

**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
California City City of	South Lahontan	28%	<b>28%</b>	No	11.1%	16.9% <b>C</b>	-7.8%	5.4%	82.3	14,120
Livingston City of	San Joaquin River	29%	<b>29%</b>	No	14.1%	14.9% <b>A</b>	47.6%	21.1%	79.4	13,849
Yucaipa Valley Water District	South Coast	34%	<b>20%</b>	Yes	8.4%	11.6%	8.8%	0.9%	155.4	45,181
Sanger City of	Tulare Lake	26%	<b>26%</b>	No	17.2%	8.8%	14.4%	16.6%	84.1	25,664
Adelanto City of	South Lahontan	16%	<b>16%</b>	No	8.7%	7.3% <b>C</b>	3.5%	6.6%	82.2	29,514
Red Bluff City of	Sacramento River	33%	<b>33%</b>	No	26.8%	6.2%	23.5%	29.2%	45.0	14,076
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	South Coast	20%	<b>20%</b>	Yes	16.0%	4.0%	14.4%	15.2%	73.0	46,300
Bakman Water Company	Tulare Lake	34%	<b>34%</b>	No	30.1%	3.9%	8.5%	14.2%	108.0	16,756
Fillmore City of	South Coast	26%	<b>26%</b>	No	23.0%	3.0%	12.1%	21.3%	96.8	15,222
Colton, City of	South Coast	18%	<b>11%</b>	Yes	10.3%	0.7%	3.1%	3.2%	86.0	47,429
Los Banos, City of	San Joaquin River	24%	<b>24%</b>	No	23.4%	0.6%	28.0%	20.5%	83.4	37,168
Tehachapi, City of	Tulare Lake	25%	<b>25%</b>	No	24.9%	0.1%	23.6%	10.2%	97.3	8,923
Glendora City of	South Coast	34%	<b>20%</b>	Yes	20.7%	-0.7%	22.4%	11.8%	132.6	51,463
Exeter City of	Tulare Lake	34%	<b>21%</b>	Yes	21.7%	-0.7%	22.5%	12.5%	106.6	10,572
Pico Rivera City of	South Coast	16%	<b>16%</b>	No	16.8%	-0.8%	12.8%	12.5%	67.2	39,002
Hi-Desert Water District	Colorado River	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	0.9%	-0.9%	4.6%	1.6%	63.5	24,722
Orchard Dale Water District	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	0.9%	-0.9%	30.4%	-17.1%	77.0	25,000
San Lorenzo Valley Water District	Central Coast	12%	<b>18%</b>	Yes	19.2%	-1.2%	29.3%	21.5%	76.8	19,000
Brea City of	South Coast	24%	<b>15%</b>	Yes	16.8%	-1.8%	8.6%	14.2%	98.5	43,328
Loma Linda City of	South Coast	30%	<b>11%</b>	Yes	13.1%	-2.1%	14.6%	7.5%	113.4	23,751
Monterey Park City of	South Coast	20%	<b>14%</b>	Yes	16.1%	-2.1%	13.3%	11.2%	73.4	62,183
Arcata City of	North Coast	4%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	2.2%	-2.2%	-3.8%	-1.4%	61.2	18,392
Porterville City of	Tulare Lake	26%	<b>26%</b>	No	28.2%	-2.2%	8.4%	12.6%	89.6	61,946
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	North Coast	4%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	2.2%	-2.2% <b>A</b>	-20.3%	-12.1%	79.4	602
Atwater City of	San Joaquin River	33%	<b>33%</b>	No	35.3%	-2.3%	51.9%	48.3%	105.5	29,500
City of Newman Water Department	San Joaquin River	21%	<b>21%</b>	No	24.2%	-3.2%	35.8%	34.2%	87.8	10,668
Turlock City of	San Joaquin River	29%	<b>16%</b>	Yes	19.9%	-3.9%	33.1%	29.7%	85.4	72,050
Covina City of	South Coast	26%	<b>12%</b>	Yes	16.1%	-4.1%	18.3%	10.2%	98.6	33,300

