

State Water Resources Control Board

Order No. -----

Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements for
Nonpoint Source Discharges Related to
Certain Activities on National Forest System Lands in California

The State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) finds that:

1. The State of California (State) encompasses about 100 million acres. The United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (USFS) administers and manages National Forest System (NFS) lands within three USFS Regions, totaling approximately 20 million acres.
 - a. USFS Region 5 (Pacific Southwest Region) manages the following ~~national forests~~National Forests: Mendocino, Six Rivers, Klamath, Shasta/Trinity, Modoc, Plumas, Lassen, Tahoe, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, Eldorado, Stanislaus, Sierra, Sequoia, Inyo, Cleveland, San Bernardino, Angeles, and Los Padres. These ~~forests~~National Forests comprise about 19.2 million acres of the State.
 - b. USFS Region 6 (Pacific Northwest Region) manages the Rogue River-Siskiyou National Forest, accounting for a little less than 100,000 acres immediately south of the Oregon-California border.
 - c. USFS Region 4 (Intermountain Region) manages the Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest, comprising about 700,000 acres located east of the Sierra Nevada crest and primarily between about Luther Pass on the north and Conway Summit on the south, but also, on the north, in a narrow strip through eastern Sierra and Nevada Counties.
2. By statute, USFS must manage NFS lands for multiple uses, including ~~grazing, logging, mining, water supply, outdoor recreation, fire control, vegetation manipulation, range, timber, watershed, wildlife, and restoration~~fish purposes. Activities associated with these uses may generate sediment, increase water temperature, and affect other water quality parameters. USFS has existing mandates, programs, funding, and resources for protecting and restoring water quality, riparian areas, wetlands, and watersheds.
3. The USFS' management of multiple land uses over a large portion of the State, coupled with its planning framework and existing programs, provides a unique opportunity for comprehensive permit coverage that provides better and more efficient protection of the beneficial uses of water by addressing several sources of temperature, sediment, bacteria, and other discharges systematically across the landscape. When appropriately implemented, this can meet the water quality protection needs of the State Water Board and the Regional Water Quality Control Boards (Regional Water Boards; together, "Water Boards").
4. This statewide Waiver addresses potential nonpoint source (NPS) discharges of waste to waters of the State from certain activities (~~NPS activities~~)and projects that take place on NFS lands. Most of the potential impacts are associated with erosion and sediment delivery and/or changes to riparian systems that may reduce shade

and affect water temperatures. These NPS activities and projects and their potential water quality impacts are as follows:

- **Timber Management:** Timber harvesting activity on NFS lands and its associated road network have the potential to generate sediment from equipment use and from erosion of bare ground on roads, landings, and skid trails, and to reduce shade canopy from tree removal due to logging, road construction, and equipment operations. Refueling and servicing of related equipment can cause discharges of petroleum products and toxic chemicals.
- **Road Management:** There are tens of thousands of miles of roads on NFS lands in California that are managed by USFS. Although most of the road mileage was created when timber production was about an order of magnitude greater than it is today, many of these roads now serve the multiple uses USFS is mandated to provide. Forest roads are ~~the single most~~ significant anthropogenic source of sediment on NFS lands. Road location, design, construction or reconstruction, use, maintenance, and decommissioning all pose potential impacts to water quality by increasing soil erosion and compaction, diverting and concentrating surface and subsurface runoff, accelerating mass wasting, and removing vegetative canopy.
- **Range Management:** Grazing by herds of livestock, especially in and near riparian areas, has the potential to cause impacts to water quality. These impacts include discharges of nutrients and pathogens from animal waste, increased heat loading due to reduction of riparian vegetation, and increased sediment loading due to trampling and compaction of stream banks, loss of bank-stabilizing riparian vegetation, and reduction of sediment-trapping instream vegetation.
- **Recreation:** A wide array of dispersed and concentrated recreational activities occurs on NFS lands. The most common water quality impacts from recreational activities are erosion and sedimentation associated with use of roads, trails and camping sites. Much of the recreation takes place in or on water bodies, allowing petroleum products and human wastes to readily enter water.
- **Off-highway Vehicle (OHV) Recreation:** Motorized recreation is the fastest growing use of NFS lands. The increased power and capabilities of OHVs, together with population growth, has greatly increased both the range of and demand for motorized access. OHV recreation is the most rapidly increasing source of sediment discharges on NFS lands.
- **Vegetation Manipulation:** In addition to timber harvesting, the USFS thins vegetation on its lands as part of: 1) fuel management practices (which are intended to reduce the likelihood and severity of wildfire and protect communities at risk); 2) forest rehabilitation activities (selection cuts and thinning addressed as timber harvest); and 3) riparian area rehabilitation to improve diversity and promote conifer and/or broadleaf species. These activities can generate

sediment and alter shade conditions. Use of pesticides can pollute adjacent water bodies.

- **Watershed Restoration**: These activities are generally associated with road decommissioning (addressed above), road upgrades and storm-proofing, remediation of existing and potential sediment discharge sites, instream habitat improvements, and forest restoration (e.g., fuels reduction, meadow and riparian restoration, invasive species eradication, wildlife and fish habitat improvement).
- **Fire Suppression and Recovery**: Firefighting activities may include road building, re-opening of old roads, fireline construction, water drafting, back-burning, and application of fire-retardant chemicals. These activities may result in transport of sediment and other pollutants and may impact riparian areas. Immediate remediation of resource damage -resulting from suppression actions (suppression rehabilitation) is -required for all fires prior to demobilization of firefighting resources and incident command teams. For fires larger than 500 acres, and sometimes for smaller fires, the - USFS Burned Area Emergency Response (BAER) program is used to prescribe and implement emergency treatment on federal land to minimize threats to life or property from the effects of a fire and to stabilize and prevent unacceptable degradation to natural or cultural resources. Firefighting, suppression rehabilitation, and the BAER program are conducted pursuant to best management practices -(BMPs) and forest-specific plans and procedures. Following BAER activities, a National Forest may conduct further activities to address erosion control, reforestation, and riparian improvements.

This Waiver does not apply to point source discharges that are subject to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA).

5. Both USFS and Water Boards have limited resources to protect water quality, and it behooves both entities to make the process for maintaining, protecting and restoring the quality and beneficial uses of water as efficient and effective as is feasible.
 - a. Regulating discharges from NPS activities and projects under a general waiver rather than WDRs is appropriate because:
 - 1) The covered activities and projects are limited to those that have only potentially low or moderate impact on water quality;
 - 2) A general waiver simplifies and streamlines the regulatory process; and
 - 3) An effective Water Board program to control the discharges from covered activities can rely in part on the USFS implementation of its existing mandates and programs to protect water quality as a condition of the waiver.
 - b. A statewide waiver is appropriate for the following reasons:
 - 1) It addresses the same or similar discharges of waste from the same or similar operations and applies the same or similar treatment methods and management practices;
 - 2) USFS administers NFS lands across the entire State and implements its national and regional mandates and directives on a statewide basis, within eight Water Board regions and affecting 11 Water Board offices.

