

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Dec-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
California City City of	6.3%	14,120	36%	29.7%	238,486,749	1 C
Riverbank City of	10.4%	23,298	32%	21.6%	188,511,081	1 C
Blythe City of	13.9%	13,839	32%	18.1%	124,927,200	1 C
Yreka, City of	14.9%	7,840	32%	17.1%	89,710,800	1 C
El Segundo City of	4.0%	16,654	20%	16.0%	246,764,983	1 A
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	16.4%	25,160	32%	15.6%	101,505,196	1 C
Humboldt Community Service District	8.6%	20,032	24%	15.4%	75,767,978	1
West Kern Water District	12.7%	21,181	28%	15.3%	570,238,694	1 A
Wasco City of	20.8%	26,159	36%	15.2%	148,951,000	1
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	21.0%	22,249	36%	15.0%	259,472,233	1
Sierra Madre City of	17.3%	11,100	32%	14.7%	75,592,839	2
Casitas Municipal Water District	17.5%	9,603	32%	14.5%	465,709,727	2
Twentynine Palms Water District	13.6%	18,795	28%	14.4%	80,573,634	2
Livingston City of	17.6%	14,898	32%	14.4%	222,728,920	2 A
Yucaipa Valley Water District	22.0%	44,896	36%	14.0%	362,740,400	2
Hanford City of	14.3%	57,050	28%	13.7%	406,076,943	2 C
Beverly Hills City of	18.3%	42,157	32%	13.7%	337,364,410	2
Redlands City of	22.9%	77,852	36%	13.1%	804,974,241	2
Winton Water & Sanitary District	23.2%	8,500	36%	12.8%	47,910,040	2
Susanville City of	24.0%	8,943	36%	12.0%	80,636,400	2 C
Indian Wells Valley Water District	24.0%	31,120	36%	12.0%	204,488,880	2 C
Norco City of	24.1%	25,891	36%	11.9%	204,260,619	2
Imperial, City of	12.4%	18,022	24%	11.6%	66,923,840	2
Hesperia Water District City of	20.6%	91,627	32%	11.4%	368,081,772	2
Coachella Valley Water District	24.6%	318,217	36%	11.4%	2,744,258,155	2
Mission Springs Water District	16.7%	37,600	28%	11.3%	194,622,976	2 C
Indio City of	21.0%	88,411	32%	11.0%	497,228,000	2
Hemet City of	21.0%	29,982	32%	11.0%	102,775,678	2 C
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	25.2%	43,111	36%	10.8%	303,236,556	2
Loma Linda City of	21.7%	23,614	32%	10.3%	122,252,938	2

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Dec-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
El Centro City of	13.8%	44,311	24%	10.2%	171,230,320	2
West Valley Water District	22.0%	74,310	32%	10.0%	430,971,879	2
Shafter City of	26.3%	19,100	36%	9.7%	115,560,000	2
Eastern Municipal Water District	18.6%	546,146	28%	9.4%	1,763,221,174	2
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	-5.2%	422	4%	9.2%	10,582,800	2 A
Camrosa Water District	22.9%	35,783	32%	9.1%	161,322,524	2
Vaughn Water Company	27.0%	31,060	36%	9.0%	260,459,550	2
Desert Water Agency	27.1%	105,035	36%	8.9%	666,904,918	2
Pinedale County Water District	27.3%	16,735	36%	8.7%	52,643,954	2
Lemoore City of	23.4%	25,281	32%	8.6%	143,259,400	2 A
Hi-Desert Water District	7.4%	23,760	16%	8.6%	53,518,490	2
Tulare, City of	23.5%	62,363	32%	8.5%	346,116,000	2
Fallbrook Public Utility District	27.7%	34,894	36%	8.3%	128,281,581	2
Rialto City of	19.7%	54,036	28%	8.3%	177,983,308	2
Redding City of	27.8%	91,207	36%	8.2%	518,593,600	2
San Dieguito Water District	19.8%	37,168	28%	8.2%	104,069,777	2
Arcadia City of	27.9%	57,639	36%	8.1%	295,240,944	2
Newport Beach City of	20.2%	66,219	28%	7.8%	262,535,106	2
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	20.2%	5,529	28%	7.8%	14,024,645	2
Ripon City of	28.3%	14,915	36%	7.7%	80,452,840	2
Valley Water Company	28.5%	9,900	36%	7.5%	62,742,041	2