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order*

**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
Modesto, City of	San Joaquin River	33%	<b>20%</b>	Yes	24.7%	-4.7%	32.2%	31.6%	91.5	223,432
Compton City of	South Coast	8%	<b>8%</b>	No	12.9%	-4.9%	15.3%	3.7%	57.0	81,963
Monrovia City of	South Coast	26%	<b>12%</b>	Yes	17.6%	-5.6%	21.7%	11.0%	109.1	37,406
Imperial, City of	Colorado River	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	5.7%	-5.7%	6.1%	-3.7%	106.4	18,022
Fortuna City of	North Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	5.8%	-5.8%	9.6%	19.3%	63.8	12,032
Vernon City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	5.9%	-5.9% <b>A</b>	16.0%	9.6%	44.1	112
San Buenaventura City of	South Coast	16%	<b>16%</b>	No	22.1%	-6.1%	14.5%	23.8%	65.5	113,478
Paramount City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	6.2%	-6.2%	8.9%	6.6%	59.3	55,302
La Palma City of	South Coast	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	6.5%	-6.5%	16.3%	17.2%	68.4	15,896
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	South Coast	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	6.6%	-6.6%	-12.7%	-6.1%	42.1	25,755
Nipomo Community Services District	Central Coast	28%	<b>23%</b>	Yes	30.0%	-7.0%	33.6%	37.2%	84.1	13,351
Daly City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>4%</b>	Yes	11.3%	-7.3%	6.6%	15.2%	48.4	109,139
Valley County Water District	South Coast	14%	<b>10%</b>	Yes	17.6%	-7.6%	16.5%	9.2%	74.0	55,703
El Monte City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	8.0%	-8.0%	11.0%	5.3%	48.9	22,968
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	South Coast	36%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	8.0%	-8.0%	12.0%	-7.9%	284.4	22,264
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	8.1%	-8.1%	3.7%	4.5%	48.7	65,492
Humboldt Community Service District	North Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	8.3%	-8.3%	4.0%	10.6%	48.9	22,842
Lathrop, City of	San Joaquin River	18%	<b>18%</b>	No	26.3%	-8.3%	24.0%	25.3%	49.9	20,353
Bakersfield City of	Tulare Lake	31%	<b>9%</b>	Yes	17.4%	-8.4%	31.1%	22.2%	170.8	147,199
Arroyo Grande City of	Central Coast	28%	<b>28%</b>	No	36.5%	-8.5%	40.7%	41.6%	68.3	17,636
Riverbank City of	San Joaquin River	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	8.7%	-8.7%	1.3%	-14.8%	109.4	23,734
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	8.7%	-8.7%	-3.3%	2.5%	39.7	33,124
Mesa Water District	South Coast	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	8.9%	-8.9%	5.6%	3.1%	73.4	110,000
Crescent City City of	North Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	9.0%	-9.0%	8.0%	3.2%	59.3	18,382
Big Bear City Community Services District	South Coast	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	9.2%	-9.2%	1.6%	4.0%	45.8	12,411
Norwalk City of	South Coast	17%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	9.3%	-9.3%	9.3%	2.5%	94.1	18,361
San Luis Obispo City of	Central Coast	12%	<b>12%</b>	No	21.4%	-9.4%	22.2%	23.4%	49.0	46,117
Yuba City City of	Sacramento River	28%	<b>15%</b>	Yes	24.5%	-9.5%	26.0%	30.2%	75.4	73,202

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order*

**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by missed standard %)

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
El Segundo City of	South Coast	20%	<b>2%</b>	Yes	11.6%	-9.6%	3.0%	20.0%	78.3	16,654
El Centro City of	Colorado River	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	9.7%	-9.7%	6.3%	2.4%	94.1	45,170
South Gate City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	9.9%	-9.9%	6.6%	12.8%	50.2	88,654
Inglewood City of	South Coast	11%	<b>5%</b>	Yes	14.9%	-9.9%	11.9%	11.6%	61.8	86,418
McKinleyville Community Service District	North Coast	4%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	9.9%	-9.9%	17.0%	4.7%	57.0	16,900
West Kern Water District	Tulare Lake	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.2%	-10.2% <b>A</b>	9.9%	17.3%	122.1	20,591
Palmdale Water District	South Lahontan	28%	<b>10%</b>	Yes	20.4%	-10.4%	20.0%	12.9%	87.2	116,530
California-American Water Company San Diego District	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.5%	-10.5%	23.2%	2.8%	60.4	94,043
Vista Irrigation District	South Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.6%	-10.6%	12.3%	-3.4%	75.4	127,699
Estero Municipal Improvement District	San Francisco Bay	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.7%	-10.7%	21.2%	6.7%	51.8	37,339
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	South Lahontan	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	10.9%	-10.9%	12.0%	8.1%	65.9	20,913
Ceres City of	San Joaquin River	26%	<b>13%</b>	Yes	24.1%	-11.1%	23.8%	22.4%	64.8	47,639
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.1%	-11.1%	11.8%	12.2%	55.7	44,466
Lompoc City of	Central Coast	12%	<b>12%</b>	No	23.2%	-11.2%	32.6%	36.2%	65.0	41,541
Crestline Village Water District	South Lahontan	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.3%	-11.3%	12.4%	12.8%	48.0	7,709
Mammoth Community Water District	South Lahontan	17%	<b>17%</b>	No	28.4%	-11.4%	-1.5%	11.0%	29.7	16,739
Golden State Water Company Southwest	South Coast	9%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.7%	-11.7%	7.5%	9.6%	58.0	271,677
Mission Springs Water District	Colorado River	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	11.8%	-11.8%	-4.5%	-8.1%	113.0	37,600
Greenfield, City of	Central Coast	14%	<b>5%</b>	Yes	17.1%	-12.1%	27.3%	23.3%	53.9	17,898
Hawthorne City of	South Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	12.2%	-12.2%	4.0%	10.9%	63.2	44,599
Golden State Water Company Placentia	South Coast	17%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	12.3%	-12.3%	16.1%	14.0%	80.7	46,543
Eureka City of	North Coast	4%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	12.4%	-12.4%	9.3%	7.7%	55.9	27,052
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	South Coast	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	12.6%	-12.6%	19.6%	5.3%	170.7	43,111
Seal Beach City of	South Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	12.7%	-12.7%	2.6%	12.8%	49.7	25,561
Folsom City of	Sacramento River	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	12.8%	-12.8%	32.2%	27.9%	106.2	63,951
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.1%	-13.1%	10.8%	10.6%	51.8	57,934
San Diego City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.1%	-13.1%	13.8%	7.3%	58.4	1,339,958
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.2%	-13.2%	13.3%	14.7%	40.3	859,276

