

VOLUME I
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter</u>	<u>Page</u>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
I. Project Scope	i
II. SAMP Study Area	ii
III. Proposed Permitting Procedures.....	iii
IV. NEPA Requirements	iii
V. Areas of Controversy/Issues Raised During Scoping.....	iv
VI. SAMP Participants	v
VI.1 Current SAMP Participants.....	v
VI.2 Future SAMP Participants	vii
VII. EIS Scope	vii
VII.1 Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative	xi
1.0 Introduction	1-1
1.1 Project Scope	1-1
1.2 SAMP Study Area	1-4
1.3 SAMP Study Area Authority	1-4
1.4 Regulatory Basis	1-4
1.4.1 Federal Laws, Regulations, and Policies Relating to Aquatic, Wetland, and Riparian Resources.....	1-4
1.4.2 NEPA Requirements	1-7
1.5 Public Outreach.....	1-9
1.5.1 Scoping Process.....	1-9
2.0 Project Coordination Efforts and Overview of Potential Projects	2-1
2.1 Coordinated Planning Process.....	2-1
2.1.1 General Plan Amendment/Zone Change	2-1
2.1.2 Southern Subregion NCCP/MSAA/HCP.....	2-2
2.1.3 SAMP	2-3
2.1.4 Need for a Coordinated Planning and Regulatory Process.....	2-3
2.1.5 Operating Assumptions for the Coordinated Public Planning Process..	2-4
2.1.6 Sequence of Lead Agency Actions for the GPA/ZC, SAMP, and NCCP/MSAA/HCP	2-5
2.1.7 Key Product/Decision/Milestones and Linkages.....	2-6
2.2 Public Participation	2-7
2.2.1 Public Workshops.....	2-8
2.2.2 Ad Hoc Meetings	2-8
2.2.3 SCORE Meetings	2-8
2.3 SAMP Participants	2-9
2.3.2 Future SAMP Participants	2-15
2.3.3 Projects and Studies in and Adjacent to the RMV Planning Area Not Subject to this Permitting Action	2-16
3.0 Purpose and Need for Proposed Federal Actions	3-1
3.1 Purpose and Need	3-1
3.1.1 Project Need as Presented by SAMP Participants.....	3-1

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)**

<u>Chapter</u>		<u>Page</u>
	3.1.2 Purpose	3-4
3.2	Proposed Federal Actions and Alternatives	3-5
	3.2.1 Major Federal Action	3-5
	3.2.2 Formulation and Review of Proposed Permitting Procedures.....	3-5
	3.2.3 Formulation and Review of Alternatives	3-16
4.0	Existing Conditions	4.1-1
4.1	Watershed Existing Conditions.....	4.1-1
	4.1.1 Physical Processes and Conditions	4.1-1
	4.1.2 Riparian and Wetland Habitats.....	4.1-40
	4.1.3 Biological Resources	4.1-71
	4.1.4 Land Use	4.1-118
	4.1.5 Transportation and Circulation	4.1-128
	4.1.6 Agricultural and Aggregate Resources.....	4.1-143
	4.1.7 Air Quality	4.1-153
	4.1.8 Noise Conditions	4.1-162
	4.1.9 Visual Resources.....	4.1-178
	4.1.10 Cultural Resources.....	4.1-183
	4.1.11 Population, Housing, and Employment	4.1-206
	4.1.12 Recreation	4.1-211
4.2	Sub-basins within the San Juan and Western San Mateo Creek Watersheds	4.2-1
	4.2.1 Physical Process and Conditions of Sub-basins	4.2-1
	4.2.2 USACE Engineer Research and Development Center	4.2-1
	4.2.3 San Juan Creek Watershed Sub-basins	4.2-4
	4.2.4 Western San Mateo Creek Watershed Sub-basins.....	4.2-19
5.0	Development of Alternatives.....	5-1
5.1	Planning Process, Southern Planning Guidelines, and Watershed Planning Principles Used to Develop Alternatives.....	5-1
5.2	Off-Site Alternatives	5-2
5.3	On-Site Alternatives	5-3
	5.3.1 NEPA Required No Action Alternatives.....	5-5
	5.3.2 Development/Open Space Alternatives.....	5-8
	5.3.3 Alternatives Designed to Address the Recommendations of the Watershed Planning Principles and Southern Planning Guidelines....	5-15
5.4	Preliminary Alternatives Analysis	5-20
	5.4.1 Alternatives Rejected from Further Consideration.....	5-20
	5.4.2 Alternatives to be Considered for Further Analysis	5-25
5.5	Aquatic Resource Protection Features of the Alternatives	5-26
	5.5.1 Aquatic Resources Conservation Program Assumptions.....	5-26
	5.5.2 Restoration of Aquatic Resources	5-29
	5.5.3 Management of Aquatic Resources	5-34
5.6	Circulation System Assumptions	5-37

