

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
California City City of	South Lahontan	28%	28%	No	11.2%	16.8% C	5.0%	31.9%	65.0	14,120
Livingston City of	San Joaquin River	29%	29%	No	13.6%	15.4% A	15.1%	13.0%	65.4	13,849
Sanger City of	Tulare Lake	26%	26%	No	16.3%	9.7%	-6.3%	1.3%	69.3	25,664
Yucaipa Valley Water District	South Coast	34%	20%	Yes	10.6%	9.4%	-11.4%	12.6%	85.6	45,211
Adelanto City of	South Lahontan	16%	16%	No	8.8%	7.2% C	4.0%	6.9%	70.0	27,173
Red Bluff City of	Sacramento River	33%	33%	No	26.3%	6.7%	22.1%	20.6%	68.8	14,076
Bakman Water Company	Tulare Lake	34%	34%	No	29.5%	4.5%	2.9%	15.5%	80.1	16,756
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	South Coast	20%	20%	Yes	16.8%	3.2%	16.8%	21.8%	63.5	46,300
Fillmore City of	South Coast	26%	26%	No	23.9%	2.1%	14.8%	36.6%	67.1	15,222
Los Banos, City of	San Joaquin River	24%	24%	No	22.2%	1.8%	15.0%	16.1%	69.7	37,168
Exeter City of	Tulare Lake	34%	21%	Yes	19.3%	1.7%	5.6%	14.7%	60.3	10,572
Tehachapi, City of	Tulare Lake	25%	25%	No	24.1%	0.9%	0.1%	5.6%	42.0	8,923
San Lorenzo Valley Water District	Central Coast	12%	18%	Yes	17.5%	0.5%	17.6%	16.1%	72.4	19,000
Atwater City of	San Joaquin River	33%	33%	No	33.3%	-0.3%	4.0%	17.8%	79.0	29,500
Porterville City of	Tulare Lake	26%	26%	No	27.0%	-1.0%	17.2%	20.3%	68.8	61,946
Pico Rivera City of	South Coast	16%	16%	No	17.0%	-1.0%	9.4%	22.5%	52.0	39,002
Riverbank City of	San Joaquin River	30%	0%	Yes	2.6%	-2.6%	9.3%	19.5%	75.1	23,734
Arcata City of	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	2.7%	-2.7%	5.7%	7.7%	47.8	18,155
City of Newman Water Department	San Joaquin River	21%	21%	No	23.7%	-2.7%	18.6%	24.6%	61.5	10,668
Monterey Park City of	South Coast	20%	14%	Yes	16.7%	-2.7%	11.2%	21.5%	61.3	62,183
Paramount City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	2.8%	-2.8%	0.1%	-5.4%	53.8	56,400
Glendora City of	South Coast	34%	20%	Yes	22.9%	-2.9%	14.6%	41.5%	68.7	51,463
La Palma City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	3.3%	-3.3%	12.9%	-66.3%	63.3	15,896
Hi-Desert Water District	Colorado River	13%	0%	Yes	3.5%	-3.5%	0.6%	10.3%	62.5	24,926
Orchard Dale Water District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	4.3%	-4.3%	3.9%	17.2%	48.7	25,000
Modesto, City of	San Joaquin River	33%	20%	Yes	24.5%	-4.5%	22.2%	27.8%	73.5	223,432
Turlock City of	San Joaquin River	29%	16%	Yes	20.8%	-4.8%	10.2%	27.4%	61.7	72,050