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order*

**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
Golden State Water Company Artesia	South Coast	9%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.3%	-13.3%	9.5%	13.1%	63.5	47,978
Casitas Municipal Water District	South Coast	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.4%	-13.4%	-10.2%	25.5%	155.3	9,944
Azusa City of	South Coast	18%	<b>3%</b>	Yes	16.6%	-13.6%	14.3%	8.7%	76.8	106,400
North Tahoe Public Utility District	North Lahontan	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.7%	-13.7%	19.8%	6.7%	80.9	7,500
Oxnard City of	South Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.7%	-13.7%	12.6%	15.2%	43.4	196,720
Pinedale County Water District	Tulare Lake	14%	<b>14%</b>	No	27.7%	-13.7%	30.4%	24.9%	83.8	16,735
Shasta Lake City of	Sacramento River	25%	<b>10%</b>	Yes	23.8%	-13.8%	22.6%	31.7%	77.5	10,293
Long Beach City of	South Coast	9%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.8%	-13.8%	11.6%	12.5%	58.8	487,603
California Water Service Company Dominguez	South Coast	15%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.8%	-13.8%	17.2%	13.7%	63.5	142,777
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	South Coast	10%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.8%	-13.8%	9.6%	11.3%	59.7	27,656
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	South Coast	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.8%	-13.8%	11.0%	4.7%	114.2	96,139
Huntington Park City of	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.9%	-13.9%	12.9%	11.5%	42.9	64,219
Corona City of	South Coast	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.9%	-13.9%	12.6%	8.2%	105.2	168,070
Mountain House Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.9%	-13.9%	9.6%	20.7%	98.5	15,885
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	13.9%	-13.9%	10.7%	-1.9%	130.3	84,351
Yreka, City of	North Coast	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	11.0%	12.1%	51.3	7,840
Hesperia Water District City of	South Lahontan	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	15.0%	2.2%	112.1	93,226
Jurupa Community Service District	South Coast	23%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	8.2%	7.8%	115.4	118,731
Bella Vista Water District	Sacramento River	33%	<b>33%</b>	No	47.1%	-14.1%	51.8%	61.7%	77.8	18,426
Coachella City of	Colorado River	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.1%	-14.1%	18.8%	10.8%	84.3	45,407
Vaughn Water Company	Tulare Lake	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.2%	-14.2%	24.9%	9.9%	243.5	31,641
Hollister City of	Central Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.3%	-14.3%	-40.4%	-40.4%	70.0	21,068
Sierra Madre City of	South Coast	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.4%	-14.4%	3.7%	13.6%	150.0	11,100
Lee Lake Water District	South Coast	31%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.4%	-14.4%	24.8%	14.7%	108.6	15,543
La Habra City of Public Works	South Coast	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.5%	-14.5%	8.2%	3.9%	87.7	63,118
Golden State Water Company Culver City	South Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.5%	-14.5%	15.2%	14.2%	66.2	36,215
Riverside City of	South Coast	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.5%	-14.5%	12.2%	11.0%	92.9	301,871
East Palo Alto, City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>8%</b>	No	22.6%	-14.6%	39.6%	39.0%	41.6	29,143

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order*

**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by missed standard %)

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
Valley Water Company	South Coast	34%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.7%	-14.7%	16.4%	14.0%	235.6	9,900
Rowland Water District	South Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.7%	-14.7%	7.4%	10.7%	70.2	62,106
Eastern Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.8%	-14.8%	8.1%	8.9%	82.3	559,791
Otay Water District	South Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.8%	-14.8%	18.4%	4.3%	75.2	220,200
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	South Lahontan	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.8%	-14.8%	14.5%	20.0%	32.5	20,054
Chino City of	South Coast	21%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.8%	-14.8%	15.5%	11.0%	86.0	74,639
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	South Coast	14%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	14.9%	-14.9%	10.1%	13.4%	68.1	4,103,572
Vallecitos Water District	South Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.1%	-15.1%	22.8%	0.8%	76.7	97,757
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.1%	-15.1%	17.6%	10.1%	94.9	86,596
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.1%	-15.1%	12.4%	13.6%	39.4	158,775
Fairfield City of	Sacramento River	19%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.2%	-15.2%	23.9%	25.8%	68.1	108,392
Oceanside City of	South Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.2%	-15.2%	-0.1%	-5.9%	70.4	175,948
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.3%	-15.3%	6.4%	10.7%	51.7	32,776
Santa Fe Irrigation District	South Coast	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.3%	-15.3%	12.7%	-4.1%	334.5	19,839
Ontario City of	South Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.3%	-15.3%	15.1%	11.2%	81.5	168,777
Lodi City of Public Works Department	San Joaquin River	30%	<b>6%</b>	Yes	21.3%	-15.3%	30.1%	30.5%	74.3	63,719
Laguna Beach County Water District	South Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	17.0%	15.9%	92.2	19,121
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	South Coast	35%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.5%	-15.5%	17.9%	9.7%	131.3	75,384
Irvine Ranch Water District	South Coast	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.6%	-15.6%	18.3%	7.8%	61.4	396,470
Goleta Water District	Central Coast	12%	<b>12%</b>	No	27.6%	-15.6%	17.3%	31.9%	46.2	86,946
Vallejo City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.7%	-15.7%	16.8%	20.4%	61.8	124,000
Anaheim City of	South Coast	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.7%	-15.7%	9.2%	9.2%	74.8	360,972
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	South Coast	27%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.8%	-15.8%	10.2%	9.7%	83.1	152,100
Helix Water District	South Coast	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.8%	-15.8%	10.1%	7.4%	76.0	271,759
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	South Coast	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.8%	-15.8%	11.0%	9.7%	107.9	122,998
Huntington Beach City of	South Coast	13%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.8%	-15.8%	18.2%	19.2%	80.3	196,041
Wasco City of	Tulare Lake	32%	<b>17%</b>	Yes	32.9%	-15.9%	18.4%	19.0%	84.4	21,170
Garden Grove City of	South Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	15.9%	-15.9%	0.2%	6.2%	88.2	177,020

