

DRAFT Urban Water Supplier Conservation Standard for Extended Emergency Regulation Rulemaking - 2016**Summary Table Conservation Standards for Suppliers that Submitted Adjustments by 2/22/2016**

Supplier Name	Original Conservation Standard (Released 7/15/15)	DRAFT Adjusted Conservation Standard (Based on Revised Jun-Sep 2014 R-GPCD and Default Climate Adjustment ¹)	DRAFT Revised Conservation Standard (Based on Supplier-Submitted Adjustments Received by 2/22/16) ²
Anaheim City of	20%	20%	13%
Antioch City of	28%	28%	27%
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	28%	28%	28%
Brentwood City of	32%	29%	28%
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	28%	28%	20%
Clovis City of	36%	34%	33%
Coachella City of	24%	20%	20%
Corona City of	28%	28%	28%
Dinuba City of	32%	30%	29%
East Orange County Water District	36%	36%	29%
El Centro City of	24%	20%	20%
El Dorado Irrigation District	28%	25%	24%
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	28%	28%	27%
Escondido City of	20%	18%	12%
Fairfield City of	20%	20%	19%
Fallbrook Public Utility District	36%	36%	28%
Folsom City of	32%	29%	28%
Fortuna City of	24%	20%	20%
Fountain Valley City of	20%	20%	13%
Fresno City of	28%	26%	25%
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	36%	33%	28%
Fullerton City of	28%	28%	21%
Golden State Water Company Artesia	16%	16%	16%
Golden State Water Company Barstow	24%	20%	20%
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	12%	12%	12%
Golden State Water Company Claremont	32%	30%	30%
Golden State Water Company Cordova	36%	33%	32%
Golden State Water Company Cowan Heights	36%	36%	29%
Golden State Water Company Culver City	16%	16%	16%
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	12%	12%	12%
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	32%	32%	31%
Golden State Water Company Placentia	24%	24%	17%
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	24%	22%	22%
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	12%	10%	10%
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	28%	26%	26%
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	24%	22%	22%

¹ Revised Jun-Sep 2014 R-GPCD as reported by 1/1/16, and default climate adjustment as revised on 3/9/16² For status of supplier-submitted adjustments, see Status Table

DRAFT Urban Water Supplier Conservation Standard for Extended Emergency Regulation Rulemaking - 2016**Summary Table** Conservation Standards for Suppliers that Submitted Adjustments by 2/22/2016

Supplier Name	Original Conservation Standard (Released 7/15/15)	DRAFT Adjusted Conservation Standard (Based on Revised Jun-Sep 2014 R-GPCD and Default Climate Adjustment ¹)	DRAFT Revised Conservation Standard (Based on Supplier-Submitted Adjustments Received by 2/22/16) ²
Golden State Water Company Southwest	12%	12%	12%
Golden State Water Company West Orange	16%	16%	9%
Helix Water District	20%	20%	12%
Hemet City of	32%	14%	14%
Huntington Beach City of	20%	20%	13%
Indio City of	32%	28%	27%
Irvine Ranch Water District	16%	16%	9%
Jurupa Community Service District	28%	28%	25%
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	28%	26%	26%
Lakeside Water District	20%	18%	12%
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	16%	14%	14%
Manteca City of	32%	30%	30%
Mesa Water District	20%	20%	13%
Nevada Irrigation District	36%	33%	33%
Newport Beach City of	28%	28%	21%
Oceanside City of	20%	20%	12%
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	32%	32%	24%
Ontario City of	24%	22%	20%
Orange City of	28%	28%	20%
Otay Water District	20%	18%	12%
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	20%	18%	12%
Placer County Water Agency	32%	29%	28%
Porterville City of	32%	26%	26%
Poway City of	32%	30%	24%
Rainbow Municipal Water District	36%	36%	28%
Ramona Municipal Water District	28%	26%	20%
Rancho California Water District	36%	36%	33%
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	32%	32%	24%
Rubidoux Community Service District	28%	28%	20%
San Diego City of	16%	16%	8%
San Dieguito Water District	28%	28%	20%
San Jacinto City of	32%	30%	30%
Santa Ana City of	12%	12%	8%
Santa Fe Irrigation District	36%	36%	28%
Shafter City of	36%	33%	32%
Sweetwater Authority	12%	12%	8%

¹ Revised Jun-Sep 2014 R-GPCD as reported by 1/1/16, and default climate adjustment as revised on 3/9/16² For status of supplier-submitted adjustments, see Status Table

DRAFT Urban Water Supplier Conservation Standard for Extended Emergency Regulation Rulemaking - 2016**Summary Table Conservation Standards for Suppliers that Submitted Adjustments by 2/22/2016**

