

**July 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by missed standard %)

Data pulled August 22, 2016

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated July 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				July 2015	July 2016		
California City City of	South Lahontan	28%	<b>28%</b>	No	12.3%	15.7%	24.8%	14.5%	159.4	14,120
Yucaipa Valley Water District	South Coast	34%	<b>20%</b>	Yes	4.5%	15.5%	28.0%	1.3%	278.8	45,016
Livingston City of	San Joaquin River	29%	<b>29%</b>	No	14.3%	14.7%	7.0%	6.8%	131.7	14,898
North Marin Water District	San Francisco Bay	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	-10.6%	10.6%	41.0%	18.4%	135.6	61,299
Sanger City of	Tulare Lake	26%	<b>26%</b>	No	17.3%	8.7%	19.5%	13.3%	144.7	25,664
Red Bluff City of	Sacramento River	33%	<b>33%</b>	No	27.4%	5.6%	27.0%	16.6%	188.3	14,076
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	North Coast	4%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	-5.4%	5.4%	-24.8%	-4.1%	80.4	602
Adelanto City of	South Lahontan	16%	<b>16%</b>	No	12.0%	4.0%	16.0%	-20.1%#	105.1	32,543
Bakman Water Company	Tulare Lake	34%	<b>34%</b>	No	30.2%	3.8%	36.3%	35.5%	200.5	16,756
Fortuna City of	North Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	-3.1%	3.1%	21.2%	1.0%	91.5	12,032
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	South Coast	20%	<b>20%</b>	Yes	17.6%	2.4%	20.7%	15.4%	87.0	46,300
Fillmore City of	South Coast	26%	<b>26%</b>	No	23.7%	2.3%	33.9%	25.7%	136.7	15,222
San Lorenzo Valley Water District	Central Coast	12%	<b>18%</b>	Yes	16.2%	1.8%	38.3%	21.4%	99.5	23,057
Los Banos, City of	San Joaquin River	24%	<b>24%</b>	No	24.8%	-0.8%	27.7%	19.4%	136.9	37,168
Arcata City of	North Coast	4%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	1.0%	-1.0%	10.3%	9.8%	45.9	18,392
Pico Rivera City of	South Coast	16%	<b>16%</b>	No	17.3%	-1.3%	21.6%	14.0%	93.1	39,002
El Segundo City of	South Coast	20%	<b>2%</b>	Yes	3.7%	-1.7%	11.0%	16.8%	80.5	16,654
Tehachapi, City of	Tulare Lake	25%	<b>25%</b>	No	27.1%	-2.1%	38.6%	21.7%	190.0	8,923
Glendora City of	South Coast	34%	<b>20%</b>	Yes	22.6%	-2.6%	38.3%	18.6%	180.2	51,463
Atwater City of	San Joaquin River	33%	<b>33%</b>	No	35.7%	-2.7%	48.7%	31.9%	245.4	29,500
Monrovia City of	South Coast	26%	<b>12%</b>	Yes	14.8%	-2.8%	23.5%	14.8%	144.3	37,406
Turlock City of	San Joaquin River	29%	<b>16%</b>	Yes	19.5%	-3.5%	35.0%	24.6%	174.5	72,050
Monterey Park City of	South Coast	20%	<b>14%</b>	Yes	18.1%	-4.1%	18.8%	17.1%	86.6	62,183
City of Newman Water Department	San Joaquin River	21%	<b>21%</b>	No	25.2%	-4.2%	31.3%	19.3%	141.0	10,668
Hi-Desert Water District	Colorado River	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	4.3%	-4.3%	13.8%	2.3%	97.0	24,725
Covina City of	South Coast	26%	<b>12%</b>	Yes	16.5%	-4.5%	24.9%	14.7%	122.1	33,300
Nipomo Community Services District	Central Coast	28%	<b>23%</b>	Yes	28.0%	-5.0%	37.1%	28.0%	132.9	13,348
Paramount City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	5.3%	-5.3%	18.5%	7.6%	58.3	55,302
Humboldt Community Service District	North Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	5.9%	-5.9%	12.3%	8.1%	63.3	22,842
San Buenaventura City of	South Coast	16%	<b>16%</b>	No	21.9%	-5.9%	24.7%	22.4%	78.1	113,478

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings for suppliers that did not self-certify are from June 2015 through July 2016; for suppliers that self-certified, cumulative savings are calculated from June 2016 through July 2016.