- 3) Priorities for remediation of legacy¹ problem sites, watershed restoration and monitoring are best established on a statewide basis, without trying to satisfy competing priorities between Regional Water Boards.
- 4) A single statewide waiver:
 - a) Provides a geographically and temporally consistent platform for USFS administration of NFS lands for water quality, minimizing unnecessary regulatory differences between Regional Water Boards;
 - b) Avoids repetitious renewal of multiple Regional Water Board waivers for both USFS and Water Boards;
 - c) Centralizes and minimizes expenditure of Water Board and USFS staff time on purely administrative work.
- 5) A statewide general waiver frees Regional Water Board and USFS staff to spend more time working together in the field to improve water quality protection.

USFS Guidance

6. NFS lands are managed in accordance with a nested hierarchy of statutes, regulations, plans, manuals and handbooks. These address USFS activities and projects nationally, in multiple and single USFS regions, in multiple and individual national forests National Forests, and individual projects and activities. These elements are described in this section, and are referred to collectively as “USFS Guidance.” USFS Guidance addresses protection, maintenance, and enhancement of water quality in a reasonable and logical manner at its various spatial scales.
7. Three major sets of statutes and regulations that apply at the national scale have great significance for water quality: a) the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), which is the federal analog of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), 2) the Travel Management Rule (TMR), and 3) the USFS Watershed Improvement Program (WIP).
8. NEPA applies to “major federal actions,” a term that is broadly defined under the NEPA statute, regulations, and case law, and covers actions taken by USFS in approving, funding, or carrying out many activities projects on NFS lands. NEPA requires federal agencies, such as USFS, to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the environmental impacts of their proposed actions and reasonable alternatives to those actions. USFS projects that go through the NEPA process are publicly noticed, with a public comment period, and involve substantial public and agency input. The Waiver requires that any NEPA analysis of specific projects that USFS wants to be covered by the Waiver be submitted to the affected Regional Water Board (including responses to public comments-). The Water Boards use NEPA documentation, or other available information, to determine the applicability of the Waiver to any specific project and to determine what specific prescriptions may be needed.

¹ Legacy sources or sites are considered those existing discharge or potential discharge areas or sites that are the result of human activity from the past and can reasonably and feasibly be remedied.

9. Many of the NFS roads managed by USFS are in poor repair, contributing significantly to sediment discharges, and USFS does may not have sufficient funding to provide the necessary road maintenance. The 2005 Travel Management Rule is set forth in Title 36, of the Code of Federal Regulations, (36 CFR)C.F.R.) at Part 212, Subparts A, B, and C. Subpart A mandates that each national forestNational Forest determine the minimum road system needed for safe and efficient travel and for utilization, and protection of NFS lands (36 CFRC.F.R. § 212.5(b)(1)). In addition, each forest supervisor must review the road system on each National Forest and identify the roads on NFS lands that are no longer needed to meet forest resource management objectives and that, therefore, should be decommissioned or considered for other uses, such as for trails (36 CFRC.F.R. § 212.5(b)(2)). Subpart B provides for a system of roads, trails, and areas on NFS lands that are designated for motor vehicle use. After these roads, trails, and areas are designated, motor vehicle use outside designated areas is prohibited by 36 CFRC.F.R. § 261.13. The TMRTravel Management Rule is implemented through Forest Service Manuals (FSM) 2350, 7700, and 7710 and Forest Service Handbook (FSH) 7709.55.

10. WIP is a nation-wide USFS program that guides watershed restoration activities progressing through the priority watersheds in a stepwise manner, eventually providing assessment and restoration for all the watersheds. Individual national forestsNational Forests use the WIP to guide watershed-scale assessment and restoration. In accordance with the WIP, each National Forest:
 - a. Selects priority watersheds for restoration;
 - b. Assesses watershed condition;
 - c. Inventories watershed improvement needs;
 - d. Identifies essential projects; and
 - e. Develops watershed restoration plans.These components are important for addressing legacy nonpoint sources, which are often associated with forest roads. Each forestNational Forest is also responsible for providing an annual report on its WIP accomplishments.

11. The following paragraphs describe the key WIP components in more detail:
 - a. **Priority Watersheds** ~~comprise a system of watershed-scale refugia for protecting fish and water quality. Priority watersheds are the cornerstone for maintaining or recovering habitat for anadromous and resident fish species and threatened or endangered amphibians. National forests must use most of their available restoration funds in priority watersheds.~~ are selected by each National Forest to focus watershed restoration efforts where they will be most effective. Each National Forest will select several 6th field subwatersheds based on watershed condition, values at risk, and opportunities to improve watershed conditions. Selection of priority watersheds will be done in collaboration with other agencies, tribes, and stakeholders. Priority watersheds are described on page 11 of the Watershed Condition Framework Technical Guide, available at: [http://www.fs.fed.us/publications/watershed/Watershed_Condition_Framework.p df](http://www.fs.fed.us/publications/watershed/Watershed_Condition_Framework.pdf). As described in more detail below, priority watersheds receive heightened water quality protection under the multi-region Forest Plans. Water Boards will be encouraged to participate in the prioritization process.

