

**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
California City City of	South Lahontan	28%	<b>28%</b>	No	10.3%	17.7% <b>C</b>	-58.9%	-33.0%	70.5	14,120
Livingston City of	San Joaquin River	29%	<b>29%</b>	No	14.1%	14.9% <b>A</b>	19.7%	14.1%	56.8	13,849
Yucaipa Valley Water District	South Coast	34%	<b>20%</b>	Yes	8.9%	11.1%	7.7%	14.2%	105.5	45,082
Sanger City of	Tulare Lake	26%	<b>26%</b>	No	17.2%	8.8%	21.1%	18.2%	76.3	25,664
Adelanto City of	South Lahontan	16%	<b>16%</b>	No	8.5%	7.5% <b>C</b>	6.1%	2.3%	59.6	29,293
Red Bluff City of	Sacramento River	33%	<b>33%</b>	No	26.7%	6.3%	21.4%	22.1%	75.2	14,076
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	South Coast	20%	<b>20%</b>	Yes	15.9%	4.1%	12.4%	15.6%	67.0	46,300
Bakman Water Company	Tulare Lake	34%	<b>34%</b>	No	30.3%	3.7%	33.9%	34.3%	89.3	16,756
Fillmore City of	South Coast	26%	<b>26%</b>	No	23.2%	2.8%	19.8%	28.5%	82.9	15,222
Colton, City of	South Coast	18%	<b>11%</b>	Yes	9.7%	1.3%	3.0%	4.1%	72.5	47,429
Los Banos, City of	San Joaquin River	24%	<b>24%</b>	No	22.8%	1.2%	3.3%	3.0%	72.1	37,168
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	North Coast	4%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	-0.6%	0.6% <b>A</b>	-6.0%	-20.1%	57.2	602
Tehachapi, City of	Tulare Lake	25%	<b>25%</b>	No	24.6%	0.4%	11.3%	13.6%	70.1	8,923
Exeter City of	Tulare Lake	34%	<b>21%</b>	Yes	20.9%	0.1%	9.2%	9.9%	69.9	10,572
San Lorenzo Valley Water District	Central Coast	12%	<b>18%</b>	Yes	18.2%	-0.2%	13.1%	9.2%	72.1	19,000
Glendora City of	South Coast	34%	<b>20%</b>	Yes	20.7%	-0.7%	21.1%	21.0%	97.3	51,463
Pico Rivera City of	South Coast	16%	<b>16%</b>	No	16.9%	-0.9%	14.8%	17.6%	57.2	39,002
Atwater City of	San Joaquin River	33%	<b>33%</b>	No	34.1%	-1.1%	-50.0%	-56.0%	86.4	29,500
Hi-Desert Water District	Colorado River	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	1.3%	-1.3%	4.6%	4.7%	57.3	24,722
Porterville City of	Tulare Lake	26%	<b>26%</b>	No	27.7%	-1.7%	17.5%	9.8%	69.3	61,946
Orchard Dale Water District	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	1.9%	-1.9%	9.1%	9.5%	56.1	25,000
Monterey Park City of	South Coast	20%	<b>14%</b>	Yes	16.2%	-2.2%	13.8%	16.6%	65.9	62,183
Arcata City of	North Coast	4%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	3.0%	-3.0%	8.6%	8.8%	40.2	18,392
Loma Linda City of	South Coast	30%	<b>11%</b>	Yes	14.0%	-3.0%	13.0%	22.6%	86.1	23,751
City of Newman Water Department	San Joaquin River	21%	<b>21%</b>	No	24.1%	-3.1%	14.7%	19.9%	61.2	10,668
Brea City of	South Coast	24%	<b>15%</b>	Yes	18.9%	-3.9%	28.5%	34.6%	83.4	43,328
Paramount City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	4.1%	-4.1%	-0.3%	-14.1%	54.5	55,302
Compton City of	South Coast	8%	<b>8%</b>	No	12.6%	-4.6%	6.3%	6.1%	50.8	81,963