**July 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled August 22, 2016

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated July 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				July 2015	July 2016		
Exeter City of	Tulare Lake	34%	<b>21%</b>	Yes	27.0%	-6.0%	34.6%	25.8%	177.6	10,572
Modesto, City of	San Joaquin River	33%	<b>20%</b>	Yes	26.3%	-6.3%	32.4%	25.7%	207.2	223,432
Daly City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>4%</b>	Yes	10.6%	-6.6%	14.4%	20.1%	50.1	109,139
Ceres City of	San Joaquin River	26%	<b>13%</b>	Yes	19.8%	-6.8%	26.6%	21.3%	124.9	47,639
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	7.0%	-7.0%	23.7%	11.2%	68.8	44,466
Brea City of	South Coast	24%	<b>15%</b>	Yes	22.4%	-7.4%	26.5%	26.1%	97.3	43,328
Vernon City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	7.5%	-7.5%	8.6%	15.5%	38.4	112
Crestline Village Water District	South Lahontan	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	8.3%	-8.3%	26.0%	10.6%	62.7	7,686
Arroyo Grande City of	Central Coast	28%	<b>28%</b>	No	36.5%	-8.5%	41.3%	35.1%	98.7	17,636
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	9.2%	-9.2%	14.8%	9.6%	55.1	65,492
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	South Lahontan	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	9.6%	-9.6%	24.9%	14.4%	112.0	20,913
Olivehurst Public Utility District	Sacramento River	33%	<b>10%</b>	Yes	19.6%	-9.6%	34.5%	18.9%	235.6	19,509
San Luis Obispo City of	Central Coast	12%	<b>12%</b>	No	21.6%	-9.6%	26.4%	23.3%	58.4	46,117
California Water Service Company Dominguez	South Coast	15%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.1%	-10.1%	8.5%	11.1%	82.3	142,743
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.1%	-10.1%	27.6%	7.8%	107.4	33,124
Greenfield, City of	Central Coast	14%	<b>5%</b>	Yes	15.1%	-10.1%	26.9%	15.1%	72.4	17,898
Lathrop, City of	San Joaquin River	18%	<b>18%</b>	No	28.3%	-10.3%	34.9%	20.4%	129.9	20,353
El Monte City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.3%	-10.3%	19.0%	13.7%	56.3	22,968
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	South Coast	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.4%	-10.4%	20.5%	4.1%	75.9	25,822
Lompoc City of	Central Coast	12%	<b>12%</b>	No	22.5%	-10.5%	18.0%	28.6%	51.7	41,541
Hawthorne City of	South Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.6%	-10.6%	10.1%	10.4%	66.2	44,631
Twentynine Palms Water District	Colorado River	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.7%	-10.7%	19.6%	9.0%	134.0	18,795
Torrance City of	South Coast	19%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.9%	-10.9%	24.7%	7.5%	89.9	105,928
Valley County Water District	South Coast	14%	<b>10%</b>	Yes	20.9%	-10.9%	26.4%	20.1%	74.9	71,003
Inglewood City of	South Coast	11%	<b>5%</b>	Yes	16.2%	-11.2%	15.3%	14.5%	72.6	86,418
West Kern Water District	Tulare Lake	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.3%	-11.3%	21.7%	13.0%	151.5	20,591
South Gate City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.3%	-11.3%	14.5%	3.3%	56.8	88,654
Bakersfield City of	Tulare Lake	31%	<b>9%</b>	Yes	20.4%	-11.4%	31.5%	16.6%	229.9	145,751
Coastside County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.5%	-11.5%	24.2%	19.0%	42.6	16,704
Colton, City of	South Coast	18%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.6%	-11.6%	24.7%	10.6%	127.5	47,429

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings for suppliers that did not self-certify are from June 2015 through July 2016; for suppliers that self-certified, cumulative savings are calculated from June 2016 through July 2016.

**July 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled August 22, 2016

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated July 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				July 2015	July 2016		
Fallbrook Public Utility District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	12.3%	-12.3%	30.5%	12.3%	191.4	34,894
Marin Municipal Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	12.5%	-12.5%	23.2%	11.4%	109.7	189,400
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	12.5%	-12.5%	16.0%	12.6%	41.2	859,276
Whittier City of	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	12.7%	-12.7%	24.3%	16.9%	109.7	49,954
McKinleyville Community Service District	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	12.7%	-12.7%	17.9%	12.4%	61.4	16,900
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	12.8%	-12.8%	27.1%	9.7%	289.0	22,247
Estero Municipal Improvement District	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	12.8%	-12.8%	17.5%	8.4%	67.9	37,339
La Habra City of Public Works	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	12.8%	-12.8%	29.5%	17.0%	100.0	63,118
Folsom City of	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	12.8%	-12.8%	32.8%	10.8%	256.3	63,951
Vallejo City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	12.8%	-12.8%	26.2%	9.2%	94.1	125,200
Yuba City City of	Sacramento River	28%	15%	Yes	27.9%	-12.9%	32.8%	29.7%	143.9	73,202
Palmdale Water District	South Lahontan	28%	10%	Yes	23.1%	-13.1%	35.3%	21.6%	143.4	116,473
Mammoth Community Water District	South Lahontan	17%	17%	No	30.3%	-13.3%	46.6%	26.9%	92.6	16,739
Golden State Water Company Southwest	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	13.3%	-13.3%	15.8%	11.0%	65.8	271,677
California-American Water Company San Diego District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	13.4%	-13.4%	32.8%	10.4%	77.3	94,043
Imperial, City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	13.6%	-13.6%	21.5%	9.6%	108.6	18,022
Golden State Water Company Artesia	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	13.6%	-13.6%	16.6%	10.2%	75.2	47,978
East Palo Alto, City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	8%	No	21.6%	-13.6%	37.9%	28.5%	54.5	29,143
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	South Coast	27%	0%	Yes	13.7%	-13.7%	33.7%	24.6%	124.0	151,236
Norwalk City of	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	13.8%	-13.8%	18.5%	13.0%	99.9	18,361
Eureka City of	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	14.2%	16.9%	69.9	27,052
San Juan Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	41.0%	13.5%	533.9	32,779
Fairfield City of	Sacramento River	19%	0%	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	27.6%	13.1%	112.0	108,392
Long Beach City of	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	18.9%	12.4%	65.7	478,306
Oxnard City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	14.2%	-14.2%	21.4%	13.9%	57.0	196,720
Arvin Community Services District	Tulare Lake	21%	0%	Yes	14.2%	-14.2%	30.5%	10.0%	106.8	20,499
Coachella City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	14.3%	-14.3%	20.6%	10.8%	112.2	45,407
Huntington Park City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	14.3%	-14.3%	16.3%	14.2%	45.8	64,219
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	14.4%	-14.4%	21.1%	15.1%	81.8	4,061,504
Lodi City of Public Works Department	San Joaquin River	30%	6%	Yes	20.4%	-14.4%	29.4%	17.6%	180.7	63,219

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings for suppliers that did not self-certify are from June 2015 through July 2016; for suppliers that self-certified, cumulative savings are calculated from June 2016 through July 2016.