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
Loma Linda City of	South Coast	30%	11%	Yes	16.3%	-5.3%	-0.7%	32.9%	72.2	23,751
Fortuna City of	North Coast	20%	0%	Yes	5.5%	-5.5%	-10.9%	3.9%	66.2	12,032
Imperial, City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	5.6%	-5.6%	-0.2%	7.7%	77.6	18,022
El Monte City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	6.1%	-6.1%	-2.9%	11.0%	40.2	22,968
Covina City of	South Coast	26%	12%	Yes	18.3%	-6.3%	14.4%	31.3%	64.4	33,300
Brea City of	South Coast	24%	15%	Yes	21.6%	-6.6%	-3.2%	38.3%	71.6	43,328
Monrovia City of	South Coast	26%	12%	Yes	18.9%	-6.9%	6.8%	30.9%	71.5	37,531
Lathrop, City of	San Joaquin River	18%	18%	No	25.2%	-7.2%	17.5%	18.7%	56.9	20,353
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	7.2%	-7.2% <i>A</i>	70.1%	64.7%	49.8	602
San Buenaventura City of	South Coast	16%	16%	No	23.2%	-7.2%	15.8%	38.1%	51.5	113,478
Perris, City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	7.2%	-7.2%	-7.3%	-40.6%	70.7	9,000
Twentynine Palms Water District	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	7.3%	-7.3%	8.4%	12.1%	77.0	18,795
Bakersfield City of	Tulare Lake	31%	9%	Yes	17.0%	-8.0%	17.7%	25.7%	78.9	147,314
Humboldt Community Service District	North Coast	12%	0%	Yes	8.0%	-8.0%	0.5%	8.7%	47.2	22,842
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	8.2%	-8.2%	3.5%	10.0%	45.9	65,492
Valley County Water District	South Coast	14%	10%	Yes	18.3%	-8.3%	12.2%	25.0%	55.7	55,703
Nipomo Community Services District	Central Coast	28%	23%	Yes	31.3%	-8.3%	18.5%	40.4%	58.7	13,366
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	8.3%	-8.3%	4.0%	4.7%	50.4	25,853
Yuba City City of	Sacramento River	28%	15%	Yes	23.5%	-8.5%	16.9%	24.5%	63.9	73,202
McKinleyville Community Service District	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	8.6%	-8.6%	10.4%	7.7%	49.4	16,900
San Luis Obispo City of	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	20.8%	-8.8%	12.1%	12.8%	45.6	46,117
Arroyo Grande City of	Central Coast	28%	28%	No	36.9%	-8.9%	35.6%	42.6%	54.5	17,636
Crescent City City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	9.4%	-9.4%	17.9%	8.6%	59.7	18,473
Big Bear City Community Services District	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	9.4%	-9.4%	12.6%	12.7%	46.9	12,411
Norwalk City of	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	9.6%	-9.6%	5.5%	14.9%	73.6	18,361
Estero Municipal Improvement District	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	9.7%	-9.7%	21.9%	26.5%	55.6	37,518
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	0%	Yes	9.9%	-9.9%	16.4%	11.6%	33.7	33,124

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
Mammoth Community Water District	South Lahontan	17%	17%	No	26.9%	-9.9%	16.7%	18.7%	47.4	16,739
El Centro City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	10.0%	-10.0%	7.2%	13.3%	72.4	45,170
South Gate City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	10.1%	-10.1%	7.0%	14.7%	48.7	88,654
Folsom City of	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	10.5%	-10.5%	-5.7%	6.1%	95.1	66,977
Daly City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	4%	Yes	14.6%	-10.6%	10.5%	26.1%	50.0	109,139
Ceres City of	San Joaquin River	26%	13%	Yes	23.6%	-10.6%	18.7%	22.1%	52.1	47,639
Palmdale Water District	South Lahontan	28%	10%	Yes	20.6%	-10.6%	12.7%	26.2%	258.5	26,619
Inglewood City of	South Coast	11%	5%	Yes	15.6%	-10.6%	9.2%	19.4%	59.5	86,418
Lompoc City of	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	22.9%	-10.9%	15.0%	19.0%	50.0	41,541
Vista Irrigation District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	11.4%	-11.4%	-12.4%	17.4%	56.5	129,353
Eureka City of	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	11.8%	-11.8%	10.0%	11.2%	53.8	27,052
Crestline Village Water District	South Lahontan	8%	0%	Yes	11.9%	-11.9%	1.9%	-3.0%	46.0	7,749
California-American Water Company San Diego District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	11.9%	-11.9%	13.6%	17.5%	56.4	94,043
Golden State Water Company Southwest	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	12.0%	-12.0%	6.1%	15.4%	52.7	271,677
Montecito Water District	Central Coast	32%	36%	Yes	48.1%	-12.1%	41.4%	68.3%	57.4	13,500
Hesperia Water District City of	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	12.1%	-12.1%	-3.3%	9.3%	57.4	93,226
Hawthorne City of	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	12.2%	-12.2%	-1.8%	10.8%	57.7	44,644
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	12.4%	-12.4%	4.1%	17.5%	47.5	44,466
Greenfield, City of	Central Coast	14%	5%	Yes	17.6%	-12.6%	20.7%	20.7%	47.0	17,898
West Kern Water District	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	12.6%	-12.6% <i>A</i>	17.2%	31.7%	50.8	20,591
North Tahoe Public Utility District	North Lahontan	25%	0%	Yes	12.8%	-12.8%	13.2%	0.2%	104.4	7,500
Huntington Park City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	12.9%	-12.9%	3.4%	11.9%	35.3	64,219
East Palo Alto, City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	8%	No	21.0%	-13.0%	-14.2%	-17.6%	39.7	29,143
Azusa City of	South Coast	18%	3%	Yes	16.1%	-13.1%	9.8%	16.6%	61.0	106,400
Pinedale County Water District	Tulare Lake	14%	14%	No	27.2%	-13.2%	26.7%	20.1%	62.7	16,735
El Segundo City of	South Coast	20%	2%	Yes	15.2%	-13.2%	-2.6%	23.6%	66.7	16,654
California Water Service Company Dominguez	South Coast	15%	0%	Yes	13.3%	-13.3%	0.6%	6.5%	63.4	142,919
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	13.3%	-13.3%	6.8%	14.2%	48.5	57,934

