

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
California City City of	South Lahontan	28%	7.8%	20.2%	1 C	20.5%	87.0	14,120
Riverbank City of	San Joaquin River	30%	10.5%	19.5%	1 C	35.7%	80.6	23,734
El Segundo City of	South Coast	20%	2.9%	17.1%	1 A	-2.5%	65.0	16,654
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	South Coast	36%	20.6%	15.4%	1	26.8%	165.4	22,250
Blythe City of	Colorado River	28%	13.4%	14.6%	2 C	13.5%	73.2	13,839
Perris, City of	South Coast	24%	9.7%	14.3%	2	-1.3%	80.5	9,000
Yucaipa Valley Water District	South Coast	34%	20.4%	13.6%	2	15.0%	125.2	44,776
Yreka, City of	North Coast	30%	16.8%	13.2%	2 C	27.8%	50.9	7,840
Beverly Hills City of	South Coast	32%	19.3%	12.7%	2	24.2%	123.4	42,157
Norco City of	South Coast	36%	23.6%	12.4%	2	27.4%	118.7	25,891
South Feather Water and Power Agency	Sacramento River	33%	20.7%	12.3%	2	25.0%	118.1	16,348
West Kern Water District	Tulare Lake	25%	12.8%	12.2%	2 A	13.4%	70.1	20,591
Livingston City of	San Joaquin River	29%	16.8%	12.2%	2 A	17.4%	65.3	13,735
Sierra Madre City of	South Coast	30%	18.4%	11.6%	2	33.2%	120.9	11,100
Casitas Municipal Water District	South Coast	32%	20.5%	11.5%	2	-4.7%	73.9	9,944
Winton Water & Sanitary District	San Joaquin River	33%	22.4%	10.6%	2	30.1%	84.9	8,500
Redlands City of	South Coast	33%	22.5%	10.5%	2	29.1%	116.8	77,852
Loma Linda City of	South Coast	30%	19.8%	10.2%	2	18.6%	104.7	23,751
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	South Coast	32%	22.0%	10.0%	2	19.4%	108.4	43,111
Hesperia Water District City of	South Lahontan	28%	18.3%	9.7%	2	19.9%	73.8	92,177
Twentynine Palms Water District	Colorado River	24%	14.5%	9.5%	2	15.9%	87.2	18,795
Wasco City of	Tulare Lake	32%	22.5%	9.5%	2	35.8%	43.5	26,159
Imperial, City of	Colorado River	20%	10.8%	9.2%	2	6.5%	71.6	18,022
Corona City of	South Coast	28%	19.2%	8.8%	2	22.0%	73.3	168,070
Rowland Water District	South Coast	20%	11.4%	8.6%	2	17.4%	60.5	62,106
Shafter City of	Tulare Lake	32%	23.6%	8.4%	2	13.1%	102.1	19,100
Tulare, City of	Tulare Lake	30%	21.6%	8.4%	2	23.0%	61.6	62,363
Hanford City of	Tulare Lake	23%	14.7%	8.3%	2 C	27.1%	78.0	59,338

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Indian Wells Valley Water District	South Lahontan	32%	23.7%	8.3%	2 C	11.8%	86.1	31,120
Hawthorne City of	South Coast	16%	7.9%	8.1%	2	2.5%	63.4	44,576
Valley Water Company	South Coast	34%	25.9%	8.1%	2	33.7%	146.7	9,900
La Habra City of Public Works	South Coast	28%	20.0%	8.0%	2	13.0%	70.8	63,118
West Valley Water District	South Coast	28%	20.1%	7.9%	2	16.9%	119.6	74,662
Exeter City of	Tulare Lake	34%	26.1%	7.9%	2	26.4%	52.4	10,572
Coachella Valley Water District	Colorado River	32%	24.3%	7.7%	2	17.9%	137.2	318,217
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	South Coast	36%	28.3%	7.7%	2	33.1%	129.0	69,979
El Centro City of	Colorado River	20%	12.5%	7.5%	2	8.8%	91.6	44,311
Sanger City of	Tulare Lake	26%	18.6%	7.4%	2	8.0%	73.4	25,664
Fortuna City of	North Coast	20%	12.7%	7.3%	2	15.7%	62.4	12,032
Upland City of	South Coast	34%	26.9%	7.1%	2	26.4%	108.3	76,469
Lemoore City of	Tulare Lake	28%	21.0%	7.0%	2 A	21.2%	82.1	25,281
Arcadia City of	South Coast	34%	27.1%	6.9%	2	33.9%	102.6	57,639
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	South Coast	27%	20.5%	6.5%	2	-6.1%	85.3	150,279
Bakman Water Company	Tulare Lake	34%	27.7%	6.3%	2	33.9%	99.4	16,756
Rialto City of	South Coast	26%	19.7%	6.3%	2	23.3%	79.5	54,453
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	South Coast	35%	28.7%	6.3%	2	29.9%	125.1	75,384
Desert Water Agency	Colorado River	32%	25.8%	6.2%	2	14.5%	110.1	105,385
Hi-Desert Water District	Colorado River	13%	7.0%	6.0%	2	4.7%	58.4	23,760
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	Tulare Lake	25%	19.1%	5.9%	2	21.7%	69.5	5,590
Rio Vista, city of	Sacramento River	33%	27.3%	5.7%	2	33.4%	89.9	8,324
San Juan Capistrano City of	South Coast	27%	21.4%	5.6%	2	29.4%	87.6	39,189
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	South Lahontan	24%	18.5%	5.5%	2 C	26.6%	69.5	20,913
Indio City of	Colorado River	27%	21.5%	5.5%	2	23.8%	102.3	88,411
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	South Coast	28%	22.7%	5.3%	2	24.6%	80.1	115,000
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	South Coast	34%	28.7%	5.3%	2	25.5%	108.2	9,600
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	South Coast	28%	23.1%	4.9%	3	27.4%	73.4	96,139