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)**

<u>Chapter</u>		<u>Page</u>
6.0	Alternatives Analysis.....	6-1
6.1	Introduction.....	6-1
6.2	Biological Resources.....	6-3
6.2.1	Wetland and Riparian Habitats.....	6-3
6.2.2	Listed and Special Status Aquatic Species.....	6-9
6.2.3	Functional Assessment.....	6-23
6.2.4	Consistency with SAMP Tenets.....	6-25
6.2.5	Aquatic Species Considerations from the Watershed Planning Principles.....	6-34
6.2.6	Major Upland Vegetation Communities and Listed Non-aquatic Species.....	6-39
6.2.7	Indirect Impacts to Biological Resources Resulting From the Proposed Alternatives.....	6-42
6.3	Watershed-Scale Physical Processes and Conditions.....	6-44
6.3.1	Thresholds of Significance.....	6-44
6.3.2	Hydrology, Geomorphology, Terrains, and Water Quality: Consistency with the Watershed Planning Principles.....	6-45
6.3.3	Geology.....	6-60
6.4	Sub-Basin Scale Physical Processes and Conditions.....	6-62
6.4.1	Thresholds of Significance.....	6-62
6.4.2	Consistency with Watershed Planning Principles: Sub-Basin Scale Conditions.....	6-62
6.5	Alternatives Rejected From Further Consideration in Chapter 8.0 Under Clean Water Act Section 404(b)(1).....	6-85
6.5.1	Alternative A-4: No Permitting Procedures/No SAMP.....	6-86
6.5.2	Alternative A-5: No Impacts on Clean Water Act/State Jurisdictional Areas/No Take of Listed Species Alternative.....	6-90
6.5.3	Alternative B-8.....	6-94
6.6	Alternatives Carried Forward for Further Consideration in Chapter 8.0 Under Clean Water Act Section 404(b)(1).....	6-98
6.6.1	Alternative B-10 Modified.....	6-98
6.6.2	Alternative B-12.....	6-102
6.7	Summary of SAMP Alternatives Considerations.....	6-106
7.0	NEPA Public Interest Issues.....	7.1-1
7.1	Non-aquatic Biological Resources.....	7.1-1
7.1.1	Thresholds of Significance.....	7.1-2
7.1.2	SAMP Proposed Permitting Procedures.....	7.1-2
7.1.3	SMWD Proposed Project.....	7.1-3
7.1.4	Alternative B-10 Modified.....	7.1-4
7.1.5	Alternative B-12.....	7.1-19
7.1.6	Alternative A-4.....	7.1-22
7.1.7	Alternative A-5.....	7.1-23
7.2	Land Use and Related Planning Programs.....	7.2-1
7.2.1	Thresholds of Significance.....	7.2-1
7.2.2	SAMP Proposed Permitting Procedures.....	7.2-1
7.2.3	SMWD Proposed Project.....	7.2-1

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)**

<u>Chapter</u>		<u>Page</u>
	7.2.4 Alternative B 10 Modified.....	7.2-2
	7.2.5 Alternative B 12	7.2-13
	7.2.6 Alternative A-4	7.2-18
	7.2.7 Alternative A-5.....	7.2-18
7.3	Transportation and Circulation	7.3-1
	7.3.1 Thresholds of Significance	7.3-1
	7.3.2 SAMP Proposed Permitting Procedures	7.3-2
	7.3.3 SMWD Proposed Project.....	7.3-3
	7.3.4 Alternative B-10 Modified	7.3-3
	7.3.5 Alternative B-12	7.3-25
	7.3.6 Alternative A-4	7.3-26
	7.3.7 Alternative A-5.....	7.3-26
7.4	Agricultural and Aggregate Resources.....	7.4-1
	7.4.1 Thresholds of Significance	7.4-1
	7.4.2 SAMP Proposed Permitting Procedures	7.4-1
	7.4.3 SMWD Proposed Project.....	7.4-2
	7.4.4 Alternative B-10 Modified	7.4-2
	7.4.5 Alternative B-12	7.4-6
	7.4.6 Alternative A-4	7.4-9
	7.4.7 Alternative A-5.....	7.4-10
7.5	Air Quality	7.5-1
	7.5.1 Thresholds of Significance	7.5-1
	7.5.2 SAMP Proposed Permitting Procedures	7.5-2
	7.5.3 SMWD Proposed Project.....	7.5-2
	7.5.4 Alternative B-10 Modified	7.5-4
	7.5.5 Alternative B-12	7.5-14
	7.5.6 Alternative A-4	7.5-16
	7.5.7 Alternative A-5.....	7.5-17
7.6	Noise	7.6-2
	7.6.1 Thresholds of Significance	7.6-2
	7.6.2 SAMP Proposed Permitting Procedures	7.6-3
	7.6.3 SMWD Proposed Project.....	7.6-3
	7.6.4 Alternative B 10 Modified.....	7.6-4
	7.6.5 Alternative B-12	7.6-18
	7.6.6 Alternative A-4	7.6-19
	7.6.7 Alternative A-5.....	7.6-19
7.7	Visual Resources	7.7-1
	7.7.1 Thresholds of Significance	7.7-1
	7.7.2 SAMP Proposed Permitting Procedures	7.7-1
	7.7.3 SMWD Proposed Project.....	7.7-2
	7.7.4 Alternative B-10 Modified	7.7-2
	7.7.5 Alternative B 12	7.7-13
	7.7.6 Alternative A-4	7.7-18
	7.7.7 Alternative A-5.....	7.7-19
7.8	Cultural Resources.....	7.8-1
	7.8.1 Thresholds of Significance	7.8-1
	7.8.2 SAMP Proposed Permitting Procedures	7.8-2
	7.8.3 SMWD Proposed Project.....	7.8-3
	7.8.4 Alternative B-10 Modified	7.8-4

