

November 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Nov-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
California City City of	9.7%	14,120	36%	26.3%	200,209,634	1 C
Riverbank City of	12.7%	23,298	32%	19.3%	159,239,340	1 C
El Segundo City of	1.8%	16,654	20%	18.2%	238,026,390	1 A
Yreka, City of	14.0%	7,840	32%	18.0%	86,712,800	1 C
Blythe City of	14.8%	13,839	32%	17.2%	108,191,200	1 C
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	16.7%	25,160	32%	15.3%	91,202,426	1 C
Livingston City of	17.3%	14,898	32%	14.7%	200,947,360	2 A
South Feather Water and Power Agency	21.5%	16,348	36%	14.5%	179,680,000	2
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	21.5%	22,221	36%	14.5%	220,891,424	2
Susanville City of	21.6%	8,978	36%	14.4%	86,960,040	2 C
Wasco City of	21.6%	26,159	36%	14.4%	129,430,400	2
Humboldt Community Service District	9.8%	20,032	24%	14.2%	61,914,440	2
Hanford City of	14.2%	57,050	28%	13.8%	378,303,036	2 C
West Kern Water District	14.4%	21,181	28%	13.6%	456,284,279	2 A
Twentynine Palms Water District	14.7%	18,795	28%	13.3%	67,339,465	2
Beverly Hills City of	19.1%	51,403	32%	12.9%	280,323,466	2
Yucaipa Valley Water District	23.2%	44,647	36%	12.8%	306,108,000	2
Redlands City of	23.5%	77,852	36%	12.5%	703,667,033	2
Anderson, City of	23.8%	10,050	36%	12.2%	68,766,880	2
Sierra Madre City of	20.0%	11,100	32%	12.0%	55,248,109	2
Mission Springs Water District	16.1%	37,600	28%	11.9%	179,229,755	2 C
Winton Water & Sanitary District	24.2%	8,500	36%	11.8%	40,810,040	2
Indian Wells Valley Water District	24.5%	31,120	36%	11.5%	180,676,000	2 C
Norco City of	24.6%	25,891	36%	11.4%	174,428,269	2
Indio City of	21.2%	88,411	32%	10.8%	438,880,000	2
Imperial, City of	13.4%	18,022	24%	10.6%	55,593,560	2
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	25.9%	42,353	36%	10.1%	258,231,260	2
Hemet City of	22.3%	29,982	32%	9.7%	81,826,624	2 C
Coachella Valley Water District	26.5%	318,217	36%	9.5%	2,112,058,160	2
Casitas Municipal Water District	22.5%	9,603	32%	9.5%	279,428,678	2

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

November 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Nov-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Hesperia Water District City of	22.5%	91,627	32%	9.5%	284,416,160	2
Loma Linda City of	22.6%	23,614	32%	9.4%	100,592,812	2
El Centro City of	14.7%	44,311	24%	9.3%	140,738,680	2
West Valley Water District	22.7%	74,194	32%	9.3%	360,900,789	2
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	-5.1%	422	4%	9.1%	9,115,520	2 A
Camrosa Water District	23.0%	35,783	32%	9.0%	141,315,247	2
Eastern Municipal Water District	19.2%	544,588	28%	8.8%	1,483,510,309	2
Fallbrook Public Utility District	27.3%	34,894	36%	8.7%	121,644,639	2
Pinedale County Water District	27.4%	16,735	36%	8.6%	47,565,398	2
Vaughn Water Company	27.5%	30,997	36%	8.5%	229,542,947	2
Shafter City of	27.5%	19,100	36%	8.5%	94,320,000	2
Hi-Desert Water District	7.7%	23,760	16%	8.3%	45,984,935	2
Ripon City of	28.0%	14,915	36%	8.0%	77,653,560	2
Newport Beach City of	20.1%	66,219	28%	7.9%	237,921,984	2
Redding City of	28.2%	91,207	36%	7.8%	463,675,600	2
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	20.3%	5,510	28%	7.7%	12,589,596	2
Lemoore City of	24.3%	25,281	32%	7.7%	116,245,600	2 A
Tulare, City of	24.4%	62,363	32%	7.6%	281,504,000	2
San Dieguito Water District	20.6%	37,168	28%	7.4%	84,770,249	2
Desert Water Agency	29.0%	104,953	36%	7.0%	476,180,157	2
Rialto City of	21.1%	54,036	28%	6.9%	135,051,991	2
Arcadia City of	29.2%	57,639	36%	6.8%	222,289,326	2
Modesto, City of	29.4%	220,918	36%	6.6%	822,214,796	2
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	25.4%	38,703	32%	6.6%	152,194,774	2
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	29.5%	69,916	36%	6.5%	258,281,572	2
Oildale Mutual Water Company	29.5%	33,163	36%	6.5%	137,431,098	2
Upland City of	29.6%	75,670	36%	6.4%	271,523,707	2
Red Bluff City of	29.6%	14,076	36%	6.4%	55,989,569	2
Sanger City of	21.7%	25,664	28%	6.3%	79,186,480	2
Rancho California Water District	29.7%	169,011	36%	6.3%	519,446,277	2

