

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
California City City of	South Lahontan	28%	28%	No	10.6%	17.4% C	13.3%	23.0%	61.3	14,120
Livingston City of	San Joaquin River	29%	29%	No	13.6%	15.4% A	10.7%	3.8%	56.5	13,849
Yucaipa Valley Water District	South Coast	34%	20%	Yes	10.5%	9.5%	29.5%	31.8%	83.2	45,105
Sanger City of	Tulare Lake	26%	26%	No	16.7%	9.3%	9.2%	-3.5%	72.2	25,664
Adelanto City of	South Lahontan	16%	16%	No	8.9%	7.1% C	37.7%	16.0%	48.8	29,841
Red Bluff City of	Sacramento River	33%	33%	No	26.5%	6.5%	24.9%	17.9%	71.0	14,076
Bakman Water Company	Tulare Lake	34%	34%	No	29.8%	4.2%	-5.6%	9.6%	80.2	16,756
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	South Coast	20%	20%	Yes	16.3%	3.7%	16.6%	19.7%	64.4	46,300
Fillmore City of	South Coast	26%	26%	No	23.4%	2.6%	20.0%	28.8%	68.2	15,222
Colton, City of	South Coast	18%	11%	Yes	9.2%	1.8%	15.1%	4.0%	58.0	47,429
Los Banos, City of	San Joaquin River	24%	24%	No	22.4%	1.6%	6.0%	6.2%	68.2	37,168
Exeter City of	Tulare Lake	34%	21%	Yes	19.6%	1.4%	-0.2%	-2.4%	68.3	10,572
San Lorenzo Valley Water District	Central Coast	12%	18%	Yes	17.6%	0.4%	23.7%	12.2%	72.6	19,000
Atwater City of	San Joaquin River	33%	33%	No	33.6%	-0.6%	15.0%	11.0%	83.4	29,500
Pico Rivera City of	South Coast	16%	16%	No	16.9%	-0.9%	13.3%	16.2%	53.2	39,002
Porterville City of	Tulare Lake	26%	26%	No	27.2%	-1.2%	11.5%	9.5%	71.6	61,946
Riverbank City of	San Joaquin River	30%	0%	Yes	1.3%	-1.3%	-51.7%	-89.3%	89.2	23,298
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	1.4%	-1.4% A	-2.2%	18.9%	48.4	602
Glendora City of	South Coast	34%	20%	Yes	21.5%	-1.5%	23.6%	32.6%	66.6	51,463
Arcata City of	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	2.1%	-2.1%	11.7%	-5.4%	45.4	18,392
Monterey Park City of	South Coast	20%	14%	Yes	16.2%	-2.2%	13.6%	16.7%	61.7	62,183
City of Newman Water Department	San Joaquin River	21%	21%	No	23.7%	-2.7%	2.3%	5.1%	69.2	10,668
Hi-Desert Water District	Colorado River	13%	0%	Yes	2.9%	-2.9%	11.5%	17.9%	55.7	24,722
Orchard Dale Water District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	3.2%	-3.2%	10.4%	16.0%	40.9	25,000
Paramount City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	3.6%	-3.6%	20.2%	-0.5%	50.7	55,302
Loma Linda City of	South Coast	30%	11%	Yes	15.1%	-4.1%	18.2%	28.0%	72.3	23,751
Modesto, City of	San Joaquin River	33%	20%	Yes	24.3%	-4.3%	16.9%	17.4%	84.3	223,432
Turlock City of	San Joaquin River	29%	16%	Yes	20.3%	-4.3%	15.2%	12.4%	63.9	72,050