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Lee Lake Water District	South Coast	31%	26.3%	4.7%	3	30.6%	76.9	15,543
East Niles Community Service District	Tulare Lake	33%	28.3%	4.7%	3	19.1%	96.2	31,772
Vaughn Water Company	Tulare Lake	30%	25.4%	4.6%	3	14.7%	194.1	31,187
Red Bluff City of	Sacramento River	33%	28.6%	4.4%	3	31.7%	78.4	14,076
Riverside City of	South Coast	25%	20.7%	4.3%	3	19.3%	81.6	300,586
Cucamonga Valley Water District	South Coast	30%	25.7%	4.3%	3	28.2%	82.7	203,067
Modesto, City of	San Joaquin River	33%	28.7%	4.3%	3	38.5%	92.7	220,916
Bakersfield City of	Tulare Lake	31%	26.8%	4.2%	3	16.7%	94.4	144,648
Vacaville City of	Sacramento River	25%	21.0%	4.0%	3	41.3%	66.5	90,194
California-American Water Ventura District	South Coast	30%	26.0%	4.0%	3	28.7%	97.2	62,144
Laguna Beach County Water District	South Coast	24%	20.1%	3.9%	3	19.9%	61.4	19,121
Monrovia City of	South Coast	26%	22.1%	3.9%	3	25.0%	84.3	39,147
Susanville City of	North Lahontan	33%	29.2%	3.8%	3 C	45.8%	101.4	8,943
Fallbrook Public Utility District	South Coast	28%	24.2%	3.8%	3	28.2%	58.5	34,894
Brea City of	South Coast	24%	20.3%	3.7%	3	21.1%	73.3	43,328
Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	22.3%	3.7%	3	32.8%	64.8	166,482
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	South Coast	30%	26.3%	3.7%	3	36.7%	94.8	38,703
Nevada Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	29.4%	3.6%	3	28.1%	70.2	44,761
Ripon City of	San Joaquin River	33%	29.4%	3.6%	3	50.7%	84.1	15,243
Rancho California Water District	South Coast	32%	28.4%	3.6%	3	28.6%	119.7	148,764
La Verne City of	South Coast	30%	26.6%	3.4%	3	33.0%	67.3	32,228
Covina City of	South Coast	26%	22.7%	3.3%	3	30.6%	72.9	33,300
Monterey Park City of	South Coast	20%	16.8%	3.2%	3	16.6%	64.0	62,183
Lomita City of	South Coast	20%	16.9%	3.1%	3	19.1%	71.2	20,463
Glendora City of	South Coast	34%	30.9%	3.1%	3	34.3%	92.1	51,463
Soledad, City of	Central Coast	24%	20.9%	3.1%	3	20.0%	59.5	16,741
Colton, City of	South Coast	18%	14.9%	3.1%	3	15.3%	75.8	47,612
California Water Service Company Visalia	Tulare Lake	29%	25.9%	3.1%	3	32.6%	69.9	140,156

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Fillmore City of	South Coast	26%	23.0%	3.0%	3	27.9%	85.7	15,222
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	Sacramento River	29%	26.0%	3.0%	3	14.7%	85.3	9,015
Clovis City of	Tulare Lake	33%	30.0%	3.0%	3	35.5%	83.5	108,227
Oildale Mutual Water Company	Tulare Lake	31%	28.1%	2.9%	3	19.2%	117.8	34,133
Humboldt Community Service District	North Coast	12%	9.1%	2.9%	3	2.7%	58.5	20,032
Riverside Highland Water Company	South Coast	33%	30.1%	2.9%	3	33.9%	102.6	15,229
Norwalk City of	South Coast	17%	14.2%	2.8%	3	16.3%	79.7	18,361
Jurupa Community Service District	South Coast	23%	20.2%	2.8%	3	21.3%	100.1	118,731
Turlock City of	San Joaquin River	29%	26.3%	2.7%	3	33.1%	71.0	71,181
Palmdale Water District	South Lahontan	28%	25.3%	2.7%	3	28.8%	76.1	116,447
Pico Rivera City of	South Coast	16%	13.3%	2.7%	3	-97.7%	121.9	39,002
Lamont Public Utility District	Tulare Lake	25%	22.7%	2.3%	3	16.7%	83.5	20,009
Lodi City of Public Works Department	San Joaquin River	30%	27.7%	2.3%	3	41.1%	66.5	63,719
Chino Hills City of	South Coast	28%	25.9%	2.1%	3	30.8%	85.9	77,596
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	South Coast	13%	10.9%	2.1%	3	10.2%	39.5	25,663
Kerman, City of	Tulare Lake	29%	26.9%	2.1%	3	38.5%	70.4	14,359
Walnut Valley Water District	South Coast	26%	23.9%	2.1%	3	24.8%	87.7	113,236
Corcoran, City of	Tulare Lake	32%	29.9%	2.1%	3	30.6%	114.4	24,154
Golden State Water Company Cordova	Sacramento River	32%	30.0%	2.0%	3	34.0%	86.4	43,767
North Tahoe Public Utility District	North Lahontan	25%	23.0%	2.0%	3	10.8%	81.7	7,500
Santa Paula City of	South Coast	26%	24.1%	1.9%	3	26.9%	72.4	30,009
Ceres City of	San Joaquin River	26%	24.1%	1.9%	3	26.3%	57.7	47,639
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	South Coast	20%	18.2%	1.8%	3	19.4%	67.2	46,300
Chino City of	South Coast	21%	19.2%	1.8%	3	21.8%	62.1	78,073
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	Colorado River	32%	30.2%	1.8%	3	29.3%	193.9	8,948
San Dieguito Water District	South Coast	20%	18.3%	1.7%	3	17.2%	88.1	37,376
Delano City of	Tulare Lake	21%	19.3%	1.7%	3	14.3%	61.9	55,459
San Fernando City of	South Coast	22%	20.4%	1.6%	3	22.2%	73.2	23,645