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

November 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Nov-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Rowland Water District	13.7%	62,106	20%	6.3%	126,293,496	2
Valley Water Company	29.7%	9,900	36%	6.3%	47,776,336	2
Bakersfield City of	29.7%	143,298	36%	6.3%	604,024,539	2
East Niles Community Service District	29.8%	31,772	36%	6.2%	126,599,796	2
Hawthorne City of	9.8%	44,549	16%	6.2%	46,192,698	2
California Water Service Company Visalia	25.9%	139,266	32%	6.1%	397,399,277	2
Bakman Water Company	30.0%	16,756	36%	6.0%	51,326,947	2
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	30.0%	75,384	36%	6.0%	282,969,379	2
Corona City of	22.1%	168,070	28%	5.9%	392,916,604	2
Fortuna City of	18.1%	12,032	24%	5.9%	15,640,000	2
Kerman, City of	26.1%	14,359	32%	5.9%	42,657,880	2
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	26.3%	96,139	32%	5.7%	285,954,178	2
La Habra City of Public Works	22.3%	63,118	28%	5.7%	103,508,661	2
Exeter City of	30.3%	10,572	36%	5.7%	28,094,721	2
Rubidoux Community Service District	22.4%	32,000	28%	5.6%	58,225,600	2
Pasadena City of	22.5%	166,482	28%	5.5%	343,442,190	2
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	30.6%	9,600	36%	5.4%	23,852,324	2
Fullerton City of	22.6%	138,000	28%	5.4%	295,474,254	2
Turlock City of	26.8%	71,181	32%	5.2%	227,601,940	2
Palmdale Water District	26.8%	116,258	32%	5.2%	219,812,856	2
California-American Water Ventura District	26.9%	62,144	32%	5.1%	168,191,473	2
Soledad, City of	19.1%	16,729	24%	4.9%	22,004,420	3
Nevada Irrigation District	31.1%	44,761	36%	4.9%	111,386,000	3
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	31.2%	8,948	36%	4.8%	38,848,000	3
Clovis City of	31.2%	108,227	36%	4.8%	268,578,688	3
Riverside City of	23.5%	298,590	28%	4.5%	612,783,160	3
Corcoran, City of	31.6%	24,154	36%	4.4%	59,258,800	3
Jurupa Community Service District	23.6%	118,731	28%	4.4%	225,136,864	3
Lodi City of Public Works Department	27.7%	63,651	32%	4.3%	138,592,000	3
Rio Vista, city of	31.7%	8,324	36%	4.3%	22,458,320	3