Supplier Name	Original Conservation Standard (Released 7/15/15)	DRAFT Adjusted Conservation Standard (Based on Revised Jun-Sep 2014 R-GPCD and Default Climate Adjustment ¹)	DRAFT Revised Conservation Standard (Based on Supplier-Submitted Adjustments Received by 2/22/16) ²
Tustin City of	28%	28%	21%
Vallecitos Water District	24%	24%	16%
Valley Center Municipal Water District	36%	34%	29%
Victorville Water District	28%	24%	24%
Vista Irrigation District	20%	20%	12%
Wasco City of	36%	33%	32%
West Sacramento City of	28%	25%	25%
Westminster City of	20%	20%	13%
Yorba Linda Water District	36%	36%	29%

¹ Revised Jun-Sep 2014 R-GPCD as reported by 1/1/16, and default climate adjustment as revised on 3/9/16

² For status of supplier-submitted adjustments, see Status Table

DRAFT Urban Water Supplier Conservation Standard for Extended Emergency Regulation Rulemaking - 2016

Status Table Conservation Standards for Suppliers that Submitted Adjustments by 2/22/2016

Supplier Name	DRAFT Adjusted Conservation Standard (Based on Revised Jun-Sep 2014 R-GPCD ³)	Status of Supplier Adjustments Submitted by 2/22/16			DRAFT Revised Conservation Standard (Based on Supplier-Submitted Adjustments Received by 2/22/16)	State Water Board Comments
		In-Lieu Climate	Growth	Supply		
Anaheim City of	20%	-	-	approved	13%	
Antioch City of	28%	denied	approved	-	27%	Climate adjustment rejected - CIMIS stations are outside service area
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	28%	-	-	denied	28%	Supply adjustment rejected - no certification/supporting documents
Brentwood City of	29%	-	approved	denied	28%	Supply adjustment rejected - not potable water
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	28%	-	-	approved	20%	
Clovis City of	34%	denied	approved	-	33%	Climate adjustment rejected - CIMIS stations are outside service area
Coachella City of	20%	-	-	-	20%	No adjustments submitted
Corona City of	28%	denied	-	-	28%	Climate adjustment rejected - CIMIS stations are outside service area
Dinuba City of	30%	denied	approved	-	29%	Climate adjustment rejected - no supporting documents
East Orange County Water District	36%	-	-	approved	29%	
El Centro City of	20%	-	-	-	20%	No adjustments submitted
El Dorado Irrigation District	25%	approved	approved	-	24%	
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	28%	denied	approved	-	27%	Climate adjustment rejected - CIMIS stations are outside service area
Escondido City of	20%	-	-	approved	12%	
Fairfield City of	20%	-	approved	-	19%	
Fallbrook Public Utility District	36%	-	-	approved	28%	
Folsom City of	29%	-	approved	-	28%	
Fortuna City of	20%	-	approved	-	20%	
Fountain Valley City of	20%	-	-	approved	13%	
Fresno City of	26%	approved	-	-	25%	

³ As reported by 1/1/16

Table last updated 3/10/2016

DRAFT Urban Water Supplier Conservation Standard for Extended Emergency Regulation Rulemaking - 2016

Status Table Conservation Standards for Suppliers that Submitted Adjustments by 2/22/2016

Supplier Name	DRAFT Adjusted Conservation Standard (Based on Revised Jun-Sep 2014 R-GPCD ³)	Status of Supplier Adjustments Submitted by 2/22/16			DRAFT Revised Conservation Standard (Based on Supplier-Submitted Adjustments Received by 2/22/16)	State Water Board Comments
		In-Lieu Climate	Growth	Supply		
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	33%	-	approved	-	28%	
Fullerton City of	28%	-	-	approved	21%	
Golden State Water Company Artesia	16%	-	approved	-	16%	
Golden State Water Company Barstow	20%	-	approved	-	20%	
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	12%	-	approved	-	12%	
Golden State Water Company Claremont	32%	-	approved	-	30%	
Golden State Water Company Cordova	33%	-	approved	-	32%	
Golden State Water Company Cowan Heights	36%	-	approved	approved	29%	
Golden State Water Company Culver City	16%	-	approved	-	16%	
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	12%	-	approved	-	12%	
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	32%	-	approved	-	31%	
Golden State Water Company Placentia	24%	-	approved	approved	17%	
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	24%	-	approved	-	22%	
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	12%	-	approved	-	10%	
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	28%	-	approved	-	26%	
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	24%	-	approved	-	22%	
Golden State Water Company Southwest	12%	-	approved	-	12%	
Golden State Water Company West Orange	16%	-	approved	approved	9%	
Helix Water District	20%	denied	-	approved	12%	Climate adjustment rejected - CIMIS stations are outside service area
Hemet City of	16%	denied	-	-	14%	Climate adjustment rejected - CIMIS stations are outside service area
Huntington Beach City of	20%	-	approved	approved	13%	
Indio City of	28%	denied	approved	-	27%	Climate adjustment rejected - supporting document does not include station location information