Upland City of	28.6%	75,670	36%	7.4%	345,571,754	2
Rowland Water District	12.7%	62,106	20%	7.3%	167,800,451	2
Perris, City of	16.7%	9,000	24%	7.3%	27,649,354	2
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	28.7%	69,916	36%	7.3%	320,616,950	2
Exeter City of	28.8%	10,572	36%	7.2%	38,139,177	2
Bakersfield City of	28.9%	143,455	36%	7.1%	752,395,975	2
Red Bluff City of	28.9%	14,076	36%	7.1%	66,578,823	2
Oildale Mutual Water Company	29.0%	33,163	36%	7.0%	161,035,775	2
Modesto, City of	29.0%	220,916	36%	7.0%	950,616,274	2

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Dec-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Fortuna City of	17.0%	11,926	24%	7.0%	20,740,000	2
Adelanto City of	13.1%	33,084	20%	6.9%	63,315,140	2 C
Corona City of	21.2%	168,070	28%	6.8%	495,589,260	2
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	25.3%	38,703	32%	6.7%	173,478,086	2
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	25.4%	96,139	32%	6.6%	365,096,973	2
East Niles Community Service District	29.4%	31,772	36%	6.6%	144,925,681	2
Pasadena City of	21.5%	166,482	28%	6.5%	451,675,697	2
Sanger City of	21.6%	25,664	28%	6.4%	87,860,040	2
Hawthorne City of	9.6%	44,593	16%	6.4%	55,226,603	2
Fullerton City of	21.7%	138,000	28%	6.3%	384,891,013	2
Palmdale Water District	26.1%	116,219	32%	5.9%	273,609,623	2
California Water Service Company Visalia	26.1%	139,353	32%	5.9%	420,795,409	2
Rancho California Water District	30.1%	169,112	36%	5.9%	532,232,687	2
Kerman, City of	26.2%	14,359	32%	5.8%	45,257,080	2
Rubidoux Community Service District	22.2%	32,000	28%	5.8%	67,576,800	2
Joshua Basin Water District	22.2%	9,514	28%	5.8%	20,257,140	2
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	30.2%	9,600	36%	5.8%	28,231,768	2
Riverside City of	22.3%	300,106	28%	5.7%	853,782,875	2
Bakman Water Company	30.3%	16,756	36%	5.7%	53,033,556	2
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	30.4%	75,384	36%	5.6%	290,072,940	2
California-American Water Ventura District	26.5%	62,144	32%	5.5%	204,439,185	2
Nevada Irrigation District	30.6%	44,761	36%	5.4%	132,934,000	2
La Habra City of Public Works	22.6%	63,118	28%	5.4%	110,616,914	2
Corcoran, City of	30.8%	24,154	36%	5.2%	75,802,000	2
Cerritos City of	22.9%	49,041	28%	5.1%	89,648,766	2
Rio Vista, city of	30.9%	8,324	36%	5.1%	28,904,240	2
Clovis City of	31.0%	108,227	36%	5.0%	303,985,768	2
Jurupa Community Service District	23.0%	118,731	28%	5.0%	285,088,013	3
Covina City of	23.2%	33,300	28%	4.8%	60,377,663	3
San Juan Capistrano City of	23.2%	40,262	28%	4.8%	87,010,151	3

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Dec-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	23.3%	86,596	28%	4.7%	170,391,621	3
Lodi City of Public Works Department	27.3%	63,651	32%	4.7%	160,888,000	3
Fillmore City of	23.4%	15,222	28%	4.6%	23,311,222	3
Cucamonga Valley Water District	27.4%	202,506	32%	4.6%	515,050,359	3
Turlock City of	27.4%	71,181	32%	4.6%	221,757,691	3
Norwalk City of	15.6%	18,361	20%	4.4%	19,600,000	3
Riverside Highland Water Company	31.6%	15,083	36%	4.4%	37,188,120	3
Lynwood City of	11.8%	65,965	16%	4.2%	49,644,899	3
Soledad, City of	19.8%	16,729	24%	4.2%	21,025,608	3
La Verne City of	27.8%	32,228	32%	4.2%	76,073,535	3
Colton, City of	15.9%	47,612	20%	4.1%	87,882,130	3
Los Banos, City of	24.0%	37,168	28%	4.0%	73,234,120	3
Big Bear City Community Services District	12.0%	12,411	16%	4.0%	9,010,313	3
Victorville Water District	24.1%	126,396	28%	3.9%	195,605,353	3