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

November 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Nov-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Joshua Basin Water District	23.8%	9,514	28%	4.2%	13,224,211	3
Fillmore City of	23.8%	15,222	28%	4.2%	18,839,220	3
Covina City of	24.0%	33,300	28%	4.0%	44,737,837	3
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	12.1%	25,643	16%	3.9%	17,054,800	3
San Juan Capistrano City of	24.1%	39,047	28%	3.9%	64,257,901	3
Yuba City City of	28.1%	68,379	32%	3.9%	131,291,200	3
Cucamonga Valley Water District	28.2%	202,319	32%	3.8%	389,700,228	3
Cerritos City of	24.3%	49,041	28%	3.7%	57,788,447	3
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	24.3%	86,596	28%	3.7%	120,429,474	3
Norwalk City of	16.3%	18,361	20%	3.7%	14,176,000	3
Riverside Highland Water Company	32.6%	15,032	36%	3.4%	26,508,665	3
Brea City of	20.7%	43,328	24%	3.3%	68,624,311	3
California Water Service Company Westlake	32.9%	19,450	36%	3.1%	49,322,175	3
Coachella City of	21.0%	43,917	24%	3.0%	42,770,000	3
Lamont Public Utility District	25.0%	20,009	28%	3.0%	23,756,768	3
Perris, City of	21.1%	9,000	24%	2.9%	10,183,613	3
Ceres City of	25.1%	47,639	28%	2.9%	46,475,320	3
La Verne City of	29.2%	32,228	32%	2.8%	47,603,635	3
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	29.3%	84,351	32%	2.7%	107,298,965	3
Colton, City of	17.3%	47,612	20%	2.7%	53,107,266	3
Folsom City of	29.4%	63,376	32%	2.6%	121,451,344	3
Monrovia City of	25.4%	37,406	28%	2.6%	38,717,966	3
Madera County	33.4%	14,326	36%	2.6%	23,056,065	3
Los Banos, City of	25.5%	37,168	28%	2.5%	42,613,320	3
Glendora City of	33.5%	51,463	36%	2.5%	60,482,065	3
Delano City of	21.6%	54,318	24%	2.4%	45,934,920	3
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	25.7%	115,000	28%	2.3%	98,470,216	3
Golden State Water Company Cordova	33.8%	43,767	36%	2.2%	72,268,633	3
Reedley City of	21.9%	24,194	24%	2.1%	21,067,200	3
Ontario City of	22.0%	166,866	24%	2.0%	135,019,797	3

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

November 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Nov-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	30.0%	9,864	32%	2.0%	8,340,520	3
Banning City of	30.0%	30,325	32%	2.0%	33,992,821	3
Chino City of	22.0%	78,073	24%	2.0%	58,591,606	3
North Tahoe Public Utility District	26.1%	7,500	28%	1.9%	5,228,120	3
San Fernando City of	22.1%	23,645	24%	1.9%	11,561,209	3
Vernon City of	6.1%	112	8%	1.9%	24,102,969	3 A
Laguna Beach County Water District	22.1%	19,121	24%	1.9%	12,241,847	3
San Bernardino County Service Area 70J	26.1%	11,536	28%	1.9%	6,881,461	3
Victorville Water District	26.2%	126,177	28%	1.8%	83,069,304	3
Monterey Park City of	18.3%	62,183	20%	1.7%	26,818,000	3
Big Bear City Community Services District	14.4%	12,411	16%	1.6%	3,329,811	3
Santa Paula City of	26.4%	30,009	28%	1.6%	14,494,784	3
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	34.4%	11,013	36%	1.6%	10,375,201	3
Golden State Water Company Cowan Heights	34.5%	7,254	36%	1.5%	8,216,670	3
Manteca City of	30.5%	75,638	32%	1.5%	45,931,000	3
Arcata City of	2.5%	18,464	4%	1.5%	5,121,280	3
Carmichael Water District	34.6%	38,354	36%	1.4%	29,783,200	3
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	30.8%	121,688	32%	1.2%	71,752,484	3
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	18.8%	95,817	20%	1.2%	26,491,721	3
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	18.8%	33,124	20%	1.2%	14,561,000	3
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	34.9%	21,441	36%	1.1%	8,781,624	3
Mesa Water District	18.9%	108,000	20%	1.1%	33,184,709	3
Walnut Valley Water District	27.0%	113,236	28%	1.0%	40,477,264	3
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	27.0%	32,776	28%	1.0%	9,582,989	0
Crescent City City of	15.2%	18,290	16%	0.8%	3,246,000	0
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	19.2%	46,300	20%	0.8%	7,772,860	0
Fresno City of	27.2%	520,159	28%	0.8%	235,223,197	0
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	35.3%	73,322	36%	0.7%	22,017,129	0
San Jacinto City of	31.4%	15,582	32%	0.6%	3,605,311	0
Placer County Water Agency	31.4%	95,717	32%	0.6%	36,556,112	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