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
Fairfield City of	Sacramento River	19%	0%	Yes	13.3%	-13.3%	11.3%	12.5%	57.7	108,392
Rowland Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	13.3%	-13.3%	-7.9%	1.3%	65.6	62,106
Lee Lake Water District	South Coast	31%	0%	Yes	13.3%	-13.3%	-3.9%	34.7%	55.7	16,649
Yreka, City of	North Coast	30%	0%	Yes	13.5%	-13.5%	30.7%	19.2%	58.9	7,840
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	South Coast	10%	0%	Yes	13.5%	-13.5%	10.6%	14.6%	53.1	27,656
Mountain House Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	13.7%	-13.7%	20.9%	8.3%	62.5	16,131
Lynwood City of	South Coast	10%	0%	Yes	13.8%	-13.8%	9.4%	-5.7%	32.2	65,965
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	13.8%	-13.8%	13.4%	15.6%	42.0	859,276
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	13.9%	-13.9%	15.2%	27.3%	48.0	20,913
Mesa Water District	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	13.9%	-13.9%	24.8%	23.2%	68.7	110,000
Bella Vista Water District	Sacramento River	33%	33%	No	47.0%	-14.0%	31.9%	34.5%	39.3	18,592
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	2.8%	43.5%	115.4	22,250
North Marin Water District	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	14.1%	-14.1%	27.4%	10.0%	63.6	61,299
Calaveras County Water District	San Joaquin River	13%	0%	Yes	14.2%	-14.2%	7.2%	0.0%	59.2	20,698
Golden State Water Company Artesia	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	14.3%	-14.3%	12.0%	22.5%	56.6	47,978
Shasta Lake City of	Sacramento River	25%	10%	Yes	24.4%	-14.4%	48.5%	52.0%	66.1	10,293
San Diego City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	14.4%	-14.4%	0.9%	17.0%	43.8	1,339,958
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	South Lahontan	13%	0%	Yes	14.6%	-14.6%	4.4%	-3.5%	25.8	27,759
Mission Springs Water District	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	14.6%	-14.6%	18.0%	20.5%	91.1	37,600
Vallejo City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	14.7%	-14.7%	11.3%	11.2%	60.7	124,000
Long Beach City of	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	14.7%	-14.7%	7.7%	19.7%	48.5	488,397
Seal Beach City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	4.3%	30.1%	34.2	25,561
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	15.1%	-15.1%	-11.4%	25.5%	79.2	43,111
Wasco City of	Tulare Lake	32%	17%	Yes	32.1%	-15.1%	26.8%	35.1%	42.1	26,159
Hollister City of	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	15.5%	-15.5%	11.2%	19.7%	59.0	21,363
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	25%	0%	Yes	15.5%	-15.5%	17.4%	17.4%	60.5	32,776
Chino City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	15.5%	-15.5%	-3.5%	20.2%	59.8	74,639
Oxnard City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	15.5%	-15.5%	11.6%	23.8%	46.2	196,720

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
Corona City of	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	15.6%	-15.6%	-4.8%	24.6%	64.6	168,070
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	15.6%	-15.6%	8.5%	19.4%	36.4	158,652
Golden State Water Company Culver City	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	15.6%	-15.6%	8.9%	23.3%	53.9	36,215
Lodi City of Public Works Department	San Joaquin River	30%	6%	Yes	21.8%	-15.8%	5.6%	28.0%	59.3	63,219
Golden State Water Company Placentia	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	15.8%	-15.8%	10.4%	31.9%	59.4	46,543
Otay Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	15.9%	-15.9%	1.0%	22.1%	53.7	220,200
Sunnyvale City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	5%	Yes	21.0%	-16.0%	24.7%	27.2%	52.6	147,055
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	South Coast	27%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	0.3%	28.4%	62.9	152,476
Vaughn Water Company	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	16.1%	-16.1%	18.3%	35.0%	110.5	31,792
Delano City of	Tulare Lake	21%	0%	Yes	16.2%	-16.2%	10.1%	15.7%	50.0	56,623
Jurupa Community Service District	South Coast	23%	0%	Yes	16.2%	-16.2%	-12.2%	23.8%	68.2	118,731
Helix Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	16.2%	-16.2%	4.0%	19.5%	53.6	273,142
Goleta Water District	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	28.3%	-16.3%	22.8%	37.0%	43.6	86,946
Shafter City of	Tulare Lake	32%	0%	Yes	16.4%	-16.4%	11.2%	21.3%	90.4	19,347
California Water Service Company Marysville	Sacramento River	21%	0%	Yes	16.4%	-16.4%	9.6%	10.2%	55.4	12,202
Reedley City of	Tulare Lake	22%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	9.2%	13.8%	37.9	24,194
Sweetwater Authority	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	5.4%	17.0%	48.8	188,886
Sweetwater Springs Water District	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	12.9%	-1.9%	69.6	7,815
Santa Ana City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	10.3%	21.1%	47.9	332,005
California-American Water Company Monterey District	Central Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.6%	-16.6%	13.4%	18.2%	48.5	91,884
La Habra City of Public Works	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	2.1%	27.4%	62.3	63,118
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	8.3%	26.3%	50.4	4,116,778
Lomita City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	2.6%	21.4%	63.2	20,463
Ontario City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	7.1%	24.7%	60.2	169,869
Pittsburg City of	San Francisco Bay	19%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	9.6%	12.3%	55.6	67,628
Eastern Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	-1.5%	19.3%	57.7	561,772
Montebello Land and Water Company	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	10.3%	18.5%	55.4	32,219