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	12.1%	25,650	16%	3.9%	19,233,600	3
Yuba City City of	28.2%	68,379	32%	3.8%	138,562,000	3
Glendora City of	32.4%	51,463	36%	3.6%	98,046,218	3
Lamont Public Utility District	24.4%	19,057	28%	3.6%	31,703,408	3
Folsom City of	28.5%	63,376	32%	3.5%	174,982,216	3
Golden State Water Company Cordova	32.5%	43,767	36%	3.5%	126,988,211	3
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	24.5%	115,000	28%	3.5%	168,101,538	3
Monrovia City of	24.6%	37,406	28%	3.4%	56,384,086	3
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	28.6%	84,351	32%	3.4%	145,113,371	3
San Bernardino County Service Area 70J	24.7%	11,536	28%	3.3%	13,226,700	3
Chino City of	20.8%	78,073	24%	3.2%	105,949,288	3
California Water Service Company Westlake	32.8%	19,452	36%	3.2%	56,625,158	3
North Tahoe Public Utility District	25.0%	7,500	28%	3.0%	9,119,360	3
Ceres City of	25.0%	47,639	28%	3.0%	52,737,800	3
Delano City of	21.1%	54,318	24%	2.9%	60,778,280	3
Santa Paula City of	25.1%	30,009	28%	2.9%	29,484,732	3

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Dec-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Banning City of	29.2%	30,325	32%	2.8%	53,100,749	3
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	29.3%	9,874	32%	2.7%	12,477,840	3
Lee Lake Water District	29.3%	15,543	32%	2.7%	17,579,161	3
Ontario City of	21.4%	168,777	24%	2.6%	193,933,735	3
Golden State Water Company Cowan Heights	33.4%	7,254	36%	2.6%	15,707,342	3
San Fernando City of	21.5%	23,645	24%	2.5%	17,609,011	3
Coachella City of	21.5%	43,917	24%	2.5%	40,210,000	3
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	29.5%	121,688	32%	2.5%	161,166,116	3
Madera County	33.6%	11,853	36%	2.4%	23,493,023	3
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	25.6%	32,776	28%	2.4%	26,537,725	3
Brea City of	21.6%	43,328	24%	2.4%	56,717,699	3
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	33.6%	21,441	36%	2.4%	20,991,702	3
Rosamond Community Service District	25.7%	17,700	28%	2.3%	14,684,000	3
Monterey Park City of	17.8%	62,183	20%	2.2%	40,468,000	3
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	33.8%	11,013	36%	2.2%	15,109,499	3
Laguna Beach County Water District	21.9%	19,121	24%	2.1%	16,067,995	3
Reedley City of	21.9%	24,194	24%	2.1%	23,356,800	3
Walnut Valley Water District	26.0%	113,236	28%	2.0%	89,005,535	3
Lomita City of	18.2%	20,463	20%	1.8%	8,604,433	3
Manteca City of	30.3%	75,748	32%	1.7%	58,651,360	3
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	26.3%	149,794	28%	1.7%	92,381,486	3
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	18.4%	33,124	20%	1.6%	22,961,000	3
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	18.4%	46,300	20%	1.6%	17,846,883	3
Mesa Water District	18.5%	108,000	20%	1.5%	52,918,272	3
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	18.5%	95,838	20%	1.5%	37,955,174	3
East Valley Water District	26.5%	101,733	28%	1.5%	70,511,772	3
Carmichael Water District	34.5%	38,354	36%	1.5%	34,688,800	3
San Jacinto City of	30.6%	15,582	32%	1.4%	9,014,206	3
Golden State Water Company Barstow	22.6%	31,209	24%	1.4%	18,814,661	3
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	30.7%	14,097	32%	1.3%	9,206,476	3

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Dec-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Pico Water District	22.7%	25,284	24%	1.3%	8,841,131	3