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
Compton City of	South Coast	8%	8%	No	12.8%	-4.8%	12.8%	17.6%	44.6	81,963
Covina City of	South Coast	26%	12%	Yes	17.2%	-5.2%	15.6%	23.5%	57.0	39,711
Brea City of	South Coast	24%	15%	Yes	20.3%	-5.3%	26.9%	34.3%	68.7	43,328
Imperial, City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	5.5%	-5.5%	8.6%	8.3%	69.4	18,022
Fortuna City of	North Coast	20%	0%	Yes	5.6%	-5.6%	-10.6%	-1.3%	67.1	12,032
El Monte City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	5.7%	-5.7%	-5.0%	-10.7%	43.1	22,968
Monrovia City of	South Coast	26%	12%	Yes	18.0%	-6.0%	5.8%	12.9%	97.2	37,531
San Buenaventura City of	South Coast	16%	16%	No	22.6%	-6.6%	15.6%	25.7%	55.1	113,478
Twentynine Palms Water District	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	6.9%	-6.9%	26.1%	24.7%	68.2	18,795
Lathrop, City of	San Joaquin River	18%	18%	No	25.3%	-7.3%	23.3%	-3.7%	56.2	20,353
Bakersfield City of	Tulare Lake	31%	9%	Yes	16.5%	-7.5%	11.0%	11.1%	90.2	147,279
Nipomo Community Services District	Central Coast	28%	23%	Yes	30.6%	-7.6%	29.4%	25.3%	60.4	13,366
Valley County Water District	South Coast	14%	10%	Yes	17.7%	-7.7%	17.4%	19.9%	55.8	55,703
Humboldt Community Service District	North Coast	12%	0%	Yes	8.0%	-8.0%	26.2%	6.3%	50.3	22,842
Estero Municipal Improvement District	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	8.0%	-8.0%	11.5%	8.2%	49.4	37,518
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	8.1%	-8.1%	7.4%	9.3%	46.8	65,492
Yuba City City of	Sacramento River	28%	15%	Yes	23.4%	-8.4%	12.2%	11.4%	68.4	73,202
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	8.6%	-8.6%	7.9%	17.0%	52.1	25,859
McKinleyville Community Service District	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	8.7%	-8.7%	13.6%	6.8%	50.4	16,900
Arroyo Grande City of	Central Coast	28%	28%	No	36.7%	-8.7%	28.0%	26.6%	60.5	17,636
Daly City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	4%	Yes	13.1%	-9.1%	20.6%	26.8%	44.7	109,139
San Luis Obispo City of	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	21.1%	-9.1%	14.7%	11.5%	43.8	46,117
Big Bear City Community Services District	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	9.2%	-9.2%	12.5%	12.4%	53.8	12,411
La Palma City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	9.3%	-9.3%	22.1%	25.8%	50.1	15,896
Norwalk City of	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	9.4%	-9.4%	8.1%	14.3%	73.3	18,361
Crescent City City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	9.5%	-9.5%	17.2%	6.7%	61.2	18,473
South Gate City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	9.6%	-9.6%	1.4%	4.4%	47.3	88,654
El Centro City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	9.7%	-9.7%	8.7%	13.7%	67.4	45,170

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	0%	Yes	9.8%	-9.8%	24.9%	18.9%	43.6	33,124
Mammoth Community Water District	South Lahontan	17%	17%	No	27.2%	-10.2%	14.2%	11.8%	48.3	16,739
Palmdale Water District	South Lahontan	28%	10%	Yes	20.3%	-10.3%	21.6%	32.9%	52.1	116,552
Inglewood City of	South Coast	11%	5%	Yes	15.3%	-10.3%	13.1%	18.4%	51.7	86,418
Montecito Water District	Central Coast	32%	36%	Yes	46.6%	-10.6%	48.9%	24.7%	57.2	13,500
Ceres City of	San Joaquin River	26%	13%	Yes	23.7%	-10.7%	15.2%	18.8%	52.5	47,639
Perris, City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	10.8%	-10.8%	-0.8%	-24.6%	74.6	9,000
Folsom City of	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	10.8%	-10.8%	6.2%	-10.1%	94.5	66,078
West Kern Water District	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	10.9%	-10.9%	8.6%	19.1%	74.8	20,591
Vista Irrigation District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	11.0%	-11.0%	8.2%	15.3%	51.9	129,353
Lompoc City of	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	23.0%	-11.0%	15.9%	17.0%	46.5	41,541
California-American Water Company San Diego District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	11.4%	-11.4%	26.1%	17.9%	51.9	94,043
Shasta Lake City of	Sacramento River	25%	10%	Yes	21.6%	-11.6%	48.6%	6.3%	72.3	10,293
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	11.6%	-11.6%	26.3%	35.6%	123.2	22,250
Golden State Water Company Southwest	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	11.7%	-11.7%	8.7%	13.1%	53.0	271,677
Lee Lake Water District	South Coast	31%	0%	Yes	11.8%	-11.8%	11.6%	20.6%	61.6	16,963
Eureka City of	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	11.9%	-11.9%	17.7%	15.6%	55.8	27,052
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	12.0%	-12.0%	9.9%	16.0%	51.3	44,466
Hesperia Water District City of	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	12.3%	-12.3%	5.2%	11.1%	74.8	93,226
Greenfield, City of	Central Coast	14%	5%	Yes	17.3%	-12.3%	14.5%	9.6%	50.8	17,898
Hawthorne City of	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	12.4%	-12.4%	8.5%	13.5%	57.3	44,641
El Segundo City of	South Coast	20%	2%	Yes	14.4%	-12.4%	3.4%	19.4%	67.7	16,654
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	12.9%	-12.9%	32.5%	33.5%	53.8	20,913
Mesa Water District	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	13.0%	-13.0%	39.8%	37.8%	60.4	110,000
Crestline Village Water District	South Lahontan	8%	0%	Yes	13.0%	-13.0%	36.7%	25.8%	47.5	7,706
Huntington Park City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	13.0%	-13.0%	11.4%	10.0%	24.7	64,219
Azusa City of	South Coast	18%	3%	Yes	16.1%	-13.1%	14.8%	14.1%	59.8	106,400
Yreka, City of	North Coast	30%	0%	Yes	13.1%	-13.1%	18.4%	-3.8%	66.8	7,840