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
San Bernardino County Service Area 70J	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	9.8%	18.9%	20.2	11,722
Marin Municipal Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	15.6%	21.3%	55.3	189,900
Blythe City of	Colorado River	28%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	6.2%	9.2%	81.0	13,839
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	-4.2%	37.1%	55.9	96,139
Hanford City of	Tulare Lake	23%	0%	Yes	17.2%	-17.2%	10.1%	17.6%	54.6	59,338
Tulare, City of	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	17.2%	-17.2%	4.9%	14.6%	63.4	63,837
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.2%	-17.2%	12.0%	30.1%	65.8	86,596
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	17.2%	-17.2%	12.2%	22.2%	73.8	208,476
Santa Cruz City of	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	25.3%	-17.3%	21.1%	21.7%	35.9	94,887
Westminster City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	17.3%	-17.3%	10.7%	20.6%	60.6	96,046
Vallecitos Water District	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	17.4%	-17.4%	-8.3%	34.4%	57.4	98,336
Riverside City of	South Coast	25%	0%	Yes	17.4%	-17.4%	0.8%	31.5%	57.0	308,645
Hemet City of	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	17.4%	-17.4%	5.0%	18.1%	55.5	29,982
Martinez City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	17.4%	-17.4%	20.2%	14.3%	28.6	35,375
Huntington Beach City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	17.5%	-17.5%	5.3%	25.4%	65.3	196,041
Tracy City of	San Joaquin River	25%	0%	Yes	17.5%	-17.5%	4.7%	1.5%	66.3	88,028
Lamont Public Utility District	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	17.6%	-17.6%	15.4%	14.6%	65.7	19,057
Placer County Water Agency	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	16.8%	22.1%	58.5	101,530
Golden State Water Company West Orange	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	10.6%	25.3%	60.4	111,904
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	3.7%	-0.2%	94.3	9,018
Anaheim City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	14.9%	26.0%	53.8	360,972
Redwood City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	2.6%	9.5%	52.3	87,023
California Water Service Company Visalia	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	22.1%	19.8%	56.9	142,066
Soledad, City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	19.8%	32.7%	68.4	16,729
Oceanside City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	-1.1%	33.4%	48.9	175,948
Valley Water Company	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	-16.1%	38.7%	91.2	9,900
Nevada Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	24.7%	19.4%	72.4	44,761

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
California Water Service Company Stockton	San Joaquin River	18%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	10.3%	12.4%	45.3	171,866
Clovis City of	Tulare Lake	33%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	18.3%	21.3%	63.6	111,927
Irvine Ranch Water District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	0.0%	27.6%	50.7	399,411
Cerritos City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	12.9%	8.0%	76.4	49,041
Watsonville City of	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	12.3%	13.3%	47.3	65,966
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	12.8%	15.3%	52.6	1,410,000
Sierra Madre City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	19.4%	41.8%	92.7	11,100
Laguna Beach County Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	2.4%	23.3%	67.7	19,121
Amador Water Agency	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	14.7%	11.3%	39.0	23,347
Coastside County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	15.9%	37.8%	58.2	16,740
Pico Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	5.3%	24.5%	61.7	25,284
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	South Coast	19%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	12.1%	24.0%	62.3	96,041
San Dieguito Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	2.0%	26.0%	64.5	37,585
Port Hueneme City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	8.9%	24.5%	46.8	21,555
Whittier City of	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	9.8%	25.4%	59.0	49,954
Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	2.3%	25.9%	62.8	166,545
Golden State Water Company Cordova	Sacramento River	32%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	14.0%	18.2%	81.4	43,767
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	-10.5%	40.2%	61.2	84,351
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	13.0%	14.3%	48.8	22,258
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	3.0%	13.2%	82.7	4,778
Joshua Basin Water District	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	10.3%	13.5%	83.6	9,514
Rohnert Park City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	14.0%	11.1%	54.2	43,398
Windsor, Town of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	15.2%	16.2%	48.5	27,183
Trabuco Canyon Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	0.5%	24.6%	71.8	13,175
Hayward City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	29.7%	31.0%	34.9	158,985
Coachella Valley Water District	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	15.9%	21.3%	144.8	286,861
Moulton Niguel Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	14.6%	35.6%	63.8	172,068
La Verne City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	1.9%	34.2%	75.6	32,228