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
Covina City of	South Coast	26%	<b>12%</b>	Yes	16.6%	-4.6%	16.5%	20.9%	77.3	33,300
Modesto, City of	San Joaquin River	33%	<b>20%</b>	Yes	24.8%	-4.8%	24.3%	25.6%	79.7	223,432
Turlock City of	San Joaquin River	29%	<b>16%</b>	Yes	20.9%	-4.9%	33.3%	30.0%	76.0	72,050
Twentynine Palms Water District	Colorado River	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	4.9%	-4.9%	3.3%	-3.0%	80.7	18,795
Imperial, City of	Colorado River	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	5.2%	-5.2%	4.0%	0.8%	76.3	18,022
Riverbank City of	San Joaquin River	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	5.2%	-5.2%	-27.8%	-52.6%	91.4	23,734
Fortuna City of	North Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	6.4%	-6.4%	8.3%	11.0%	63.8	12,032
Monrovia City of	South Coast	26%	<b>12%</b>	Yes	18.4%	-6.4%	18.0%	25.1%	84.7	37,406
San Buenaventura City of	South Coast	16%	<b>16%</b>	No	22.5%	-6.5%	15.2%	29.6%	58.6	113,478
Daly City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>4%</b>	Yes	11.0%	-7.0%	20.1%	9.6%	53.4	109,139
El Monte City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	7.2%	-7.2%	9.9%	1.3%	45.2	22,968
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	South Coast	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	7.4%	-7.4%	12.3%	13.3%	49.1	25,859
Valley County Water District	South Coast	14%	<b>10%</b>	Yes	17.5%	-7.5%	16.5%	16.3%	58.5	55,703
La Palma City of	South Coast	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	7.5%	-7.5%	12.8%	16.1%	52.3	15,896
Bakersfield City of	Tulare Lake	31%	<b>9%</b>	Yes	16.8%	-7.8%	19.1%	10.8%	129.1	147,136
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	7.9%	-7.9%	4.1%	6.3%	46.4	65,492
Nipomo Community Services District	Central Coast	28%	<b>23%</b>	Yes	31.0%	-8.0%	37.5%	39.0%	71.0	13,366
Estero Municipal Improvement District	San Francisco Bay	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	8.0%	-8.0%	-15.5%	-26.6%	51.7	37,339
Lathrop, City of	San Joaquin River	18%	<b>18%</b>	No	26.0%	-8.0%	16.8%	15.6%	45.2	20,353
Humboldt Community Service District	North Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	8.2%	-8.2%	-0.5%	7.0%	45.3	22,842
Norwalk City of	South Coast	17%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	8.8%	-8.8%	9.9%	5.3%	85.3	18,361
Big Bear City Community Services District	South Coast	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	8.8%	-8.8%	-1.6%	5.0%	51.2	12,411
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	8.9%	-8.9%	14.2%	10.2%	53.0	33,124
McKinleyville Community Service District	North Coast	4%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	8.9%	-8.9%	15.0%	1.2%	49.8	16,900
Arroyo Grande City of	Central Coast	28%	<b>28%</b>	No	37.1%	-9.1%	41.8%	48.5%	55.6	17,636
Palmdale Water District	South Lahontan	28%	<b>10%</b>	Yes	19.3%	-9.3%	17.3%	6.3%	74.7	116,582
Yuba City City of	Sacramento River	28%	<b>15%</b>	Yes	24.3%	-9.3%	29.5%	22.4%	66.2	73,202
El Centro City of	Colorado River	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	9.3%	-9.3%	5.5%	5.5%	77.8	45,170

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	South Coast	36%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	9.4%	-9.4%	16.7%	19.8%	190.9	22,264
Mesa Water District	South Coast	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	9.4%	-9.4%	15.1%	13.0%	66.9	110,000
San Luis Obispo City of	Central Coast	12%	<b>12%</b>	No	21.4%	-9.4%	20.3%	21.5%	43.9	46,117
Crescent City City of	North Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	9.8%	-9.8%	21.2%	15.0%	60.3	18,382
Inglewood City of	South Coast	11%	<b>5%</b>	Yes	14.9%	-9.9%	10.9%	14.8%	57.0	86,418
West Kern Water District	Tulare Lake	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.1%	-10.1% <i>A</i>	-2.3%	11.5%	67.9	20,591
South Gate City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.2%	-10.2%	8.9%	12.5%	50.0	88,654
Vista Irrigation District	South Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.6%	-10.6%	13.1%	10.6%	60.0	127,699
California-American Water Company San Diego District	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.8%	-10.8%	25.7%	12.7%	54.4	94,043
Mammoth Community Water District	South Lahontan	17%	<b>17%</b>	No	27.8%	-10.8%	-1.6%	10.2%	42.1	16,739
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	South Lahontan	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.0%	-11.0%	12.7%	13.0%	56.0	20,913
Ceres City of	San Joaquin River	26%	<b>13%</b>	Yes	24.1%	-11.1%	23.7%	23.9%	56.1	47,639
Lee Lake Water District	South Coast	31%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.1%	-11.1%	-12.7%	-33.6%	91.0	16,963
Crestline Village Water District	South Lahontan	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.2%	-11.2%	14.1%	10.3%	44.6	7,712
Montecito Water District	Central Coast	32%	<b>36%</b>	Yes	47.4%	-11.4%	31.5%	25.5%	88.6	13,500
Eureka City of	North Coast	4%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.4%	-11.4%	11.3%	4.0%	57.8	27,052
Golden State Water Company Southwest	South Coast	9%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.5%	-11.5%	3.5%	10.1%	54.7	271,677
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.5%	-11.5%	9.8%	14.9%	53.0	44,466
Torrance City of	South Coast	19%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.7%	-11.7%	18.1%	13.1%	69.5	106,034
El Segundo City of	South Coast	20%	<b>2%</b>	Yes	13.8%	-11.8%	16.1%	26.4%	77.6	16,654
Folsom City of	Sacramento River	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	12.1%	-12.1%	16.4%	2.2%	101.7	63,951
Hawthorne City of	South Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	12.2%	-12.2%	8.0%	12.7%	57.7	44,638
Hesperia Water District City of	South Lahontan	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	12.4%	-12.4%	-5.1%	-9.8%	72.1	93,226
Shasta Lake City of	Sacramento River	25%	<b>10%</b>	Yes	22.6%	-12.6%	10.1%	5.5%	76.9	10,293
Mission Springs Water District	Colorado River	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	12.6%	-12.6%	20.5%	17.7%	94.7	37,600
Seal Beach City of	South Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	12.7%	-12.7%	0.8%	12.0%	42.8	25,561
Greenfield, City of	Central Coast	14%	<b>5%</b>	Yes	18.0%	-13.0%	28.7%	25.8%	49.4	17,898
Golden State Water Company Artesia	South Coast	9%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.0%	-13.0%	9.4%	10.9%	60.0	47,978