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)**

<u>Chapter</u>		<u>Page</u>
	7.8.5 Alternative B-12	7.8-9
	7.8.6 Alternative A-4	7.8-14
	7.8.7 Alternative A-5	7.8-15
7.9	Population, Housing, and Employment	7.9-1
	7.9.1 Thresholds of Significance	7.9-1
	7.9.2 SAMP Proposed Permitting Procedures	7.9-1
	7.9.3 SMWD Proposed Project.....	7.9-1
	7.9.4 Alternative B 10 Modified.....	7.9-2
	7.9.5 Alternative B-12	7.9-4
	7.9.6 Alternative A-4	7.9-5
	7.9.7 Alternative A-5	7.9-6
7.10	Recreation	7.10-1
	7.10.1 Thresholds of Significance	7.10-1
	7.10.2 SAMP Processing Procedures and Programs.....	7.10-1
	7.10.3 SMWD Proposed Project.....	7.10-1
	7.10.4 Alternative B-10 Modified	7.10-2
	7.10.5 Alternative B-12	7.10-8
	7.10.6 Alternative A-4	7.10-11
	7.10.7 Alternative A-5	7.10-13
7.11	Summary of Environmental Consequences	7.11-1
	7.11.1 Non-Aquatic Biological Resources	7.11-1
	7.11.2 Land Use	7.11-3
	7.11.3 Transportation and Circulation	7.11-4
	7.11.4 Agricultural and Aggregate Resources.....	7.11-6
	7.11.5 Air Quality	7.11-7
	7.11.6 Noise	7.11-9
	7.11.7 Visual Resources.....	7.11-11
	7.11.8 Cultural Resources	7.11-12
	7.11.9 Population, Housing, and Employment	7.11-13
	7.11.10 Recreation	7.11-15
	7.11.11 Relevance to Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines Analysis	7.11-16
7.12	Other Impact Considerations.....	7.12-1
	7.12.1 The Relationship Between Short-term Uses of Man’s Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-term Productivity.....	7.12-1
	7.12.2 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	7.12-2
	7.12.3 Effects of SAMP on Future Applicants	7.12-3
8.0	Compliance With Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines.....	8-1
	8.1 Specific Activities Requiring USACE Section 404 Permits.....	8-1
	8.1.1 Applicants’ Proposed Projects.....	8-1
8.2	Process for Identifying Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative (LEDPA)	8-8
	8.2.1 Future Participants Projects Outside of the RMV Planning Area	8-8
	8.2.2 Summary of Chapter 6.0 Screening Criteria for Selection of Alternatives Carried Forward for Compliance With Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines.....	8-8
	8.2.3 Requirements for Determining Compliance with Code of Federal Regulations 230.10.....	8-9