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	13.2%	-13.2%	13.2%	13.4%	49.5	57,934
Fairfield City of	Sacramento River	19%	0%	Yes	13.4%	-13.4%	2.4%	-4.8%	57.7	108,392
Pinedale County Water District	Tulare Lake	14%	14%	No	27.4%	-13.4%	25.6%	24.8%	56.6	16,735
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	South Coast	10%	0%	Yes	13.4%	-13.4%	10.5%	13.7%	56.2	27,656
Golden State Water Company Artesia	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	13.5%	-13.5%	14.2%	17.2%	57.8	47,978
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	13.7%	-13.7%	15.2%	15.2%	40.8	859,276
Seal Beach City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	13.8%	-13.8%	11.6%	25.8%	33.7	25,561
North Tahoe Public Utility District	North Lahontan	25%	0%	Yes	13.8%	-13.8%	22.7%	2.6%	102.9	7,500
California Water Service Company Dominguez	South Coast	15%	0%	Yes	13.9%	-13.9%	6.4%	5.3%	55.0	142,906
Mission Springs Water District	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	29.2%	23.6%	84.5	37,600
Mountain House Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	30.7%	3.4%	71.5	16,026
East Palo Alto, City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	8%	No	22.1%	-14.1%	34.0%	31.2%	41.2	29,143
Bella Vista Water District	Sacramento River	33%	33%	No	47.2%	-14.2%	56.3%	53.3%	65.4	18,592
Long Beach City of	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	14.2%	-14.2%	13.1%	17.0%	55.0	488,132
San Diego City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	14.2%	-14.2%	11.7%	16.5%	43.0	1,339,958
North Marin Water District	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	14.3%	-14.3%	14.0%	5.3%	61.7	61,299
Rowland Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	14.4%	-14.4%	10.2%	11.8%	59.3	62,106
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	14.4%	-14.4%	10.0%	25.3%	77.7	43,111
Golden State Water Company Placentia	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	14.5%	-14.5%	19.6%	27.4%	60.4	46,543
Oxnard City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	14.7%	-14.7%	13.8%	18.2%	57.7	196,720
Golden State Water Company Culver City	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	14.9%	-14.9%	14.1%	19.2%	54.5	36,215
Wasco City of	Tulare Lake	32%	17%	Yes	31.9%	-14.9%	24.2%	36.1%	44.7	26,159
Corona City of	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	12.5%	22.1%	74.0	168,070
Vallejo City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	6.2%	9.0%	61.6	124,000
Calaveras County Water District	San Joaquin River	13%	0%	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	14.7%	10.0%	55.1	20,698
Otay Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	11.7%	16.8%	55.6	220,200
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	South Coast	27%	0%	Yes	15.1%	-15.1%	2.8%	5.2%	47.5	152,206
Vaughn Water Company	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	15.1%	-15.1%	18.3%	26.8%	108.6	31,767

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
Chino City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	15.2%	-15.2%	18.1%	16.1%	76.0	74,639
Hollister City of	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	15.2%	-15.2%	21.2%	5.9%	68.7	21,269
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	15.2%	-15.2%	14.5%	16.9%	36.4	158,633
Riverside City of	South Coast	25%	0%	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	22.4%	19.3%	67.3	308,480
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	25%	0%	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	18.9%	21.1%	61.9	32,776
Lodi City of Public Works Department	San Joaquin River	30%	6%	Yes	21.4%	-15.4%	34.9%	18.7%	61.8	63,219
Sunnyvale City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	5%	Yes	20.4%	-15.4%	12.3%	5.1%	50.0	148,372
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	South Lahontan	13%	0%	Yes	15.5%	-15.5%	17.6%	17.1%	14.5	49,638
Jurupa Community Service District	South Coast	23%	0%	Yes	15.7%	-15.7%	11.8%	27.5%	70.1	118,731
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	15.8%	-15.8%	13.7%	18.8%	53.2	4,112,571
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	15.8%	-15.8%	10.2%	30.8%	50.4	96,139
La Habra City of Public Works	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	15.9%	-15.9%	14.6%	17.6%	60.4	63,118
Helix Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	15.9%	-15.9%	13.5%	13.9%	55.5	273,142
Sierra Madre City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	9.7%	28.8%	100.9	11,100
Goleta Water District	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	28.0%	-16.0%	17.9%	25.1%	37.1	86,946
Santa Ana City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.1%	-16.1%	13.6%	18.6%	47.5	332,005
Vallecitos Water District	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	16.1%	-16.1%	23.9%	26.2%	50.3	98,143
Shafter City of	Tulare Lake	32%	0%	Yes	16.1%	-16.1%	5.6%	7.0%	96.8	19,347
Ontario City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.1%	-16.1%	19.0%	21.5%	60.2	166,866
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.1%	-16.1%	20.1%	24.8%	65.4	86,596
Delano City of	Tulare Lake	21%	0%	Yes	16.2%	-16.2%	13.1%	14.4%	45.7	56,623
Lomita City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.3%	-16.3%	15.8%	18.1%	63.4	20,463
Sweetwater Authority	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.4%	-16.4%	12.3%	13.6%	47.5	188,886
California-American Water Company Monterey District	Central Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	19.4%	16.2%	48.5	91,884
Soledad, City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	16.6%	-16.6%	32.9%	14.2%	70.5	16,729
Coastside County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	16.6%	-16.6%	6.3%	12.2%	37.7	16,740
Reedley City of	Tulare Lake	22%	0%	Yes	16.6%	-16.6%	16.1%	13.1%	41.7	24,194
Eastern Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.6%	-16.6%	26.5%	30.3%	57.7	561,277