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.2%	-13.2%	9.9%	13.7%	47.0	57,934
Golden State Water Company Placentia	South Coast	17%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.2%	-13.2%	13.8%	20.9%	68.2	46,543
Azusa City of	South Coast	18%	<b>3%</b>	Yes	16.3%	-13.3%	15.0%	13.7%	65.7	106,400
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	South Coast	10%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.4%	-13.4%	9.7%	10.4%	57.9	27,656
Huntington Park City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.4%	-13.4%	10.5%	10.2%	35.3	64,219
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.4%	-13.4%	14.5%	15.1%	40.6	859,276
Pinedale County Water District	Tulare Lake	14%	<b>14%</b>	No	27.5%	-13.5%	25.4%	20.1%	69.1	16,735
East Palo Alto, City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>8%</b>	No	21.6%	-13.6%	2.5%	-8.5%	40.6	29,143
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	South Coast	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.7%	-13.7%	17.7%	25.1%	101.8	43,111
Perris, City of	South Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.8%	-13.8%	-4.3%	-21.5%	70.7	9,000
Long Beach City of	South Coast	9%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.9%	-13.9%	9.8%	14.6%	64.9	487,868
San Diego City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	15.5%	20.8%	46.8	1,339,958
Bella Vista Water District	Sacramento River	33%	<b>33%</b>	No	47.1%	-14.1%	43.5%	44.5%	54.1	18,426
Yreka, City of	North Coast	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.3%	-14.3%	24.5%	18.2%	57.3	7,840
Oxnard City of	South Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.4%	-14.4%	7.9%	19.1%	47.5	196,720
Golden State Water Company Culver City	South Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.4%	-14.4%	14.0%	13.8%	60.3	36,215
Corona City of	South Coast	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.4%	-14.4%	13.6%	19.4%	93.1	168,070
Vaughn Water Company	Tulare Lake	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.5%	-14.5%	20.3%	19.3%	155.2	31,695
Mountain House Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.6%	-14.6%	33.5%	23.2%	75.1	15,957
Wasco City of	Tulare Lake	32%	<b>17%</b>	Yes	31.6%	-14.6%	13.0%	16.1%	47.2	26,159
Sierra Madre City of	South Coast	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.6%	-14.6%	-6.3%	17.1%	119.9	11,100
Fairfield City of	Sacramento River	19%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.6%	-14.6%	18.0%	8.2%	56.3	108,392
Rowland Water District	South Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.6%	-14.6%	4.9%	13.9%	62.7	62,106
California Water Service Company Dominguez	South Coast	15%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.7%	-14.7%	24.3%	20.5%	57.1	142,818
Jurupa Community Service District	South Coast	23%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.8%	-14.8%	17.0%	21.6%	89.0	118,731
Otay Water District	South Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.9%	-14.9%	14.9%	15.4%	58.2	220,200
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.9%	-14.9%	7.5%	10.0%	56.5	32,776
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	South Coast	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.9%	-14.9%	15.4%	26.2%	64.8	96,139

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
North Tahoe Public Utility District	North Lahontan	25%	0%	Yes	14.9%	-14.9%	14.6%	26.5%	66.2	7,500
North Marin Water District	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	14.9%	-14.9%	20.3%	3.2%	76.0	61,299
Riverside City of	South Coast	25%	0%	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	10.9%	19.5%	71.4	302,032
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	9.9%	14.4%	37.4	158,763
Chino City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	15.1%	-15.1%	9.9%	17.3%	71.4	74,639
Vallecitos Water District	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	15.2%	-15.2%	18.9%	16.4%	58.8	97,950
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	15.3%	-15.3%	21.4%	28.2%	90.8	84,351
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	15.3%	-15.3%	14.5%	17.3%	73.9	86,596
Coachella City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	25.4%	24.9%	67.7	45,407
Eastern Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	12.0%	21.4%	63.5	560,080
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	South Lahontan	13%	0%	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	18.0%	22.3%	23.4	29,221
Calaveras County Water District	San Joaquin River	13%	0%	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	5.7%	8.3%	60.0	20,698
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	15.5%	-15.5%	13.7%	19.8%	59.3	4,107,778
Irvine Ranch Water District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	15.5%	-15.5%	13.1%	14.9%	64.0	396,416
Lodi City of Public Works Department	San Joaquin River	30%	6%	Yes	21.6%	-15.6%	23.1%	24.6%	61.8	63,719
Valley Water Company	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	15.6%	-15.6%	16.4%	24.2%	164.3	9,900
Vallejo City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	15.6%	-15.6%	12.8%	15.0%	69.7	124,000
Ontario City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	15.6%	-15.6%	16.5%	17.8%	68.6	168,777
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	South Coast	27%	0%	Yes	15.7%	-15.7%	5.0%	14.7%	62.6	152,193
La Habra City of Public Works	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	15.7%	-15.7%	24.9%	26.0%	69.4	63,118
Santa Ana City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	15.8%	-15.8%	10.4%	14.0%	51.5	332,005
San Bernardino County Service Area 70J	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	15.9%	-15.9%	5.9%	0.5%	26.1	11,685
Moulton Niguel Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	9.7%	16.0%	72.9	172,068
Hollister City of	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	28.8%	30.1%	59.5	21,618
Lomita City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.1%	-16.1%	8.9%	16.6%	67.5	20,463
Goleta Water District	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	28.1%	-16.1%	5.7%	40.0%	37.2	86,946
Helix Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	16.1%	-16.1%	16.7%	18.8%	62.3	271,759
Westminster City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	16.2%	-16.2%	13.0%	11.5%	72.2	96,046