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Folsom City of	Sacramento River	28%	26.5%	1.5%	3	36.1%	88.7	63,951
Eastern Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	18.8%	1.2%	3	27.6%	70.4	550,925
Sonoma City of	San Francisco Bay	28%	26.8%	1.2%	3	25.4%	66.3	11,579
Banning City of	Colorado River	28%	26.9%	1.1%	3	26.6%	79.8	30,325
Adelanto City of	South Lahontan	16%	15.0%	1.0%	3 C	5.0%	76.1	32,543
Yuba City City of	Sacramento River	28%	27.0%	1.0%	3	32.0%	78.1	68,379
Fullerton City of	South Coast	21%	20.0%	1.0%	0	21.6%	73.9	138,000
Reedley City of	Tulare Lake	22%	21.1%	0.9%	0	24.1%	35.6	24,194
California Water Service Company Westlake	South Coast	34%	33.1%	0.9%	0	37.6%	137.9	19,459
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	South Coast	19%	18.1%	0.9%	0	21.2%	63.2	95,897
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	25%	24.1%	0.9%	0	18.6%	57.5	32,776
Moulton Niguel Water District	South Coast	20%	19.3%	0.7%	0	18.1%	80.0	172,068
Alco Water Service	Central Coast	24%	23.3%	0.7%	0	20.4%	80.9	29,235
Madera City of	San Joaquin River	26%	25.4%	0.6%	0	26.9%	45.5	63,961
San Jacinto City of	South Coast	30%	29.5%	0.5%	0	31.8%	67.0	17,961
Los Banos, City of	San Joaquin River	24%	23.5%	0.5%	0	36.4%	62.7	37,168
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	South Lahontan	28%	27.6%	0.4%	0	25.6%	83.7	14,097
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	Sacramento River	33%	32.6%	0.4%	0	36.3%	85.7	11,013
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	San Francisco Bay	36%	35.7%	0.3%	0	48.0%	58.2	68,675
Trabuco Canyon Water District	South Coast	28%	27.7%	0.3%	0	28.0%	89.0	13,175
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	South Coast	28%	27.8%	0.2%	0	24.4%	97.5	122,998
Coalinga City of	Tulare Lake	30%	29.9%	0.1%	0	26.7%	97.0	16,529
Newport Beach City of	South Coast	21%	21.0%	0.0%	0	29.4%	47.5	66,219
Arcata City of	North Coast	4%	4.1%	-0.1%	0	1.0%	36.6	18,392
Camrosa Water District	South Coast	24%	24.3%	-0.3%	0	34.3%	101.3	35,783
California Water Service Company Dominguez	South Coast	15%	15.3%	-0.3%	0 A	12.9%	54.8	142,357
Ontario City of	South Coast	20%	20.3%	-0.3%	0	21.7%	70.0	168,777
Big Bear City Community Services District	South Coast	13%	13.3%	-0.3%	0	12.9%	43.6	12,411

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Hollister City of	Central Coast	20%	20.4%	-0.4%	0	16.4%	62.6	20,693
Victorville Water District	South Lahontan	24%	24.4%	-0.4%	0	31.1%	78.6	126,514
Cerritos City of	South Coast	21%	21.4%	-0.4%	0	22.4%	95.0	49,041
Rubidoux Community Service District	South Coast	20%	20.4%	-0.4%	0	16.2%	84.2	32,000
Monte Vista Water District	South Coast	22%	22.4%	-0.4%	0	25.1%	62.5	56,039
Pittsburg City of	San Francisco Bay	19%	19.5%	-0.5%	0	23.2%	65.4	66,183
Crescent City City of	North Coast	16%	16.5%	-0.5%	0	18.6%	53.1	18,382
Santa Monica City of	South Coast	20%	20.6%	-0.6%	0	19.5%	64.8	93,283
Manhattan Beach City of	South Coast	18%	18.6%	-0.6%	0	16.4%	73.3	35,996
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	Central Coast	28%	28.6%	-0.6%	0	33.6%	58.4	31,846
Garden Grove City of	South Coast	20%	20.7%	-0.7%	0	18.2%	62.9	177,020
San Bernardino City of	South Coast	24%	24.7%	-0.7%	0	17.6%	85.5	199,634
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	17.7%	-0.7%	0	-0.1%	35.2	33,124
Golden State Water Company Culver City	South Coast	16%	16.7%	-0.7%	0	17.7%	59.6	36,215
Watsonville City of	Central Coast	20%	20.7%	-0.7%	0	17.9%	49.8	65,739
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	South Coast	26%	26.8%	-0.8%	0	24.6%	77.3	209,035
Marin Municipal Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	20.9%	-0.9%	0	26.9%	57.9	189,400
Alhambra City of	South Coast	22%	22.9%	-0.9%	0	21.6%	75.7	85,068
Rohnert Park City of	North Coast	16%	16.9%	-0.9%	0	11.7%	52.2	43,398
Sacramento Suburban Water District	Sacramento River	29%	30.0%	-1.0%	0	31.9%	62.7	175,225
Carmichael Water District	Sacramento River	33%	34.0%	-1.0%	0	42.7%	76.6	38,354
Golden State Water Company Barstow	South Lahontan	20%	21.0%	-1.0%	0	18.2%	69.2	31,209
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	South Coast	22%	23.0%	-1.0%	0	26.6%	64.8	178,500
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	South Coast	8%	9.1%	-1.1%	0	10.4%	48.0	65,492
Paradise Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	34.1%	-1.1%	0	34.0%	44.5	26,032
Linda County Water District	Sacramento River	29%	30.1%	-1.1%	0	27.5%	82.6	18,808
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	Tulare Lake	29%	30.1%	-1.1%	0	26.4%	98.2	279,782
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	South Coast	26%	27.2%	-1.2%	0	26.5%	88.4	102,889