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
Rosamond Community Service District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	18.9%	-18.9%	41.4%	27.7%	73.1	17,700
Coalinga City of	Tulare Lake	30%	5%	Yes	24.0%	-19.0%	29.2%	34.2%	75.6	16,529
Downey City of	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	19.0%	-19.0%	10.7%	22.8%	61.8	111,931
Santa Clara City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	15.1%	18.4%	49.1	123,752
Garden Grove City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	6.1%	23.4%	75.8	177,020
Golden State Water Company Barstow	South Lahontan	20%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	7.9%	16.1%	65.5	31,209
Lakeside Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	-11.6%	15.9%	51.7	35,500
Manhattan Beach City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	11.8%	28.1%	60.6	35,996
Lakewood City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	8.7%	24.1%	52.2	59,081
San Fernando City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	10.0%	24.8%	61.7	24,560
Sonoma City of	San Francisco Bay	28%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	24.0%	1.2%	66.8	11,579
Coachella City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	30.4%	31.5%	67.6	45,407
Millbrae City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	20.6%	17.2%	48.9	21,532
Fullerton City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	6.5%	29.2%	74.6	138,000
Sacramento County Water Agency	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	21.3%	24.1%	67.5	180,791
El Dorado Irrigation District	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	23.8%	22.1%	71.9	110,350
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	7.8%	40.7%	70.4	122,998
Crescenta Valley Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	7.4%	25.6%	64.1	32,710
Linda County Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	20.1%	15.5%	82.3	18,808
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	South Coast	35%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	7.6%	41.7%	61.2	75,384
Stockton City of	San Joaquin River	26%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	17.3%	27.2%	53.4	177,808
San Gabriel County Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	13.1%	25.7%	60.9	45,000
Tuolumne Utilities District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	10.8%	-2.9%	55.0	30,909
Victorville Water District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	19.3%	26.7%	62.9	121,527
San Bernardino City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	13.3%	23.7%	69.6	202,265
Milpitas City of	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	22.3%	15.5%	53.8	70,817
San Juan Capistrano City of	South Coast	27%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	-10.7%	35.2%	57.5	39,189
Orange City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	11.1%	31.8%	62.9	140,094

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	1.5%	13.2%	26.6	14,197
Napa City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	16.1%	18.4%	49.8	86,848
Fresno City of	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	18.3%	20.7%	69.6	520,453
Santa Rosa City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	16.0%	15.9%	48.8	175,667
Santa Maria City of	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	8.8%	24.2%	45.8	104,404
Glendale City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	12.5%	28.1%	60.7	193,300
Oakdale City of	San Joaquin River	30%	0%	Yes	20.2%	-20.2%	47.2%	39.5%	75.5	21,442
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	South Coast	26%	15%	Yes	35.2%	-20.2%	9.5%	35.9%	60.1	52,914
Park Water Company	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	20.2%	-20.2%	7.9%	22.9%	36.7	125,784
Chino Hills City of	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	11.1%	38.9%	60.1	77,596
Banning City of	Colorado River	28%	0%	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	3.7%	28.4%	71.1	30,325
Buena Park City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	13.2%	25.6%	55.3	83,347
Santa Fe Springs City of	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	13.6%	28.3%	52.9	18,199
Marina Coast Water District	Central Coast	11%	11%	No	31.4%	-20.4%	27.4%	31.4%	43.5	34,980
Rialto City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	20.4%	-20.4%	15.1%	32.7%	63.7	54,453
Lincoln City of	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	23.9%	29.4%	52.1	47,339
Davis City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	24.1%	27.6%	58.1	68,320
Pomona City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	11.5%	26.0%	54.4	155,604
Soquel Creek Water District	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	28.6%	-20.6%	23.4%	24.6%	41.5	40,416
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	5.3%	31.4%	61.1	115,000
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	15.7%	28.2%	85.2	9,600
Kerman, City of	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	20.7%	-20.7%	23.5%	33.2%	54.5	14,359
Madera City of	San Joaquin River	26%	0%	Yes	20.7%	-20.7%	6.3%	13.3%	48.8	65,474
Camarillo City of	South Coast	20%	3%	Yes	23.8%	-20.8%	13.1%	43.6%	65.9	47,711
Indio City of	Colorado River	27%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	18.0%	23.5%	87.0	88,411
San Bruno City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	20.9%	-20.9%	23.4%	22.4%	42.0	44,409
Cucamonga Valley Water District	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	20.9%	-20.9%	5.0%	36.7%	72.2	205,124