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)**

<u>Chapter</u>		<u>Page</u>
8.3	Summary of Proposed Permitting Procedures	8-11
8.4	Section 230.10(a) Alternatives Analysis/LEDPA Determination	8-13
	8.4.1 Impacts on USACE Jurisdiction Areas and Avoidance of Wetland and Riparian Habitats	8-14
8.5	Factual Determinations	8-34
	8.5.1 Physical Substrate	8-34
	8.5.2 Water Circulation, Fluctuation, and Salinity	8-37
	8.5.3 Suspended Particulates/Turbidity	8-38
	8.5.4 Contaminants	8-42
	8.5.5 Aquatic Ecosystem and Organisms	8-43
	8.5.6 Secondary Effects on the Aquatic Ecosystem	8-45
8.6	Compliance with Discharge Prohibitions—40 CFR 230.10(b)	8-46
	8.6.1 Potential Violation of Any Applicable State Water Quality Standards	8-46
	8.6.2 Potential Violation of Any Applicable Toxic Effluent Standard or Prohibition Under Section 307 of the Act	8-59
	8.6.3 Potential Impacts That Would Jeopardize the Continued Existence of Species Listed as Threatened or Endangered or Result in the Likelihood of Destruction or Adverse Modification of Critical Habitat Under FESA	8-60
	8.6.4 Potential to Violate Marine Sanctuaries Designated under Title II of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972	8-87
8.7	Prohibitions on Discharges Causing or Contributing to Significant Degradation—40 CFR 230.10(c)	8-87
8.8	Cumulative Effects on the Aquatic Ecosystem	8-87
	8.8.1 Cumulative Projects within the SAMP Study Area	8-88
	8.8.2 Cumulative Impact Analysis	8-94
8.9	Appropriate and Practicable Steps to Minimize Potential Adverse Effects of Proposed Discharges on the Aquatic Ecosystem—40 CFR 230.10(d) and Subpart H of the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines	8-99
	8.9.1 Regulatory Overview	8-99
	8.9.2 Subpart H Analysis	8-100
8.10	Findings of Compliance or Non-Compliance with the Restrictions on Discharge—40 CFR 230.12	8-111
	8.10.1 Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative	8-111
	8.10.2 Inclusion of Appropriate and Practicable Discharge Conditions (Subpart H)	8-112
8.11	Consistency with Federal and State Laws and Regulations	8-112
	8.11.1 Section 401 of the Clean Water Act	8-112
	8.11.2 Endangered Species Act	8-113
9.0	Growth-Inducing Impacts and Cumulative Impacts	9-1
9.1	Growth-Inducing Impacts	9-1
	9.1.1 Introduction	9-1
	9.1.2 SAMP Study Area	9-2
	9.1.3 Patterns and Trends	9-3
	9.1.4 Effects of the Proposed Permitting Procedures	9-6
	9.1.5 Conclusion	9-11

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)**

<u>Chapter</u>		<u>Page</u>
9.2	Cumulative Impacts	9-11
9.2.1	General Plan-Level.....	9-13
9.2.2	Specific Projects	9-14
9.2.3	Cumulative Impact Analysis	9-44
10.0	Chapter Consistency with Federal, Regional, and Local Plans and Programs....	10-1
10.1	Federal Planning Programs.....	10-1
10.1.1	Clean Air Act.....	10-1
10.1.2	National Historic Preservation Act.....	10-2
10.1.3	Environmental Justice	10-2
10.1.4	Floodplain Executive Order	10-3
10.1.5	Wetland Executive Order.....	10-4
10.1.6	Rivers and Harbors Act	10-5
10.1.7	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act	10-5
10.1.8	Coastal Zone Management Act.....	10-7
10.1.9	California Water Code	10-8
10.1.10	California Coastal Act.....	10-9
10.2	Regional Planning Programs.....	10-9
10.2.1	SCAG Regional Comprehensive Plan and Guide	10-9
10.2.2	County of Orange General Plan	10-13
10.2.3	City of Dana Point General Plan.....	10-22
10.2.4	City of Laguna Hills General Plan.....	10-25
10.2.5	City of Laguna Niguel General Plan	10-26
10.2.6	City of Mission Viejo General Plan	10-28
10.2.7	City of Rancho Santa Margarita General Plan	10-30
10.2.8	City of San Clemente General Plan.....	10-33
10.2.9	City of San Juan Capistrano General Plan.....	10-35
11.0	Agencies and Persons Consulted	11-1
12.0	Preparers and Contributors	12-1
13.0	Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Glossary of Terms.....	13-1
13.1	Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	13-1
13.2	Glossary of Terms	13-9
14.0	References.....	14-1
15.0	Index.....	15-1

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A

Scoping Report
Public Notices

Appendix B1

Southern Subregion NCCP Southern Planning Guidelines

Appendix B2

Draft Watershed and Sub-basin Planning Principles (“Southern Watershed Planning Principles”)

Appendix C

Baseline Geomorphic and Hydrologic Conditions Rancho Mission Viejo: Portions of the San Juan and Western San Mateo Watersheds

Appendix D

Water Quality Management Plans and Technical Memorandum

Appendix E

E1 – USACE Engineer Research and Development Center Planning-Level Delineation

E2 – USACE Engineer Research and Development Center Functional Assessment

E3 – Project-Level Delineation Report (GLA)

E4 – Alternatives Analysis for San Juan Creek and Western San Mateo Creek Watersheds, Orange County, California: Potential Impacts to Waters of the United States and Riparian Ecosystems

Appendix F

F1 – USACE Engineer Research and Development Center Restoration Plan

F2 – Aquatic Resources Restoration Plan

F3 – Aquatic Resources Adaptive Management Program

F4 – Invasive Species Control Plan

Appendix G

Geomorphic and Hydrologic Needs of Aquatic and Riparian Endangered Species: San Juan and Western San Mateo Watersheds