³ As reported by 1/1/16

Table last updated 3/10/2016

DRAFT Urban Water Supplier Conservation Standard for Extended Emergency Regulation Rulemaking - 2016

Status Table Conservation Standards for Suppliers that Submitted Adjustments by 2/22/2016

Supplier Name	DRAFT Adjusted Conservation Standard (Based on Revised Jun-Sep 2014 R-GPCD ³)	Status of Supplier Adjustments Submitted by 2/22/16			DRAFT Revised Conservation Standard (Based on Supplier-Submitted Adjustments Received by 2/22/16)	State Water Board Comments
		In-Lieu Climate	Growth	Supply		
Irvine Ranch Water District	16%	-	-	approved	9%	
Jurupa Community Service District	28%	approved	-	-	25%	
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	28%	denied	-	-	26%	Climate adjustment rejected - CIMIS stations are outside service area
Lakeside Water District	20%	-	-	approved	12%	Supply reported as 309 AF; WB staff converted to gallons
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	16%	approved	-	-	14%	
Manteca City of	30%	-	denied	-	30%	Growth adjustment rejected - adjustment not supported by documents
Mesa Water District	20%	-	-	approved	13%	
Nevada Irrigation District	33%	-	approved	-	33%	
Newport Beach City of	28%	-	-	approved	21%	
Oceanside City of	20%	-	-	approved	12%	
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	32%	-	-	approved	24%	
Ontario City of	24%	-	approved	-	20%	
Orange City of	28%	-	-	approved	20%	
Otay Water District	20%	-	-	approved	12%	
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	20%	-	-	approved	12%	
Placer County Water Agency	29%	-	approved	-	28%	
Porterville City of	26%	denied	-	-	26%	Climate adjustment rejected - CIMIS stations are outside service area
Poway City of	32%	-	-	approved	24%	
Rainbow Municipal Water District	36%	-	-	approved	28%	
Ramona Municipal Water District	28%	-	-	approved	20%	
Rancho California Water District	36%	approved	-	-	33%	

³ As reported by 1/1/16

Table last updated 3/10/2016

DRAFT Urban Water Supplier Conservation Standard for Extended Emergency Regulation Rulemaking - 2016

Status Table Conservation Standards for Suppliers that Submitted Adjustments by 2/22/2016

Supplier Name	DRAFT Adjusted Conservation Standard (Based on Revised Jun-Sep 2014 R-GPCD ³)	Status of Supplier Adjustments Submitted by 2/22/16			DRAFT Revised Conservation Standard (Based on Supplier-Submitted Adjustments Received by 2/22/16)	State Water Board Comments
		In-Lieu Climate	Growth	Supply		
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	32%	-	-	approved	24%	
Rubidoux Community Service District	28%	denied	-	approved	20%	Climate adjustment rejected - CIMIS stations are outside service area
San Diego City of	16%	-	approved	approved	8%	
San Dieguito Water District	28%	-	-	approved	20%	
San Jacinto City of	32%	denied	-	-	30%	Climate adjustment rejected - CIMIS stations are outside service area
Santa Ana City of	12%	-	-	approved	8%	
Santa Fe Irrigation District	36%	-	-	approved	28%	Supply reported as 956 AF; WB staff converted to gallons
Shafter City of	33%	denied	approved	-	32%	Climate adjustment rejected - supporting document does not meet minimum time requirement
Sweetwater Authority	12%	-	-	approved	8%	
Tustin City of	28%	-	-	approved	21%	
Vallecitos Water District	24%	-	-	approved	16%	
Valley Center Municipal Water District	36%	-	-	approved	29%	
Victorville Water District	24%	denied	-	-	24%	Climate adjustment rejected - no supporting documents
Vista Irrigation District	20%	-	-	approved	12%	
Wasco City of	33%	denied	approved	-	32%	Climate adjustment rejected - no supporting documents (uploaded file is for growth)
West Sacramento City of	25%	-	approved	-	25%	
Westminster City of	20%	-	-	approved	13%	
Yorba Linda Water District	36%	-	-	approved	29%	

³ As reported by 1/1/16
Table last updated 3/10/2016