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
Montebello Land and Water Company	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	16.6%	-16.6%	15.6%	16.7%	54.0	32,219
Marin Municipal Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	12.1%	10.7%	57.5	189,900
Valley Water Company	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	12.9%	35.0%	95.6	9,900
Huntington Beach City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	15.0%	21.2%	69.6	196,041
San Bernardino County Service Area 70J	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	28.8%	27.8%	27.3	11,677
Arvin Community Services District	Tulare Lake	21%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	13.1%	21.7%	44.9	20,499
California Water Service Company Marysville	Sacramento River	21%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	17.2%	8.5%	73.0	12,164
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	12.9%	15.1%	77.2	208,476
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	22.9%	41.2%	56.5	84,351
Westminster City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	18.7%	24.2%	53.8	96,046
Golden State Water Company West Orange	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	15.3%	18.7%	55.8	111,904
Anaheim City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	23.4%	25.2%	54.0	360,972
Pittsburg City of	San Francisco Bay	19%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	1.1%	2.0%	61.7	67,628
Hanford City of	Tulare Lake	23%	0%	Yes	17.2%	-17.2%	9.0%	9.6%	46.9	59,338
Moulton Niguel Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.2%	-17.2%	19.4%	29.4%	63.3	172,068
Irvine Ranch Water District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	17.2%	-17.2%	5.2%	33.3%	55.6	398,580
Hemet City of	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	17.3%	-17.3%	18.6%	20.2%	58.6	29,982
Tulare, City of	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	17.4%	-17.4%	11.1%	12.4%	67.2	63,837
Hayward City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	17.4%	-17.4%	1.1%	-5.7%	49.5	158,985
Santa Cruz City of	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	25.4%	-17.4%	17.2%	17.2%	38.5	94,887
Placer County Water Agency	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	17.4%	-17.4%	8.9%	-2.2%	71.4	98,128
Blythe City of	Colorado River	28%	0%	Yes	17.6%	-17.6%	13.9%	15.0%	79.8	13,839
Martinez City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	17.6%	-17.6%	6.1%	3.6%	32.3	35,375
San Dieguito Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	18.1%	29.0%	61.0	37,585
California Water Service Company Visalia	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	17.5%	10.3%	65.1	142,008
Port Hueneme City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	24.0%	26.2%	44.8	21,555
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	South Coast	19%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	17.0%	20.5%	62.4	96,026
Whittier City of	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	29.4%	24.1%	64.4	49,954

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
Pico Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	11.6%	14.7%	67.7	25,284
Laguna Beach County Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	21.2%	21.8%	71.8	19,121
Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	33.5%	25.7%	65.3	166,545
Lamont Public Utility District	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	14.3%	9.7%	68.1	19,057
La Verne City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	17.8%	-17.8%	23.2%	37.4%	53.5	32,228
Nevada Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	14.9%	7.5%	80.3	44,761
Clovis City of	Tulare Lake	33%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	16.2%	10.5%	73.6	111,927
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	18.0%	-18.0%	29.2%	38.6%	65.3	122,998
Coachella City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	40.4%	40.3%	57.8	45,591
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	South Coast	35%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	24.0%	37.2%	63.9	75,384
Sweetwater Springs Water District	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	15.0%	0.5%	64.4	7,812
Trabuco Canyon Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	18.9%	31.4%	69.6	13,175
Oceanside City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	16.2%	28.2%	49.5	175,948
Rosamond Community Service District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	19.1%	18.8%	70.1	17,700
Coalinga City of	Tulare Lake	30%	5%	Yes	23.3%	-18.3%	21.2%	16.6%	92.0	16,529
Manhattan Beach City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	18.0%	17.9%	62.5	35,996
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	8.9%	8.6%	53.9	1,390,000
Tracy City of	San Joaquin River	25%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	21.8%	16.4%	69.6	88,028
Buena Park City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	23.0%	9.9%	65.0	83,347
Golden State Water Company Cordova	Sacramento River	32%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	1.7%	-2.8%	91.6	43,767
California Water Service Company Stockton	San Joaquin River	18%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	8.5%	9.8%	49.0	171,724
Coachella Valley Water District	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	35.1%	39.4%	112.8	286,861
Watsonville City of	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	11.7%	9.7%	46.3	65,966
Redwood City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	14.4%	-4.9%	51.4	87,023
Fullerton City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	12.5%	26.0%	48.3	138,000
San Fernando City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	17.9%	21.4%	63.3	24,560
Downey City of	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	17.6%	24.1%	63.5	111,931
Lakewood City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	15.0%	18.0%	64.0	59,081