Westminster City of	18.8%	94,294	20%	1.2%	30,473,625	3
Fresno City of	26.8%	520,159	28%	1.2%	377,951,197	3
Chino Hills City of	26.9%	77,596	28%	1.1%	36,276,899	3
Coalinga City of	30.9%	16,529	32%	1.1%	11,795,822	3
Newhall County Water District	26.9%	45,036	28%	1.1%	23,967,937	3
Vernon City of	7.0%	112	8%	1.0%	15,185,589	3 A
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	27.0%	28,549	28%	1.0%	9,152,000	3
San Bernardino City of	27.0%	198,163	28%	1.0%	96,540,224	3
Davis City of	27.3%	68,315	28%	0.7%	18,936,000	0
Sonoma City of	27.3%	11,579	28%	0.7%	3,461,468	0
Arcata City of	3.3%	18,464	4%	0.7%	2,683,680	0
Santa Fe Irrigation District	35.3%	19,839	36%	0.7%	16,017,553	0
Quartz Hill Water District	35.4%	20,352	36%	0.6%	7,729,848	0
Whittier City of	19.4%	49,954	20%	0.6%	9,623,044	0
Porterville City of	31.5%	61,946	32%	0.5%	15,678,488	0
Placer County Water Agency	31.5%	95,717	32%	0.5%	33,719,013	0
Tustin City of	27.6%	67,700	28%	0.4%	11,008,565	0
Tehachapi, City of	27.6%	8,923	28%	0.4%	1,883,369	0
Manhattan Beach City of	19.7%	35,726	20%	0.3%	3,447,508	0
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	31.7%	207,603	32%	0.3%	35,474,793	0
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	35.8%	74,633	36%	0.2%	6,436,217	0
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	31.8%	29,955	32%	0.2%	2,815,356	0
Madera City of	27.8%	63,961	28%	0.2%	4,226,040	0
California Water Service Company Oroville	27.8%	10,564	28%	0.2%	1,179,582	0
Moulton Niguel Water District	19.9%	172,068	20%	0.1%	7,671,194	0
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	27.9%	90,687	28%	0.1%	5,001,559	0
Golden State Water Company Artesia	16.0%	47,978	16%	0.0%	217,669	0
Orange City of	28.0%	139,463	28%	0.0%	N/A	0
Crescent City City of	16.0%	18,290	16%	0.0%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Dec-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Monte Vista Water District	24.0%	56,039	24%	0.0%	N/A	0
Sacramento Suburban Water District	32.1%	175,036	32%	-0.1%	N/A	0
Fair Oaks Water District	36.1%	36,226	36%	-0.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Southwest	12.1%	271,677	12%	-0.1%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	32.1%	279,702	32%	-0.1%	N/A	0
Paradise Irrigation District	36.1%	26,032	36%	-0.1%	N/A	0
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	28.3%	62,602	28%	-0.3%	N/A	0
Alhambra City of	24.3%	85,068	24%	-0.3%	N/A	0
Long Beach City of	16.3%	474,768	16%	-0.3%	N/A	0
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	24.4%	178,500	24%	-0.4%	N/A	0
Linda County Water District	32.5%	18,808	32%	-0.5%	N/A	0
East Orange County Water District	36.5%	3,247	36%	-0.5%	N/A	0
Montebello Land and Water Company	16.6%	32,219	16%	-0.6%	N/A	0
Tracy City of	28.6%	85,296	28%	-0.6%	N/A	0
San Juan Water District	36.6%	32,779	36%	-0.6%	N/A	0
South Gate City of	12.7%	96,057	12%	-0.7%	N/A	0
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	16.7%	4,026,730	16%	-0.7%	N/A	0
Alco Water Service	24.7%	29,179	24%	-0.7%	N/A	0
Stockton City of	28.8%	177,808	28%	-0.8%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	28.8%	102,889	28%	-0.8%	N/A	0
South Pasadena City of	28.9%	25,899	28%	-0.9%	N/A	0
Vacaville City of	33.0%	86,893	32%	-1.0%	N/A	0
Santa Margarita Water District	25.2%	157,042	24%	-1.2%	N/A	0
Garden Grove City of	21.2%	177,020	20%	-1.2%	N/A	0
Valley Center Municipal Water District	37.3%	25,522	36%	-1.3%	N/A	0
Lincoln City of	33.3%	45,837	32%	-1.3%	N/A	0