Appendix H

Geomorphology Factors Affecting Sediment Generation and Transport under Pre-and Post-Urbanization Conditions at Rancho Mission Viejo and in the San Juan And San Mateo Watersheds

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
3-1	Comparisons Between Current and Proposed Alternative Permitting System for the San Juan Creek and San Mateo Creek Watersheds 3-7
3-1	Comparisons Between Current and Proposed Alternative Permitting System for the San Juan Creek and 3-7
3-2	Comparisons Between Current and Proposed Alternative Permitting System in Terms of Processing Times for the 3-8
4.1.1-1	Major Hydraulic Structures in San Juan Creek Watershed 4.1-2
4.1.1-2	San Juan Creek Watershed Physical Characteristics 4.1-11
4.1.1-3	San Juan Creek Watershed Summary of Peak Flows (cfs) 4.1-12
4.1.1-4	San Juan Creek Watershed at the Pacific Ocean Storm Event Runoff Volumes 4.1-12
4.1.1-5	San Mateo Creek Watershed Physical Characteristics 4.1-14
4.1.1-6	San Mateo Creek Watershed Summary of Peak Flows (cfs) 4.1-15
4.1.1-7	San Mateo Creek Watershed at the Pacific Ocean Storm Event Runoff Volumes 4.1-15
4.1.1-8	San Juan Creek Watershed Base Sediment Yields and Particle Size Distributions 4.1-18
4.1.1-9	San Mateo Creek Watershed Base Sediment Yields and Particle Size Distributions Within SAMP Study Area 4.1-22
4.1.1-10	Comparison of Sediment Yield Estimates 4.1-24
4.1.1-11	San Diego Basin Plan Designated Beneficial Uses 4.1-30
4.1.1-12	Basin Plan and California Toxic Rule Standards and Objectives Applicable to Surface Waters in SAMP Study Area 4.1-31
4.1.1-13	Water Quality Objectives Applicable to Groundwater in the SAMP Study Area .. 4.1-32
4.1.1-14	Summary of Water Quality Data Measured by the Orange County Public Facilities and Resources Department as Function of Antecedent Rainfall, WY 1991 to WY 1999 4.1-35
4.1.2-1	Summary of 2002 Nationwide Permits 4.1-42
4.1.2-2	Riparian and Wetland Habitats in the SAMP Study Area 4.1-51
4.1.2-3	Summary of Ecosystem Integrity Scores: All Drainages Combined 4.1-63
4.1.2-4	Jurisdictional Totals for Resolved Features 4.1-65
4.1.4-1	Aggregate Land Use Categories for Unincorporated Orange County 4.1-119
4.1.5-1	Level of Service Ranges and Volume/Capacity Ratio 4.1-132
4.1.5-2	Arterial Intersection Performance Criteria 4.1-133
4.1.5-3	Freeway Ramp Performance Criteria 4.1-134
4.1.5-4	Freeway Mainline Performance Criteria 4.1-135
4.1.5-5	Committed Circulation System Improvements (Year 2010) in the Traffic Study Area 4.1-140
4.1.5-6	Non-Committed Circulation System Improvements in the Traffic Study Area ... 4.1-141
4.1.6-1	Acres of Agriculture Production in Orange County 4.1-143
4.1.6-2	Gross Value of Agricultural Production in Orange County 4.1-143
4.1.6-3	Nursery Leases on the RMV Planning Area 4.1-146
4.1.6-4	SAMP Study Area Farmland by Classification 4.1-150
4.1.6-5	Aggregate Resources of the Orange County Region 4.1-151
4.1.7-1	Ambient Air Quality Standards 4.1-160
4.1.7-2	Saddleback Valley (Inland Orange County) SRA 19 Air Quality Data Summary 4.1-161
4.1.8-1	County of Orange County Interior Noise Standards 4.1-165
4.1.8-2	Orange County Noise Ordinance Standards 4.1-166