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
Groveland Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	21.0%	-21.0%	7.8%	-4.3%	74.6	3,400
Riverside Highland Water Company	South Coast	33%	0%	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	6.5%	32.0%	66.6	15,951
Desert Water Agency	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	27.5%	33.1%	86.7	105,828
Santa Monica City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	13.6%	26.1%	58.1	93,640
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	6.3%	32.3%	62.4	54,872
Monte Vista Water District	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	5.2%	31.5%	55.2	55,995
Newport Beach City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	16.8%	38.0%	74.3	66,550
East Niles Community Service District	Tulare Lake	33%	0%	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	21.4%	30.3%	83.2	31,772
Santa Fe Irrigation District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	2.7%	50.8%	127.1	19,839
East Valley Water District	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	-1.4%	23.0%	72.4	104,457
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	21.4%	-21.4%	16.4%	15.2%	39.8	61,877
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	21.4%	-21.4%	6.3%	39.1%	48.8	16,126
Healdsburg City of	North Coast	24%	0%	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	21.6%	24.3%	53.5	11,734
Fountain Valley City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	12.2%	31.0%	23.9	59,227
Redding City of	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	23.6%	27.2%	68.8	91,207
Fallbrook Public Utility District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	21.7%	-21.7%	-11.6%	28.2%	18.7	34,894
Alameda County Water District	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	21.8%	-21.8%	15.5%	19.3%	53.8	349,000
Rubidoux Community Service District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	21.8%	-21.8%	10.0%	25.4%	64.2	32,200
Olivehurst Public Utility District	Sacramento River	33%	10%	Yes	31.8%	-21.8%	13.4%	12.4%	74.6	19,509
San Juan Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	23.3%	50.8%	72.3	32,779
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	15.8%	18.0%	45.8	135,644
Newhall County Water District	South Coast	25%	0%	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	16.6%	46.1%	63.8	45,147
Indian Wells Valley Water District	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	36.0%	35.4%	78.7	31,120
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	22.0%	-22.0%	7.2%	26.7%	57.9	223,307
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	22.1%	-22.1%	7.3%	18.0%	48.6	256,756
Santa Paula City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	22.1%	-22.1%	16.0%	36.1%	65.2	30,009
North Coast County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	7.8%	0.8%	49.4	39,000
Upland City of	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	13.0%	38.0%	75.3	76,469

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	22.3%	-22.3%	10.7%	29.7%	53.2	178,500
Sacramento Suburban Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	22.3%	-22.3%	11.8%	23.3%	59.2	177,178
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	18.5%	21.5%	75.5	279,516
Sunny Slope Water Company	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	14.5%	28.3%	57.1	30,700
Redlands City of	South Coast	33%	0%	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	4.0%	40.6%	69.6	85,276
Roseville City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	26.6%	29.5%	53.5	129,262
Mid-Peninsula Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	20.3%	21.6%	60.5	26,924
Casitas Municipal Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	16.8%	73.3%	50.7	9,944
Rancho California Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	-3.3%	29.4%	76.0	149,433
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	16.4%	33.7%	73.0	101,777
Sunnyslope County Water District	Central Coast	26%	0%	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	16.1%	19.7%	54.5	20,129
West Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	17.6%	25.5%	80.1	50,836
Gilroy City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	16.3%	18.7%	48.6	56,008
San Clemente City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	3.0%	31.3%	59.2	51,385
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	10.0%	12.1%	85.4	21,441
Rio Vista, city of	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	-14.9%	27.4%	65.0	8,324
Diablo Water District	San Joaquin River	23%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	19.3%	27.8%	46.9	40,793
West Valley Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	20.7%	37.5%	75.9	82,371
Alco Water Service	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	22.3%	17.3%	81.4	29,627
Woodland City of	Sacramento River	20%	0%	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	25.4%	31.0%	56.6	57,526
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	0%	Yes	23.2%	-23.2%	23.2%	2.9%	31.0	10,617
California Water Service Company Salinas District	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	23.2%	-23.2%	26.3%	24.5%	43.6	123,016
Alhambra City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	17.1%	23.6%	69.1	85,068
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	19.0%	10.2%	95.3	11,013
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	23.4%	-23.4%	8.8%	21.0%	52.0	62,602
California Water Service Company King City	Central Coast	9%	0%	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	12.6%	14.2%	35.0	15,191
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	8.5%	27.2%	59.4	26,827
Burbank City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	19.2%	30.2%	70.1	105,110