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Santa Margarita Water District	South Coast	23%	24.3%	-1.3%	0	30.3%	73.8	158,347
Fresno City of	Tulare Lake	25%	26.3%	-1.3%	0	32.1%	65.3	520,159
Santa Maria City of	Central Coast	16%	17.4%	-1.4%	0	21.8%	56.8	102,087
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	25.4%	-1.4%	0	21.0%	101.8	84,351
Vernon City of	South Coast	8%	9.4%	-1.4%	0 A	7.6%	33.1	122
Lynwood City of	South Coast	10%	11.5%	-1.5%	0	14.4%	26.7	65,965
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	North Coast	4%	5.5%	-1.5%	0 A	50.5%	63.9	602
Placer County Water Agency	Sacramento River	28%	29.6%	-1.6%	0	28.0%	79.1	99,307
Tracy City of	San Joaquin River	25%	26.6%	-1.6%	0	23.2%	78.9	85,296
San Clemente City of	South Coast	24%	25.6%	-1.6%	0	31.1%	63.0	55,398
Stockton City of	San Joaquin River	26%	27.7%	-1.7%	0	38.0%	63.0	177,808
Camarillo City of	South Coast	20%	21.7%	-1.7%	0	28.8%	68.6	47,228
South Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	27.7%	-1.7%	0	27.4%	82.0	26,174
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	Sacramento River	28%	29.7%	-1.7%	0	22.9%	81.4	21,441
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	South Coast	14%	15.8%	-1.8%	0	16.6%	63.4	4,037,395
San Bernardino County Service Area 70J	South Coast	24%	25.8%	-1.8%	0	30.4%	67.2	11,555
El Toro Water District	South Coast	24%	25.8%	-1.8%	0	35.6%	72.7	48,628
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	South Coast	26%	27.8%	-1.8%	0	28.5%	81.1	54,872
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	South Lahontan	28%	29.8%	-1.8%	0	33.9%	91.0	207,654
Rosamond Community Service District	South Lahontan	24%	25.9%	-1.9%	0	19.2%	68.0	17,700
Healdsburg City of	North Coast	24%	25.9%	-1.9%	0	30.5%	55.4	11,687
East Valley Water District	South Coast	22%	24.0%	-2.0%	0	20.9%	92.0	101,733
Newhall County Water District	South Coast	25%	27.0%	-2.0%	0	31.3%	79.1	45,036
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	South Coast	26%	28.0%	-2.0%	0	34.3%	77.4	90,687
Azusa City of	South Coast	18%	20.1%	-2.1%	0	21.5%	62.6	110,000
Pico Water District	South Coast	18%	20.1%	-2.1%	0	17.8%	75.3	25,284
Joshua Basin Water District	Colorado River	20%	22.3%	-2.3%	0	12.5%	94.8	9,514
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	South Lahontan	24%	26.4%	-2.4%	0	25.2%	76.5	62,602