**July 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled August 22, 2016

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated July 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				July 2015	July 2016		
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	South Coast	10%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.5%	-14.5%	15.6%	12.9%	66.6	27,656
Mesa Water District	South Coast	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.5%	-14.5%	24.2%	10.3%	141.2	110,000
Goleta Water District	Central Coast	12%	<b>12%</b>	No	26.7%	-14.7%	33.5%	32.2%	52.3	86,946
Rubidoux Community Service District	South Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.7%	-14.7%	29.6%	14.7%	124.7	32,200
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.8%	-14.8%	19.9%	15.6%	57.0	57,934
Golden State Water Company Culver City	South Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.8%	-14.8%	19.8%	14.0%	74.2	36,215
Valley Water Company	South Coast	34%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.9%	-14.9%	34.6%	13.6%	352.8	9,900
California-American Water Company Monterey District	Central Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.9%	-14.9%	17.1%	16.1%	69.2	91,884
Joshua Basin Water District	Colorado River	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	36.8%	18.4%	94.9	9,514
North Tahoe Public Utility District	North Lahontan	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	26.8%	10.4%	182.0	7,500
Rowland Water District	South Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	18.7%	14.7%	89.4	58,000
Laguna Beach County Water District	South Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	26.9%	13.0%	93.5	19,121
Corona City of	South Coast	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	25.4%	12.9%	128.9	168,070
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	South Coast	26%	<b>15%</b>	Yes	30.1%	-15.1%	46.1%	29.7%	138.3	52,914
Rialto City of	South Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.1%	-15.1%	29.1%	14.4%	139.8	54,453
Casitas Municipal Water District	South Coast	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.2%	-15.2%	40.9%	19.2%	124.5	9,944
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.3%	-15.3%	17.6%	15.0%	46.6	158,725
Pinedale County Water District	Tulare Lake	14%	<b>14%</b>	No	29.3%	-15.3%	29.4%	28.5%	148.5	16,735
Irvine Ranch Water District	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.3%	-15.3%	22.1%	11.6%	74.8	392,585
Loma Linda City of	South Coast	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.3%	-15.3%	28.1%	12.9%	169.2	23,751
Vista Irrigation District	South Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	33.5%	13.1%	97.1	127,699
Windsor, Town of	North Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	27.0%	13.1%	109.3	27,183
Camrosa Water District	South Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.5%	-15.5%	32.8%	18.3%	221.5	27,037
Norco City of	South Coast	36%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.7%	-15.7%	23.8%	10.1%	218.8	25,891
Santa Ana City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.9%	-15.9%	21.9%	15.4%	68.7	332,005
El Dorado Irrigation District	Sacramento River	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.9%	-15.9%	33.9%	17.4%	303.9	118,500
San Gabriel County Water District	South Coast	18%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.9%	-15.9%	26.5%	11.8%	97.2	45,000
Tulare, City of	Tulare Lake	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	25.8%	13.5%	168.1	63,837
Anaheim City of	South Coast	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	16.1%	-16.1%	27.7%	8.2%	96.4	360,972
Seal Beach City of	South Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	16.1%	-16.1%	22.9%	13.3%	69.6	25,561

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings for suppliers that did not self-certify are from June 2015 through July 2016; for suppliers that self-certified, cumulative savings are calculated from June 2016 through July 2016.

**July 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled August 22, 2016

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated July 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				July 2015	July 2016		
Huntington Beach City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	16.2%	-16.2%	25.7%	13.2%	96.9	196,041
Azusa City of	South Coast	18%	3%	Yes	19.3%	-16.3%	26.8%	18.8%	91.5	106,400
Cerritos City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	16.3%	-16.3%	27.6%	14.8%	133.1	49,041
Ontario City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.4%	-16.4%	26.2%	15.5%	108.2	168,777
Reedley City of	Tulare Lake	22%	0%	Yes	16.4%	-16.4%	34.8%	19.1%	86.3	24,194
Montecito Water District	Central Coast	32%	31%	Yes	47.4%	-16.4%	50.4%	48.9%	206.5	13,500
Redwood City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	16.4%	-16.4%	14.2%	10.2%	75.9	87,023
Orchard Dale Water District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.4%	-16.4%	22.0%	14.2%	74.3	25,000
El Centro City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	22.3%	14.9%	127.1	44,311
Susanville City of	North Lahontan	33%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	32.5%	14.8%	328.4	8,497
Riverside City of	South Coast	25%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	30.7%	15.0%	145.0	301,228
Palo Alto City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	16.6%	-16.6%	31.1%	12.9%	105.1	64,403
Camarillo City of	South Coast	20%	3%	Yes	19.7%	-16.7%	26.7%	18.0%	92.2	47,405
Crescent City City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	17.1%	18.6%	67.0	18,382
San Diego City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	28.9%	14.1%	71.8	1,339,958
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	34.6%	14.6%	174.6	96,139
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	28.7%	12.4%	215.2	43,111
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	21.0%	22.0%	123.8	5,349
Big Bear City Community Services District	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	25.6%	8.7%	97.0	12,411
West Valley Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	26.5%	11.6%	175.9	81,379
Shasta Lake City of	Sacramento River	25%	10%	Yes	27.0%	-17.0%	37.9%	21.8%	202.2	10,293
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	40.1%	10.1%	212.0	207,659
Westminster City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	31.2%	15.9%	81.9	96,046
Vaughn Water Company	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	29.6%	15.9%	457.9	31,430
Manhattan Beach City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	23.4%	15.0%	92.3	35,996
Sonoma City of	San Francisco Bay	28%	0%	Yes	17.2%	-17.2%	46.8%	33.6%	144.5	11,579
Bella Vista Water District	Sacramento River	33%	33%	No	50.2%	-17.2%	57.6%	38.6%	460.6	18,426
Napa City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	17.3%	-17.3%	28.3%	15.1%	113.2	86,848
Santa Rosa City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	17.3%	-17.3%	43.8%	30.8%	78.0	173,071
Otay Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	17.3%	-17.3%	29.2%	13.6%	95.2	220,200