**LIST OF TABLES
(Continued)**

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
4.1.8-3	City of Dana Point Noise Standards.....4.1-167
4.1.8-4	City of Laguna Hills Noise Standards.....4.1-168
4.1.8-5	City of Laguna Niguel Noise Standards4.1-169
4.1.8-6	City of Mission Viejo Noise Standards4.1-169
4.1.8-7	City of San Clemente Noise Standards.....4.1-171
4.1.8-8	City of San Juan Capistrano Maximum Noise Levels Not to be Exceeded.....4.1-171
4.1.8-9	RMV Planning Area Existing Noise Measurements4.1-172
4.1.8-10	RMV Planning Area Existing Roadway Traffic Noise Levels.....4.1-173
4.1.10-1	Classification Categories.....4.1-189
4.1.10-2	Archaeological and Historic Resources Significance4.1-203
4.1.11-1	Existing and Projected Population.....4.1-208
4.1.11-2	Existing and Projected Housing4.1-208
4.1.11-3	RHNA Allocation of Housing Units: 1998 to 20054.1-209
4.1.11-4	Existing and Projected Employment.....4.1-210
4.2-1	Aquatic Resources for Each Sub-basin Within the San Juan Creek and Western San Mateo Creek Watersheds.....4.2-2
4.2-2	Riparian Habitat Resources Within the San Juan Creek and Western San Mateo Creek Watersheds by Sub-basin4.2-4
5-1	No Action Alternatives Comparison.....5-5
5-2	Development/Open Space Alternatives Comparison5-9
6-1	Summary of Impacts to USACE Jurisdictional Areas and CDFG Riparian Habitats by Alternative6-4
6-2	Summary of Impacts to USACE Jurisdictional Wetlands by Habitat Type by Alternative6-5
6-3	Summary of Impacts to CDFG Riparian Habitats by Alternative.....6-5
6-4	Summary of Riparian Areas Protected in SAMP Study Area6-8
6-5	Summary of Riparian Areas Conserved in RMV Planning Area6-9
6-6-	Sensitive Aquatic Species Impacts by Alternative.....6-11
6-7	Loss of Integrity Units from Direct Impacts to Riparian Reaches6-24
6-8	Loss of Integrity Units from Direct Impacts to Riparian Reaches and Indirect Impacts from Changes to the Surrounding Landscape6-24
6-9	Upland Vegetation Community/Land Cover Impacts by Alternative.....6-40
6-10	Non-Aquatic Listed Species Impacts by Project Alternatives.....6-42
6-11	SAMP Watershed and Sub-Basin Planning Principles Consistency Findings6-64
7.3-1	Alternative B-10 Modified Trip Generation Summary.....7.3-4
7.3-2	Year 2025 Circulation System Improvement Program7.3-16
7.3-3	Interim Circulation System Improvement Program.....7.3-20
7.5-1	Emissions Thresholds of Significance.....7.5-1
7.5-2	Year 2025 + Project Buildout Operational Emissions (Pounds per Day)7.5-7
7.5-3	Alternative B-10 Modified: Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Impacted Intersections (in PPM) IN 20057.5-9
7.5-4	Alternative B-10 Modified: Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Impacted intersections (in PPM) in 20257.5-10
7.5-5	Peak Daily Construction Emissions After Mitigation (In Pounds Per Day)7.5-13
7.6-1	Year 2025 Alternative B 10 Modified Buildout Traffic Noise CNEL Increases7.6-6
7.6-2	Year 2025 Alternative B 10 Modified + Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Increases.....7.6-8
7.7-1	Scene Illumination Under Various Outdoors Conditions7.7-10
7.8-1	Alternative B-10 Modified Archaeological and Historic Resources Significance ...7.8-5

**LIST OF TABLES
(Continued)**

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
7.8-2	Alternative B-12 Archaeological and Historic Resources Significance.....	7.8-11
7.8-3	Alternative A-5 Archaeological and Historic Resources Significance.....	7.8-16
8-1	Domestic and Non-Domestic Water Facilities	8-5
8-2	Wastewater Facilities	8-6
8-3	Summary of Temporary Infrastructure Impacts Associated With SMWD Facilities	8-15
8-4	Summary of Development and Infrastructure Impacts to USACE Jurisdictional Areas for Alternatives B-10 Modified and B-12	8-16
8-5	Summary of Impacts to USACE Jurisdictional Wetlands in Development Areas by Habitat Type for Alternatives B-10 Modified and B-12	8-16
8-6	Summary of Infrastructure Impacts to USACE Wetlands and Non-Wetland Waters by Infrastructure Type for Alternatives B-10 Modified and B-12	8-17
8-7	Summary of Infrastructure Impacts to USACE Jurisdictional Wetlands by Habitat Type for Alternative B-10 Modified.....	8-18
8-8	Summary Of Infrastructure Impacts to USACE Jurisdictional Wetlands by Habitat Type for Alternative B-12	8-19
8-9	Summary of Riparian Areas Protected in SAMP Study Area	8-23
8-10	Summary of Riparian Areas Conserved in RMV Planning Area	8-24
8-11	San Diego Basin Plan Designated Beneficial Uses	8-54
8-12	Plant Community Impacts by SOCTIIP Alternative (Ultimate).....	8-91
9-1	Agencies Contacted in 2003 Regarding Cumulative Projects	9-12
9-2	Plant Community Impacts by Project Alternative (Ultimate).....	9-47
9-3	Sensitive Plant Species Impacts by Project Alternative (Initial and Ultimate)	9-48
9-4	Sensitive Wildlife Impact by Alternative.....	9-49
9-5	Summary of Direct Impacts to Threatened and Endangered Species	9-50
10-1	Foothill/Trabuco Specific Plan Land Use Summary	10-18
10-2	Coto De Caza Specific Plan Land Use Summary	10-21