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	29.3%	209,035	28%	-1.3%	N/A	0
Camarillo City of	21.3%	47,183	20%	-1.3%	N/A	0
Pittsburg City of	21.4%	66,183	20%	-1.4%	N/A	0
Downey City of	21.4%	111,931	20%	-1.4%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Dec-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Marin Municipal Water District	21.5%	188,200	20%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Azusa City of	21.5%	110,000	20%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Hollister City of	21.5%	20,639	20%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Irvine Ranch Water District	17.5%	391,279	16%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Poway City of	33.5%	48,774	32%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Thousand Oaks City of	29.5%	51,609	28%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Galt City of	33.5%	24,289	32%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	13.6%	27,656	12%	-1.6%	N/A	0
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	29.6%	16,126	28%	-1.6%	N/A	0
Rohnert Park City of	17.7%	43,398	16%	-1.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	29.7%	54,872	28%	-1.7%	N/A	0
Orange Vale Water Company	37.7%	14,283	36%	-1.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	9.7%	65,492	8%	-1.7%	N/A	0
Pismo Beach City of	25.7%	7,861	24%	-1.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Culver City	17.8%	36,215	16%	-1.8%	N/A	0
Torrance City of	21.8%	105,296	20%	-1.8%	N/A	0
Yorba Linda Water District	37.8%	73,990	36%	-1.8%	N/A	0
Santa Monica City of	21.9%	93,283	20%	-1.9%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Dominguez	17.9%	142,292	16%	-1.9%	N/A	0 A
Santa Maria City of	17.9%	102,087	16%	-1.9%	N/A	0
El Toro Water District	25.9%	48,628	24%	-1.9%	N/A	0
City of Newman Water Department	26.0%	10,668	24%	-2.0%	N/A	0
San Gabriel County Water District	22.1%	45,000	20%	-2.1%	N/A	0
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	30.1%	31,453	28%	-2.1%	N/A	0
Estero Municipal Improvement District	14.1%	37,165	12%	-2.1%	N/A	0
Trabuco Canyon Water District	30.1%	13,175	28%	-2.1%	N/A	0
Paramount City of	14.2%	57,989	12%	-2.2%	N/A	0
El Dorado Irrigation District	30.3%	118,500	28%	-2.3%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Placentia	26.4%	46,543	24%	-2.4%	N/A	0
Anaheim City of	22.5%	360,142	20%	-2.5%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Dec-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Healdsburg City of	26.6%	11,687	24%	-2.6%	N/A	0
Sacramento City of	30.7%	480,155	28%	-2.7%	N/A	0
Watsonville City of	22.7%	65,739	20%	-2.7%	N/A	0
Ramona Municipal Water District	30.8%	36,564	28%	-2.8%	N/A	0
Glendale City of	22.8%	193,300	20%	-2.8%	N/A	0
Merced City of	38.8%	83,400	36%	-2.8%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	26.9%	26,827	24%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Buena Park City of	22.9%	82,767	20%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Oceanside City of	22.9%	174,522	20%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Fountain Valley City of	22.9%	59,227	20%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Patterson City of	30.9%	21,094	28%	-2.9%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Marysville	27.0%	12,257	24%	-3.0%	N/A	0
Huntington Beach City of	23.1%	196,041	20%	-3.1%	N/A	0
San Clemente City of	27.1%	55,398	24%	-3.1%	N/A	0