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

\*\* A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
Anaheim City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	16.3%	-16.3%	20.5%	20.4%	62.4	360,972
Huntington Beach City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	16.3%	-16.3%	15.0%	20.2%	71.1	196,041
Delano City of	Tulare Lake	21%	0%	Yes	16.3%	-16.3%	15.8%	14.5%	45.7	55,459
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	16.3%	-16.3%	16.5%	21.7%	85.5	122,998
La Verne City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	16.4%	-16.4%	13.1%	16.6%	95.3	32,228
Arvin Community Services District	Tulare Lake	21%	0%	Yes	16.4%	-16.4%	38.5%	14.7%	49.7	20,499
California-American Water Company Monterey District	Central Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	23.5%	20.1%	50.3	91,884
Coachella Valley Water District	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	16.6%	-16.6%	3.6%	3.0%	154.8	286,861
Shafter City of	Tulare Lake	32%	0%	Yes	16.6%	-16.6%	10.7%	4.8%	106.7	19,347
Montebello Land and Water Company	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	16.6%	-16.6%	13.4%	16.6%	57.5	32,219
Santa Fe Irrigation District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	32.1%	28.8%	210.5	19,839
San Dieguito Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	13.1%	15.2%	77.8	37,376
Sunnyvale City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	5%	Yes	21.7%	-16.7%	20.3%	18.7%	49.9	148,372
Sweetwater Authority	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	17.6%	16.6%	51.6	188,886
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	South Coast	35%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	20.8%	28.8%	91.5	75,384
Soledad, City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	26.0%	19.2%	55.0	16,729
Port Hueneme City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	-0.3%	15.2%	49.5	21,555
Reedley City of	Tulare Lake	22%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	21.4%	18.4%	39.9	24,194
Golden State Water Company West Orange	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	12.9%	15.0%	69.4	111,904
Coastside County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	31.7%	21.7%	60.0	16,704
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	2.3%	-3.7%	88.1	207,833
Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	12.8%	17.2%	80.1	166,545
Hemet City of	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	10.1%	14.0%	60.3	29,982
Whittier City of	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	17.8%	12.4%	69.2	49,954
Joshua Basin Water District	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	17.2%	-17.2%	18.0%	19.0%	71.4	9,514
Marin Municipal Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	17.2%	-17.2%	24.3%	25.8%	58.3	189,400
Trabuco Canyon Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	17.2%	-17.2%	15.8%	21.1%	88.6	13,175
Oceanside City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	17.3%	-17.3%	12.9%	23.0%	55.3	175,948

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
Laguna Beach County Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	17.4%	-17.4%	20.0%	31.7%	83.6	19,121
Casitas Municipal Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	17.4%	-17.4%	-3.9%	54.0%	89.4	9,944
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	South Coast	19%	0%	Yes	17.4%	-17.4%	16.4%	20.3%	62.7	96,022
Santa Margarita Water District	South Coast	23%	0%	Yes	17.5%	-17.5%	21.3%	24.3%	72.8	159,632
Chino Hills City of	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	17.5%	-17.5%	-4.2%	3.8%	79.0	77,596
California Water Service Company Marysville	Sacramento River	21%	0%	Yes	17.6%	-17.6%	20.8%	10.1%	66.3	12,173
Hanford City of	Tulare Lake	23%	0%	Yes	17.6%	-17.6%	14.7%	15.5%	69.3	59,338
Santa Cruz City of	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	25.7%	-17.7%	30.8%	29.7%	34.2	94,887
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	19.9%	24.7%	74.8	5,020
Tulare, City of	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	13.2%	19.1%	64.7	63,837
Blythe City of	Colorado River	28%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8% C	4.0%	14.4%	67.9	13,839
Camarillo City of	South Coast	20%	3%	Yes	20.9%	-17.9%	17.6%	33.1%	68.0	47,913
Fullerton City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	14.2%	20.3%	86.4	138,000
Downey City of	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	18.0%	-18.0%	4.2%	11.9%	66.7	111,931
Pico Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	14.0%	15.7%	74.5	25,284
Rosamond Community Service District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	-10.5%	-0.9%	72.4	17,700
Cerritos City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	12.5%	25.7%	90.3	49,041
California Water Service Company Visalia	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	27.8%	19.2%	72.5	141,877
Newport Beach City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	21.4%	22.6%	99.7	66,550
San Juan Capistrano City of	South Coast	27%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	14.2%	21.2%	76.3	39,189
Clovis City of	Tulare Lake	33%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	27.3%	18.9%	72.6	111,927
Manhattan Beach City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	12.5%	14.7%	71.8	35,996
Rialto City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	6.8%	14.8%	70.4	54,453
San Fernando City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	16.4%	18.9%	71.2	24,560
Pittsburg City of	San Francisco Bay	19%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	9.9%	13.6%	15.2	67,628
Lamont Public Utility District	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	17.3%	17.1%	72.6	19,057
Placer County Water Agency	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	32.6%	20.2%	82.4	98,128
Nevada Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	23.6%	20.7%	61.2	44,761