- b. **Watershed Condition Assessment** is a more detailed look at specific indicators of watershed health. ~~A draft “Forest Service Watershed Condition Technical Guide” was completed in 2010 and is currently under review. The Waiver requires the USFS to provide an anticipated schedule for completion of all remaining assessments. The USFS completed its assessment of all 6th-field subwatersheds on NFS lands in 2011. The assessment was based on information for multiple attributes. This assessment, called the Watershed Condition Framework, is available at: <http://www.fs.fed.us/publications/watershed/>. The watershed assessment will be revised annually as new information becomes available or as watershed conditions change, either due to impacts such as wildfires or as restoration projects are completed.~~
 - c. **Watershed Improvement Needs Inventory** is an ongoing process that is integrated with each ~~forest's~~National Forest's program of work and subject to available funding. The degree of progress in these inventories varies considerably by ~~forests~~National Forest, depending on available resources and capabilities. Significant progress is being made in inventories of road-related watershed improvement needs. Transition to a national database is in progress.
 - d. **Essential Projects Identification** establishes the most important projects to be implemented within a watershed (e.g., road crossings, road decommissioning, landslide stabilization) to return it to properly functioning condition. Critical projects, including prevention, restoration, and monitoring, are identified and prioritized, resulting in the development of a watershed restoration plan.
 - e. **Watershed Restoration Plans** structure a comprehensive, long-term program to restore the health of watersheds, aquatic ecosystems and riparian habitats. Restoration addresses legacy and potential sediment delivery sites and riparian area needs, as well as other improvements, such as instream habitat enhancement or improving forest stand conditions. Current restoration emphasis is on controlling and preventing sediment runoff by upgrading and decommissioning roads and restoring damaged riparian meadows. Watershed restoration projects are not limited to priority watersheds.
~~This program~~The WIP can make important contributions to the State Water Board's NPS program and toward improving the health of impaired beneficial uses of water.
12. USFS directives set forth in national and regional manuals and handbooks supplement the WIP by providing guidance for watershed-scale planning, restoration, and assessment. These include, but are not limited to, the following:
- FSM 2520 Watershed Protection and Management (1997) - provides national direction for watershed condition assessment, watershed improvement, emergency burned area response for wildfires, monitoring, riparian area management, floodplain management and wetland protection, emergency watershed protection, and natural disaster and flood damage surveys. Watershed improvement activities include road decommissioning, meadow restoration, and reforestation of burned areas.
 - FSM 2020 Ecological Restoration (2009) - provides a policy for using ecological restoration in the management of NFS lands, further supporting watershed analysis and restoration.

- Region 5 FSH 2509.22 Soil and Water Conservation Handbook Chapter 20 (1988) - provides direction for assessing cumulative watershed effects.

13. Two broad sets of USFS Guidance apply across multiple [national forests](#). [National Forests](#). The 1994 Northwest Forest Plan (NWFP) applies to NFS lands in Washington, Oregon and northwestern California. The 2004 Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendments (SNFPA) applies to California NFS lands in the Cascade Range, Modoc Plateau and Sierra Nevada. The provisions of the NWFP and the SNFPA are not identical, but they have many features in common. Both function within the context of the WIP and the directives discussed above.

14. Important water quality components of the NWFP and SNFPA are as follows:
- a. **Key Watersheds or Critical Aquatic Refuges** - comprise a system of watershed-scale refugia for fish and wildlife that are established under the NWFP or SNFPA, respectively. They are generally included in priority watersheds established under the WIP, and they comprise nearly 40% of NFS lands within the NWFP. These watersheds are managed to maintain or recover habitat for anadromous and resident fish species and have a high priority for restoration and protection of riparian functions. Specific road management guidelines apply to such watersheds: 1) no new roads in roadless areas; 2) no new roads in unroaded portions of roadless areas; and 3) reduction in existing road mileage (or no net increase in road mileage if funding is insufficient to implement reductions).
 - b. **Aquatic Conservation Strategy (ACS) or Aquatic Management Strategy (AMS)** - is the primary mechanism protecting aquatic resources within the NWFP and SNFPA areas, respectively. They set forth similar, but not identical, objectives for maintaining and restoring important water-related features and values.
 - c. **Designated riparian zones**² - are a key component of each strategy. They are lands along ephemeral, intermittent, and perennial streams and potentially unstable areas where special standards and guidelines direct land use. They maintain a diverse riparian community that provides resiliency to the system, a buffer area against sediment from upslope activities, and canopy for shade and aquatic nutrition. Designated riparian zones maintain hydrologic, geomorphic and ecological processes that directly affect streams and fish habitats. Widths of the zones can range from a minimum of 100 feet on each side of ephemeral and/or intermittent streams to over 300 feet on each side of perennial fish bearing streams. Only activities that support each strategy's objectives are permissible within a designated riparian zone.
 - d. **Watershed Analysis or Landscape Analysis** - is another component of each strategy under the NWFP or SNFPA, respectively. These have been required at the 5th field hydrologic unit code (HUC) scale, and they will soon be required at

² [The SNFPA "Riparian Conservation Area" is equivalent to the NWFP "Riparian Reserve". The term "designated riparian zone" is defined and used in this Order to include both the terms. A "designated riparian zone" refers to the Riparian Reserve for those forests under the NWFP, to the Riparian Conservation Areas for those forests under the SNFPA, and to Appendix E – Five Step Project Screening Process for Riparian Conservation Areas for the Southern California forests.](#)

the 6th field HUC scale³. Watershed/landscape analysis evaluates the geomorphic and ecological processes operating in a watershed and is intended to enable watershed planning to achieve ACS/AMS objectives. It provides the basis for monitoring and restoration programs. It informs restoration planning efforts through the identification of watershed problems, such as erosional features, problem roads and road sections, and riparian areas not meeting ACS/AMS objectives, as well as identifying those areas that should be preserved from any activities. As of 2010, watershed/landscape analysis has been completed for the majority of priority watersheds on NFS lands in California.

15. Each National Forest has a Land and Resource Management Plan (LRMP), also known as a “forest plan”. These provide broad guidance for forest management over 10 -15 year periods, as well as standards and guidelines for the forest’s National Forest’s activities and projects. LRMPs determine areas within each forest National Forest that are suitable for different resource management activities, including timber harvest, livestock grazing, and recreation, they establish desired conditions for forest resources, and they include plans for wildfire suppression. LRMPs are prepared and analyzed under NEPA. The NWFP and SNFPA control amended and are part of the LRMPs of the individual National Forests within their respective geographic areas. Riparian protections and other ACS/AMS components are included in LRMPs for each forest National Forest.

16. The four southern California National Forests (Los Padres, Angeles, San Bernardino, and Cleveland National Forests) collaborated in developing their LRMPs. They have consistent requirements that are comparable to the NWFP or SNFPA- requirements. Although each southern California National Forest has its own LRMP, they have all adopted supplements to FSH 2509.22 that provide protection to riparian conservation areas similar to the protection afforded through the NWFP and SNFPA. The Southern California Forest LRMPs specify riparian protection zones for perennial and intermittent streams, but not for ephemeral streams; but ephemeral streams are protected in project level NEPA.

17. The USFS BMPs are programmatic performance standards, not detailed prescriptions nor solutions to specific nonpoint pollution sources. Rather, they are action-initiating mechanisms, processes, and practices that call for the development of site-specific detailed prescriptions designed at the project scale during planning. Development of prescriptions is aided by results from ongoing monitoring, and may also follow direction developed at the National Forests.