November 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Nov-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Lomita City of	19.5%	20,463	20%	0.5%	2,290,736	0
Westminster City of	19.6%	93,322	20%	0.4%	9,593,066	0
Whittier City of	19.6%	49,954	20%	0.4%	5,907,686	0
Santa Fe Irrigation District	35.7%	19,839	36%	0.3%	7,723,982	0
Davis City of	27.7%	68,315	28%	0.3%	8,552,000	0
East Valley Water District	27.8%	101,733	28%	0.2%	9,736,832	0
Pico Water District	23.8%	25,284	24%	0.2%	1,157,424	0
Golden State Water Company Barstow	23.9%	31,209	24%	0.1%	1,879,511	0
Orange City of	27.9%	139,463	28%	0.1%	3,783,787	0
San Bernardino City of	28.0%	198,163	28%	0.0%	N/A	0
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	28.0%	90,687	28%	0.0%	N/A	0
Newhall County Water District	28.0%	45,036	28%	0.0%	N/A	0
Tustin City of	28.1%	67,700	28%	-0.1%	N/A	0
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	28.1%	29,868	28%	-0.1%	N/A	0
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	32.1%	14,097	32%	-0.1%	N/A	0
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	28.2%	149,774	28%	-0.2%	N/A	0
Sacramento Suburban Water District	32.2%	174,304	32%	-0.2%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Oroville	28.2%	10,693	28%	-0.2%	N/A	0
Fair Oaks Water District	36.3%	36,226	36%	-0.3%	N/A	0
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	32.3%	29,955	32%	-0.3%	N/A	0
Rosamond Community Service District	28.3%	17,700	28%	-0.3%	N/A	0
Lee Lake Water District	32.4%	15,543	32%	-0.4%	N/A	0
Alco Water Service	24.5%	29,179	24%	-0.5%	N/A	0
Porterville City of	32.5%	61,946	32%	-0.5%	N/A	0
Madera City of	28.5%	63,961	28%	-0.5%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	32.5%	279,330	32%	-0.5%	N/A	0
East Orange County Water District	36.5%	3,247	36%	-0.5%	N/A	0
Hollister City of	20.6%	20,635	20%	-0.6%	N/A	0
Quartz Hill Water District	36.7%	20,352	36%	-0.7%	N/A	0
Manhattan Beach City of	20.7%	35,996	20%	-0.7%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

November 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Nov-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Sonoma City of	28.7%	11,579	28%	-0.7%	N/A	0
Tehachapi, City of	28.8%	8,923	28%	-0.8%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Artesia	16.9%	47,978	16%	-0.9%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Dominguez	16.9%	142,255	16%	-0.9%	N/A	0 A
Pismo Beach City of	25.0%	7,861	24%	-1.0%	N/A	0
Montebello Land and Water Company	17.0%	32,219	16%	-1.0%	N/A	0
Moulton Niguel Water District	21.0%	172,068	20%	-1.0%	N/A	0
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	17.1%	4,023,175	16%	-1.1%	N/A	0
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	25.1%	178,500	24%	-1.1%	N/A	0
Marin Municipal Water District	21.1%	188,200	20%	-1.1%	N/A	0
Garden Grove City of	21.2%	177,020	20%	-1.2%	N/A	0
San Juan Water District	37.2%	32,779	36%	-1.2%	N/A	0
South Gate City of	13.2%	96,057	12%	-1.2%	N/A	0
Alhambra City of	25.2%	85,068	24%	-1.2%	N/A	0
Long Beach City of	17.2%	474,587	16%	-1.2%	N/A	0
Monte Vista Water District	25.3%	56,039	24%	-1.3%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Southwest	13.3%	271,677	12%	-1.3%	N/A	0
Chino Hills City of	29.4%	77,596	28%	-1.4%	N/A	0
Santa Maria City of	17.4%	102,087	16%	-1.4%	N/A	0
Linda County Water District	33.5%	18,808	32%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Tracy City of	29.5%	85,296	28%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Santa Margarita Water District	25.6%	156,975	24%	-1.6%	N/A	0
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	33.6%	207,705	32%	-1.6%	N/A	0
Lincoln City of	33.7%	45,837	32%	-1.7%	N/A	0
Vacaville City of	33.7%	86,893	32%	-1.7%	N/A	0
Daly City City of	9.7%	105,810	8%	-1.7%	N/A	0
Galt City of	33.7%	24,289	32%	-1.7%	N/A	0
Orange Vale Water Company	37.8%	14,283	36%	-1.8%	N/A	0
El Toro Water District	25.8%	48,628	24%	-1.8%	N/A	0
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	29.8%	209,035	28%	-1.8%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