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order*

**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
Moulton Niguel Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	8.2%	10.2%	90.5	172,068
Calaveras County Water District	San Joaquin River	13%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	24.8%	19.3%	96.8	20,698
Lomita City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	13.3%	17.9%	75.4	20,463
Santa Ana City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	12.7%	14.6%	57.0	332,005
North Marin Water District	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	36.5%	41.6%	59.6	61,299
California-American Water Company Monterey District	Central Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.1%	-16.1%	25.6%	22.2%	53.8	91,884
Marin Municipal Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	16.2%	-16.2%	22.1%	30.4%	62.6	189,400
Camarillo City of	South Coast	20%	3%	Yes	19.2%	-16.2%	9.3%	18.4%	82.4	47,820
Sweetwater Authority	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.3%	-16.3%	18.7%	7.0%	58.5	188,886
Coastside County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	16.4%	-16.4%	28.9%	32.8%	36.5	16,704
La Verne City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	16.4%	-16.4%	9.5%	1.4%	102.6	32,228
Soledad, City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	23.8%	23.6%	70.3	16,729
Delano City of	Tulare Lake	21%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	17.1%	10.2%	58.3	55,459
Arvin Community Services District	Tulare Lake	21%	0%	Yes	16.6%	-16.6%	54.5%	18.1%	58.0	20,499
Coalinga City of	Tulare Lake	30%	5%	Yes	21.6%	-16.6%	37.3%	3.6%	134.6	16,529
Montebello Land and Water Company	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	16.6%	-16.6%	12.3%	15.2%	62.8	32,219
Reedley City of	Tulare Lake	22%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	15.3%	1.9%	62.7	24,194
Trabuco Canyon Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	15.8%	3.7%	128.0	13,175
Santa Margarita Water District	South Coast	23%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	6.8%	7.9%	88.1	159,408
Westminster City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	17.3%	16.2%	63.9	96,046
San Dieguito Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	9.2%	12.3%	100.3	37,376
Susanville City of	North Lahontan	33%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	42.2%	47.4%	100.9	8,497
Joshua Basin Water District	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	6.6%	6.1%	76.3	9,514
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	17.6%	13.9%	64.9	5,327
Sunnyvale City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	5%	Yes	22.0%	-17.0%	29.2%	29.5%	47.4	148,372
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	South Coast	19%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	14.9%	17.9%	73.9	96,014
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	18.0%	4.2%	90.8	9,018
Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	15.4%	11.1%	98.2	166,545

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order*

**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by missed standard %)

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
Port Hueneme City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	14.5%	17.2%	61.6	21,555
San Bernardino County Service Area 70J	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	15.5%	5.0%	70.8	11,685
Golden State Water Company West Orange	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	13.7%	11.4%	65.2	111,904
Cerritos City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	16.0%	17.7%	104.9	49,041
Hemet City of	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	17.4%	-17.4%	2.5%	2.6%	71.7	29,982
Perris, City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	17.5%	-17.5%	17.6%	-0.1%	139.8	9,000
Shafter City of	Tulare Lake	32%	0%	Yes	17.5%	-17.5%	20.6%	10.3%	117.4	19,347
Santa Cruz City of	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	25.5%	-17.5%	29.1%	29.9%	36.7	94,887
Whittier City of	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	17.6%	-17.6%	12.1%	29.3%	69.6	49,954
Tulare, City of	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	17.6%	-17.6%	25.2%	23.5%	92.1	63,837
Fullerton City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	17.6%	-17.6%	10.9%	12.9%	84.6	138,000
Orange City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	24.6%	14.4%	93.5	140,094
Newport Beach City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	15.5%	17.4%	99.5	66,550
Rubidoux Community Service District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	17.7%	16.5%	89.4	32,200
Coachella Valley Water District	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	22.3%	23.4%	199.9	286,861
Hanford City of	Tulare Lake	23%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	25.3%	18.6%	100.0	59,338
Newhall County Water District	South Coast	25%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	10.0%	13.4%	126.6	45,036
San Juan Capistrano City of	South Coast	27%	0%	Yes	18.0%	-18.0%	10.9%	6.2%	118.8	39,189
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	26.6%	5.2%	167.0	9,600
Cucamonga Valley Water District	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	17.1%	10.1%	114.8	204,563
California Water Service Company Visalia	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	33.6%	18.7%	92.9	141,731
Blythe City of	Colorado River	28%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	13.7%	13.0%	104.0	13,839
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	South Coast	26%	15%	Yes	33.2%	-18.2%	32.6%	33.5%	106.1	52,914
Clovis City of	Tulare Lake	33%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	36.1%	21.8%	111.1	111,927
California Water Service Company Marysville	Sacramento River	21%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	25.9%	19.6%	69.3	12,194
Fallbrook Public Utility District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	23.3%	21.3%	51.3	34,894
Nevada Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	32.0%	33.3%	94.4	44,761
Placer County Water Agency	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	36.3%	34.8%	93.0	98,128