Individual USFS projects and activities generally undergo NEPA analysis, in part to determine which BMPs should be applied to avoid water quality impacts. On-the-ground prescriptions to implement each BMP are then implemented carried out for each activity. Several documents and processes provide guidance for effective

³ Fifth field HUC watersheds are 40,000 – 250,000 acres in size; 6th field HUC watersheds are 10,000 – 40,000 acres in size.

implementation of site-specific, on-the-ground prescriptions, including, but not limited to:

- USFS Timber Sales Administration Handbook
- Project-specific design criteria
- Regional Soils Standards included in the LRMPs provide direction for protecting soil productivity, particularly as it applies to ground disturbance relative to soil compaction and erosion.
- Wet Weather Operation Standards that address practices that each National Forest has to implement to avoid erosion and sedimentation from activities conducted during wet weather.
- ~~Project implementation mechanisms (e.g., contracts, permits, and other agreements).~~

USFS ~~is establishing~~has established an on-line library of technical reference documents, both internal and external, to assist its staff and other interested parties in selecting and implementing appropriate site-specific prescriptions for water quality protection. The library includes reference materials for specific pollution-control techniques. National forest interdisciplinary teams are required to use techniques selected from these references when appropriate, or provide specific measures with equivalent or greater protection for water quality.

~~17-18.~~ _____ The foregoing USFS Guidance represents a reasonable and logical approach to addressing water quality protections needed at various scales. The planning framework sets a process for determining and implementing measures not only to mitigate potential water quality impacts, but also to enhance and protect water quality. This Guidance is the institutional context within which the USFS Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) has functioned (see following section).

~~18-19.~~ _____ The USFS has been conducting a BMP Evaluation Program (BMPEP) for more than two decades. The BMPEP provides annual on-site assessments of a randomly selected subset of all BMPs that were implemented as part of activities conducted within a National Forest, assessing both proper implementation and effectiveness. Implementation of effective BMPs is necessary to reach water quality goals and objectives. USFS periodically reports BMPEP results to the Water Boards. BMPEP results from 2003-2007 indicated that 86% of BMPs were rated as implemented and that 89% of those were rated effective. This Waiver requires USFS to summarize its monitoring results annually, and to provide a comprehensive analysis prior to the Waiver's expiration.

USFS Water Quality Management Plan

~~19-20.~~ _____ In 1981, the State Water Board took the following three actions pursuant to CWA § 208 Clean Water Act section 208 (33 U.S.C. 1288) and the implementing U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) regulations (which have since been moved to 40 C.F.R. part 130 and amended):

- a. It certified the USFS document entitled *Water Quality Management for National Forest System Lands in California*, including its BMPs, as the USFS WQMP;

- b. It designated USFS as the management agency with primary responsibility for WQMP implementation; and
 - c. It executed a management agency agreement with USFS.
- The WQMP was updated in 2000.

~~20-21.~~ _____ The USFS and Water Boards agreed that the 2000 WQMP needed updating for the following reasons:

- a. Subsequent changes in the Water Boards' regulatory landscape have been substantial. These changes include the following:
 - 1) Amendments to the California Water Code (~~CWC~~) mandating that all waivers be formal, conditional, temporary, and include monitoring and authorizing the State Water Board to adopt statewide waivers.
 - 2) Pursuant to the Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments (CZARA) and related USEPA guidance ~~from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)~~,² adoption by both the State Water Board and the California Coastal Commission of the *Plan for California's Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Program* (NPS Program Plan) and its companion volume, *California Management Measures for Polluted Runoff*. The NPS Program Plan includes silvicultural management measures that USEPA holds the State accountable for implementing.
 - 3) Pursuant to ~~CWC~~the Water Code amendments, State Water Board adoption of the water quality control policy entitled *Policy for Enforcement and Implementation of the Nonpoint Source Program* (NPS Policy). This policy sets forth "key elements" required of any third-party program for NPS control.
 - 4) Pursuant to the federal CWAClean Water Act: i) the listing of many of the State's waters flowing from or through NFS lands as being impaired by sediment or temperature; ii) calculation of total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) for many of these waters, and iii) adoption of TMDL implementation plans or other regulatory mechanisms for many of these waters.
- b. Subsequent improvements in scientific knowledge regarding the condition of forest resources and resulting major changes in USFS guidance, including:
 - 1) The studies related to the 1994 multi-agency NWFP.
 - 2) The 1996 Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project, which found that the range's riparian and wetland habitats were among those most severely damaged.
 - 3) The resulting 2004 USFS SNFPA.
 - 4) Pursuant to the State and federal Endangered Species Acts, the listing of most anadromous salmonid populations in the State, as well as several species of forest-dwelling amphibians, as threatened or endangered.
- c. Subsequent changes in land uses and activities have been substantial. Timber harvesting is much diminished. The frequency and extent of catastrophic wildfire has increased. Fire suppression and fuel treatments are much increased.
- d. Knowledge regarding the effectiveness of various BMPs and the processes by which they are administered has improved substantially. Results of the USFS BMPEP have contributed significantly to this added knowledge.

~~21-22.~~ _____ The USFS, in collaboration with the Water Boards and stakeholders, has updated its WQMP to reflect these changes. The most significant changes include the following:

- a. New and stronger objectives for protecting the quality and beneficial uses of water.
- b. New and stronger BMPs for new ~~project~~ projects or activities, including:
 - 1) ~~National forest~~NFS roads, including their location, design, construction/reconstruction, use, maintenance and decommissioning;
 - 2) Range management; and
 - 3) OHV recreation.
- c. New and stronger administrative processes for implementing BMPs, turning what are primarily performance standards into specific on-the-ground prescriptions for individual ~~project~~ sites.
- d. Enhanced program for: 1) remediating discharges from legacy (problem) sites of past activities and 2) contributing toward restoration of impaired beneficial uses in 303(d)-listed waters.
- e. Expanded water quality monitoring program with important new components needed to:
 - 1) Ensure full implementation;
 - 2) Provide both short-term and long-term feedback loops to ascertain the effectiveness of the BMPs and of the actual site-specific on-the-ground prescriptions being used to apply them;
 - 3) Determine the trends in watershed and water quality conditions over time;
 - 4) Assist in prioritizing remediation and watershed restoration activities; and
 - 5) Enable adaptive management.
- f. New adaptive management program to iteratively improve effectiveness and implementation of BMPs and other measures to protect water quality.

~~22-23.~~ The updated WQMP:

- a. Implements the relevant management measure set forth in the NPS Program Plan, and conforms to the key elements for a third party program set forth in the NPS Policy (Attachment A).
- b. Increases USFS accountability and transparency, internally and in relation to both the public and the Water Boards.
- c. Will, to a significant degree: 1) better maintain the quality and beneficial uses of water where they are currently in good condition, 2) better protect them where they are threatened, and 3) more effectively contribute toward their restoration where they are impaired.
- d. Has been given formal standing as an official USFS directive by being ~~adopting~~ ~~is adopted~~ as FSH [REDACTED]. It is now entitled, Water Quality Management Handbook (WQMH), which is how it will be referred to hereinafter.