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings for suppliers that did not self-certify are from June 2015 through July 2016; for suppliers that self-certified, cumulative savings are calculated from June 2016 through July 2016.

**July 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled August 22, 2016

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated July 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				July 2015	July 2016		
Rohnert Park City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	17.3%	-17.3%	37.4%	24.3%	73.5	43,398
Chino City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	17.4%	-17.4%	25.6%	15.1%	102.0	74,639
Moulton Niguel Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.5%	-17.5%	26.5%	15.5%	116.7	172,068
Jurupa Community Service District	South Coast	23%	0%	Yes	17.5%	-17.5%	31.6%	12.0%	181.6	118,731
Rio Vista, city of	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	17.6%	-17.6%	41.7%	22.4%	222.2	8,324
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	South Coast	35%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	37.2%	15.7%	197.6	75,384
Montebello Land and Water Company	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	18.8%	15.4%	73.8	32,219
Lakewood City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	29.0%	14.9%	83.0	59,081
Eastern Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	27.2%	14.4%	122.9	554,372
Oceanside City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	31.6%	15.0%	88.7	175,948
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	South Coast	19%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	20.7%	18.4%	79.2	95,954
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	South Lahontan	13%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	35.2%	16.4%	78.6	24,846
Lomita City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	20.5%	16.2%	86.5	20,463
Santa Cruz City of	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	26.0%	-18.0%	30.6%	21.9%	49.2	96,094
Soledad, City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	19.9%	18.6%	89.1	16,729
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	25.3%	16.9%	78.7	135,458
Downey City of	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	26.1%	14.4%	93.8	111,931
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	30.9%	18.7%	83.7	1,400,000
Chino Hills City of	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	30.5%	17.4%	130.5	77,596
Santa Maria City of	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	21.1%	17.5%	83.9	102,087
Orange City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	27.5%	14.4%	119.4	140,094
Vallecitos Water District	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	36.5%	15.1%	104.4	96,985
Sierra Madre City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	24.1%	13.2%	192.3	11,100
Hesperia Water District City of	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	34.3%	15.7%	140.4	93,226
Hemet City of	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	28.2%	23.6%	64.8	29,982
Morgan Hill City of	Central Coast	27%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	42.1%	16.3%	138.9	40,807
Santa Monica City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	24.7%	20.0%	78.1	93,640
Walnut Valley Water District	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	28.9%	14.8%	146.2	113,236
Sunnyvale City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	5%	Yes	23.7%	-18.7%	36.7%	25.6%	67.6	148,372
Helix Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	31.7%	16.5%	90.5	271,759

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings for suppliers that did not self-certify are from June 2015 through July 2016; for suppliers that self-certified, cumulative savings are calculated from June 2016 through July 2016.

**July 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled August 22, 2016

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated July 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				July 2015	July 2016		
Golden State Water Company West Orange	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	28.0%	17.4%	75.6	111,904
Mission Springs Water District	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	27.7%	24.2%	129.8	37,600
San Fernando City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	18.9%	-18.9%	26.3%	16.6%	105.3	23,645
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	19.0%	-19.0%	29.7%	15.6%	115.4	86,596
Watsonville City of	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	19.0%	-19.0%	24.8%	19.0%	80.6	65,966
Millbrae City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	19.0%	-19.0%	31.5%	20.2%	78.8	21,532
Placer County Water Agency	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	14.2%	21.9%	211.3	98,128
Garden Grove City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	23.5%	14.6%	80.5	177,020
Blythe City of	Colorado River	28%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	11.9%	13.5%	116.7	13,839
Pittsburg City of	San Francisco Bay	19%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	26.9%	18.3%	97.8	67,628
Lincoln City of	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	38.3%	17.9%	188.5	47,339
San Dieguito Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	26.0%	16.1%	129.9	37,376
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	28.7%	16.6%	122.2	115,000
Discovery Bay Community Services District	San Joaquin River	29%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	40.8%	14.8%	215.3	15,030
Glendale City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	27.4%	17.6%	91.7	193,300
Sweetwater Authority	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	27.1%	16.5%	68.3	188,886
Santa Fe Irrigation District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	47.5%	12.8%	519.9	19,839
Nevada Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	36.0%	18.5%	245.8	44,761
Newhall County Water District	South Coast	25%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	34.4%	17.9%	131.1	45,036
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	39.8%	13.7%	165.5	84,351
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	38.6%	17.1%	168.0	122,998
Fullerton City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	27.6%	17.3%	124.6	138,000
Pismo Beach City of	Central Coast	22%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	29.2%	18.3%	110.7	7,861
Martinez City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	42.2%	17.0%	59.8	35,375
Pomona City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	31.5%	21.4%	52.9	155,604
Golden State Water Company Placentia	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	34.7%	16.6%	106.6	46,543
Santa Clara City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	19.9%	16.8%	81.6	120,973
Delano City of	Tulare Lake	21%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	26.7%	20.0%	115.1	55,459
La Verne City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	35.6%	18.0%	136.0	32,228
Roseville City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	39.2%	19.6%	144.7	129,262