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

\*\* A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order

**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
San Fernando City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	12.9%	13.7%	81.7	24,560
Pico Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	18.0%	13.4%	86.3	25,284
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	24.0%	23.4%	110.9	207,833
Windsor, Town of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	26.3%	37.0%	49.0	27,183
Banning City of	Colorado River	28%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	18.9%	9.1%	109.7	30,325
Lamont Public Utility District	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	16.1%	13.6%	94.1	19,057
Camrosa Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	9.2%	19.8%	116.6	35,783
El Dorado Irrigation District	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	39.5%	37.6%	89.6	118,500
Tracy City of	San Joaquin River	25%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	28.7%	20.2%	83.6	88,028
Chino Hills City of	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	27.4%	20.7%	106.5	77,596
Santa Maria City of	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	20.4%	21.2%	60.1	102,087
Rohnert Park City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	7.9%	23.0%	58.0	43,398
San Gabriel County Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	14.3%	17.2%	75.7	45,000
Rialto City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	16.4%	17.4%	85.4	54,453
Crescenta Valley Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	21.4%	13.9%	95.8	31,966
Lakewood City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	19.3%	16.3%	91.1	59,081
Downey City of	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	20.9%	22.3%	77.6	111,931
Manhattan Beach City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	14.9%	13.9%	80.1	35,996
Pittsburg City of	San Francisco Bay	19%	0%	Yes	18.9%	-18.9%	19.5%	24.2%	61.1	67,628
West Valley Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	18.9%	-18.9%	20.5%	27.2%	99.6	81,878
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	18.9%	-18.9%	21.9%	5.4%	74.1	88,995
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	19.0%	-19.0%	24.7%	25.4%	55.8	1,400,000
Indio City of	Colorado River	27%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	20.5%	22.1%	121.1	88,411
Oakdale City of	San Joaquin River	30%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	45.8%	23.9%	104.7	21,442
Amador Water Agency	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	6.9%	14.1%	48.7	23,747
Watsonville City of	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	22.5%	22.1%	52.1	65,966
Glendale City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	16.4%	17.3%	79.0	193,300
Redwood City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	14.2%	12.0%	54.3	87,696

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order*



**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
Park Water Company	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	20.0%	8.8%	41.5	125,784
Santa Paula City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	11.8%	15.3%	99.8	30,009
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	16.2%	15.9%	89.4	115,000
Norco City of	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	10.6%	16.7%	138.6	25,890
East Niles Community Service District	Tulare Lake	33%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	29.4%	14.5%	148.3	31,772
Redlands City of	South Coast	33%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	7.7%	8.7%	139.3	85,276
Martinez City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	29.0%	25.1%	34.9	35,375
San Juan Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	39.5%	53.0%	115.9	32,779
San Bernardino City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	16.6%	10.2%	94.6	199,634
Rancho California Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	20.8%	9.5%	142.1	149,375
Rosamond Community Service District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	-6.2%	2.6%	83.7	17,700
Buena Park City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	19.9%	18.1%	72.3	83,347
Kerman, City of	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	31.3%	26.1%	85.8	14,359
California Water Service Company Stockton	San Joaquin River	18%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	24.1%	23.1%	50.9	171,534
Santa Rosa City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	27.4%	31.7%	45.4	173,071
Santa Fe Springs City of	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	13.3%	13.8%	67.3	18,199
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	18.1%	9.2%	100.9	54,872
Fountain Valley City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	17.0%	16.2%	68.6	59,227
Santa Clara City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	29.9%	22.6%	55.4	120,973
Desert Water Agency	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	27.9%	20.8%	171.5	106,052
Lincoln City of	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	35.3%	37.1%	76.5	47,339
Lakeside Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	20.5%	9.7%	78.5	33,657
Milpitas City of	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	22.7%	20.9%	63.6	70,817
Santa Monica City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	20.0%	21.6%	68.2	93,640
Upland City of	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	11.4%	15.2%	128.5	76,469
Pomona City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	20.4%	-20.4%	21.8%	14.1%	64.6	155,604
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	20.4%	-20.4%	21.3%	8.9%	80.4	16,126
Napa City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	30.0%	38.2%	53.2	86,848