~~23-24.~~ Many individual projects and activities on NFS land are conducted by private parties under contracts or permits issued by the USFS. For example:

- a. Private parties bid on timber sales and other projects, and if awarded, enter into a contract with the USFS to perform those activities; or
- b. Private parties apply for and are issued a written permit by the USFS, allowing them to graze their livestock and conduct incidental activities (e.g., camps, water troughs, temporary corrals, herding, livestock loading and unloading) on NFS lands specifically allotted for that purpose.

In these situations, it is critically important that the site-specific prescriptions needed to implement BMPs are carried forward from USFS project-level environmental documents and decisions into the contracts, permits or other documents that will actually control activities at the project site. The WQMH substantially strengthens USFS' administrative processes to better ensure that this is routinely accomplished.

Legal and Regulatory Structure

24.25. CWC § Water Code section 13240 authorizes the Water Boards to promulgate water quality control plans. Water quality control plans developed by the various Regional Water Boards must be approved by the State Water Board and are commonly called basin plans. A water quality control plan sets forth State- and federally-approved water quality standards.

- a. Each water quality control plan designates the beneficial uses provided by the water bodies within a Water Board region, so the designated beneficial uses differ somewhat between the regions. Pursuant to CWC § Water Code section 13050(f), the beneficial uses to be protected include, but are not limited to, the following: domestic, municipal, agricultural and industrial supply; power generation; recreation; aesthetic enjoyment; navigation; and preservation and enhancement of fish, wildlife, and other aquatic resources or preserves.
- b. Each basin plan establishes the water quality objectives deemed to be reasonably necessary to protect its designated beneficial uses or to prevent nuisance.
- c. A basin plan may also set forth prohibitions against certain pollutant discharges, implementation plans for achieving water quality standards, TMDL implementation or action plans for recovering impaired waters.
- d. The following water quality control plans (Basin Plans) and any subsequent amendments thereto are applicable to this action:
 - North Coast Region Basin Plan (after expiration of Order R1-2010-0029)
 - Central Coast Region Basin Plan
 - Los Angeles Region Basin Plan
 - Central Valley Region Basin Plans
 - Lahontan Region Basin Plan
 - Colorado River Basin Region Basin Plan
 - Santa Ana Region Basin Plan
 - San Diego Region Basin Plan

25.26. State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16, "*Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality Waters in California*," (State Antidegradation Policy) requires that any discharge of waste to waters of the state be regulated to achieve the highest water quality consistent with the maximum benefit efto the people of the state. Changes in water quality are allowed only if they are consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the State, do not unreasonably affect beneficial uses, and do not result in water quality less than that prescribed in water quality control plans or policies. Resolution 68-16 incorporates and must be implemented consistent with 40 CFR § C.F.R. section 131.12 (Federal Antidegradation Policy)

where that policy applies. The water quality control plans incorporate by reference, both the State and Federal Antidegradation Policies.

~~26-27.~~ California Water Code §section 13260, subdivision (a) requires that any person discharging waste or proposing to discharge waste within any region that could affect the quality of the waters of the state, other than into a community sewer system, must file with the affected Regional Water Board a report of waste discharge (ROWD) containing such information and data as may be required.

~~27-28.~~ Pursuant to CWC §§Water Code sections 13260 and 13263, a Water Board prescribes a waste discharge requirement (WDR) for actual or proposed discharges of waste that could affect the quality of the waters of the State, except when it finds, pursuant to CWC §Water Code section 13269 that a waiver of WDRs for a specific type of discharge is in the public interest.

~~28-29.~~ California Water Code §section 13269 authorizes the State Board or Regional Water Boards to waive WDR requirements of CWC §§section 13260, subdivisions (a) and (c) and §, section 13263, subdivision (a), and §section 13264, subdivision (a) under certain conditions. Section 13269 requires that all waivers be consistent with any applicable state or regional water quality control plan, be in the public interest, not exceed five years in duration, and include monitoring requirements to support the development and implementation of the waiver program, except where the Water Board determines that the discharges do not pose a significant threat to water quality.

~~29-30.~~ The State Water Board 2004 NPS Policy requires that NPS discharges of waste be regulated by WDRs, waiver of WDRs, or prohibitions to ensure compliance with applicable water quality control plans.

~~30-31.~~ A number of water bodies that lie within or receive flow from NFS lands have been listed pursuant to GWA §Clean Water Act section 303(d) as being impaired by sediment, temperature, or nutrients. The water quality goal for these waters is to recover the impairedimprove water quality for supporting beneficial uses of water to the degree that the waters can be delisted. Total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) have been established for about 21 of these, the great majority of which are in the North Coast Region. TMDLs for these waters are being implemented through both TMDL-specific implementation plans and other Water Board regulatory mechanisms.

~~31-32.~~ In itsFor activities receiving coverage under this Waiver, compliance with the conditions of this Waiver satisfy USFS's obligations toward compliance with the implementation recommendationsrequirements for three North Coast rivers, TMDLs in which it is named, unless the applicable Regional Water Board notifies the USFS otherwise in writing. USEPA identifieshas determined that the NWFP standards and guidelines as beingare potentially sufficient to attain riparian vegetation characteristics (e.g., shade, vegetation diversity) that are consistent with temperature load allocations on USFSNFS lands. Designated riparian areas protect surface waters from the effects of timber harvesting. USEPA has also citescited

USFS ongoing efforts for the protection and restoration of refugia watersheds ~~(e.g., South Fork Trinity River)~~ for possible TMDL compliance in certain watersheds.

The Statewide Waiver

~~32-33.~~ This Waiver is structured to ensure the following:

- a. Discharges related to certain NPS activities and projects on NFS land comply with the following:
 - 1) The GWG Water Code and related water quality control plans and policies.
 - 2) Requirements implementing the GWAClean Water Act, especially section 303(d).
 - 3) Requirements implementing CZARA, i.e., the NPS Program Plan, and ~~the~~ NPS Policy.
- b. USFS continues to conduct watershed restoration activities as called for in its Guidance; and
- c. USFS utilizes all applicable standards, guidelines, and on-the-ground prescriptions necessary to reduce/avoid potential impacts to violations of water quality to a level of non-significance/requirements.

~~33-34.~~ This Waiver is conditioned in large part upon implementation of the USFS WQMH as it has been collaboratively updated during 2010-11 and as it may be subsequently collaboratively updated by USFS and the State Water Board.