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings for suppliers that did not self-certify are from June 2015 through July 2016; for suppliers that self-certified, cumulative savings are calculated from June 2016 through July 2016.

**July 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled August 22, 2016

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated July 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				July 2015	July 2016		
Hollister City of	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	30.9%	17.2%	114.2	20,784
Redlands City of	South Coast	33%	0%	Yes	20.2%	-20.2%	20.5%	11.4%	214.4	85,276
Sacramento County Water Agency	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	20.2%	-20.2%	42.0%	20.9%	171.5	179,154
California Water Service Company Stockton	San Joaquin River	18%	0%	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	28.9%	18.3%	101.0	171,148
Calaveras County Water District	San Joaquin River	13%	0%	Yes	20.4%	-20.4%	38.9%	19.4%	143.1	20,698
Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	20.4%	-20.4%	25.7%	18.3%	117.5	166,545
San Juan Capistrano City of	South Coast	27%	0%	Yes	20.4%	-20.4%	27.6%	15.9%	109.2	39,189
Tracy City of	San Joaquin River	25%	0%	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	33.8%	20.8%	140.5	88,028
Hanford City of	Tulare Lake	23%	0%	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	13.4%	19.2%	137.3	59,338
Cucamonga Valley Water District	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	32.8%	19.0%	153.7	203,815
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	33.1%	18.2%	211.4	70,029
Port Hueneme City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	15.2%	13.3%	74.4	21,555
San Bernardino City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	29.8%	18.2%	142.0	199,634
Beverly Hills City of	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	20.7%	-20.7%	21.6%	21.4%	131.5	51,403
California Water Service Company Visalia	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	20.7%	-20.7%	28.3%	18.6%	175.0	141,103
Santa Paula City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	30.4%	17.4%	110.5	30,009
Shafter City of	Tulare Lake	32%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	32.6%	23.0%	159.5	19,347
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	25%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	39.1%	19.2%	145.3	32,776
Victorville Water District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	32.9%	20.9%	152.9	126,451
Healdsburg City of	North Coast	24%	0%	Yes	20.9%	-20.9%	27.9%	20.9%	122.6	11,815
Rancho California Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	20.9%	-20.9%	41.7%	22.9%	248.8	149,092
Crescenta Valley Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	21.0%	-21.0%	32.5%	18.9%	109.4	32,385
La Palma City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	27.4%	19.4%	84.3	15,896
San Bruno City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	27.0%	18.9%	51.7	43,798
Newport Beach City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	21.4%	-21.4%	28.0%	18.4%	122.9	66,550
Soquel Creek Water District	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	29.5%	-21.5%	32.7%	25.4%	63.9	40,410
Indian Wells Valley Water District	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	27.3%	15.4%	210.0	31,120
Oildale Mutual Water Company	Tulare Lake	31%	0%	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	32.3%	22.2%	235.7	34,133
Valley of the Moon Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	38.1%	32.6%	90.1	23,782
Buena Park City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	27.9%	20.6%	91.4	83,347

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings for suppliers that did not self-certify are from June 2015 through July 2016; for suppliers that self-certified, cumulative savings are calculated from June 2016 through July 2016.

**July 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled August 22, 2016

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated July 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				July 2015	July 2016		
Marina Coast Water District	Central Coast	11%	<b>11%</b>	No	32.6%	-21.6%	31.1%	34.6%	58.9	34,235
Scotts Valley Water District	Central Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	27.7%	20.9%	85.2	10,309
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	San Francisco Bay	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	31.6%	22.9%	63.6	22,258
Upland City of	South Coast	34%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.7%	-21.7%	35.7%	21.1%	188.8	76,469
Clovis City of	Tulare Lake	33%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.7%	-21.7%	36.3%	19.3%	237.5	111,927
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	South Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.7%	-21.7%	33.5%	20.9%	124.4	209,035
Pico Water District	South Coast	18%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.8%	-21.8%	22.9%	24.4%	92.8	25,284
Tustin City of	South Coast	21%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.8%	-21.8%	31.9%	18.7%	137.6	67,700
Sweetwater Springs Water District	North Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	28.9%	19.7%	81.7	7,799
Morro Bay City of	Central Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	23.1%	23.9%	66.6	10,284
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	South Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.0%	-22.0%	39.7%	20.5%	94.1	88,995
East Niles Community Service District	Tulare Lake	33%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.1%	-22.1%	34.6%	21.5%	223.7	31,772
Davis City of	Sacramento River	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	31.8%	20.9%	123.7	68,320
Petaluma City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.3%	-22.3%	29.6%	22.3%	87.6	61,955
Yreka, City of	North Coast	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.3%	-22.3%	24.4%	22.3%	205.1	7,840
Kerman, City of	Tulare Lake	29%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.3%	-22.3%	30.8%	20.5%	187.9	14,359
Alco Water Service	Central Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	21.1%	20.4%	103.0	29,459
Fair Oaks Water District	Sacramento River	33%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.5%	-22.5%	40.2%	22.8%	292.6	36,226
Fountain Valley City of	South Coast	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.5%	-22.5%	29.9%	20.9%	97.1	59,227
Mountain House Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	35.6%	22.4%	138.3	15,465
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	South Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	30.5%	14.7%	124.9	16,126
Madera City of	San Joaquin River	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	33.1%	22.1%	111.2	65,474
Lamont Public Utility District	Tulare Lake	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	30.8%	22.4%	155.8	20,009
Golden State Water Company Cordova	Sacramento River	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	39.4%	21.5%	216.1	43,767
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	South Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	33.6%	21.7%	141.3	90,687
Park Water Company	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	28.1%	25.5%	49.9	125,784
Ramona Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	39.3%	20.2%	157.0	36,564
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	Central Coast	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.9%	-22.9%	38.0%	23.5%	140.2	31,491
Monte Vista Water District	South Coast	22%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	22.9%	-22.9%	38.5%	25.0%	106.7	55,995
Wasco City of	Tulare Lake	32%	<b>17%</b>	Yes	40.0%	-23.0%	28.9%	38.3%	108.1	26,159

