51,385
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	19.3%	24.9%	38.6	61,765
East Valley Water District	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	22.5%	-22.5%	17.8%	14.1%	115.2	104,457
Valley of the Moon Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	17.6%	26.8%	85.6	23,782
Morro Bay City of	Central Coast	12%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	21.8%	23.4%	56.5	10,608
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	30.8%	18.9%	63.1	14,167
Mid-Peninsula Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	19.5%	19.3%	79.5	26,924
Diablo Water District	San Joaquin River	23%	0%	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	28.0%	28.9%	82.6	40,793
Fair Oaks Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	29.4%	38.7%	149.1	36,226

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	23.4%	15.3%	150.4	279,688
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	22.9%	-22.9%	32.8%	22.3%	95.8	43,351
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	Central Coast	28%	0%	Yes	22.9%	-22.9%	17.2%	24.4%	96.4	31,500
California-American Water Ventura District	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	28.9%	24.2%	135.6	63,423
Groveland Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	21.9%	16.8%	89.0	3,400
Thousand Oaks City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	23.2%	-23.2%	24.5%	21.8%	121.2	51,609
Scotts Valley Water District	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	22.9%	27.8%	64.9	10,309
Alameda County Water District	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	25.3%	22.4%	69.5	349,000
Paso Robles City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	26.5%	26.9%	102.0	30,522
Carmichael Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	30.6%	37.0%	148.1	37,897
Manteca City of	San Joaquin River	27%	0%	Yes	23.4%	-23.4%	24.6%	29.0%	99.8	77,269
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	San Francisco Bay	36%	2%	Yes	25.5%	-23.5%	28.2%	36.6%	118.8	68,852
Burbank City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	26.0%	21.0%	100.4	105,110
Citrus Heights Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	23.7%	-23.7%	29.1%	35.2%	129.0	65,093
Vacaville City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	23.8%	-23.8%	-32.7%	28.8%	94.1	90,194
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	23.8%	-23.8%	6.6%	26.4%	108.0	21,441
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	23.9%	-23.9%	27.6%	20.7%	88.8	26,827
Alco Water Service	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	24.0%	-24.0%	27.5%	23.4%	94.7	29,822
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	24.1%	-24.1%	21.5%	28.2%	129.9	38,703
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	24.1%	-24.1%	25.6%	33.4%	138.2	11,013
Arcadia City of	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	24.2%	-24.2%	30.3%	20.9%	202.7	57,639
Santa Barbara City of	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	36.4%	-24.4%	28.4%	36.6%	69.5	93,426
Gilroy City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	24.4%	-24.4%	27.3%	27.3%	76.4	55,646
Grover Beach City of	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	32.4%	-24.4%	31.2%	29.5%	73.1	13,156
Morgan Hill City of	Central Coast	27%	0%	Yes	24.6%	-24.6%	12.8%	34.2%	87.8	43,645
South Coast Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	24.6%	-24.6%	25.8%	20.7%	91.5	35,004
California Water Service Company Salinas District	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	24.7%	-24.7%	24.0%	24.4%	56.4	122,170
Oildale Mutual Water Company	Tulare Lake	31%	0%	Yes	24.9%	-24.9%	24.7%	22.3%	153.9	34,133

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	San Francisco Bay	17%	0%	Yes	24.9%	-24.9%	25.3%	31.9%	85.6	28,782
Petaluma City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	24.9%	-24.9%	18.4%	30.0%	65.4	61,955
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	0%	Yes	25.0%	-25.0%	23.9%	20.7%	102.8	6,158
Burlingame City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	25.0%	-25.0%	23.7%	22.6%	64.9	31,109
California Water Service Company Westlake	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	25.0%	-25.0%	26.9%	21.6%	227.9	19,456
Alhambra City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	25.1%	-25.1%	24.0%	20.9%	87.1	85,068
Antioch City of	San Joaquin River	27%	0%	Yes	25.2%	-25.2%	27.0%	28.5%	91.5	112,968
Poway City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	25.4%	-25.4%	29.7%	17.8%	143.5	48,774
Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	25.5%	-25.5%	27.1%	31.3%	89.6	485,683
Mountain View City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	25.5%	-25.5%	27.1%	33.8%	54.2	76,448
Quartz Hill Water District	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	25.7%	-25.7%	31.1%	17.1%	195.5	20,352
Contra Costa Water District	San Francisco Bay	25%	0%	Yes	25.8%	-25.8%	34.8%	21.2%	107.8	199,680
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	25.8%	-25.8%	24.6%	31.0%	65.9	29,510
Golden State Water Company Claremont	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	25.9%	-25.9%	31.9%	19.1%	148.8	37,160
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	26.1%	-26.1%	27.1%	16.7%	123.5	29,955
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	26.2%	-26.2%	18.8%	12.0%	64.9	271,817
Orange Vale Water Company	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	26.3%	-26.3%	32.8%	40.5%	142.3	16,775
Cambria Community Services District	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	34.4%	-26.4%	19.4%	19.2%	40.6	6,032
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	San Francisco Bay	32%	0%	Yes	26.5%	-26.5%	35.6%	36.7%	105.8	69,871
San Jose City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	26.5%	-26.5%	24.6%	32.9%	49.2	113,700
San Jose Water Company	San Francisco Bay	20%	2%	Yes	28.6%	-26.6%	28.5%	32.9%	67.1	990,000
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	26.9%	-26.9%	27.3%	22.0%	87.0	62,602
California Water Service Company Oroville	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	26.9%	-26.9%	25.8%	34.0%	57.4	11,070
South Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	26.9%	-26.9%	32.0%	23.7%	96.1	26,174
Dinuba City of	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	26.9%	-26.9%	25.9%	16.0%	113.4	24,657
El Toro Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	27.0%	-27.0%	24.4%	26.0%	85.1	48,628
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	Sacramento River	17%	0%	Yes	27.0%	-27.0%	30.0%	33.8%	78.3	203,851
Yorba Linda Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	27.2%	-27.2%	35.2%	25.4%	144.2	78,539