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
Windsor, Town of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	14.3%	12.4%	39.6	27,183
Garden Grove City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	29.9%	33.3%	75.9	177,020
Cerritos City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	14.1%	24.3%	78.5	49,041
Amador Water Agency	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	26.2%	5.9%	38.5	23,347
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	8.4%	8.0%	92.9	9,018
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	8.9%	7.1%	50.0	22,258
Chino Hills City of	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	22.0%	33.9%	55.9	77,596
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	18.9%	28.8%	81.6	4,775
Joshua Basin Water District	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	33.5%	33.8%	62.2	9,514
San Juan Capistrano City of	South Coast	27%	0%	Yes	18.9%	-18.9%	15.6%	27.2%	58.9	39,189
Oakdale City of	San Joaquin River	30%	0%	Yes	18.9%	-18.9%	36.8%	21.3%	79.5	21,442
Orange City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	18.9%	-18.9%	22.0%	22.6%	34.8	140,094
Santa Fe Irrigation District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	19.0%	-19.0%	24.8%	47.7%	123.3	19,839
Crescenta Valley Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.0%	-19.0%	16.8%	17.9%	69.3	32,710
Santa Margarita Water District	South Coast	23%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	20.5%	38.9%	54.1	156,954
San Gabriel County Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	15.0%	21.4%	62.1	45,000
Camarillo City of	South Coast	20%	3%	Yes	22.1%	-19.1%	24.9%	35.7%	60.4	47,880
Sacramento County Water Agency	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	3.1%	-13.4%	75.6	180,651
Rohnert Park City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	17.1%	21.5%	48.6	43,398
Santa Clara City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	19.1%	-19.1%	19.2%	17.7%	48.6	123,752
Stockton City of	San Joaquin River	26%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	1.8%	2.9%	54.6	177,808
Victorville Water District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	23.7%	10.8%	58.5	121,464
Golden State Water Company Barstow	South Lahontan	20%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	17.3%	15.7%	58.7	31,209
El Dorado Irrigation District	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	25.2%	20.1%	82.6	118,500
Lakeside Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	25.4%	13.6%	54.0	35,500
Glendale City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	16.0%	20.2%	63.6	193,300
Rialto City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	18.9%	31.3%	60.3	54,453
San Bernardino City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	19.4%	-19.4%	16.3%	23.1%	73.4	187,690

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
Millbrae City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	-14.1%	-4.9%	50.1	21,532
Santa Fe Springs City of	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	21.6%	22.2%	54.8	18,199
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	15.9%	25.1%	45.7	88,995
Newport Beach City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	23.2%	35.2%	73.6	66,550
Banning City of	Colorado River	28%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	19.3%	28.9%	64.9	30,325
Santa Maria City of	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	13.8%	20.5%	43.2	104,404
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	16.7%	26.0%	63.3	115,000
Linda County Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	9.8%	11.4%	82.8	18,808
Cucamonga Valley Water District	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	19.8%	-19.8%	20.4%	33.0%	55.8	204,937
Casitas Municipal Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	52.3%	66.7%	44.9	9,944
Napa City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	8.8%	10.1%	49.6	86,848
Kerman, City of	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	24.5%	21.0%	58.9	14,359
Fresno City of	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	18.2%	14.9%	82.6	520,453
Park Water Company	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	36.6%	20.4%	35.8	125,784
Lincoln City of	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	13.5%	9.4%	60.3	47,339
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	34.9%	25.5%	58.2	16,126
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	25.6%	36.8%	72.1	9,600
Davis City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	13.0%	13.6%	59.3	68,320
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	South Coast	26%	15%	Yes	35.1%	-20.1%	39.5%	43.6%	61.8	52,914
Pomona City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	20.1%	-20.1%	15.4%	22.2%	54.2	155,604
Desert Water Agency	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	20.2%	-20.2%	19.9%	29.0%	90.0	105,817
Newhall County Water District	South Coast	25%	0%	Yes	20.2%	-20.2%	32.5%	40.2%	72.0	45,147
Milpitas City of	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	20.2%	-20.2%	22.9%	24.7%	54.0	70,817
Sonoma City of	San Francisco Bay	28%	0%	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	24.5%	26.0%	53.8	11,579
Monte Vista Water District	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	17.1%	7.6%	63.5	54,460
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	19.4%	22.4%	50.8	9,681
Riverside Highland Water Company	South Coast	33%	0%	Yes	20.4%	-20.4%	27.0%	27.6%	69.0	15,911
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	20.4%	-20.4%	23.2%	30.1%	65.5	54,872