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings for suppliers that did not self-certify are from June 2015 through July 2016; for suppliers that self-certified, cumulative savings are calculated from June 2016 through July 2016.

**July 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled August 22, 2016

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated July 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				July 2015	July 2016		
Rosamond Community Service District	South Lahontan	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	43.5%	28.5%	143.4	17,700
Sunny Slope Water Company	South Coast	22%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	29.8%	22.6%	96.7	30,700
Elk Grove Water Service	Sacramento River	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	40.5%	21.7%	146.4	44,289
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	South Coast	22%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	29.8%	21.2%	102.8	178,500
Banning City of	Colorado River	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	39.3%	22.0%	157.3	30,325
Diablo Water District	San Joaquin River	23%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	34.6%	23.4%	118.0	40,793
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	South Coast	34%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.2%	-23.2%	34.6%	26.3%	176.4	9,600
San Bernardino County Service Area 70J	South Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	36.8%	25.3%	119.3	11,577
Riverbank City of	San Joaquin River	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	21.3%	20.8%	171.1	23,734
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	Sacramento River	29%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	40.5%	21.9%	230.6	9,018
Stockton City of	San Joaquin River	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	36.3%	23.0%	141.6	177,808
Lakeside Water District	South Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	36.0%	21.1%	96.9	35,500
Anderson, City of	Sacramento River	33%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	30.2%	26.1%	174.3	11,147
Mid-Peninsula Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	32.3%	23.1%	96.9	26,924
Trabuco Canyon Water District	South Coast	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	40.1%	21.2%	104.6	13,175
Coalinga City of	Tulare Lake	30%	<b>5%</b>	Yes	28.4%	-23.4%	45.8%	38.3%	160.7	16,529
Amador Water Agency	San Joaquin River	21%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	41.6%	29.9%	103.3	23,347
Indio City of	Colorado River	27%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	23.7%	20.9%	165.3	88,411
Santa Barbara City of	Central Coast	12%	<b>12%</b>	No	35.5%	-23.5%	36.3%	38.0%	67.9	93,426
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	24.1%	24.6%	42.0	61,782
Tuolumne Utilities District	San Joaquin River	21%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	41.8%	25.1%	84.6	30,809
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	South Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	31.3%	22.3%	145.6	101,777
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	South Coast	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.8%	-23.8%	34.7%	22.6%	154.7	38,703
Paso Robles City of	Central Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.8%	-23.8%	37.5%	26.0%	125.1	30,522
Redding City of	Sacramento River	33%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.8%	-23.8%	32.6%	22.4%	254.0	91,207
West Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.8%	-23.8%	42.4%	24.0%	168.8	50,836
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	South Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.9%	-23.9%	38.4%	22.1%	131.8	54,872
Riverside Highland Water Company	South Coast	33%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.9%	-23.9%	39.7%	22.3%	209.4	15,481
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	San Francisco Bay	36%	<b>2%</b>	Yes	26.0%	-24.0%	38.6%	24.6%	190.6	68,829
Thousand Oaks City of	South Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.1%	-24.1%	34.9%	22.4%	133.8	51,609

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings for suppliers that did not self-certify are from June 2015 through July 2016; for suppliers that self-certified, cumulative savings are calculated from June 2016 through July 2016.