~~34-35.~~ This Waiver covers only Only those NPS activities and projects identified in finding 4: i.e., Timber Management, Road Management, Range Management, Recreation, Off-Highway Vehicles, Vegetation Manipulation, Watershed Restoration, Fire Suppression and Fuels Management, may be covered under this Waiver. The Waiver may cover these activities and projects, regardless of whether they are conducted by USFS staff, contractors, permittees, or other third parties, including special use permittees.

~~35-36.~~ This Waiver does not cover any of the following types of discharges.

~~When the discharges are a component of a larger project, the remainder of the project may still be covered under the Waiver.~~

- ~~a.~~ Discharges subject to any other kind of Water Board permit, including, but not limited to:
 - ~~b.a.~~ Construction/construction or industrial stormwater permits;
 - ~~e.b.~~ Other/Discharges subject to other NPDES permits under the CWA, including, but not limited to, silvicultural point sources as defined in 40 CFRC.F.R. 122.2;
 - ~~d.c.~~ Dredge/Discharges subject to Section 404 dredge and fill permits or 401 Water Quality Certification;
 - ~~e.d.~~ Other/Discharges subject to pre-existing Waste Discharge Requirements-;
 - ~~f.~~ Discharges from abandoned mines or mining waste;
 - ~~g.~~ Discharges of hazardous waste;
 - ~~h.~~ Discharges subject to hydropower relicensing; or
 - ~~i.~~ Discharges from septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems.

~~The Waiver also does not obviate the need for USFS to obtain whatever permits from other agencies may be required for a proposed activity, including but not limited to building construction subject to the Uniform Building Code.~~

~~36. Even if a specific project is among the NPS activities covered by this Waiver, it is not covered by this Waiver if:~~

- ~~a. It may result in impacts to water quality that cannot be mitigated to less than significant levels, or~~
- ~~b. It may involve new significant environmental effects not addressed in the Mitigated Negative Declaration and accompanying Initial Study, or~~
- ~~c. It may involve a substantial increase in the severity of significant effects previously identified in the Mitigated Negative Declaration and accompanying Initial Study.~~

~~37. This Waiver does not authorize any of the following:~~

- ~~a. Creation of pollution, contamination or nuisance, as defined by CWC §13050;~~
- ~~b.e. _____ Mining discharges, except to the extent that the USFS employs management practices that address sediment and temperature from roads, unvegetated soil, and building pads that are associated with mining activity on NFS land;~~
- ~~f. Discharges of hazardous waste or human waste.~~
- ~~g. Discharges subject to hydropower relicensing.~~
- ~~h. Discharges from septic tanks or other wastewater treatment and disposal systems.~~

~~When such discharges are a component of a larger project, the remainder of the project may still be covered under the Waiver.~~

~~The Waiver does not obviate the need for USFS to obtain as necessary, and comply with, all other applicable local, state and federal permits from other agencies may be required for a proposed activity, including but not limited to building construction subject to the Uniform Building Code.~~

~~37. This Waiver does not authorize any of the following:~~

- ~~a. Creation of pollution, contamination or nuisance, as defined by Water Code section 13050;~~
- ~~b. Discharges not in compliance with water quality requirements⁴;~~
- ~~c. Nonpoint discharges by third parties conducting activities on NFS lands under written authorization of the USFS except as specified in the Waiver;~~
- ~~d. Discharges of hazardous or human waste;~~
- ~~e.d. _____ Application of herbicides or pesticides;~~
- ~~f.e. Any USFS action that it is not otherwise authorized to take; or~~
- ~~g.f. Any act that results in taking of a threatened or endangered species, or any act that is now prohibited, or becomes prohibited in the future, under either the California Endangered Species Act (Fish and Game Code sections 2050 to~~

⁴ Water quality requirements are defined in Statewide General Condition 13.

2097) or the Federal Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C.A. sections 1531 to 1544).

38. Even if a specific project is among the NPS activities covered by this Waiver, it is not covered by this Waiver if:

- a. It may result in environmental impacts that cannot be mitigated to less-than-significant levels, or
- b. It may involve new significant environmental effects not addressed in the Mitigated Negative Declaration and accompanying Initial Study, or
- c. It may involve a substantial increase in the severity of significant effects previously identified in the Mitigated Negative Declaration and accompanying Initial Study.

is the intent of State Board that this

~~38-39.~~ This Statewide Waiver will apply to all NPS activities and projects on NFS lands that can receive coverage under the Statewide Waiver and that the Statewide Waiver will supersede the application of any existing waivers to such activities and projects, with the exception of R1-2010-0029 noted below. However, projects already approved for coverage under existing waivers as of the effective date of the Statewide Waiver must continue to comply with the conditions of such existing waivers and need not seek coverage under the Statewide Waiver. This Waiver does not supersede the application of North Coast Regional Water board Order R1-2010-0029 until its expiration on June 10, 2015. Upon expiration of Order R1-2010-0029, new NPS activities and projects on NFS lands under the jurisdiction of the North Coast Regional Water Board will seek coverage under this Statewide Waiver.

~~39-40.~~ It is the intent of State Board that this Waiver does not supersede the following:

- ~~a. Application of North Coast Regional Water Board Order R1-2010-0029.~~
- ~~b.a.~~ The authority of an affected Regional Water Board to require a ROWD or issue WDRs for activities not covered eligible for coverage by this Waiver, including specific projects identified in ~~item~~ items 37 and 38 above.
- ~~c.b.~~ Any more rigorous water-quality-related requirements that are:
 - 1) Established in agreements between any affected Native American tribe and the USFS.
 - 2) Established by a Water Board as necessary to lead to de-listing of water body segments listed as impaired pursuant to CWA §Clean Water Act section 303(d).

~~40-41.~~ This Waiver addresses the great environmental variations across the State's NFS lands in ~~three~~two ways:

- a. It reflects the environmental and administrative differences between the major planning regions on NFS lands, i.e. regions covered by the NWFP, the SNFPA, and the ~~southern forests.~~ Southern California National Forests.
- ~~b. It incorporates those specific conditions that each affected Regional Water Board has recommended as necessary to address environmental conditions within its region.~~

e.b. _____ The Waiver, and the WQMH on which it is based, require that site-specific on-the-ground prescriptions be developed and applied for each project or activity covered by the Waiver.

41-42. _____ This Waiver allows:

- a. USFS and/or the State Water Board to terminate coverage for failure to adequately comply with water quality requirements⁵ by either of the following:
 - 1) Classes of projects/activities; and/or
 - 2) Projects/activities implemented by specific ~~national forests~~ **National Forests**.
- b. USFS, State Water Board or its Executive Director, or the Regional Water Board or its Executive Officer to deny or terminate waiver coverage of an individual project at any time if it is determined that the project may result in **impacts ~~to violation of~~ water quality ~~or it does not adequately comply with WQ~~ requirements.**

In the event that a Regional Water Board or its Executive Officer denies or terminates waiver coverage of an individual project, it must, at the same time, provide USFS with a statement of reasons for that decision, supported by factual information and reasoned analysis.