November 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Nov-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Camarillo City of	21.9%	47,026	20%	-1.9%	N/A	0
Thousand Oaks City of	29.9%	51,609	28%	-1.9%	N/A	0
El Dorado Irrigation District	29.9%	118,500	28%	-1.9%	N/A	0
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	30.0%	62,602	28%	-2.0%	N/A	0
Irvine Ranch Water District	18.0%	390,318	16%	-2.0%	N/A	0
Poway City of	34.1%	48,774	32%	-2.1%	N/A	0
Rohnert Park City of	18.1%	43,398	16%	-2.1%	N/A	0
Paradise Irrigation District	38.1%	26,032	36%	-2.1%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	30.1%	102,889	28%	-2.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	14.2%	27,656	12%	-2.2%	N/A	0
South Pasadena City of	30.2%	25,899	28%	-2.2%	N/A	0
Azusa City of	22.3%	110,000	20%	-2.3%	N/A	0
Torrance City of	22.3%	105,296	20%	-2.3%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Culver City	18.3%	36,215	16%	-2.3%	N/A	0
Santa Monica City of	22.3%	93,283	20%	-2.3%	N/A	0
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	30.4%	31,462	28%	-2.4%	N/A	0
Stockton City of	30.4%	177,808	28%	-2.4%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	10.5%	65,492	8%	-2.5%	N/A	0
Pittsburg City of	22.6%	66,183	20%	-2.6%	N/A	0
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	30.6%	16,126	28%	-2.6%	N/A	0
Yorba Linda Water District	38.7%	73,990	36%	-2.7%	N/A	0
Anaheim City of	22.7%	360,142	20%	-2.7%	N/A	0
Watsonville City of	22.8%	65,739	20%	-2.8%	N/A	0
City of Newman Water Department	26.9%	10,668	24%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	31.1%	54,872	28%	-3.1%	N/A	0
Healdsburg City of	27.1%	11,687	24%	-3.1%	N/A	0
San Clemente City of	27.2%	55,398	24%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Burbank City of	27.3%	106,084	24%	-3.3%	N/A	0
San Gabriel County Water District	23.3%	45,000	20%	-3.3%	N/A	0
Ramona Municipal Water District	31.4%	36,564	28%	-3.4%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