**July 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled August 22, 2016

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated July 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				July 2015	July 2016		
California Water Service Company Marysville	Sacramento River	21%	0%	Yes	24.1%	-24.1%	32.8%	23.7%	129.0	12,555
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	24.2%	-24.2%	30.2%	24.8%	83.6	29,510
California-American Water Ventura District	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	24.3%	-24.3%	30.8%	24.7%	145.8	63,423
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	24.3%	-24.3%	40.3%	21.9%	110.8	43,351
Grover Beach City of	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	32.3%	-24.3%	36.2%	28.7%	80.5	13,156
Santa Margarita Water District	South Coast	23%	0%	Yes	24.4%	-24.4%	35.1%	26.6%	98.5	158,806
Valencia Water Company	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	24.4%	-24.4%	40.4%	22.2%	154.4	97,300
Perris, City of	South Coast	24%	4%	Yes	28.5%	-24.5%	32.7%	29.1%	89.1	9,000
Carmichael Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	24.5%	-24.5%	38.9%	24.0%	280.2	37,897
Manteca City of	San Joaquin River	27%	0%	Yes	24.5%	-24.5%	30.8%	20.5%	157.9	76,797
Sacramento Suburban Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	24.5%	-24.5%	42.7%	30.1%	162.5	176,106
Linda County Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	24.6%	-24.6%	42.0%	24.8%	194.9	18,808
Hayward City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	24.7%	-24.7%	28.7%	31.2%	30.1	158,985
Santa Fe Springs City of	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	25.0%	-25.0%	28.6%	27.4%	73.6	18,199
Arcadia City of	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	25.0%	-25.0%	30.9%	22.9%	160.6	57,639
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	25.1%	-25.1%	39.7%	25.9%	204.6	21,441
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	San Francisco Bay	32%	0%	Yes	25.1%	-25.1%	38.7%	24.1%	150.0	69,874
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	25.2%	-25.2%	41.8%	28.1%	281.0	11,013
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	San Francisco Bay	17%	0%	Yes	25.3%	-25.3%	42.1%	25.9%	132.4	28,782
Burbank City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	25.4%	-25.4%	28.9%	23.2%	101.1	105,110
El Toro Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	25.4%	-25.4%	28.9%	24.3%	111.9	48,628
California Water Service Company Salinas District	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	25.5%	-25.5%	28.9%	25.4%	69.0	122,030
Citrus Heights Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	25.5%	-25.5%	42.4%	25.0%	237.0	65,093
Gilroy City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	25.5%	-25.5%	35.2%	23.3%	104.1	55,398
Sunnyslope County Water District	Central Coast	26%	0%	Yes	25.6%	-25.6%	42.2%	27.7%	122.3	19,837
Fresno City of	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	25.6%	-25.6%	31.4%	22.4%	190.1	520,453
Antioch City of	San Joaquin River	27%	0%	Yes	25.6%	-25.6%	37.5%	25.4%	100.1	112,968
San Clemente City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	25.7%	-25.7%	30.7%	22.8%	95.6	51,385
Vacaville City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	26.1%	-26.1%	38.6%	24.9%	157.0	90,194
Coachella Valley Water District	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	26.1%	-26.1%	40.6%	28.6%	223.0	318,217

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings for suppliers that did not self-certify are from June 2015 through July 2016; for suppliers that self-certified, cumulative savings are calculated from June 2016 through July 2016.

**July 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled August 22, 2016

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated July 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				July 2015	July 2016		
San Jose Water Company	San Francisco Bay	20%	<b>2%</b>	Yes	28.2%	-26.2%	38.4%	28.5%	87.3	990,000
South Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.3%	-26.3%	32.0%	24.8%	97.8	26,174
Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.5%	-26.5%	35.0%	25.1%	156.5	485,683
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	South Coast	22%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.6%	-26.6%	31.6%	24.5%	95.8	26,827
Orange Vale Water Company	Sacramento River	33%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.7%	-26.7%	42.6%	26.6%	288.5	16,747
Groveland Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.7%	-26.7%	31.7%	25.5%	148.7	3,400
Alameda County Water District	San Francisco Bay	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.7%	-26.7%	36.2%	24.8%	86.2	349,000
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	Tulare Lake	29%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.7%	-26.7%	38.9%	31.8%	194.2	279,393
South Coast Water District	South Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.0%	-27.0%	33.0%	24.6%	106.4	35,004
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	South Lahontan	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.0%	-27.0%	36.3%	26.7%	154.8	14,104
Carpinteria Valley Water District	Central Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.1%	-27.1%	37.6%	32.9%	93.9	14,616
Quartz Hill Water District	South Lahontan	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.1%	-27.1%	43.6%	16.3%	309.6	20,352
California Water Service Company Westlake	South Coast	34%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.3%	-27.3%	38.2%	27.0%	273.9	19,456
San Jose City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.4%	-27.4%	35.5%	29.3%	103.3	113,700
Desert Water Agency	Colorado River	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.5%	-27.5%	29.8%	21.8%	209.9	105,680
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.5%	-27.5%	33.2%	20.4%	93.8	15,888
Golden State Water Company Barstow	South Lahontan	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.6%	-27.6%	36.7%	29.6%	107.7	31,209
Kingsburg, City of	Tulare Lake	34%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.6%	-27.6%	38.6%	24.1%	310.0	11,685
Poway City of	South Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.7%	-27.7%	43.3%	23.5%	171.1	48,774
Mountain View City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.7%	-27.7%	30.7%	28.3%	73.8	76,448
East Valley Water District	South Coast	22%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	28.3%	-28.3%	35.2%	25.3%	153.6	104,457
Galt City of	Sacramento River	29%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	28.3%	-28.3%	38.0%	26.5%	202.0	24,289
Oakdale City of	San Joaquin River	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	28.3%	-28.3%	43.3%	24.2%	222.5	21,442
Corcoran, City of	Tulare Lake	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	28.3%	-28.3%	35.8%	28.9%	225.1	24,154
Alhambra City of	South Coast	22%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	28.4%	-28.4%	28.5%	30.4%	85.5	85,068
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	Sacramento River	17%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	28.5%	-28.5%	44.9%	28.5%	131.4	203,851
American Canyon, City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	28.6%	-28.6%	26.6%	29.8%	78.2	20,315
California Water Service Company Oroville	Sacramento River	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	28.7%	-28.7%	32.1%	28.6%	135.5	10,858
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	Central Coast	31%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	28.8%	-28.8%	41.8%	28.8%	171.2	31,872
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	San Francisco Bay	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	28.9%	-28.9%	36.1%	31.4%	79.6	100,523

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings for suppliers that did not self-certify are from June 2015 through July 2016; for suppliers that self-certified, cumulative savings are calculated from June 2016 through July 2016.