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

1-1	SAMP Study Area Regional Map
1-2	SAMP Study Area Boundary
1-3	Letter of Permission and Regional General Permit Map
2-1	SAMP Study Area with Watershed and Sub-Basin Boundaries
2-2	Alternative B-12
2-3	SMWD Existing and Future Facilities
2-4	Future Potential Participants/Development Areas within SAMP Study Area
2-5	SOCTIIP Alternatives
2-6	Prima Deshecha Landfill
4.1.1-1	Major Streams in San Juan Creek and Western San Mateo Creek Watersheds
4.1.1-2	Surficial Geology of the SAMP Study Area
4.1.1-3	Landscape-scale Terrains and Shallow Substrate Erodibility in SAMP Study Area
4.1.1-4	Urbanization Effects in Sandy Watersheds on Storm Peaks and Downstream Channel Morphology
4.1.1-5	Wildland Fire History in SAMP Study Area
4.1.1-6	Distribution of Hydrological Soils in the San Juan Creek Watershed
4.4.1-7	Distribution of Soil Conservation Service Runoff Curve Numbers for the San Juan Creek Watershed
4.1.1-8	Distribution of Hydrological Soils in the San Mateo Creek Watershed
4.1.1-9	Distribution of Soil Conservation Service Runoff Curve Numbers for the San Mateo Creek Watershed
4.1.1-10	San Juan Creek Watershed: Absolute Peak Sediment Transport Capacities for Each Major Sub-Basin during the 100-year Flow Event
4.1.1-11	San Juan Creek Watershed: Transport Rates per Unit Area at the Most Downstream Reach of Each Sub-Basin for a 100-year Flow
4.1.1-12	San Juan Creek Watershed: Calculated Sediment Yields for the 2-year, 10-year, and 100-year Storm Events
4.1.2-1	Aquatic Resources, Riparian Communities, and Watercourses in SAMP Study Area
4.1.2-2	Cross-Sectional Profile of a Representative Riparian Ecosystem
4.1.2-3	Illustration of a Riparian Reach and Local Drainage Area
4.1.2-4	Hydrologic Integrity of Aquatic Resources in SAMP Study Area
4.1.2-5	Water Quality Integrity of Aquatic Resources in SAMP Study Area
4.1.2-6	Habitat Integrity of Aquatic Resources in SAMP Study Area
4.1.2-7a	2004 Jurisdictional Delineation
4.1.2-7b	2004 Jurisdictional Delineation
4.1.2-7c	2004 Jurisdictional Delineation
4.1.2-7d	2004 Jurisdictional Delineation
4.1.2-7e	2004 Jurisdictional Delineation
4.1.2-7f	2004 Jurisdictional Delineation
4.1.2-7g	2004 Jurisdictional Delineation
4.1.2-7h	2004 Jurisdictional Delineation
4.1.2-7i	2004 Jurisdictional Delineation
4.1.2-8	Invasive Species in Caspers Regional Park
4.1.3-1	General Vegetation Map
4.1.3-2	Vegetation and Sensitive Species in SAMP Study Area
4.1.3-3	Coastal Sage Scrub Wildlife Species: San Juan Creek Watershed
4.1.3-4	Historic Raptor Nest Sites: San Juan Creek Watershed
4.1.3-5	Sensitive Plant Species: San Juan Creek Watershed
4.1.3-6	Grassland Wildlife Species: San Juan Creek Watershed