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
Vacaville City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	28.6%	27.1%	58.1	90,194
Norco City of	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	23.7%	-23.7%	7.4%	46.7%	71.8	25,890
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	San Francisco Bay	17%	0%	Yes	23.8%	-23.8%	18.0%	11.5%	62.7	28,782
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	Central Coast	28%	0%	Yes	23.9%	-23.9%	14.2%	23.5%	51.0	31,536
Susanville City of	North Lahontan	33%	0%	Yes	23.9%	-23.9%	47.0%	35.5%	132.6	8,497
Tustin City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	23.9%	-23.9%	11.0%	25.0%	79.2	67,700
Valencia Water Company	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	24.0%	-24.0%	11.8%	46.0%	64.0	97,829
Morro Bay City of	Central Coast	12%	0%	Yes	24.1%	-24.1%	12.8%	21.1%	55.4	10,284
Cambria Community Services District	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	32.2%	-24.2%	24.9%	28.7%	37.5	6,032
Santa Barbara City of	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	36.2%	-24.2%	32.1%	33.8%	47.2	93,761
South Coast Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	24.3%	-24.3%	14.0%	33.2%	69.2	35,004
Beverly Hills City of	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	24.4%	-24.4%	16.0%	37.1%	70.6	51,403
Ramona Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	24.4%	-24.4%	-1.9%	36.7%	52.8	36,564
Palo Alto City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	24.4%	-24.4%	36.2%	44.6%	50.4	66,968
Antioch City of	San Joaquin River	27%	0%	Yes	24.5%	-24.5%	18.9%	25.3%	57.8	112,968
San Jacinto City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	24.5%	-24.5%	25.4%	16.1%	69.3	17,961
Petaluma City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	24.6%	-24.6%	19.2%	18.4%	53.9	61,955
Burlingame City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	24.6%	-24.6%	22.1%	21.8%	54.3	31,109
Carmichael Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	24.6%	-24.6%	26.6%	25.1%	79.8	37,897
Elk Grove Water Service	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	24.6%	-24.6%	31.2%	30.1%	52.6	44,753
Manteca City of	San Joaquin River	27%	0%	Yes	24.7%	-24.7%	28.8%	35.1%	63.1	77,739
Oildale Mutual Water Company	Tulare Lake	31%	0%	Yes	24.7%	-24.7%	22.2%	32.2%	89.7	34,133
Paso Robles City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	24.7%	-24.7%	12.7%	20.2%	59.6	30,522
Quartz Hill Water District	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	25.0%	-25.0%	17.1%	42.9%	67.1	20,352
Citrus Heights Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	25.0%	-25.0%	22.7%	26.7%	75.4	65,093
Contra Costa Water District	San Francisco Bay	25%	0%	Yes	25.3%	-25.3%	21.8%	17.3%	58.2	199,680
Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	25.3%	-25.3%	20.7%	26.3%	59.7	485,683
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	25.4%	-25.4%	21.8%	21.9%	53.3	29,713

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	25.6%	-25.6%	14.5%	49.2%	89.8	70,035
Escondido City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	25.7%	-25.7%	3.4%	37.9%	46.4	145,901
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	25.8%	-25.8%	13.7%	48.6%	50.6	90,687
San Jose City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	25.9%	-25.9%	24.4%	30.0%	60.6	113,700
Fair Oaks Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	25.9%	-25.9%	28.3%	33.3%	68.8	36,226
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	26.0%	-26.0%	18.9%	39.5%	51.4	43,351
Discovery Bay Community Services District	San Joaquin River	29%	0%	Yes	26.0%	-26.0%	35.1%	42.8%	68.6	15,125
San Jose Water Company	San Francisco Bay	20%	2%	Yes	28.0%	-26.0%	20.7%	24.3%	47.2	990,000
Lemoore City of	Tulare Lake	28%	0%	Yes	26.0%	-26.0%	6.7%	19.4%	110.8	25,325
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	26.1%	-26.1%	25.9%	31.2%	184.7	8,948
Morgan Hill City of	Central Coast	27%	0%	Yes	26.1%	-26.1%	21.6%	23.5%	47.8	43,645
Golden State Water Company Claremont	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	26.2%	-26.2%	10.4%	44.3%	61.2	37,160
Westborough Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	26.5%	-26.5%	23.5%	2.9%	49.4	14,050
California-American Water Ventura District	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	26.5%	-26.5%	11.8%	41.8%	67.8	63,423
Poway City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	26.5%	-26.5%	-2.3%	43.2%	48.8	48,774
Mountain View City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	26.6%	-26.6%	34.6%	40.4%	46.6	76,448
Dublin San Ramon Services District	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	26.6%	-26.6%	17.7%	19.9%	64.2	84,219
Camrosa Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	26.6%	-26.6%	4.5%	59.4%	63.4	34,483
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	San Francisco Bay	36%	2%	Yes	28.8%	-26.8%	29.5%	39.4%	55.1	61,195
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	26.9%	-26.9%	24.1%	23.7%	44.4	102,161
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	27.0%	-27.0%	-10.7%	34.6%	50.5	29,955
South Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	27.2%	-27.2%	22.0%	37.6%	60.5	26,174
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	Sacramento River	17%	0%	Yes	27.5%	-27.5%	28.9%	26.5%	60.0	203,851
El Toro Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	27.6%	-27.6%	12.5%	32.0%	64.7	48,628
Carpinteria Valley Water District	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	27.6%	-27.6%	11.3%	39.7%	52.2	14,616
California Water Service Company Willows	Sacramento River	26%	0%	Yes	27.7%	-27.7%	29.3%	28.0%	59.2	7,122
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	28.0%	-28.0%	26.5%	17.0%	85.0	3,203
Thousand Oaks City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	28.1%	-28.1%	21.1%	50.2%	57.1	51,609