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
Santa Rosa City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	20.4%	-20.4%	26.6%	22.6%	48.0	175,667
Marina Coast Water District	Central Coast	11%	11%	No	31.4%	-20.4%	12.6%	14.9%	50.8	34,980
Santa Monica City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	17.1%	21.8%	57.5	93,640
Indio City of	Colorado River	27%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	28.1%	35.3%	72.5	88,411
East Niles Community Service District	Tulare Lake	33%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	30.1%	34.3%	72.5	31,772
San Juan Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	20.7%	-20.7%	4.5%	26.5%	77.8	32,779
Soquel Creek Water District	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	28.7%	-20.7%	23.7%	18.2%	40.5	40,404
Fountain Valley City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	20.7%	-20.7%	17.9%	27.0%	29.2	59,227
San Bruno City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	20.7%	-20.7%	17.7%	18.0%	46.8	44,409
Santa Paula City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	18.7%	29.2%	65.7	30,009
Indian Wells Valley Water District	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	21.0%	-21.0%	17.9%	25.5%	87.5	31,120
Tuolumne Utilities District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	14.4%	7.3%	54.7	30,909
Upland City of	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	25.4%	34.4%	77.3	76,469
East Valley Water District	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	18.7%	26.7%	71.3	104,457
Madera City of	San Joaquin River	26%	0%	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	4.5%	7.3%	45.5	65,474
Fallbrook Public Utility District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	23.5%	44.4%	22.4	34,894
Redlands City of	South Coast	33%	0%	Yes	21.3%	-21.3%	25.3%	41.8%	65.6	85,276
Redding City of	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	21.3%	-21.3%	15.1%	13.4%	69.4	91,207
Healdsburg City of	North Coast	24%	0%	Yes	21.3%	-21.3%	15.1%	14.8%	54.1	11,734
Rubidoux Community Service District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	17.1%	41.5%	50.6	32,200
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	21.7%	-21.7%	15.8%	22.9%	55.4	178,500
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	21.7%	-21.7%	19.2%	25.5%	57.0	223,307
Pismo Beach City of	Central Coast	22%	0%	Yes	21.7%	-21.7%	17.1%	22.8%	61.9	7,676
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	21.8%	-21.8%	20.1%	26.6%	76.0	101,777
Sunny Slope Water Company	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	23.1%	29.1%	57.4	30,700
West Valley Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	15.6%	22.0%	70.1	82,120
Alameda County Water District	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	22.0%	-22.0%	11.3%	9.6%	53.3	349,000

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	22.0%	-22.0%	12.4%	16.7%	35.6	61,877
Norco City of	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	22.0%	-22.0%	28.3%	41.1%	81.9	25,890
Roseville City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	13.2%	14.3%	50.7	129,262
San Clemente City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	18.5%	26.1%	63.1	51,385
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	13.3%	10.5%	46.7	135,519
Sacramento Suburban Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	22.3%	-22.3%	12.0%	10.9%	63.7	177,025
Rancho California Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	22.3%	-22.3%	30.1%	38.8%	78.1	149,433
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	11.0%	14.1%	49.2	256,756
Palo Alto City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	23.9%	27.7%	47.8	66,968
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	14.5%	22.7%	62.7	279,754
Groveland Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	22.5%	-22.5%	13.0%	10.2%	67.6	3,400
Valencia Water Company	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	26.9%	42.2%	53.0	97,829
Woodland City of	Sacramento River	20%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	16.4%	14.5%	51.9	57,526
Olivehurst Public Utility District	Sacramento River	33%	10%	Yes	32.6%	-22.6%	7.5%	-24.1%	94.6	19,509
West Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	9.3%	13.7%	82.0	50,836
Diablo Water District	San Joaquin River	23%	0%	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	17.9%	11.5%	48.2	40,793
Mid-Peninsula Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	17.2%	13.3%	58.9	26,924
Susanville City of	North Lahontan	33%	0%	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	47.2%	43.5%	114.8	8,497
Rio Vista, city of	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	-10.4%	15.3%	64.7	8,324
Sunnyslope County Water District	Central Coast	26%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	19.9%	7.9%	57.1	20,077
Burbank City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	19.6%	26.0%	69.7	105,110
Gilroy City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	8.3%	4.8%	49.8	55,962
California Water Service Company Salinas District	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	19.1%	19.1%	44.4	122,839
Beverly Hills City of	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	23.2%	-23.2%	25.9%	41.8%	90.1	42,157
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	15.5%	23.3%	60.7	26,827
Alhambra City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	23.3%	-23.3%	17.4%	19.1%	69.8	85,068
Vacaville City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	23.4%	-23.4%	17.9%	9.0%	68.2	90,194
Arcadia City of	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	21.8%	29.8%	79.4	57,639