Burbank City of	27.2%	106,084	24%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Fairfield City of	23.2%	107,540	20%	-3.2%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Willows	31.2%	7,119	28%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Pico Rivera City of	19.2%	39,002	16%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Daly City City of	11.2%	105,810	8%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Paso Robles City of	31.4%	30,450	28%	-3.4%	N/A	0
Serrano Water District	39.4%	6,641	36%	-3.4%	N/A	0
Sunny Slope Water Company	27.6%	30,611	24%	-3.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	31.6%	9,990	28%	-3.6%	N/A	0
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	35.7%	12,200	32%	-3.7%	N/A	0
Helix Water District	23.8%	270,375	20%	-3.8%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Stockton	23.8%	170,896	20%	-3.8%	N/A	0
La Palma City of	23.9%	15,896	20%	-3.9%	N/A	0
Kingsburg, City of	40.0%	11,685	36%	-4.0%	N/A	0
Antioch City of	32.1%	108,298	28%	-4.1%	N/A	0
Shasta Lake City of	32.2%	10,293	28%	-4.2%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Dec-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Inglewood City of	16.2%	86,418	12%	-4.2%	N/A	0
Otay Water District	24.3%	220,200	20%	-4.3%	N/A	0
Vista Irrigation District	24.3%	124,746	20%	-4.3%	N/A	0
Napa City of	24.4%	86,051	20%	-4.4%	N/A	0
Citrus Heights Water District	36.4%	67,333	32%	-4.4%	N/A	0
Vallecitos Water District	28.5%	96,213	24%	-4.5%	N/A	0
Amador Water Agency	28.5%	23,347	24%	-4.5%	N/A	0
Vallejo City of	20.6%	124,000	16%	-4.6%	N/A	0
Pomona City of	24.6%	152,419	20%	-4.6%	N/A	0
South Coast Water District	28.6%	35,004	24%	-4.6%	N/A	0
Diablo Water District	32.6%	38,194	28%	-4.6%	N/A	0
Discovery Bay Community Services District	36.8%	14,893	32%	-4.8%	N/A	0
San Diego City of	20.9%	1,314,290	16%	-4.9%	N/A	0
Nipomo Community Services District	32.9%	13,271	28%	-4.9%	N/A	0
Sacramento County Water Agency	37.0%	174,379	32%	-5.0%	N/A	0
Compton City of	13.2%	81,963	8%	-5.2%	N/A	0
Oxnard City of	17.4%	196,720	12%	-5.4%	N/A	0
Mountain House Community Services District	30.4%	14,906	25%	-5.4%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	17.5%	44,466	12%	-5.5%	N/A	0
Santa Ana City of	17.5%	332,005	12%	-5.5%	N/A	0
Huntington Park City of	13.8%	64,219	8%	-5.8%	N/A	0
North Marin Water District	29.8%	61,299	24%	-5.8%	N/A	0
West Sacramento City of	33.9%	50,836	28%	-5.9%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Claremont	37.9%	37,160	32%	-5.9%	N/A	0
Hillsborough Town of	42.0%	10,850	36%	-6.0%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	38.0%	31,872	32%	-6.0%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	14.1%	57,934	8%	-6.1%	N/A	0
Santa Fe Springs City of	22.1%	18,199	16%	-6.1%	N/A	0
Groveland Community Services District	30.2%	3,400	24%	-6.2%	N/A	0
Sunnyslope County Water District	34.3%	19,189	28%	-6.3%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Dec-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	38.3%	70,019	32%	-6.3%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company West Orange	22.4%	111,904	16%	-6.4%	N/A	0
Oakdale City of	38.5%	22,115	32%	-6.5%	N/A	0
Gilroy City of	30.5%	53,743	24%	-6.5%	N/A	0
Lakewood City of	26.6%	59,081	20%	-6.6%	N/A	0
Santa Clara City of	22.7%	120,973	16%	-6.7%	N/A	0
Valley County Water District	22.8%	71,003	16%	-6.8%	N/A	0
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	15.1%	846,601	8%	-7.1%	N/A	0