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
Brentwood City of	San Joaquin River	28%	0%	Yes	28.4%	-28.4%	30.0%	29.9%	51.6	58,784
Paradise Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	28.5%	-28.5%	15.6%	27.7%	53.3	26,032
American Canyon, City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	28.6%	-28.6%	17.8%	31.7%	45.1	20,315
California Water Service Company Oroville	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	28.6%	-28.6%	22.6%	25.6%	57.5	10,979
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	28.6%	-28.6%	11.9%	18.5%	59.4	9,999
Kingsburg, City of	Tulare Lake	34%	0%	Yes	28.6%	-28.6%	34.1%	36.3%	81.8	12,101
Orange Vale Water Company	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	28.6%	-28.6%	28.2%	31.4%	73.5	16,259
Yorba Linda Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	29.0%	-29.0%	25.8%	53.6%	63.3	79,176
Galt City of	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	29.1%	-29.1%	15.5%	28.3%	68.4	25,000
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	29.7%	-29.7%	12.3%	54.7%	61.5	38,703
California Water Service Company Westlake	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	30.0%	-30.0%	21.4%	57.9%	82.0	19,450
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	San Francisco Bay	32%	0%	Yes	30.5%	-30.5%	33.3%	42.4%	50.4	69,871
Pleasanton City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	30.8%	-30.8%	25.4%	28.5%	58.1	74,982
California Water Service Company Livermore	San Francisco Bay	21%	0%	Yes	30.9%	-30.9%	23.4%	36.6%	47.8	58,945
California Water Service Company Chico District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	31.5%	-31.5%	25.4%	29.6%	63.4	105,144
Ripon City of	San Joaquin River	33%	0%	Yes	31.6%	-31.6%	28.8%	47.1%	83.3	12,243
Valley Center Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	31.9%	-31.9%	-21.8%	21.7%	90.4	25,608
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	Central Coast	31%	0%	Yes	32.1%	-32.1%	28.4%	48.0%	69.1	31,872
East Orange County Water District	South Coast	29%	0%	Yes	32.4%	-32.4%	27.8%	53.2%	91.8	3,257
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	33.0%	-33.0%	20.4%	53.3%	60.6	12,200
Merced City of	San Joaquin River	34%	0%	Yes	33.3%	-33.3%	20.7%	23.9%	82.5	83,400
Del Oro Water Company	Sacramento River	21%	0%	Yes	33.7%	-33.7%	23.8%	17.8%	54.3	9,546
California Water Service Company Selma	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	35.5%	-35.5%	27.5%	34.4%	62.8	24,582
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	36.7%	-36.7%	48.6%	34.2%	70.9	3,414
Menlo Park City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	36.9%	-36.9%	33.0%	36.3%	47.2	16,066
Cloverdale	North Coast	--	0%	Yes	37.5%	-37.5%	--	43.0%	53.8	8,825
Benicia City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	38.8%	-38.8%	46.3%	41.6%	49.0	28,086
Brawley City of	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	39.5%	-39.5%	56.7%	57.0%	76.7	27,743

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

February Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled March 20, 2017

389 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Feb 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Feb 2016	Feb 2017		
Rainbow Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	43.6%	-43.6%	-39.1%	15.4%	106.2	19,495
Patterson City of	San Joaquin River	25%	0%	Yes	45.7%	-45.7%	18.3%	59.7%	37.9	22,590

February 2017 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit February 2017 data by March 20, 2017:

Anderson, City of	Dinuba City of	South Feather Water and Power Agency
Arcadia City of	Grover Beach City of	Torrance City of
Arvin Community Services District	Hillsborough Town of	Ukiah City of
Calexico City of	Padre Dam Municipal Water District	Valley of the Moon Water District
Colton, City of	Pismo Beach City of	Vernon City of
Compton City of	Santa Margarita Water District	Walnut Valley Water District
Corcoran, City of	Scotts Valley Water District	

January 2017 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit January 2017 data by March 20, 2017:

Anderson, City of	South Feather Water and Power Agency
Calexico City of	Ukiah City of Vernon City of

December 2016 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit December 2016 data by March 20, 2017:

South Feather Water and Power Agency	Ukiah City of	Vernon City of
--------------------------------------	---------------	----------------

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through February 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order