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order*

**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by missed standard %)

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
Riverside Highland Water Company	South Coast	33%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	23.2%	9.0%	136.1	15,795
Davis City of	Sacramento River	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	32.1%	33.0%	67.8	68,320
Golden State Water Company Cordova	Sacramento River	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	30.1%	28.9%	118.5	43,767
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	San Francisco Bay	12%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	19.7%	20.3%	48.6	22,258
Fresno City of	Tulare Lake	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	30.2%	17.9%	113.6	520,453
Beverly Hills City of	South Coast	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	13.3%	18.9%	112.6	51,403
Stockton City of	San Joaquin River	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	24.3%	29.0%	66.2	177,808
Victorville Water District	South Lahontan	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.7%	-20.7%	17.1%	12.8%	85.6	121,667
Valencia Water Company	South Coast	21%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.7%	-20.7%	10.8%	14.3%	95.3	97,300
East Valley Water District	South Coast	22%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.9%	-20.9%	15.9%	7.4%	104.3	104,457
Golden State Water Company Barstow	South Lahontan	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.9%	-20.9%	16.4%	8.7%	67.5	31,209
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	South Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	20.9%	-20.9%	18.5%	21.1%	98.9	90,687
Sunny Slope Water Company	South Coast	22%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.0%	-21.0%	21.2%	19.2%	79.8	30,700
Linda County Water District	Sacramento River	29%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.0%	-21.0%	27.2%	14.4%	94.7	18,808
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	South Coast	36%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.0%	-21.0%	18.2%	17.5%	170.4	70,024
Sweetwater Springs Water District	North Coast	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.0%	-21.0%	19.0%	23.9%	51.8	7,807
Sonoma City of	San Francisco Bay	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	23.9%	37.4%	62.5	11,579
Soquel Creek Water District	Central Coast	8%	<b>8%</b>	No	29.1%	-21.1%	28.6%	28.6%	44.3	40,398
Hayward City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	18.2%	17.4%	51.6	158,985
Millbrae City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	20.7%	36.4%	52.0	21,532
Marina Coast Water District	Central Coast	11%	<b>11%</b>	No	32.1%	-21.1%	34.0%	23.0%	57.7	34,235
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	South Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	22.8%	17.9%	89.9	209,035
San Bruno City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	27.0%	25.8%	47.4	44,409
San Clemente City of	South Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	20.2%	13.1%	82.8	51,385
Ramona Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	20.9%	13.3%	103.1	36,564
Healdsburg City of	North Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.3%	-21.3%	23.7%	32.4%	62.5	11,815
Indian Wells Valley Water District	South Lahontan	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.3%	-21.3%	22.0%	21.1%	130.9	31,120
Sacramento County Water Agency	Sacramento River	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	21.3%	-21.3%	40.4%	35.9%	75.9	179,806

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order*

**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by missed standard %)

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
Monte Vista Water District	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	18.0%	18.6%	75.7	55,995
Escondido City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	16.5%	20.2%	71.3	137,941
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	21.7%	10.6%	95.9	14,156
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	17.3%	17.5%	76.3	178,500
Redding City of	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	21.7%	-21.7%	26.6%	39.0%	87.4	91,207
Palo Alto City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	21.7%	-21.7%	36.4%	28.6%	70.9	64,403
Madera City of	San Joaquin River	26%	0%	Yes	22.0%	-22.0%	26.4%	23.2%	66.2	65,474
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	22.1%	-22.1%	29.6%	16.4%	113.7	279,581
Sacramento Suburban Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	14.2%	22.8%	60.2	176,718
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	23.1%	22.5%	107.0	101,777
Tuolumne Utilities District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	28.8%	23.6%	70.2	30,809
Roseville City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	37.0%	39.6%	69.6	129,262
Rio Vista, city of	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	36.1%	45.9%	91.1	8,324
Woodland City of	Sacramento River	20%	0%	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	23.8%	27.0%	63.9	57,223
California-American Water Ventura District	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	16.2%	20.5%	110.2	63,423
Groveland Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	24.8%	20.0%	74.7	3,400
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	22.9%	-22.9%	20.4%	26.1%	34.8	61,762
Burbank City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	22.9%	-22.9%	24.0%	19.1%	98.6	105,110
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	27.7%	23.3%	81.0	43,351
Morro Bay City of	Central Coast	12%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	13.9%	25.2%	54.9	10,284
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	27.5%	29.3%	49.6	135,541
Lemoore City of	Tulare Lake	28%	0%	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	14.0%	40.4%	58.3	25,325
Arcadia City of	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	23.1%	15.6%	130.9	57,639
Tustin City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	20.8%	32.8%	86.4	67,700
Thousand Oaks City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	18.4%	23.9%	100.6	51,609
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	23.4%	-23.4%	18.6%	19.8%	77.2	26,827
South Coast Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	22.1%	16.2%	90.8	35,004