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
South Coast Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	17.4%	22.7%	74.6	35,004
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	18.3%	22.9%	55.2	62,602
Alco Water Service	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	12.3%	14.5%	76.8	29,334
Ramona Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	20.1%	38.7%	53.5	36,564
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	6.1%	23.1%	82.3	21,441
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	23.7%	-23.7%	31.0%	40.9%	92.7	70,037
Tustin City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	23.9%	-23.9%	17.0%	27.5%	72.1	67,700
North Coast County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	23.9%	-23.9%	12.7%	-0.8%	46.3	39,000
Camrosa Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	23.9%	-23.9%	44.5%	56.5%	59.3	34,483
Valley of the Moon Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	23.9%	-23.9%	27.0%	14.1%	48.7	23,782
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	Central Coast	28%	0%	Yes	23.9%	-23.9%	12.0%	10.8%	55.3	31,536
Manteca City of	San Joaquin River	27%	0%	Yes	24.0%	-24.0%	19.5%	21.1%	52.3	77,692
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	24.0%	-24.0%	19.1%	8.7%	93.7	11,013
Quartz Hill Water District	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	24.1%	-24.1%	26.6%	18.9%	89.1	20,352
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	24.1%	-24.1%	35.5%	43.8%	52.5	90,687
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	0%	Yes	24.1%	-24.1%	29.4%	19.4%	33.2	11,447
Oildale Mutual Water Company	Tulare Lake	31%	0%	Yes	24.2%	-24.2%	31.5%	34.1%	90.6	34,133
California Water Service Company King City	Central Coast	9%	0%	Yes	24.2%	-24.2%	8.4%	3.6%	34.2	15,183
Elk Grove Water Service	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	24.3%	-24.3%	19.5%	14.8%	52.6	44,768
Cambria Community Services District	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	32.3%	-24.3%	32.9%	25.7%	34.0	6,032
Santa Barbara City of	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	36.3%	-24.3%	29.3%	33.6%	47.9	93,761
Morro Bay City of	Central Coast	12%	0%	Yes	24.4%	-24.4%	20.0%	24.4%	51.1	10,284
Antioch City of	San Joaquin River	27%	0%	Yes	24.4%	-24.4%	11.2%	10.7%	58.8	106,455
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	San Francisco Bay	17%	0%	Yes	24.5%	-24.5%	9.4%	-1.7%	65.0	28,782
Carmichael Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	24.5%	-24.5%	18.5%	7.7%	86.1	37,897
Grover Beach City of	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	32.7%	-24.7%	29.4%	37.7%	57.0	13,156
Escondido City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	24.7%	-24.7%	33.2%	45.0%	52.0	137,941
Burlingame City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	24.8%	-24.8%	17.8%	17.3%	52.8	31,109

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
Citrus Heights Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	24.9%	-24.9%	10.4%	20.1%	73.9	65,093
Discovery Bay Community Services District	San Joaquin River	29%	0%	Yes	24.9%	-24.9%	26.4%	24.5%	76.8	15,117
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	24.9%	-24.9%	31.8%	39.0%	57.5	43,351
Paso Robles City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	25.0%	-25.0%	15.7%	13.8%	58.7	30,522
Petaluma City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	25.0%	-25.0%	9.4%	12.7%	54.2	61,955
Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	25.0%	-25.0%	6.0%	11.0%	68.5	485,683
Golden State Water Company Claremont	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	25.1%	-25.1%	29.0%	35.6%	67.7	37,160
San Jacinto City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	25.2%	-25.2%	17.4%	17.0%	63.6	17,961
Mountain View City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	25.2%	-25.2%	29.6%	20.0%	46.1	76,448
California-American Water Ventura District	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	25.3%	-25.3%	30.9%	42.1%	65.9	63,423
Fair Oaks Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	25.5%	-25.5%	20.4%	16.9%	72.6	36,226
San Jose City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	25.6%	-25.6%	10.9%	11.4%	68.6	113,700
Poway City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	25.6%	-25.6%	25.5%	43.2%	46.6	48,774
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	25.7%	-25.7%	12.6%	13.3%	53.2	29,713
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	25.7%	-25.7%	34.6%	44.0%	118.4	8,948
Contra Costa Water District	San Francisco Bay	25%	0%	Yes	25.8%	-25.8%	10.2%	8.9%	66.3	199,680
South Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	26.2%	-26.2%	21.9%	33.3%	77.1	26,174
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	San Francisco Bay	36%	2%	Yes	28.3%	-26.3%	19.2%	18.5%	57.4	61,205
Morgan Hill City of	Central Coast	27%	0%	Yes	26.3%	-26.3%	5.3%	2.9%	47.6	43,645
San Jose Water Company	San Francisco Bay	20%	2%	Yes	28.3%	-26.3%	15.6%	14.3%	48.1	990,000
Thousand Oaks City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	26.4%	-26.4%	32.7%	43.1%	61.8	51,609
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	26.5%	-26.5%	23.1%	36.3%	51.5	29,955
Lemoore City of	Tulare Lake	28%	0%	Yes	26.6%	-26.6%	5.7%	46.3%	13.6	25,325
Carpinteria Valley Water District	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	26.7%	-26.7%	-0.7%	7.2%	61.0	14,616
Yorba Linda Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	27.0%	-27.0%	31.3%	45.3%	62.2	79,176
Dublin San Ramon Services District	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	27.1%	-27.1%	9.7%	6.2%	55.4	83,854
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	27.1%	-27.1%	19.0%	14.9%	44.8	102,161
Dinuba City of	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	27.2%	-27.2%	8.1%	18.6%	61.0	24,657