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

\*\* A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
Orange City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	28.7%	25.4%	78.1	140,094
Newhall County Water District	South Coast	25%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	15.9%	26.3%	88.6	45,036
Anderson, City of	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	8.2%	-4.5%	58.3	11,147
Oakdale City of	San Joaquin River	30%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	32.2%	12.9%	74.5	22,120
Coalinga City of	Tulare Lake	30%	5%	Yes	23.7%	-18.7%	21.3%	45.0%	70.3	16,529
Lakewood City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	18.9%	18.9%	62.9	59,081
Martinez City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	31.6%	13.0%	29.9	35,375
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	27.3%	25.3%	112.1	9,600
San Gabriel County Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	13.0%	19.8%	67.4	45,000
Cucamonga Valley Water District	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	20.4%	25.9%	95.0	204,750
Rohnert Park City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.9%	-18.9%	14.0%	20.5%	46.7	43,398
Banning City of	Colorado River	28%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	20.3%	25.3%	74.1	30,325
San Bernardino City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	17.1%	15.1%	78.7	199,634
Crescenta Valley Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	24.5%	22.2%	80.9	31,966
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	18.4%	21.4%	54.0	88,995
California Water Service Company Stockton	San Joaquin River	18%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	15.6%	14.9%	48.5	171,676
Windsor, Town of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	21.7%	27.2%	44.5	27,183
Indio City of	Colorado River	27%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	19.1%	20.8%	94.5	88,411
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	13.4%	19.2%	78.9	115,000
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	22.2%	21.1%	53.8	1,400,000
Buena Park City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	14.1%	16.5%	62.5	83,347
Santa Fe Springs City of	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	10.6%	15.8%	57.5	18,199
Santa Clara City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	16.2%	13.9%	51.0	120,973
El Dorado Irrigation District	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	35.3%	29.2%	77.1	118,500
Glendale City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	15.9%	20.2%	72.0	193,300
Watsonville City of	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	22.2%	20.9%	44.9	65,966
Fallbrook Public Utility District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	31.1%	30.3%	35.2	34,894
Desert Water Agency	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	9.0%	13.9%	122.6	106,085

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*



**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
Hayward City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	5.9%	3.0%	41.8	158,985
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	South Coast	26%	15%	Yes	34.4%	-19.4%	36.9%	45.3%	74.5	52,914
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	21.2%	26.8%	91.3	9,018
Rubidoux Community Service District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	20.5%	35.1%	61.3	32,200
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	20.0%	10.8%	69.6	16,126
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	15.9%	18.3%	79.9	54,872
East Niles Community Service District	Tulare Lake	33%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	25.0%	23.0%	107.6	31,772
Golden State Water Company Barstow	South Lahontan	20%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	8.7%	5.6%	70.1	31,209
Santa Maria City of	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	21.8%	30.2%	47.8	104,404
Milpitas City of	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	14.7%	17.1%	50.3	70,817
Redlands City of	South Coast	33%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	15.3%	24.1%	97.2	85,276
Golden State Water Company Cordova	Sacramento River	32%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	15.8%	10.7%	108.7	43,767
Amador Water Agency	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	29.3%	26.4%	40.6	23,747
Sonoma City of	San Francisco Bay	28%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	8.7%	2.8%	65.5	11,579
Riverside Highland Water Company	South Coast	33%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	20.6%	12.5%	110.4	14,730
Lakeside Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	14.7%	18.1%	57.6	35,500
Kerman, City of	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	27.1%	23.5%	63.9	14,359
Victorville Water District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	1.7%	11.6%	73.9	121,556
Park Water Company	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	23.7%	24.5%	39.8	125,784
Pomona City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	12.1%	15.5%	68.7	155,604
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	17.5%	15.3%	47.8	22,258
Upland City of	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	18.8%	24.5%	94.8	76,469
Santa Paula City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	15.4%	26.0%	89.8	30,009
Sweetwater Springs Water District	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	20.2%	12.8%	57.9	7,809
Fountain Valley City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	15.4%	22.4%	38.5	59,227
Stockton City of	San Joaquin River	26%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	6.6%	13.7%	56.6	177,808
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	10.1%	1.0%	58.4	9,681
Susanville City of	North Lahontan	33%	0%	Yes	20.2%	-20.2%	45.4%	43.5%	105.7	8,497