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order*

**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
Diablo Water District	San Joaquin River	23%	0%	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	35.0%	29.6%	61.3	40,793
Alameda County Water District	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	25.6%	25.1%	55.7	349,000
Mid-Peninsula Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	27.0%	29.0%	63.0	26,924
Sunnyslope County Water District	Central Coast	26%	0%	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	27.3%	34.9%	71.3	20,003
Elk Grove Water Service	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	23.7%	-23.7%	37.9%	43.9%	58.9	44,764
Oildale Mutual Water Company	Tulare Lake	31%	0%	Yes	23.7%	-23.7%	27.5%	12.9%	136.0	34,133
Poway City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	23.8%	-23.8%	22.5%	10.9%	119.7	48,774
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	Central Coast	28%	0%	Yes	24.0%	-24.0%	29.9%	32.8%	67.4	31,592
California Water Service Company Salinas District	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	24.0%	-24.0%	20.2%	19.2%	48.2	122,738
Scotts Valley Water District	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	24.0%	-24.0%	30.9%	29.3%	53.2	10,509
Manteca City of	San Joaquin River	27%	0%	Yes	24.1%	-24.1%	31.0%	30.0%	70.5	77,389
Alhambra City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	24.1%	-24.1%	18.7%	17.9%	82.2	85,068
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	24.2%	-24.2%	13.9%	9.6%	60.2	271,817
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	24.2%	-24.2%	13.8%	24.8%	112.8	38,703
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	24.2%	-24.2%	27.5%	41.5%	222.2	8,948
Discovery Bay Community Services District	San Joaquin River	29%	0%	Yes	24.3%	-24.3%	37.3%	41.0%	97.4	15,095
Alco Water Service	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	24.3%	-24.3%	26.1%	26.3%	85.3	29,773
Santa Barbara City of	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	36.3%	-24.3%	30.3%	35.2%	65.9	93,426
Golden State Water Company Claremont	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	24.4%	-24.4%	17.6%	11.2%	125.3	37,160
Valley of the Moon Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	24.5%	-24.5%	32.6%	38.3%	64.0	23,782
Vacaville City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	24.6%	-24.6%	-41.1%	32.0%	73.6	90,194
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	24.6%	-24.6%	23.0%	13.0%	101.7	29,955
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	0%	Yes	24.7%	-24.7%	11.4%	17.7%	51.3	3,738
Olivehurst Public Utility District	Sacramento River	33%	10%	Yes	34.7%	-24.7%	44.7%	55.1%	84.0	19,509
California Water Service Company Westlake	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	24.7%	-24.7%	25.9%	22.6%	194.9	19,459
Gilroy City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	24.7%	-24.7%	29.6%	27.4%	58.1	55,783
Paso Robles City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	24.9%	-24.9%	32.1%	37.6%	85.4	30,522
Citrus Heights Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	25.1%	-25.1%	39.6%	38.4%	89.1	65,093

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order*

**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	South Lahontan	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	25.2%	-25.2%	14.1%	9.3%	78.3	62,602
Carmichael Water District	Sacramento River	33%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	25.2%	-25.2%	39.3%	42.6%	94.4	37,897
Quartz Hill Water District	South Lahontan	32%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	25.2%	-25.2%	29.1%	20.4%	137.7	20,352
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	Sacramento River	33%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	25.3%	-25.3%	34.2%	37.0%	95.1	10,996
Burlingame City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	25.3%	-25.3%	31.6%	27.5%	59.5	31,109
Cambria Community Services District	Central Coast	8%	<b>8%</b>	No	33.4%	-25.4%	31.2%	14.0%	38.1	6,032
Fair Oaks Water District	Sacramento River	33%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	25.5%	-25.5%	46.5%	49.6%	90.9	36,226
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	San Francisco Bay	36%	<b>2%</b>	Yes	27.5%	-25.5%	42.4%	44.7%	72.8	69,852
Contra Costa Water District	San Francisco Bay	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	25.7%	-25.7%	25.0%	24.9%	93.0	199,680
Antioch City of	San Joaquin River	27%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	25.9%	-25.9%	31.1%	31.2%	68.5	112,968
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	Sacramento River	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.0%	-26.0%	38.8%	42.3%	86.0	21,441
South Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.0%	-26.0%	21.0%	20.5%	134.0	26,174
North Coast County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.1%	-26.1%	11.5%	-2.7%	41.1	39,000
Petaluma City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.3%	-26.3%	25.5%	35.3%	52.7	61,955
Yorba Linda Water District	South Coast	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.3%	-26.3%	25.9%	19.8%	130.1	78,539
Mountain View City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.3%	-26.3%	37.1%	32.5%	44.7	76,448
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	San Francisco Bay	17%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.4%	-26.4%	36.8%	38.4%	62.0	28,782
Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.4%	-26.4%	31.2%	33.9%	69.9	485,683
San Jacinto City of	South Coast	30%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.4%	-26.4%	21.6%	14.2%	92.7	17,961
El Toro Water District	South Coast	24%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.5%	-26.5%	22.7%	23.3%	81.0	48,628
California Water Service Company King City	Central Coast	9%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.7%	-26.7%	28.8%	23.4%	40.6	15,187
San Jose City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.7%	-26.7%	30.8%	28.0%	57.2	113,700
Morgan Hill City of	Central Coast	27%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	26.9%	-26.9%	45.7%	43.4%	60.1	40,807
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	San Francisco Bay	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.0%	-27.0%	31.3%	35.6%	53.2	29,510
San Jose Water Company	San Francisco Bay	20%	<b>2%</b>	Yes	29.2%	-27.2%	33.4%	33.5%	54.2	990,000
Valley Center Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.5%	-27.5%	25.4%	17.7%	148.9	25,608
Westborough Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	27.9%	-27.9%	24.6%	8.3%	54.6	14,050
Kingsburg, City of	Tulare Lake	34%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	28.0%	-28.0%	46.3%	26.4%	144.6	11,685

\* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

\*\* *A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order*

**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)**

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	Sacramento River	17%	0%	Yes	28.1%	-28.1%	31.4%	36.9%	57.3	203,851
California Water Service Company Oroville	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	28.1%	-28.1%	32.0%	41.0%	43.0	11,063
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	San Francisco Bay	32%	0%	Yes	28.1%	-28.1%	42.3%	40.7%	72.8	69,884
East Orange County Water District	South Coast	29%	0%	Yes	28.1%	-28.1%	20.2%	23.7%	176.3	3,257
Carpinteria Valley Water District	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	28.1%	-28.1%	26.7%	32.3%	67.4	14,616
Orange Vale Water Company	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	28.3%	-28.3%	44.8%	49.0%	84.9	16,785
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	28.4%	-28.4%	33.7%	32.8%	49.6	100,731
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	28.7%	-28.7%	24.1%	27.4%	117.4	12,200
California Water Service Company Willows	Sacramento River	26%	0%	Yes	28.9%	-28.9%	29.1%	34.2%	65.7	7,094
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	Central Coast	31%	0%	Yes	29.1%	-29.1%	36.3%	31.1%	110.2	31,872
Galt City of	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	29.3%	-29.3%	31.7%	42.7%	83.1	24,289
Brentwood City of	San Joaquin River	28%	0%	Yes	29.5%	-29.5%	39.5%	38.8%	71.6	58,784
Dublin San Ramon Services District	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	29.8%	-29.8%	31.6%	31.7%	72.1	84,174
Ripon City of	San Joaquin River	33%	0%	Yes	29.8%	-29.8%	34.2%	47.0%	88.3	15,243
American Canyon, City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	30.2%	-30.2%	32.4%	34.7%	54.2	20,315
Paradise Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	30.3%	-30.3%	18.6%	22.8%	82.0	26,032
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	30.5%	-30.5%	21.4%	18.7%	64.8	3,215
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	30.6%	-30.6%	32.5%	39.4%	62.7	10,020
California Water Service Company Livermore	San Francisco Bay	21%	0%	Yes	30.9%	-30.9%	41.3%	43.2%	59.4	58,926
Pleasanton City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	32.0%	-32.0%	38.4%	43.0%	64.1	74,850
California Water Service Company Chico District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	32.1%	-32.1%	40.2%	42.6%	71.8	104,939
Brawley City of	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	33.1%	-33.1%	48.2%	43.8%	109.8	27,743
Merced City of	San Joaquin River	34%	0%	Yes	35.4%	-35.4%	37.1%	35.9%	100.9	83,400
Cloverdale	North Coast	--	0%	Yes	36.4%	-36.4%	--	51.9%	58.8	8,825
California Water Service Company Selma	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	36.6%	-36.6%	41.4%	34.8%	73.7	25,912
Del Oro Water Company	Sacramento River	21%	0%	Yes	36.7%	-36.7%	29.4%	42.0%	57.2	9,546
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	37.7%	-37.7%	62.4%	31.3%	106.3	3,412
Menlo Park City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	38.8%	-38.8%	35.6%	40.4%	48.3	16,066

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

\*\* A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order

**November 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance** (by missed standard %)

Data pulled December 20, 2016

393 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Nov 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Nov 2015	Nov 2016		
Benicia City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	39.8%	-39.8%	44.0%	44.1%	51.2	28,086
Patterson City of	San Joaquin River	25%	<b>0%</b>	Yes	40.8%	-40.8%	39.7%	60.9%	52.2	22,590

**November 2016 Non-filers**

The following suppliers did not submit November 2016 data by December 20, 2016:

Anderson, City of	Lynwood City of	Twentynine Palms Water District
Calexico City of	Montecito Water District	Ukiah City of
Corcoran, City of	Pismo Beach City of	Walnut Valley Water District
Dinuba City of	Rainbow Municipal Water District	West Sacramento City of
Grover Beach City of	South Feather Water and Power Agency	
Hillsborough Town of	Torrance City of	

**October 2016 Non-filers**

The following suppliers did not submit October 2016 data by December 20, 2016:

Rainbow Municipal Water District	Torrance City of
South Feather Water and Power Agency	Ukiah City of

**September 2016 Non-filers**

The following suppliers did not submit September 2016 data by December 20, 2016:

South Feather Water and Power Agency
Torrance City of

\* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through November 2016 Start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

\*\* A – Alternative Compliance Order; C – Conservation Order