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Estero Municipal Improvement District	San Francisco Bay	12%	14.4%	-2.4%	0	12.2%	63.4	37,339
Golden State Water Company Southwest	South Coast	9%	11.5%	-2.5%	0	14.6%	48.7	271,677
Whittier City of	South Coast	17%	19.6%	-2.6%	0	19.3%	76.1	49,954
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	South Coast	22%	24.6%	-2.6%	0	25.0%	65.0	26,827
San Gabriel County Water District	South Coast	18%	20.7%	-2.7%	0	20.6%	68.7	45,000
Pismo Beach City of	Central Coast	22%	24.7%	-2.7%	0	27.3%	71.3	7,861
Davis City of	Sacramento River	25%	27.8%	-2.8%	0	44.7%	58.0	68,320
South Coast Water District	South Coast	24%	26.8%	-2.8%	0	26.9%	80.1	35,004
Vallejo City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	18.8%	-2.8%	0	20.3%	44.8	125,200
Fair Oaks Water District	Sacramento River	33%	35.9%	-2.9%	0	47.4%	75.8	36,226
Quartz Hill Water District	South Lahontan	32%	34.9%	-2.9%	0	44.1%	106.9	20,352
Porterville City of	Tulare Lake	26%	28.9%	-2.9%	0	21.4%	64.2	61,946
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	23.0%	-3.0%	0	30.9%	70.0	86,596
San Juan Water District	Sacramento River	33%	36.0%	-3.0%	0	49.8%	114.4	32,779
Merced City of	San Joaquin River	34%	37.0%	-3.0%	0	36.3%	88.8	83,400
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	South Coast	10%	13.1%	-3.1%	0	14.1%	57.7	27,656
Fairfield City of	Sacramento River	19%	22.1%	-3.1%	0	32.5%	62.9	107,540
Golden State Water Company Cowan Heights	South Coast	29%	32.2%	-3.2%	0	33.5%	181.4	7,254
Dinuba City of	Tulare Lake	29%	32.3%	-3.3%	0	31.0%	62.3	23,966
Antioch City of	San Joaquin River	27%	30.3%	-3.3%	0	34.6%	56.9	108,298
Galt City of	Sacramento River	29%	32.4%	-3.4%	0	38.6%	82.6	24,289
South Gate City of	South Coast	8%	11.4%	-3.4%	0	14.6%	56.2	95,115
Napa City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	23.5%	-3.5%	0	30.5%	53.2	86,848
Glendale City of	South Coast	18%	21.5%	-3.5%	0	23.5%	70.0	193,300
Coachella City of	Colorado River	20%	23.5%	-3.5%	0	16.8%	77.9	43,917
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	South Coast	26%	29.6%	-3.6%	0	41.3%	61.7	16,126
Manteca City of	San Joaquin River	27%	30.6%	-3.6%	0	41.9%	65.2	76,132
Daly City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	11.7%	-3.7%	0	6.8%	54.5	105,810

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order



**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
California Water Service Company Stockton	San Joaquin River	18%	21.7%	-3.7%	0	21.1%	49.9	171,219
Burbank City of	South Coast	22%	25.7%	-3.7%	0	29.8%	68.1	106,084
California Water Service Company Oroville	Sacramento River	25%	28.8%	-3.8%	0	36.7%	54.8	10,771
Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	28.8%	-3.8%	0	31.7%	64.7	480,105
California Water Service Company Marysville	Sacramento River	21%	24.9%	-3.9%	0	21.0%	71.9	12,283
Thousand Oaks City of	South Coast	26%	29.9%	-3.9%	0	42.1%	70.6	51,609
Hemet City of	South Coast	14%	18.0%	-4.0%	0 C	-1.8%	56.4	29,982
Carpinteria Valley Water District	Central Coast	20%	24.1%	-4.1%	0	-14.5%	80.6	14,616
Inglewood City of	South Coast	11%	15.3%	-4.3%	0	15.5%	56.2	86,418
Nipomo Community Services District	Central Coast	28%	32.3%	-4.3%	0	38.2%	75.1	13,308
Sunny Slope Water Company	South Coast	22%	26.3%	-4.3%	0	27.8%	67.5	30,611
Huntington Park City of	South Coast	8%	12.4%	-4.4%	0	10.4%	41.4	64,219
Seal Beach City of	South Coast	12%	16.4%	-4.4%	0	20.8%	45.2	25,561
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	28.5%	-4.5%	0	27.8%	78.0	29,955
Santa Fe Springs City of	South Coast	16%	20.5%	-4.5%	0	12.7%	70.2	18,199
Santa Fe Irrigation District	South Coast	28%	32.5%	-4.5%	0	30.4%	247.9	19,839
Oxnard City of	South Coast	12%	16.6%	-4.6%	0	16.6%	46.9	196,720
El Monte City of	South Coast	8%	12.6%	-4.6%	0	14.8%	42.1	22,968
City of Newman Water Department	San Joaquin River	21%	25.7%	-4.7%	0	39.9%	63.5	10,668
Compton City of	South Coast	8%	12.7%	-4.7%	0	17.2%	49.3	81,963
Lincoln City of	Sacramento River	28%	32.7%	-4.7%	0	42.7%	55.3	45,837
Tustin City of	South Coast	21%	25.7%	-4.7%	0	28.3%	87.1	67,700
Gilroy City of	Central Coast	24%	28.7%	-4.7%	0	33.7%	52.3	53,971
California Water Service Company Willows	Sacramento River	26%	30.9%	-4.9%	0	40.7%	62.7	7,135
Pomona City of	South Coast	18%	23.0%	-5.0%	0	23.5%	51.1	152,419
Poway City of	South Coast	24%	29.1%	-5.1%	0	4.9%	116.7	48,774
Westminster City of	South Coast	13%	18.1%	-5.1%	0	17.6%	72.2	93,322
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	South Coast	30%	35.2%	-5.2%	0	37.5%	97.5	12,200