Morro Bay City of	19.1%	10,234	12%	-7.1%	N/A	0
Arvin Community Services District	35.1%	20,499	28%	-7.1%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Chico District	39.2%	103,169	32%	-7.2%	N/A	0
Woodland City of	31.3%	57,223	24%	-7.3%	N/A	0
Morgan Hill City of	35.3%	41,779	28%	-7.3%	N/A	0
Valencia Water Company	31.3%	118,224	24%	-7.3%	N/A	0
El Monte City of	15.6%	22,968	8%	-7.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	15.6%	158,962	8%	-7.6%	N/A	0
Sweetwater Springs Water District	23.9%	7,775	16%	-7.9%	N/A	0
Roseville City of	35.9%	122,946	28%	-7.9%	N/A	0
American Canyon, City of	27.9%	20,080	20%	-7.9%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Selma	39.9%	25,048	32%	-7.9%	N/A	0
Lakeside Water District	27.9%	35,500	20%	-7.9%	N/A	0
Windsor, Town of	24.0%	27,486	16%	-8.0%	N/A	0
Escondido City of	28.0%	134,053	20%	-8.0%	N/A	0
Palo Alto City of	32.0%	64,403	24%	-8.0%	N/A	0
Elk Grove Water Service	36.1%	44,300	28%	-8.1%	N/A	0
Mid-Peninsula Water District	28.2%	26,730	20%	-8.2%	N/A	0
Petaluma City of	24.3%	61,258	16%	-8.3%	N/A	0
Arroyo Grande City of	36.4%	17,121	28%	-8.4%	N/A	0
Port Hueneme City of	16.4%	21,555	8%	-8.4%	N/A	0
Eureka City of	12.4%	27,052	4%	-8.4%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Dec-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Olivehurst Public Utility District	44.9%	19,509	36%	-8.9%	N/A	0
Orchard Dale Water District	20.9%	25,605	12%	-8.9%	N/A	0
Brentwood City of	40.9%	56,493	32%	-8.9%	N/A	0
Brawley City of	40.9%	27,743	32%	-8.9%	N/A	0
Crescenta Valley Water District	28.9%	31,966	20%	-8.9%	N/A	0
Valley of the Moon Water District	29.1%	23,478	20%	-9.1%	N/A	0
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	29.1%	90,101	20%	-9.1%	N/A	0
Contra Costa Water District	37.2%	199,680	28%	-9.2%	N/A	0
Lathrop, City of	29.4%	19,831	20%	-9.4%	N/A	0
Seal Beach City of	17.4%	25,561	8%	-9.4%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Salinas District	25.5%	121,645	16%	-9.5%	N/A	0
San Luis Obispo City of	21.8%	45,802	12%	-9.8%	N/A	0
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	26.0%	1,390,000	16%	-10.0%	N/A	0
San Jose City of	30.0%	117,576	20%	-10.0%	N/A	0
Santa Rosa City of	26.1%	170,974	16%	-10.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	34.3%	43,351	24%	-10.3%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Monterey District	18.4%	100,623	8%	-10.4%	N/A	0
Tuolumne Utilities District	34.4%	28,997	24%	-10.4%	N/A	0
Sweetwater Authority	22.6%	191,500	12%	-10.6%	N/A	0
Carpinteria Valley Water District	30.6%	14,616	20%	-10.6%	N/A	0
Millbrae City of	26.6%	21,532	16%	-10.6%	N/A	0
Rainbow Municipal Water District	46.8%	19,495	36%	-10.8%	N/A	0 C
California Water Service Company King City	22.9%	15,015	12%	-10.9%	N/A	0
Greenfield, City of	27.0%	17,898	16%	-11.0%	N/A	0
Mammoth Community Water District	31.3%	16,739	20%	-11.3%	N/A	0
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	39.4%	52,914	28%	-11.4%	N/A	0
Lompoc City of	23.4%	41,541	12%	-11.4%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	47.7%	3,387	36%	-11.7%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	27.7%	135,427	16%	-11.7%	N/A	0
Scotts Valley Water District	27.8%	10,309	16%	-11.8%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Dec-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	31.9%	7,649	20%	-11.9%	N/A	0
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	28.0%	271,817	16%	-12.0%	N/A	0