November 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Nov-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Downey City of	23.4%	111,931	20%	-3.4%	N/A	0
Fountain Valley City of	23.5%	59,227	20%	-3.5%	N/A	0
Morgan Hill City of	31.5%	41,779	28%	-3.5%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Marysville	27.5%	12,260	24%	-3.5%	N/A	0
Sacramento City of	31.6%	480,155	28%	-3.6%	N/A	0
Trabuco Canyon Water District	31.6%	13,175	28%	-3.6%	N/A	0
Fairfield City of	23.6%	107,540	20%	-3.6%	N/A	0
Serrano Water District	39.7%	6,641	36%	-3.7%	N/A	0
Pico Rivera City of	19.7%	39,002	16%	-3.7%	N/A	0
Glendale City of	23.8%	193,300	20%	-3.8%	N/A	0
Kingsburg, City of	39.9%	11,685	36%	-3.9%	N/A	0
Paramount City of	15.9%	55,051	12%	-3.9%	N/A	0
Merced City of	39.9%	83,400	36%	-3.9%	N/A	0
Buena Park City of	23.9%	82,767	20%	-3.9%	N/A	0
Valley Center Municipal Water District	39.9%	25,500	36%	-3.9%	N/A	0
Paso Robles City of	31.9%	30,450	28%	-3.9%	N/A	0
Dinuba City of	36.0%	23,666	32%	-4.0%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Placentia	28.0%	46,543	24%	-4.0%	N/A	0
Oceanside City of	24.0%	174,522	20%	-4.0%	N/A	0
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	36.1%	12,200	32%	-4.1%	N/A	0
Huntington Beach City of	24.1%	196,041	20%	-4.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	28.1%	26,827	24%	-4.1%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Willows	32.2%	7,135	28%	-4.2%	N/A	0
Patterson City of	32.2%	21,094	28%	-4.2%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	32.4%	9,993	28%	-4.4%	N/A	0
Estero Municipal Improvement District	16.4%	37,165	12%	-4.4%	N/A	0
Nipomo Community Services District	32.4%	12,512	28%	-4.4%	N/A	0
Amador Water Agency	28.5%	23,347	24%	-4.5%	N/A	0
Sunny Slope Water Company	28.5%	30,611	24%	-4.5%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Stockton	24.6%	170,875	20%	-4.6%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

November 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Nov-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Napa City of	24.6%	86,051	20%	-4.6%	N/A	0
Helix Water District	24.7%	270,375	20%	-4.7%	N/A	0
Inglewood City of	16.9%	86,418	12%	-4.9%	N/A	0
Discovery Bay Community Services District	37.0%	14,868	32%	-5.0%	N/A	0
Diablo Water District	33.1%	38,194	28%	-5.1%	N/A	0
Mountain House Community Services District	30.2%	14,855	25%	-5.2%	N/A	0
Citrus Heights Water District	37.2%	67,333	32%	-5.2%	N/A	0
La Palma City of	25.2%	15,544	20%	-5.2%	N/A	0
South Coast Water District	29.2%	34,861	24%	-5.2%	N/A	0
Otay Water District	25.3%	217,800	20%	-5.3%	N/A	0
Antioch City of	33.4%	108,298	28%	-5.4%	N/A	0
Hillsborough Town of	41.4%	10,850	36%	-5.4%	N/A	0
Vallejo City of	21.5%	124,000	16%	-5.5%	N/A	0
Vallecitos Water District	29.5%	96,020	24%	-5.5%	N/A	0
Vista Irrigation District	25.6%	124,746	20%	-5.6%	N/A	0
San Diego City of	21.6%	1,314,290	16%	-5.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	37.8%	69,996	32%	-5.8%	N/A	0
Sacramento County Water Agency	37.9%	174,328	32%	-5.9%	N/A	0
Pomona City of	26.0%	152,419	20%	-6.0%	N/A	0
Atwater City of	42.1%	29,500	36%	-6.1%	N/A	0
Compton City of	14.1%	81,963	8%	-6.1%	N/A	0
Morro Bay City of	18.1%	10,234	12%	-6.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	38.2%	31,872	32%	-6.2%	N/A	0
Huntington Park City of	14.3%	64,219	8%	-6.3%	N/A	0
Groveland Community Services District	30.4%	3,400	24%	-6.4%	N/A	0
Brawley City of	38.4%	27,743	32%	-6.4%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	18.5%	44,466	12%	-6.5%	N/A	0
Santa Ana City of	18.5%	332,005	12%	-6.5%	N/A	0
Shasta Lake City of	34.5%	10,293	28%	-6.5%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	14.7%	57,934	8%	-6.7%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