**LIST OF FIGURES
(Continued)**

Figure

4.1.3-7	Coastal Sage Scrub Wildlife Species: San Mateo Creek Watershed
4.1.3-8	Grassland Wildlife Species: San Mateo Creek Watershed
4.1.3-9	Historic Raptor Nest Sites: San Mateo Creek Watershed
4.1.3-10	Sensitive Plant Species: San Mateo Creek Watershed
4.1.3-11	Wildlife Corridors and Habitat Linkages
4.1.4-1	Orange County General Plan Land Use Designations
4.1.4-2	City of Dana Point General Plan Land Use Designations
4.1.4-3	City of Laguna Hills General Plan Land Use Designations
4.1.4-4	City of Laguna Niguel General Plan Land Use Designations
4.1.4-5	City of Mission Viejo General Plan Land Use Designations
4.1.4-6	City of Rancho Santa Margarita Land Use Designations
4.1.4-7	City of San Clemente Land Use Designations
4.1.4-8	City of San Juan Capistrano Land Use Designations
4.1.4-9	RMV Planning Area Existing Land Uses
4.1.5-1	RMV Planning Area Traffic Study Area
4.1.5-2	RMV Planning Area Average Daily Traffic Volumes
4.1.5-3	Interim Committed Circulation System Improvements
4.1.5-4	MPAH Buildout Circulation System Improvements
4.1.6-1	RMV Planning Area Existing Agricultural Resources
4.1.6-2	RMV Planning Area Existing Pasture Lands
4.1.6-3	Important Farmlands in SAMP Study Area
4.1.6-4	RMV Planning Area Agricultural Preserves
4.1.6-5	RMV Planning Area Important Farmlands in Agricultural Preserves
4.1.8-1	Noise Levels
4.1.8-2	CNEL Noise Levels
4.1.8-3	Noise Measurement Sites
4.1.8-4	Construction Noise
4.1.9-1	Landscape Zones and Ridgeline Analysis
4.1.11-1	Regional Statistical Areas
4.1.12-1	Recreational Facilities in and near SAMP Study Area
4.1.12-2	Trails in SAMP Study Area
4.1.12-3	Commuter Bikeway Strategic Plan Bikeways in SAMP Study Area
5-1	Alternative A-5
5-2	Alternative B-1
5-3	Alternative B-2
5-4	Alternative B-3
5-5	Alternative B-4
5-6	Alternative B-5
5-7	Alternative B-6
5-8	Alternative B-7
5-9	Alternative B-8
5-10	Alternative B-9
5-11	Alternative B-10 Modified
5-12	Alternative B-11
5-13	Alternative B-12
5-14	Aquatic Resources Adaptive Management Program Conceptual Flowchart
6-1	Geomorphology/Terrains
6-2	Seismic Hazards Zone Map
6-3	Alternative B-8 Circulation System

**LIST OF FIGURES
(Continued)**

Figure

6-4	Alternative B-10 Modified Circulation System
6-5	Alternative B-12 Circulation System
7.3-1	Alternative B-10 Modified Buildout Trip Distribution
7.3-2	Alternative B-10 Modified On-Site Circulation System
7.3-3	2025 + Alternative B-10 Modified Buildout: ADT Volumes (Committed Circulation System)
7.3-4	2025 + Alternative B-10 Modified Buildout: ADT Volumes (Committed Circulation System with La Pata)
7.3-5	2025 + Alternative B-10 Modified Buildout: ADT Volumes (Committed Circulation System with La Pata and SR-241 Extension)
7.3-6	2025 + Alternative B-10 Modified Buildout: Intersection Location Map (Without SR-241 Extension)
7.3-7	2025 + Alternative B-10 Modified Buildout: Intersection Location Map (With SR-241 Extension)
7.3-8	2025 Long-Range Circulation System Improvement Program (Without SR-241 Extension)
7.3-9	2025 Long-Range Circulation System Improvement Program (With SR-241 Extension)
7.3-10	2025 with Alternative B-10 Modified + Mitigation: ADT Volumes (Without SR-241 Extension)
7.3-11	2025 with Alternative B-10 Modified + Mitigation: ADT Volumes (With SR-241 Extension)
7.6-1	Construction Equipment Noise Levels
7.7-1	Alternative B-10 Modified Viewshed Analysis Key Map
7.7-2	Viewshed Analysis View 1a, 1b
7.7-3	Viewshed Analysis View 2
7.7-4	Viewshed Analysis View 3
7.7-5	Viewshed Analysis View 4
7.7-6	Viewshed Analysis View 5
7.7-7	Viewshed Analysis View 6
7.7-8	Viewshed Analysis View 7
7.7-9	Viewshed Analysis View 8
7.7-10	Viewshed Analysis View 9
7.7-11	Viewshed Analysis View 10
7.7-12	Viewshed Analysis View 11
7.7-13	Viewshed Analysis View 12
7.7-14	Ridgeline Impacts
8-1	Alternative B-12: Infrastructure: Conceptual Road/Stream Crossing Locations
8-2	Alternative B-12: Bikeways and Trails
8-3a	Alternative B-12: Infrastructure: Domestic Water Facilities
8-3b	Alternative B-12: Infrastructure: Non-Domestic Water Facilities
8-3c	Alternative B-12: Infrastructure: Sewer Facilities
8-4	Alternative B-12: Drainage Facilities
8-5	Existing RMV Planning Area Major Ranch Infrastructure
8-6	Critical Habitat Designations: California Gnatcatcher, Riverside and San Diego Fairy Shrimp, and Southwestern Willow Flycatcher
8-7	Least Bell's Vireo Key Locations
8-8	Southwestern Willow Flycatcher Key Locations
8-9	Arroyo Toad Key Locations

**LIST OF FIGURES
(Continued)**

Figure

8-10 Aquatic Resources Conservation Areas
9-1 Cumulative Projects