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Paramount City of	South Coast	8%	13.2%	-5.2%	0	9.6%	53.1	55,302
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	Sacramento River	24%	29.2%	-5.2%	0	31.5%	65.3	10,002
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	South Coast	8%	13.3%	-5.3%	0	13.7%	50.5	57,934
San Buenaventura City of	South Coast	16%	21.4%	-5.4%	0	22.9%	62.1	113,478
Citrus Heights Water District	Sacramento River	29%	34.5%	-5.5%	0	36.8%	76.8	67,333
Ukiah City of	North Coast	20%	25.6%	-5.6%	0	20.4%	53.5	16,075
Orange City of	South Coast	21%	26.6%	-5.6%	0	29.9%	80.0	139,463
Sweetwater Springs Water District	North Coast	16%	21.7%	-5.7%	0	16.1%	54.9	7,791
Downey City of	South Coast	14%	19.8%	-5.8%	0	15.3%	68.0	111,931
Santa Clara City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	21.8%	-5.8%	0	21.8%	54.1	120,973
Sunnyslope County Water District	Central Coast	26%	32.0%	-6.0%	0	24.6%	49.1	19,625
Golden State Water Company Claremont	South Coast	30%	36.0%	-6.0%	0	38.4%	91.6	37,160
Hillsborough Town of	San Francisco Bay	36%	42.0%	-6.0%	0	42.0%	102.7	10,850
Paso Robles City of	Central Coast	24%	30.0%	-6.0%	0	35.5%	55.0	30,522
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	San Francisco Bay	20%	26.0%	-6.0%	0	31.1%	47.7	29,510
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	San Francisco Bay	32%	38.2%	-6.2%	0	43.6%	59.2	70,040
Long Beach City of	South Coast	9%	15.3%	-6.3%	0	15.7%	60.9	475,310
Morgan Hill City of	Central Coast	27%	33.4%	-6.4%	0	38.3%	52.4	41,779
Kingsburg, City of	Tulare Lake	34%	40.4%	-6.4%	0	52.6%	99.2	11,685
Amador Water Agency	San Joaquin River	21%	27.5%	-6.5%	0	27.1%	38.1	23,176
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	San Francisco Bay	8%	14.5%	-6.5%	0	10.3%	40.7	846,601
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	Central Coast	31%	37.5%	-6.5%	0	42.3%	86.0	31,872
Groveland Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	27.5%	-6.5%	0	23.3%	59.0	3,400
Irvine Ranch Water District	South Coast	8%	14.5%	-6.5%	0	9.4%	54.4	389,953
El Dorado Irrigation District	Sacramento River	24%	30.5%	-6.5%	0	42.7%	78.9	118,500
West Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	31.5%	-6.5%	0	32.6%	74.8	50,836
Golden State Water Company Artesia	South Coast	9%	15.7%	-6.7%	0	17.7%	61.5	47,978
Millbrae City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	22.8%	-6.8%	0	15.6%	49.0	21,532

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Palo Alto City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	30.8%	-6.8%	0	24.1%	53.8	64,403
Morro Bay City of	Central Coast	12%	18.9%	-6.9%	0	20.5%	53.8	10,284
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	South Coast	8%	14.9%	-6.9%	0	15.5%	38.8	158,698
Windsor, Town of	North Coast	16%	22.9%	-6.9%	0	25.0%	48.7	27,486
Petaluma City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	22.9%	-6.9%	0	23.6%	53.9	61,955
Orange Vale Water Company	Sacramento River	33%	40.0%	-7.0%	0	66.7%	75.4	16,480
Montebello Land and Water Company	South Coast	9%	16.0%	-7.0%	0	17.3%	58.4	32,219
Patterson City of	San Joaquin River	25%	32.2%	-7.2%	0	31.2%	77.2	21,094
Valley Center Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	35.3%	-7.3%	0	36.5%	115.1	25,530
Ramona Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	27.3%	-7.3%	0	18.1%	79.3	36,564
Mid-Peninsula Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	27.3%	-7.3%	0	33.2%	54.6	26,730
Valley County Water District	South Coast	14%	21.5%	-7.5%	0	21.8%	52.7	71,003
Oceanside City of	South Coast	12%	19.6%	-7.6%	0	18.9%	65.7	174,522
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	San Francisco Bay	16%	23.6%	-7.6%	0	23.6%	52.9	1,400,000
Olivehurst Public Utility District	Sacramento River	33%	40.7%	-7.7%	0	7.4%	76.4	19,509
Huntington Beach City of	South Coast	13%	20.7%	-7.7%	0	17.5%	73.2	196,041
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	South Coast	8%	15.7%	-7.7%	0	15.8%	55.4	44,466
Vista Irrigation District	South Coast	12%	19.8%	-7.8%	0	11.6%	66.4	127,699
Golden State Water Company Placentia	South Coast	17%	24.8%	-7.8%	0	26.7%	72.8	46,543
North Marin Water District	San Francisco Bay	24%	32.1%	-8.1%	0	19.1%	73.7	61,299
Discovery Bay Community Services District	San Joaquin River	29%	37.3%	-8.3%	0	50.0%	63.5	14,933
Eureka City of	North Coast	4%	12.3%	-8.3%	0	7.4%	54.7	27,052
Arroyo Grande City of	Central Coast	28%	36.3%	-8.3%	0	42.8%	64.7	17,121
Santa Rosa City of	North Coast	16%	24.4%	-8.4%	0	10.6%	51.6	173,071
Valley of the Moon Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	28.5%	-8.5%	0	32.1%	45.4	23,782
Crescenta Valley Water District	South Coast	18%	26.5%	-8.5%	0	29.1%	59.1	32,144
San Jose City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	28.5%	-8.5%	0	30.9%	59.2	117,576
Fountain Valley City of	South Coast	13%	21.6%	-8.6%	0	20.7%	73.9	59,227