42-43. _____ The State Water Board, in collaboration with USFS and affected Regional Water Boards, will reevaluate this Waiver no later than five years, when it must be renewed, and earlier if appropriate. At that time, any necessary adjustments can be considered and incorporated.

43-44. _____ The Waiver includes a schedule of future actions (Attachment B), to be implemented during the five-year life of the Waiver.

44-45. _____ For waters flowing from or through NFS lands and for the NPS activities identified in finding 4, this Waiver anticipates that reasonable implementation of the USFS Guidance identified in findings 7 -17, the USFS WQMH and this Waiver's conditions, will generally be sufficient to: 1) maintain the existing high quality of water, 2) protect threatened beneficial uses of water, and 3) contribute substantially toward recovery of beneficial uses of water that are already impaired by sediment, temperature, dissolved oxygen, bacteria, and nutrients. "Reasonable implementation" includes application of site-specific on-the-ground prescriptions, remediation of legacy problem sources, other watershed improvement work, monitoring, and responsible adaptive management.

45-46. _____ This Waiver requires the following four primary substantive components:

- a. Maintenance and restoration of designated riparian zones pursuant to the ACS and AMS;
- b. For legacy ~~(i.e., pre-existing anthropogenic)~~ sediment sources, timely implementation of watershed restoration plans that require inventories, prioritization and remediation;

⁵ ~~Water quality requirements are defined at General Condition 13.~~

- c. For new projects and activities, development and implementation of on-the-ground prescriptions to appropriately adapt and apply the BMPs to the specific site; and
- d. Monitoring and reporting.

46-47. _____ As described in finding 14(c), the ACS in the NWFP, and corollary AMS in the SNFPA, apply designated riparian zones to all ephemeral, intermittent, and perennial streams and geologically unstable areas. Designated riparian zones provide shade to meet the water temperature objectives of applicable basin plans; buffer surface waters from discharges of waste associated with upslope activities by trapping sediment; and protect the natural diversity of vegetation that enhances resiliency of the riparian system and the morphology of the stream system. This Waiver requires the USFS to protect and maintain designated riparian zones. (See Waiver statewide general condition 1-7). Successful implementation of this condition is important for sediment and temperature TMDL compliance.

47-48. _____ -As indicated in findings 11 and 14, the USFS actively addresses legacy nonpoint sediment sources. Active and potential sediment delivery sites are inventoried, prioritized, and scheduled for remediation. This Waiver requires that:

- a. The USFS make those inventories available to affected Regional Water Board staff for review and allow inspection of sites.
- b. Each ~~forest~~National Forest annually provide a list of its watersheds and prioritization for restoration, and detail the progress made in each watershed.
- c. If the USFS proposes a project or activity within a watershed that does not have either a watershed restoration plan or an inventory and prioritization of legacy nonpoint sites, the USFS must propose treatments of existing legacy nonpoint sources within the project area as part of the proposed project.

~~USFS will confer with Water Board staff regarding prioritization, legacy site inventories and remediation projects to assist in and verify reasonable progress.~~

48-49. _____ This Waiver applies to two categories of activities, which are grouped according to level of potential impact to water quality. Activities that have a low potential impact to water quality are eligible for Category A. Category A lists ~~those examples of~~ activities ~~found to that can~~ meet this classification. Category B applies to activities with a moderate potential impact to water quality and requires the USFS provide more information to the affected Regional Water Board, which will then conduct a more detailed review. In Category B, characteristics of an activity, such as intensity and proximity to surface waters, and the sensitivity of the area, will determine which on-the-ground prescriptions are needed to ensure the activity ~~will have a less-than-significant impact on water quality~~complies with all applicable water quality requirements. If a particular Category A project or activity is determined to have a potentially significant impact on the environment, that project or activity must be treated as a Category B activity.

50. It is the State Water Board's expectation that the USFS will seek coverage under this Waiver for all new Category B projects that meet the conditions of the Waiver. New projects are those projects that undergo NEPA review after the adoption of this Waiver. The USFS may seek coverage for ongoing Category B activities at the

discretion of the Regional Water Board through submission of an application with environmental and project information sufficient to support an informed and reasoned Regional Water Board decision regarding enrollment. This Waiver specifically contemplates and sets out a process for the USFS to apply for coverage of ongoing grazing activity.

- 49-51. As indicated in many findings, activities covered under this Waiver must incorporate site-specific on-the-ground prescriptions to implement the WQMH BMPs and do so in a transparent manner. Accordingly, this Waiver sets forth:
- Conditions that the USFS and its contractors and grazing permittees implement the USFS Guidance and WQMH, including the identification of on-the-ground prescriptions for individual projects or activities;
 - A mechanism to ensure their incorporation into contracts, grazing permits and other controlling documents; and
 - Monitoring requirements to evaluate their implementation and effectiveness.

52. Every activity covered by this Waiver must be conducted in accordance with the project description in any accompanying USFS NEPA documents, including any project modifications, and with the specific on-the-ground prescriptions designed to implement the BMPs identified to avoid any adverse impact(s) to water quality, including any contained in the project's Erosion Control Plan, and any additional site specific on-the-ground prescriptions necessary to implement the applicable mitigation measures identified in the accompanying Mitigated Negative Declaration.

- 50-53. For the purposes of this Waiver:
- Those private parties that enter into contracts with the USFS to conduct NPS activities covered under Category B are referred to as “contractors” and the contracts as “contracts”; and
 - Those private parties to whom the USFS issues a permit to conduct their NPS activities (particularly grazing of livestock) covered under Category B are referred to as “permittees” and the permits as “permits”.

Contractors and grazing permittees must conduct activities on NFS land in accordance with the ~~contract or grazing permit (specifying on-the-ground prescriptions)~~ for individual projects and activities identified in the contract or grazing permit and with the applicable conditions of this Waiver. -Contractors and grazing permittees are subject to ~~Waiver statewide~~ general conditions 13-15-16. Contractors and grazing permittees need not apply separately for coverage under this Waiver, but USFS is directed at statewide general condition 10.a.23) to provide a copy of the Waiver to all contractors and permittees.