November 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Nov-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Oxnard City of	18.7%	196,720	12%	-6.7%	N/A	0
Arvin Community Services District	34.8%	20,499	28%	-6.8%	N/A	0
Oakdale City of	38.9%	21,442	32%	-6.9%	N/A	0
West Sacramento City of	35.0%	50,836	28%	-7.0%	N/A	0
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	15.1%	846,601	8%	-7.1%	N/A	0
Sunnyslope County Water District	35.1%	19,189	28%	-7.1%	N/A	0
Gilroy City of	31.2%	53,626	24%	-7.2%	N/A	0
Woodland City of	31.4%	57,223	24%	-7.4%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Claremont	39.5%	37,160	32%	-7.5%	N/A	0
Valley County Water District	23.5%	71,003	16%	-7.5%	N/A	0
Santa Clara City of	23.5%	120,973	16%	-7.5%	N/A	0
Santa Fe Springs City of	23.6%	18,199	16%	-7.6%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company West Orange	23.6%	111,904	16%	-7.6%	N/A	0
Lakewood City of	27.6%	59,081	20%	-7.6%	N/A	0
Arroyo Grande City of	35.7%	17,121	28%	-7.7%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Chico District	39.8%	103,079	32%	-7.8%	N/A	0
Palo Alto City of	31.8%	64,403	24%	-7.8%	N/A	0
Valley of the Moon Water District	28.0%	23,478	20%	-8.0%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Selma	40.2%	25,058	32%	-8.2%	N/A	0
Windsor, Town of	24.2%	28,234	16%	-8.2%	N/A	0
Elk Grove Water Service	36.2%	44,228	28%	-8.2%	N/A	0
North Marin Water District	32.2%	61,299	24%	-8.2%	N/A	0
El Monte City of	16.3%	22,968	8%	-8.3%	N/A	0
Sweetwater Springs Water District	24.3%	7,767	16%	-8.3%	N/A	0
American Canyon, City of	28.4%	20,080	20%	-8.4%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	16.4%	159,077	8%	-8.4%	N/A	0
Escondido City of	28.4%	134,053	20%	-8.4%	N/A	0
Mid-Peninsula Water District	28.5%	26,030	20%	-8.5%	N/A	0
Eureka City of	12.6%	26,066	4%	-8.6%	N/A	0
Roseville City of	36.6%	122,946	28%	-8.6%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

November 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Nov-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Valencia Water Company	32.6%	118,224	24%	-8.6%	N/A	0
Olivehurst Public Utility District	44.9%	19,509	36%	-8.9%	N/A	0
Petaluma City of	25.1%	61,798	16%	-9.1%	N/A	0
Lakeside Water District	29.3%	35,500	20%	-9.3%	N/A	0
Contra Costa Water District	37.5%	199,680	28%	-9.5%	N/A	0
Crescenta Valley Water District	29.5%	31,966	20%	-9.5%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Monterey District	17.7%	100,623	8%	-9.7%	N/A	0
Brentwood City of	41.7%	56,493	32%	-9.7%	N/A	0
Santa Rosa City of	25.8%	170,974	16%	-9.8%	N/A	0
Rainbow Municipal Water District	46.0%	19,495	36%	-10.0%	N/A	0 C
California Water Service Company Salinas District	26.0%	109,489	16%	-10.0%	N/A	0
San Luis Obispo City of	22.0%	45,802	12%	-10.0%	N/A	0
Orchard Dale Water District	22.3%	25,605	12%	-10.3%	N/A	0
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	30.3%	90,101	20%	-10.3%	N/A	0
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	26.4%	1,390,000	16%	-10.4%	N/A	0
Lathrop, City of	30.5%	19,831	20%	-10.5%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company King City	22.5%	15,007	12%	-10.5%	N/A	0
Millbrae City of	26.7%	21,532	16%	-10.7%	N/A	0
Port Hueneme City of	18.8%	21,555	8%	-10.8%	N/A	0
San Jose City of	30.9%	117,576	20%	-10.9%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	35.2%	43,351	24%	-11.2%	N/A	0
Tuolumne Utilities District	35.2%	28,997	24%	-11.2%	N/A	0
Sweetwater Authority	23.2%	191,500	12%	-11.2%	N/A	0
Seal Beach City of	19.3%	25,561	8%	-11.3%	N/A	0
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	39.7%	52,914	28%	-11.7%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	28.0%	135,070	16%	-12.0%	N/A	0
Scotts Valley Water District	28.1%	10,509	16%	-12.1%	N/A	0
McKinleyville Community Service District	16.2%	16,900	4%	-12.2%	N/A	0
Carpinteria Valley Water District	32.2%	14,616	20%	-12.2%	N/A	0
Milpitas City of	24.3%	70,817	12%	-12.3%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