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
San Luis Obispo City of	Central Coast	12%	20.7%	-8.7%	0	23.7%	44.4	45,802
Santa Ana City of	South Coast	8%	16.7%	-8.7%	0	18.5%	53.8	332,005
Helix Water District	South Coast	12%	20.9%	-8.9%	0	14.7%	64.1	271,759
Yorba Linda Water District	South Coast	28%	36.9%	-8.9%	0	41.6%	89.4	78,539
California Water Service Company Chico District	Sacramento River	29%	37.9%	-8.9%	0	41.4%	65.9	103,830
Valencia Water Company	South Coast	21%	29.9%	-8.9%	0	30.8%	74.7	119,144
Contra Costa Water District	San Francisco Bay	25%	33.9%	-8.9%	0	22.8%	58.4	199,680
California Water Service Company Selma	Tulare Lake	30%	38.9%	-8.9%	0	45.6%	70.1	25,099
Vallecitos Water District	South Coast	16%	25.2%	-9.2%	0	20.3%	65.9	96,792
Otay Water District	South Coast	12%	21.2%	-9.2%	0	16.2%	56.5	220,200
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	San Francisco Bay	16%	25.2%	-9.2%	0	18.0%	45.9	135,412
Port Hueneme City of	South Coast	8%	17.3%	-9.3%	0	25.2%	52.2	21,555
Arvin Community Services District	Tulare Lake	21%	30.3%	-9.3%	0	14.8%	74.7	20,499
Diablo Water District	San Joaquin River	23%	32.3%	-9.3%	0	46.8%	49.0	39,224
California Water Service Company Salinas District	Central Coast	16%	25.4%	-9.4%	0	28.7%	45.8	121,979
Buena Park City of	South Coast	13%	22.4%	-9.4%	0	25.4%	64.8	82,767
Anaheim City of	South Coast	13%	22.4%	-9.4%	0	27.0%	64.3	360,142
Tuolumne Utilities District	San Joaquin River	21%	30.4%	-9.4%	0	12.0%	47.9	30,809
Mountain House Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	30.6%	-9.6%	0	39.1%	55.7	15,942
Mesa Water District	South Coast	13%	22.7%	-9.7%	0	32.2%	70.4	110,000
La Palma City of	South Coast	13%	22.7%	-9.7%	0	21.5%	60.7	15,896
Roseville City of	Sacramento River	25%	34.9%	-9.9%	0	43.2%	46.3	122,946
San Diego City of	South Coast	8%	18.0%	-10.0%	0	11.9%	52.5	1,314,290
Woodland City of	Sacramento River	20%	30.0%	-10.0%	0	31.0%	52.6	57,223
American Canyon, City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	26.1%	-10.1%	0	29.5%	61.0	20,080
Scotts Valley Water District	Central Coast	16%	26.1%	-10.1%	0	24.3%	49.9	10,509
Lompoc City of	Central Coast	12%	22.1%	-10.1%	0	22.3%	53.2	41,541
Elk Grove Water Service	Sacramento River	25%	35.2%	-10.2%	0	38.7%	52.2	44,255

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Milpitas City of	San Francisco Bay	12%	22.3%	-10.3%	0	12.0%	47.3	70,817
Oakdale City of	San Joaquin River	30%	40.4%	-10.4%	0	56.1%	72.6	21,442
Martinez City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	30.5%	-10.5%	0	23.3%	29.0	35,375
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	South Coast	14%	24.5%	-10.5%	0	16.2%	51.3	271,817
Lathrop, City of	San Joaquin River	18%	28.5%	-10.5%	0	31.0%	67.4	19,831
McKinleyville Community Service District	North Coast	4%	14.6%	-10.6%	0	6.9%	49.0	16,900
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	South Coast	26%	36.6%	-10.6%	0	21.1%	71.0	52,914
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	South Coast	22%	32.7%	-10.7%	0	30.3%	74.7	43,351
California-American Water Company Monterey District	Central Coast	8%	18.9%	-10.9%	0	27.0%	47.5	100,623
Orchard Dale Water District	South Coast	8%	18.9%	-10.9%	0	20.6%	50.5	25,000
Sacramento County Water Agency	Sacramento River	24%	35.0%	-11.0%	0	40.0%	68.8	176,855
Mammoth Community Water District	South Lahontan	17%	28.0%	-11.0%	0	15.3%	44.4	16,739
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	San Francisco Bay	12%	23.2%	-11.2%	0	20.3%	48.5	22,258
San Jose Water Company	San Francisco Bay	20%	31.4%	-11.4%	0	30.9%	49.5	990,000
Shasta Lake City of	Sacramento River	25%	36.4%	-11.4%	0	44.0%	72.9	10,293
Alameda County Water District	San Francisco Bay	16%	27.5%	-11.5%	0	25.3%	52.9	346,000
Sunnyvale City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	27.8%	-11.8%	0	24.5%	53.5	148,028
Greenfield, City of	Central Coast	14%	25.9%	-11.9%	0	28.1%	54.9	17,898
Lakeside Water District	South Coast	12%	23.9%	-11.9%	0	8.5%	63.5	35,500
Golden State Water Company West Orange	South Coast	9%	20.9%	-11.9%	0	22.2%	60.8	111,904
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	San Francisco Bay	20%	32.0%	-12.0%	0	33.0%	45.9	100,523
Brentwood City of	San Joaquin River	28%	40.0%	-12.0%	0	50.0%	57.4	56,493
Lakewood City of	South Coast	12%	24.0%	-12.0%	0	22.1%	71.0	59,081
Sweetwater Authority	South Coast	8%	20.1%	-12.1%	0	17.7%	53.8	191,500
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	San Francisco Bay	8%	20.5%	-12.5%	0	21.4%	36.3	61,753
California Water Service Company King City	Central Coast	9%	21.6%	-12.6%	0	26.2%	36.9	15,019
Coastside County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	20.6%	-12.6%	0	19.0%	31.8	16,704
Montecito Water District	Central Coast	32%	44.8%	-12.8%	0	52.4%	104.6	13,500