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
Santa Rosa City of	North Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.2%	-20.2%	28.3%	24.1%	54.3	173,071
Norco City of	South Coast	36%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	19.5%	28.9%	108.6	25,890
Fresno City of	Tulare Lake	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	22.3%	17.6%	83.8	520,453
Linda County Water District	Sacramento River	29%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.4%	-20.4%	21.0%	14.4%	91.6	18,808
Santa Monica City of	South Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.4%	-20.4%	18.6%	23.0%	63.1	93,640
San Juan Water District	Sacramento River	33%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	26.4%	40.9%	91.3	32,779
Redwood City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	30.0%	30.9%	47.9	87,023
Lincoln City of	Sacramento River	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	28.6%	27.8%	57.9	47,339
Davis City of	Sacramento River	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	22.5%	21.5%	52.9	68,320
Napa City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	22.0%	22.6%	52.2	86,848
Indian Wells Valley Water District	South Lahontan	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	18.2%	13.6%	101.3	31,120
East Valley Water District	South Coast	22%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.7%	-20.7%	13.3%	18.6%	83.0	104,457
Sacramento County Water Agency	Sacramento River	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	24.9%	14.3%	69.8	179,987
Camrosa Water District	South Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.9%	-20.9%	22.1%	38.6%	87.9	35,783
Marina Coast Water District	Central Coast	11%	<b>11%</b>	No	31.9%	-20.9%	25.5%	27.4%	50.8	34,235
Rancho California Water District	South Coast	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.0%	-21.0%	34.4%	32.5%	109.9	149,404
San Bruno City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	17.4%	20.2%	38.4	44,409
Beverly Hills City of	South Coast	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	12.3%	24.7%	108.5	42,157
Soquel Creek Water District	Central Coast	8%	<b>8%</b>	No	29.1%	-21.1%	31.5%	28.9%	41.8	40,404
Valencia Water Company	South Coast	21%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	17.1%	25.1%	73.1	97,300
Sunny Slope Water Company	South Coast	22%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	20.5%	22.9%	66.5	30,700
Palo Alto City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	21.5%	23.3%	56.6	64,403
Monte Vista Water District	South Coast	22%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.3%	-21.3%	12.8%	20.1%	62.8	55,995
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	South Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.3%	-21.3%	22.7%	22.9%	70.6	223,307
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	South Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.4%	-21.4%	17.7%	14.7%	104.5	101,777
Millbrae City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	26.1%	24.2%	52.0	21,532
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	South Coast	22%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	13.4%	21.5%	62.2	178,500

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
Pismo Beach City of	Central Coast	22%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	31.6%	13.6%	59.3	7,861
Redding City of	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	21.8%	-21.8%	22.8%	22.0%	71.6	91,207
Healdsburg City of	North Coast	24%	0%	Yes	21.8%	-21.8%	21.2%	27.3%	55.1	11,699
San Clemente City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	25.6%	27.8%	73.0	51,385
West Valley Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	16.3%	21.2%	91.4	82,013
Madera City of	San Joaquin River	26%	0%	Yes	22.0%	-22.0%	20.8%	22.6%	59.8	65,474
Tuolumne Utilities District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	22.1%	-22.1%	26.1%	21.0%	61.0	30,809
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	22.7%	32.5%	121.2	70,037
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	28.0%	25.3%	82.3	279,816
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	26.9%	34.5%	78.8	90,687
Ramona Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	22.5%	-22.5%	24.8%	34.2%	72.4	36,564
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	22.5%	-22.5%	19.9%	19.8%	37.9	61,869
Roseville City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	26.1%	26.0%	52.5	129,626
Burbank City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	20.5%	21.3%	82.9	105,110
Sacramento Suburban Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	30.5%	32.0%	63.5	176,871
Arcadia City of	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	15.8%	22.4%	133.3	57,639
Alameda County Water District	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	20.9%	18.6%	53.2	349,000
Escondido City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	24.8%	35.7%	61.9	137,941
Rio Vista, city of	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	20.4%	30.4%	77.8	8,324
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	23.2%	-23.2%	11.5%	14.4%	54.4	256,756
Woodland City of	Sacramento River	20%	0%	Yes	23.2%	-23.2%	30.2%	28.5%	52.4	57,526
West Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	23.2%	-23.2%	21.1%	22.8%	84.3	50,836
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	23.2%	-23.2%	16.7%	22.2%	70.2	26,827
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	25.6%	25.1%	46.0	135,472
Diablo Water District	San Joaquin River	23%	0%	Yes	23.4%	-23.4%	27.0%	22.4%	52.2	40,793
Groveland Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	23.4%	-23.4%	28.0%	29.0%	78.1	3,400
Oildale Mutual Water Company	Tulare Lake	31%	0%	Yes	23.4%	-23.4%	22.3%	20.0%	103.5	34,133
Tustin City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	23.5%	25.1%	85.1	67,700