November 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Nov-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	48.4%	3,422	36%	-12.4%	N/A	0
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	32.9%	4,766	20%	-12.9%	N/A	0
Lompoc City of	25.0%	41,541	12%	-13.0%	N/A	0
Coastside County Water District	21.2%	16,668	8%	-13.2%	N/A	0
Park Water Company	21.3%	116,258	8%	-13.3%	N/A	0
Montecito Water District	45.3%	13,500	32%	-13.3%	N/A	0
Martinez City of	33.4%	35,375	20%	-13.4%	N/A	0
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	29.9%	271,817	16%	-13.9%	N/A	0
San Jose Water Company	33.9%	990,000	20%	-13.9%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	21.9%	61,701	8%	-13.9%	N/A	0
Mammoth Community Water District	34.0%	16,739	20%	-14.0%	N/A	0
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	34.2%	99,199	20%	-14.2%	N/A	0
Crestline Village Water District	22.7%	7,590	8%	-14.7%	N/A	0
Goleta Water District	26.7%	86,946	12%	-14.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	26.8%	22,258	12%	-14.8%	N/A	0
San Buenaventura City of	31.0%	113,478	16%	-15.0%	N/A	0
Sunnyvale City of	31.2%	148,028	16%	-15.2%	N/A	0
Alameda County Water District	31.2%	346,000	16%	-15.2%	N/A	0
Calaveras County Water District	31.4%	31,750	16%	-15.4%	N/A	0
Burlingame City of	31.4%	30,282	16%	-15.4%	N/A	0
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	31.7%	22,718	16%	-15.7%	N/A	0
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	36.0%	27,588	20%	-16.0%	N/A	0
Benicia City of	36.2%	28,086	20%	-16.2%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	36.3%	201,418	20%	-16.3%	N/A	0
Del Oro Water Company	40.4%	9,615	24%	-16.4%	N/A	0
Mountain View City of	32.5%	76,210	16%	-16.5%	N/A	0
Pleasanton City of	41.2%	73,067	24%	-17.2%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	33.4%	3,324	16%	-17.4%	N/A	0
Bella Vista Water District	53.4%	17,619	36%	-17.4%	N/A	0
Hayward City of	26.1%	152,889	8%	-18.1%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

November 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by priority, missed target by %)

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Nov-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Redwood City City of	26.1%	87,696	8%	-18.1%	N/A	0
San Bruno City of	26.1%	44,409	8%	-18.1%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Livermore	42.3%	58,694	24%	-18.3%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company San Diego District	27.0%	95,358	8%	-19.0%	N/A	0
Westborough Water District	27.5%	14,050	8%	-19.5%	N/A	0
North Coast County Water District	27.7%	39,000	8%	-19.7%	N/A	0
East Palo Alto, City of	27.7%	29,143	8%	-19.7%	N/A	0
Santa Cruz City of	28.6%	95,224	8%	-20.6%	N/A	0
Marina Coast Water District	32.8%	32,375	12%	-20.8%	N/A	0
Soquel Creek Water District	30.1%	37,720	8%	-22.1%	N/A	0
Santa Barbara City of	34.6%	93,091	12%	-22.6%	N/A	0
Grover Beach City of	33.9%	13,156	8%	-25.9%	N/A	0
Dublin San Ramon Services District	39.5%	80,175	12%	-27.5%	N/A	0
Cambria Community Services District	36.5%	6,032	8%	-28.5%	N/A	0
Menlo Park City of	44.9%	16,066	16%	-28.9%	N/A	0

November 2015 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit November 2015 data by 21 December 2015:

- Adelanto City of (report received but pulled by State Water Board due to data inconsistencies)
- Calexico City of
- Coalinga City of
- Greenfield, City of
- Lynwood City of
- San Lorenzo Valley Water District
- Ukiah City of

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order
Data pulled 21 December 2015

November 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance Summary Table

405 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Compliance Priority		# of Suppliers
1	Greater than 15 percentage points from meeting standard	6
2	Between five and 15 percentage points from meeting standard	75
3	Between one and five percentage points from meeting standard	62
0	Met or within one percentage point from meeting standard	262