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Burlingame City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	28.8%	-12.8%	0	25.6%	48.6	31,109
Goleta Water District	Central Coast	12%	25.0%	-13.0%	0	34.7%	43.9	86,946
Crestline Village Water District	South Lahontan	8%	21.1%	-13.1%	0	10.2%	43.6	7,601
Park Water Company	South Coast	8%	21.1%	-13.1%	0	13.7%	39.0	125,784
Rainbow Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	41.2%	-13.2%	0	29.3%	109.3	19,495
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	South Coast	12%	25.5%	-13.5%	0	18.2%	59.4	88,995
Hayward City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	21.6%	-13.6%	0	8.3%	49.3	152,889
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	South Lahontan	13%	26.9%	-13.9%	0	-5.8%	22.0	29,070
Pinedale County Water District	Tulare Lake	14%	27.9%	-13.9%	0	37.0%	65.0	16,735
Escondido City of	South Coast	12%	26.0%	-14.0%	0	18.3%	46.5	134,053
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	31.1%	-14.1%	0	25.1%	34.9	6,474
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	North Coast	16%	30.7%	-14.7%	0	24.9%	70.1	3,188
Mountain View City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	30.7%	-14.7%	0	23.0%	53.6	76,210
Calaveras County Water District	San Joaquin River	13%	28.1%	-15.1%	0	27.3%	46.4	31,750
Redwood City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	23.2%	-15.2%	0	17.0%	56.0	87,696
Westborough Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	23.5%	-15.5%	0	-7.2%	41.9	14,050
North Coast County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	23.8%	-15.8%	0	18.9%	41.4	39,000
Pleasanton City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	39.8%	-15.8%	0	52.9%	57.2	74,850
Del Oro Water Company	Sacramento River	21%	37.2%	-16.2%	0	15.4%	46.1	9,615
East Palo Alto, City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	24.2%	-16.2%	0	25.6%	36.6	29,143
San Bruno City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	24.5%	-16.5%	0	26.6%	41.7	44,409
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	San Francisco Bay	17%	33.5%	-16.5%	0	41.6%	60.3	27,588
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	South Lahontan	32%	48.6%	-16.6%	0	58.8%	56.5	3,383
California-American Water Company San Diego District	South Coast	8%	25.8%	-17.8%	0	26.3%	50.2	95,358
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	Sacramento River	17%	34.9%	-17.9%	0	41.9%	57.9	201,418
Brawley City of	Colorado River	24%	42.2%	-18.2%	0	43.0%	104.5	27,743
California Water Service Company Livermore	San Francisco Bay	21%	39.4%	-18.4%	0	45.1%	57.7	58,852
Santa Cruz City of	Central Coast	8%	27.0%	-19.0%	0	24.7%	35.0	95,251

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by priority, missed target by %)  
 400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Data pulled 21 April 2016

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	Cumulative Savings (June 2015 - March 2016)*				Monthly Savings (March 2016)		
		NEW Conservation Standard	Cumulative Percent Saved (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Compliance Priority**	Monthly Percent Saved (as compared to Mar-13)	Estimated Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
Bella Vista Water District	Sacramento River	33%	52.6%	-19.6%	0	51.1%	81.5	18,426
Marina Coast Water District	Central Coast	11%	30.7%	-19.7%	0	35.5%	53.1	34,235
Benicia City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	39.7%	-19.7%	0	54.7%	45.2	28,086
Soquel Creek Water District	Central Coast	8%	29.6%	-21.6%	0	31.9%	41.2	40,410
Santa Barbara City of	Central Coast	12%	34.0%	-22.0%	0	40.4%	50.9	93,426
Dublin San Ramon Services District	San Francisco Bay	12%	35.0%	-23.0%	0	27.7%	52.1	80,986
Grover Beach City of	Central Coast	8%	32.3%	-24.3%	0	35.4%	61.4	13,156
Menlo Park City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	41.6%	-25.6%	0	41.3%	44.2	16,066

**March 2016 Non-filers**

The following suppliers did not submit March 2016 data by 21 April 2016:

Anderson, City of	East Orange County Water District	Tehachapi, City of
Atwater City of	Mission Springs Water District	Torrance City of
Calexico City of	Redding City of	
Cambria Community Services District	San Lorenzo Valley Water District	

**February 2016 Non-filers**

The following suppliers did not submit January 2016 data by 21 April 2016:

Atwater City of
Calexico City of

**January 2016 Non-filers**

The following suppliers did not submit January 2016 data by 21 April 2016:

Atwater City of
-----------------

\* Used to assess supplier compliance with conservation goal

\*\* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**March 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** Summary Table  
*400 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15*

*Data pulled 21 April 2016*

Compliance Priority		# of Suppliers
1	Greater than 15 percentage points from meeting standard	4
2	Between five and 15 percentage points from meeting standard	51
3	Between one and five percentage points from meeting standard	63
0	Met or within one percentage point from meeting standard	282