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
El Toro Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	27.2%	-27.2%	23.2%	34.2%	60.4	48,628
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	Sacramento River	17%	0%	Yes	27.6%	-27.6%	23.0%	18.3%	64.3	203,851
California Water Service Company Willows	Sacramento River	26%	0%	Yes	27.6%	-27.6%	13.6%	14.2%	58.9	7,109
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	28.0%	-28.0%	39.6%	50.2%	65.3	38,703
California Water Service Company Westlake	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	28.0%	-28.0%	41.7%	52.6%	85.6	19,461
Kingsburg, City of	Tulare Lake	34%	0%	Yes	28.2%	-28.2%	33.3%	27.6%	83.7	12,101
Brentwood City of	San Joaquin River	28%	0%	Yes	28.3%	-28.3%	15.4%	12.9%	53.2	58,784
American Canyon, City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	28.3%	-28.3%	8.2%	10.8%	50.4	20,315
Orange Vale Water Company	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	28.5%	-28.5%	37.0%	19.4%	74.5	16,763
Paradise Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	28.6%	-28.6%	13.8%	16.7%	60.4	26,032
Westborough Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	28.6%	-28.6%	28.8%	44.2%	31.5	14,050
California Water Service Company Oroville	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	28.8%	-28.8%	38.3%	39.7%	54.3	10,991
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	29.1%	-29.1%	28.0%	23.3%	79.2	3,206
Galt City of	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	29.1%	-29.1%	20.6%	20.5%	69.9	25,000
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	29.3%	-29.3%	9.8%	11.8%	60.4	9,993
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	San Francisco Bay	32%	0%	Yes	29.6%	-29.6%	34.9%	33.4%	53.9	69,877
California Water Service Company Livermore	San Francisco Bay	21%	0%	Yes	30.5%	-30.5%	14.3%	24.1%	49.8	58,939
Ripon City of	San Joaquin River	33%	0%	Yes	30.7%	-30.7%	9.3%	30.7%	69.9	15,243
East Orange County Water District	South Coast	29%	0%	Yes	30.7%	-30.7%	39.7%	47.8%	91.0	3,257
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	Central Coast	31%	0%	Yes	31.0%	-31.0%	33.7%	35.0%	74.6	31,872
Pleasanton City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	31.0%	-31.0%	9.7%	12.4%	56.1	74,982
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	31.4%	-31.4%	40.4%	47.3%	69.2	12,200
California Water Service Company Chico District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	31.6%	-31.6%	25.1%	24.7%	62.5	105,164
Valley Center Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	32.3%	-32.3%	41.1%	61.4%	66.8	25,608
Merced City of	San Joaquin River	34%	0%	Yes	33.9%	-33.9%	25.0%	23.6%	82.9	83,400
Hillsborough Town of	San Francisco Bay	36%	0%	Yes	33.9%	-33.9%	38.0%	33.4%	70.7	10,850
Del Oro Water Company	Sacramento River	21%	0%	Yes	35.0%	-35.0%	40.2%	23.3%	56.8	9,546
California Water Service Company Selma	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	35.6%	-35.6%	23.6%	22.7%	60.7	25,891

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

January Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled February 21, 2017

398 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Jan 2017 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Jan 2016	Jan 2017		
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	36.8%	-36.8%	49.6%	32.5%	76.0	3,249
Menlo Park City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	37.0%	-37.0%	5.2%	13.3%	41.6	16,066
Cloverdale	North Coast	--	0%	Yes	37.1%	-37.1%	--	38.5%	56.3	8,825
Brawley City of	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	37.9%	-37.9%	40.5%	66.3%	59.4	27,743
Benicia City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	38.6%	-38.6%	38.9%	28.1%	51.6	28,086
Rainbow Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	44.7%	-44.7%	36.7%	17.0%	108.5	19,495
Patterson City of	San Joaquin River	25%	0%	Yes	44.7%	-44.7%	23.9%	38.8%	56.6	22,590

January 2017 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit January 2017 data by February 21, 2017:

Anderson, City of	Scotts Valley Water District	Ukiah City of
Calexico City of	South Feather Water and Power Agency	Vernon City of
Corcoran, City of	Tehachapi, City of	Walnut Valley Water District
Lynwood City of	Torrance City of	

December 2016 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit December 2016 data by February 21, 2017:

Lynwood City of	Ukiah City of	Walnut Valley Water District
South Feather Water and Power Agency	Vernon City of	

November 2016 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit November 2016 data by February 21, 2017:

Lynwood City of	Ukiah City of
-----------------	---------------

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through January 2017 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order