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
California Water Service Company Salinas District	Central Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	20.9%	18.7%	44.8	122,769
South Coast Water District	South Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	23.8%	24.0%	80.0	35,004
Mid-Peninsula Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	25.1%	23.7%	57.9	26,924
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	South Coast	22%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	27.4%	28.4%	69.9	43,351
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	South Lahontan	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	5.7%	3.7%	60.6	62,602
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	Sacramento River	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.7%	-23.7%	16.9%	-5.4%	100.3	21,441
Alhambra City of	South Coast	22%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.7%	-23.7%	17.7%	20.9%	73.8	85,068
California-American Water Ventura District	South Coast	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	23.8%	-23.8%	23.2%	32.1%	93.3	63,423
Sunnyslope County Water District	Central Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.0%	-24.0%	24.2%	28.1%	52.9	20,063
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	Colorado River	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.1%	-24.1%	21.4%	23.0%	170.8	8,948
Manteca City of	San Joaquin River	27%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.1%	-24.1%	27.0%	24.6%	60.1	77,525
Quartz Hill Water District	South Lahontan	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.3%	-24.3%	12.2%	7.9%	95.2	20,352
Vacaville City of	Sacramento River	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.4%	-24.4%	-31.8%	21.2%	63.2	90,194
Golden State Water Company Claremont	South Coast	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.4%	-24.4%	20.9%	24.6%	94.2	37,160
Gilroy City of	Central Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.4%	-24.4%	23.9%	20.6%	52.6	55,897
Morro Bay City of	Central Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.4%	-24.4%	25.8%	33.6%	49.3	10,284
Alco Water Service	Central Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.4%	-24.4%	27.0%	25.1%	77.2	29,545
Santa Barbara City of	Central Coast	12%	<b>12%</b>	No	36.4%	-24.4%	28.2%	38.1%	55.5	93,426
Poway City of	South Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.5%	-24.5%	26.5%	31.8%	72.5	48,774
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.5%	-24.5%	14.4%	21.3%	35.4	6,607
Cambria Community Services District	Central Coast	8%	<b>8%</b>	No	32.6%	-24.6%	35.2%	16.1%	41.3	6,032
Valley of the Moon Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.8%	-24.8%	38.5%	26.8%	47.7	23,782
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	Central Coast	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.8%	-24.8%	27.4%	33.3%	55.9	31,533
Elk Grove Water Service	Sacramento River	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.8%	-24.8%	35.6%	37.9%	54.3	44,741
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	Sacramento River	33%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.9%	-24.9%	25.1%	18.4%	89.4	11,013
Thousand Oaks City of	South Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.9%	-24.9%	26.8%	37.2%	77.1	51,609
Discovery Bay Community Services District	San Joaquin River	29%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	24.9%	-24.9%	34.8%	31.8%	86.5	15,109
Olivehurst Public Utility District	Sacramento River	33%	<b>10%</b>	Yes	35.0%	-25.0%	44.6%	37.9%	80.7	19,509

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
Lemoore City of	Tulare Lake	28%	0%	Yes	25.1%	-25.1%	14.1%	45.5%	48.3	25,325
Citrus Heights Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	25.2%	-25.2%	25.8%	26.0%	79.6	65,093
Antioch City of	San Joaquin River	27%	0%	Yes	25.4%	-25.4%	18.9%	20.1%	61.3	106,455
Carmichael Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	25.4%	-25.4%	33.0%	28.2%	86.6	37,897
Burlingame City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	25.5%	-25.5%	26.2%	27.0%	54.2	31,109
South Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	25.5%	-25.5%	18.6%	21.5%	77.0	26,174
Yorba Linda Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	25.6%	-25.6%	30.2%	19.8%	125.9	78,539
Mountain View City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	25.7%	-25.7%	18.5%	19.3%	51.9	76,448
Paso Robles City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	25.7%	-25.7%	25.2%	34.9%	60.6	30,522
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	25.8%	-25.8%	27.0%	37.6%	65.3	29,955
San Jacinto City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	25.9%	-25.9%	23.4%	20.7%	71.4	17,961
Fair Oaks Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	25.9%	-25.9%	33.5%	32.9%	77.4	36,226
California Water Service Company Westlake	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	26.0%	-26.0%	32.0%	36.6%	138.6	19,454
California Water Service Company King City	Central Coast	9%	0%	Yes	26.0%	-26.0%	26.2%	20.3%	37.6	15,199
Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	26.0%	-26.0%	21.3%	21.8%	66.4	485,683
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	San Francisco Bay	17%	0%	Yes	26.0%	-26.0%	23.1%	21.8%	58.2	28,782
Petaluma City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	26.0%	-26.0%	17.3%	23.9%	53.6	61,955
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	26.2%	-26.2%	24.4%	43.3%	90.5	38,703
North Coast County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	26.3%	-26.3%	19.4%	24.0%	44.9	39,000
Westborough Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	26.6%	-26.6%	14.1%	17.0%	45.9	14,050
El Toro Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	26.6%	-26.6%	27.4%	27.6%	66.1	48,628
San Jose City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	26.6%	-26.6%	21.3%	26.1%	65.5	113,700
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	26.7%	-26.7%	16.5%	23.3%	59.4	29,510
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	San Francisco Bay	36%	2%	Yes	28.7%	-26.7%	42.4%	44.1%	53.2	69,857
Contra Costa Water District	San Francisco Bay	25%	0%	Yes	27.0%	-27.0%	34.6%	38.2%	57.8	199,680
San Jose Water Company	San Francisco Bay	20%	2%	Yes	29.4%	-27.4%	30.2%	31.0%	49.0	990,000
Morgan Hill City of	Central Coast	27%	0%	Yes	27.5%	-27.5%	38.2%	34.8%	53.0	40,807
California Water Service Company Oroville	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	28.0%	-28.0%	22.2%	26.4%	57.4	11,044