**July 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled August 22, 2016

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated July 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				July 2015	July 2016		
Burlingame City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	29.0%	-29.0%	34.6%	26.6%	64.4	31,109
Lee Lake Water District	South Coast	31%	0%	Yes	29.1%	-29.1%	30.4%	26.8%	141.4	15,543
California Water Service Company King City	Central Coast	9%	0%	Yes	29.1%	-29.1%	27.0%	35.4%	45.3	15,087
Woodland City of	Sacramento River	20%	0%	Yes	29.4%	-29.4%	44.8%	32.0%	119.4	57,223
Brentwood City of	San Joaquin River	28%	0%	Yes	29.5%	-29.5%	44.6%	28.6%	143.8	58,784
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	29.5%	-29.5%	35.9%	24.5%	157.4	12,200
Ripon City of	San Joaquin River	33%	0%	Yes	29.5%	-29.5%	30.8%	29.0%	234.5	14,915
San Jacinto City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	29.8%	-29.8%	39.0%	24.7%	116.1	17,961
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	29.9%	-29.9%	36.4%	27.7%	129.0	62,602
East Orange County Water District	South Coast	29%	0%	Yes	30.1%	-30.1%	40.3%	25.9%	244.2	3,257
Yorba Linda Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	30.2%	-30.2%	43.4%	27.3%	169.1	78,539
Golden State Water Company Claremont	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	30.2%	-30.2%	48.0%	27.8%	177.8	37,160
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	30.4%	-30.4%	36.6%	33.6%	127.9	29,955
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	30.5%	-30.5%	36.7%	30.6%	118.4	10,020
Escondido City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	30.6%	-30.6%	40.1%	27.7%	92.4	137,941
California Water Service Company Livermore	San Francisco Bay	21%	0%	Yes	30.8%	-30.8%	46.3%	28.8%	133.5	58,918
Pleasanton City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	30.9%	-30.9%	46.8%	29.0%	136.0	74,850
Hillsborough Town of	San Francisco Bay	36%	0%	Yes	31.1%	-31.1%	42.6%	28.5%	295.9	10,850
Westborough Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	31.6%	-31.6%	48.0%	39.5%	37.5	14,050
Contra Costa Water District	San Francisco Bay	25%	0%	Yes	31.9%	-31.9%	39.7%	26.7%	119.8	199,680
Brawley City of	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	32.0%	-32.0%	37.7%	29.9%	162.3	27,743
Valley Center Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	32.0%	-32.0%	48.1%	22.3%	240.1	25,608
Dinuba City of	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	32.5%	-32.5%	38.9%	31.7%	137.3	24,657
Dublin San Ramon Services District	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	32.5%	-32.5%	44.6%	32.5%	66.8	82,287
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	32.7%	-32.7%	39.7%	33.8%	95.5	3,206
California Water Service Company Chico District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	33.3%	-33.3%	44.3%	32.9%	174.7	104,375
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	33.4%	-33.4%	39.6%	32.1%	73.1	271,817
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	33.6%	-33.6%	37.1%	37.0%	270.8	8,948
California Water Service Company Willows	Sacramento River	26%	0%	Yes	33.8%	-33.8%	38.0%	33.1%	147.3	7,114
North Coast County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	36.8%	-36.8%	39.3%	44.1%	47.3	39,000

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings for suppliers that did not self-certify are from June 2015 through July 2016; for suppliers that self-certified, cumulative savings are calculated from June 2016 through July 2016.

**July 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled August 22, 2016

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated July 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				July 2015	July 2016		
Merced City of	San Joaquin River	34%	0%	Yes	37.0%	-37.0%	44.3%	37.1%	209.7	83,400
Paradise Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	37.5%	-37.5%	46.8%	36.6%	190.6	26,032
Benicia City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	38.1%	-38.1%	36.8%	37.1%	86.7	28,086
California Water Service Company Selma	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	38.6%	-38.6%	43.2%	38.8%	166.1	25,177
Patterson City of	San Joaquin River	25%	0%	Yes	38.8%	-38.8%	36.8%	44.8%	108.7	21,094
Del Oro Water Company	Sacramento River	21%	0%	Yes	40.8%	-40.8%	35.8%	35.9%	82.1	9,546
Menlo Park City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	45.8%	-45.8%	46.3%	50.3%	83.5	16,066
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	45.9%	-45.9%	45.9%	38.5%	198.3	3,401

**Reclassified as small and removed from list of urban water suppliers:**

Golden State Water Company Cowan Heights                      Winton Water & Sanitary District

**July 2016 Non-filers**

The following suppliers did not submit July 2016 data by August 22, 2016:

Calexico City of	Lynwood City of	South Feather Water and Power Agency
Cambria Community Services District	Milpitas City of	Ukiah City of
Compton City of	Porterville City of	
Lemoore City of	Rainbow Municipal Water District	

**June 2016 Non-filers**

The following suppliers did not submit June 2016 data by August 22, 2016:

Cambria Community Services District	South Feather Water and Power Agency
-------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings for suppliers that did not self-certify are from June 2015 through July 2016; for suppliers that self-certified, cumulative savings are calculated from June 2016 through July 2016.