McKinleyville Community Service District	16.0%	16,900	4%	-12.0%	N/A	0
Goleta Water District	24.6%	86,946	12%	-12.6%	N/A	0
San Buenaventura City of	29.0%	113,478	16%	-13.0%	N/A	0
Martinez City of	33.2%	35,375	20%	-13.2%	N/A	0
San Jose Water Company	33.5%	990,000	20%	-13.5%	N/A	0
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	33.5%	99,199	20%	-13.5%	N/A	0
Park Water Company	21.6%	125,784	8%	-13.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	21.7%	61,716	8%	-13.7%	N/A	0
Crestline Village Water District	21.7%	7,607	8%	-13.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	25.8%	22,258	12%	-13.8%	N/A	0
Sunnyvale City of	30.1%	147,055	16%	-14.1%	N/A	0
Alameda County Water District	30.2%	346,000	16%	-14.2%	N/A	0
Coastside County Water District	22.4%	16,668	8%	-14.4%	N/A	0
Calaveras County Water District	30.6%	31,750	16%	-14.6%	N/A	0
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	30.6%	43,236	16%	-14.6%	N/A	0
Burlingame City of	30.8%	30,282	16%	-14.8%	N/A	0
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	35.1%	27,588	20%	-15.1%	N/A	0
Mountain View City of	31.2%	76,210	16%	-15.2%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	35.6%	201,418	20%	-15.6%	N/A	0
Del Oro Water Company	39.6%	9,615	24%	-15.6%	N/A	0
Hayward City of	23.7%	152,889	8%	-15.7%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	32.2%	3,178	16%	-16.2%	N/A	0
Pleasanton City of	41.0%	73,067	24%	-17.0%	N/A	0
Bella Vista Water District	53.0%	17,619	36%	-17.0%	N/A	0
San Bruno City of	25.2%	44,409	8%	-17.2%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Livermore	41.4%	58,783	24%	-17.4%	N/A	0
East Palo Alto, City of	25.5%	29,143	8%	-17.5%	N/A	0
Benicia City of	37.6%	28,086	20%	-17.6%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Dec-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Westborough Water District	25.8%	14,050	8%	-17.8%	N/A	0
Redwood City City of	26.5%	87,696	8%	-18.5%	N/A	0
North Coast County Water District	26.7%	39,000	8%	-18.7%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company San Diego District	26.9%	95,359	8%	-18.9%	N/A	0
Marina Coast Water District	32.0%	32,375	12%	-20.0%	N/A	0
Santa Cruz City of	28.9%	95,224	8%	-20.9%	N/A	0
Santa Barbara City of	33.9%	93,091	12%	-21.9%	N/A	0
Soquel Creek Water District	30.3%	37,720	8%	-22.3%	N/A	0
Dublin San Ramon Services District	39.1%	80,429	12%	-27.1%	N/A	0
Menlo Park City of	44.0%	16,066	16%	-28.0%	N/A	0
Cambria Community Services District	36.3%	6,032	8%	-28.3%	N/A	0

December 2015 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit December 2015 data by 25 January 2016:

Anderson, City of	Montecito Water District
Atwater City of	Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company
Calexico City of	San Lorenzo Valley Water District
Dinuba City of	South Feather Water and Power Agency
Grover Beach City of	Ukiah City of
Milpitas City of	

November 2015 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit November 2015 data by 25 January 2016:

Calexico City of

December 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance Summary Table

401 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Compliance Priority		# of Suppliers
1	Greater than 15 percentage points from meeting standard	10
2	Between five and 15 percentage points from meeting standard	77
3	Between one and five percentage points from meeting standard	72
0	Met or within one percentage point from meeting standard	242