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By ** Order Status	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
California Water Service Company King City	Central Coast	9%	0%	Yes	27.2%	-27.2%	25.0%	6.5%	55.9	15,147
Carpinteria Valley Water District	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	27.5%	-27.5%	24.6%	30.2%	80.9	14,616
Galt City of	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	27.7%	-27.7%	26.7%	32.7%	120.5	25,000
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	27.8%	-27.8%	29.7%	29.3%	63.9	100,731
Kingsburg, City of	Tulare Lake	34%	0%	Yes	28.2%	-28.2%	34.3%	25.0%	215.4	11,685
San Jacinto City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	28.2%	-28.2%	29.4%	21.7%	93.3	17,961
California Water Service Company Willows	Sacramento River	26%	0%	Yes	28.3%	-28.3%	21.9%	28.4%	93.3	7,084
Brentwood City of	San Joaquin River	28%	0%	Yes	28.4%	-28.4%	41.1%	36.6%	95.3	58,784
Valley Center Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	28.6%	-28.6%	13.5%	14.1%	195.0	25,608
East Orange County Water District	South Coast	29%	0%	Yes	28.7%	-28.7%	37.9%	29.6%	199.1	3,257
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	28.8%	-28.8%	36.6%	33.9%	134.3	12,200
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	Central Coast	31%	0%	Yes	28.9%	-28.9%	32.8%	29.9%	136.6	31,872
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	29.4%	-29.4%	27.4%	35.7%	76.9	10,017
California Water Service Company Livermore	San Francisco Bay	21%	0%	Yes	29.4%	-29.4%	31.6%	38.0%	85.2	58,937
Dublin San Ramon Services District	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	29.5%	-29.5%	32.2%	28.7%	74.2	83,821
American Canyon, City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	29.6%	-29.6%	33.5%	38.8%	67.5	20,315
North Coast County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	29.7%	-29.7%	17.1%	7.0%	49.8	39,000
Pleasanton City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	30.8%	-30.8%	32.3%	31.7%	96.6	74,850
California Water Service Company Chico District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	30.9%	-30.9%	29.8%	36.9%	104.7	105,035
Paradise Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	31.0%	-31.0%	19.0%	32.5%	103.9	26,032
Hillsborough Town of	San Francisco Bay	36%	0%	Yes	31.2%	-31.2%	34.1%	60.1%	114.1	10,850
Westborough Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	31.3%	-31.3%	39.1%	49.6%	37.7	14,050
Brawley City of	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	31.5%	-31.5%	42.5%	44.1%	122.6	27,743
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	32.0%	-32.0%	29.8%	38.1%	66.1	3,214
Cloverdale	North Coast	--	0%	Yes	34.1%	-34.1%	--	46.7%	66.0	8,825
Merced City of	San Joaquin River	34%	0%	Yes	35.4%	-35.4%	34.1%	33.7%	144.3	83,400
Del Oro Water Company	Sacramento River	21%	0%	Yes	36.0%	-36.0%	26.9%	13.6%	81.7	9,546
California Water Service Company Selma	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	36.8%	-36.8%	36.4%	31.7%	102.7	25,895

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

October 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled November 18, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Oct 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Oct 2015	Oct 2016		
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	38.3%	-38.3%	56.0%	38.4%	143.0	3,410
Menlo Park City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	38.7%	-38.7%	22.9%	25.9%	73.9	16,066
Benicia City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	39.2%	-39.2%	36.1%	46.0%	60.2	28,086

October 2016 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit October 2016 data by November 18, 2016:

Anderson, City of	Rainbow Municipal Water District	South Feather Water and Power Agency
Calexico City of	Ripon City of	Torrance City of
Corcoran, City of	Roseville City of	Twentynine Palms Water District
Montecito Water District	Sanger City of	Ukiah City of
Patterson City of	Santa Fe Irrigation District	

September 2016 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit September 2016 data by November 18, 2016:

Anderson, City of	South Feather Water and Power Agency
Calexico City of	Torrance City of

August 2016 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit August 2016 data by November 18, 2016:

South Feather Water and Power Agency

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through October 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*