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	28.1%	-28.1%	26.8%	25.1%	45.8	100,731
Carpinteria Valley Water District	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	28.2%	-28.2%	17.0%	29.1%	64.2	14,616
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	Sacramento River	17%	0%	Yes	28.2%	-28.2%	27.4%	30.3%	55.9	203,851
Kingsburg, City of	Tulare Lake	34%	0%	Yes	28.3%	-28.3%	41.5%	31.5%	103.0	11,685
California Water Service Company Willows	Sacramento River	26%	0%	Yes	28.5%	-28.5%	18.0%	22.9%	60.5	7,107
Dublin San Ramon Services District	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	28.7%	-28.7%	35.8%	18.4%	60.5	84,544
Orange Vale Water Company	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	29.0%	-29.0%	36.4%	38.9%	72.0	16,763
Brentwood City of	San Joaquin River	28%	0%	Yes	29.1%	-29.1%	30.1%	24.0%	63.0	58,784
East Orange County Water District	South Coast	29%	0%	Yes	29.2%	-29.2%	36.0%	38.5%	131.3	3,257
Paradise Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	29.2%	-29.2%	8.2%	13.3%	77.0	26,032
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	San Francisco Bay	32%	0%	Yes	29.4%	-29.4%	43.6%	42.2%	58.0	69,866
Galt City of	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	29.7%	-29.7%	31.5%	34.4%	71.5	25,000
American Canyon, City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	29.8%	-29.8%	22.9%	25.2%	49.6	20,315
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	29.9%	-29.9%	32.5%	39.1%	95.2	12,200
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	29.9%	-29.9%	22.7%	25.1%	68.1	3,214
Valley Center Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	29.9%	-29.9%	47.3%	55.3%	86.6	25,608
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	30.6%	-30.6%	24.7%	29.8%	63.3	9,906
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	Central Coast	31%	0%	Yes	30.6%	-30.6%	36.4%	43.9%	83.3	31,872
Ripon City of	San Joaquin River	33%	0%	Yes	30.7%	-30.7%	32.1%	41.5%	75.3	15,243
California Water Service Company Livermore	San Francisco Bay	21%	0%	Yes	30.9%	-30.9%	29.1%	31.2%	53.1	58,950
Pleasanton City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	31.9%	-31.9%	37.4%	31.3%	55.4	74,850
California Water Service Company Chico District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	32.0%	-32.0%	31.5%	30.8%	65.2	104,889
Merced City of	San Joaquin River	34%	0%	Yes	34.5%	-34.5%	23.4%	21.7%	88.5	83,400
Brawley City of	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	34.9%	-34.9%	60.7%	49.2%	94.2	27,743
Del Oro Water Company	Sacramento River	21%	0%	Yes	36.1%	-36.1%	32.3%	30.2%	54.1	9,546
California Water Service Company Selma	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	36.4%	-36.4%	36.8%	34.0%	62.8	25,885
Cloverdale	North Coast	--	0%	Yes	37.0%	-37.0%	--	42.6%	56.6	8,825
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	37.1%	-37.1%	38.6%	30.0%	87.0	3,406

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

**December 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled January 19, 2017

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Dec 2015	Dec 2016		
Menlo Park City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	38.1%	-38.1%	32.3%	28.9%	47.9	16,066
Benicia City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	39.5%	-39.5%	45.9%	37.5%	52.9	28,086

**December 2016 Non-filers**

The following suppliers did not submit December 2016 data by January 19, 2017:

Calexico City of	Lompoc City of	Tracy City of
Corcoran, City of	Lynwood City of	Ukiah City of
Dinuba City of	Patterson City of	Vernon City of
Garden Grove City of	Rainbow Municipal Water District	Walnut Valley Water District
Grover Beach City of	Scotts Valley Water District	
Hillsborough Town of	South Feather Water and Power Agency	

**November 2016 Non-filers**

The following suppliers did not submit November 2016 data by January 19, 2017:

Calexico City of	Hillsborough Town of	Ukiah City of
Dinuba City of	Lynwood City of	

**October 2016 Non-filers**

The following suppliers did not submit October 2016 data by January 19, 2017:

Ukiah City of
---------------

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through December 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

\*\* A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order