- 51-54. Pursuant to GWC §Water Code section 13269, this Waiver, and any enrollment under this Waiver: 1) is conditional; 2) may be terminated at any time; and 3) does not preclude the State Water Board or an affected Regional Water Board from administering enforcement remedies (including civil liability) pursuant to the California-Water Code. Additionally: 1) this Waiver does not preclude the need for permits which may be required by other federal, state or local governmental agencies; and 2) any activity that could violate any applicable local, State or federal requirement is not eligible for enrollment under this Waiver.

- 52-55. This Waiver, or individual activities enrolled under this Waiver, may be re-opened for modifications, revoked and reissued, or terminated.
- 53-56. Violations of this Waiver are subject to enforcement to the extent allowed by law and in the same manner as enforcement of WDRs.
- 54-57. This Waiver does not authorize the NPS discharges by third parties conducting activities on NFS lands under written authorization of the USFS except as specified in the Waiver.
- 55-58. Nothing in this Waiver precludes specific agreements made between the USFS and an affected Regional Water Board to, when necessary, develop forestBMPs specific BMPs that cover site to one or more National Forests to address forest-specific forest conditions ~~when necessary~~.
- 56-59. This Waiver does not affect the right of any person to maintain at any time any appropriate action for relief against a federal agency or a private party conducting operations on federal lands managed by the federal agency.
- 57-60. Pursuant to GWC §Water Code section 13267, this Waiver incorporates a Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment C) that allows USFS and Water Boards to assess the Waiver's effectiveness at protecting water quality. These requirements are based on the expanded and more rigorous monitoring program set forth in the USFS WQMH. They include: 1) a checklist approach for all individual projects and activities to ensure full implementation of on-the-ground prescriptions, 2) retrospective monitoring to evaluate the long-term effectiveness of BMPs and attendant on-the-ground prescriptions, and 3) instream monitoring at key sites. The Waiver requires that USFS submit to the State Water Board's Executive Director an annual summary of the status and results of this program, and a more comprehensive analysis of monitoring results about every fifth year. This Waiver does not preclude a Regional Water Board from imposing additional monitoring requirements pursuant to Water Code section 13267.

Emergency Activities

- 58-61. USFS emergency activities may include actions needed to deal with storms, floods, landslides, and illegal activities, as well as wildfire suppression and BAER activities. The USFS has procedures to address fire suppression activities and minimize impacts of the suppression activities on water quality. Each National Forest also has conditions and processes in its LRMP to address fire suppression activities, including guidance for fueling equipment, use of fire retardants, and other components of fire suppression.
- 59-62. The USFS is allowed to waive compliance with NEPA during emergency situations. 36 CFR §Code of Federal Regulations, title 36, section 220.4 (b) waives NEPA requirements when a responsible official determines it is necessary to control the immediate impacts of an emergency and actions are urgently needed to mitigate

harm to life, property, or important natural or cultural resources. The probable environmental consequences of the emergency action and mitigation of environmental effects are taken into account to the extent practical.

~~63.~~ Subject to a notification requirement, ~~CWC § 13269 (c) allows an affected Water Board to waive and authority of the Regional Water Board to deny the waiver or establish conditions on the waiver, Water Code section 13269, subdivisions (c) and (d) waive the requirement to submit ROWDs and issue WDRs for discharges~~ resulting from: 1) immediate emergency work necessary to protect life or property or 2) immediate emergency repairs to public service facilities necessary to maintain service as a result of a disaster where a state of emergency has been proclaimed by the Governor. ~~A Water Board has discretion to establish conditions on any such waiver (CWC § 13269 (d)). These activities and also other specific~~

~~60-64.~~ Certain emergency actions, including those in condition 62 above and more generally actions necessary to prevent or mitigate an emergency (not including long-term projects) are also exempt from CEQA (California Code of Regulations, (Cal. Code Regs.) title 14 ~~(14 CCR), §, section 15269.~~).

~~61-65.~~ This Waiver ~~does not require USFS waives the requirement to seek approval submit a ROWD and the requirement to establish WDRs for discharges of waste~~ that result from emergency actions, ~~as defined within the scope of those categories of actions described in California Code of Regulations, title 14 CCR §, section 15269. This provision of the Waiver encompasses but is broader than the waiver provided in section 13269, subdivision (c).~~ For these emergency discharges, no application procedures are required; however, USFS shall notify the relevant Regional Board of the discharge by posting the incidents on its web site and maintainingshall maintain records for Water Board staff review, as appropriate.

Additional Findings

~~62-66.~~ This action meets the requirements of CWC § Water Code section 13269 as follows:

- The Order is in the public interest for the reasons stated at finding 5.
- The Order is consistent with the all applicable state and regional water quality control plans.
- The Order is limited to five years in duration.
- The Order is conditioned on implementation of the USFS WQMH, other USFS Guidance, and additional conditions specified in the Waiver.
- The Order requires monitoring as specified at Statewide General Condition 2627.

~~63-67.~~ The State Water Board and USFS have reviewed this Waiver and the WQMH for compliance with both the relevant NPS Program Plan management measures and the NPS Policy's "key elements" that are required of any NPS control program. The Waiver, USFS Guidance and WQMH satisfy all requirements of the NPS Policy and NPS Control Program (Attachment A).

64.68. State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16 ("Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintenance of High Quality Waters in California") requires that whenever the existing quality of water is better than the quality established in policies as of the date on which such policies become effective, such existing high quality must be maintained. Any change in the existing high quality is allowed by that policy only if it has been demonstrated to the affected Water Board that any change will be consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the state, ~~and~~ will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated beneficial use of such water, and will not result in water quality less than that prescribed in the policies. The policy further requires that dischargers meet WDRs which will result in the best practicable treatment or control (BPTC) of the discharge ~~(BPTC)~~ necessary to assure that pollution or nuisance will not occur and that the highest water quality consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the state will be maintained.

~~This~~This Waiver requires compliance with all water quality policies, including Resolution 68-16. The Waiver covers discharges to waters statewide, some of which are impaired for constituents associated with discharges from activities on NFS lands. However, even where discharges are into high quality waters, this Waiver is consistent with Resolution No. 68-16. Overall the Waiver will result in a net benefit to water quality by setting forth conditions that implement riparian and shade protections and enhancements, address existing sediment and bacteria sources, and implement BMPs and on-the-ground prescriptions for new activities. The activities and projects permitted under this Waiver have been determined to have either no impact or a low potential-less than significant impact to water quality when conducted pursuant to the terms of the Waiver, ~~resulting in compliance.~~ The activities and projects must comply with applicable water quality control plans, including applicable water quality objectives, and ~~minimal or limited degradation of~~must not cause a pollution or nuisance. The discharges must be controlled through on-the-ground prescriptions based on a set of BMPs developed cooperatively by the USFS and the State Water Board to protect water quality; and the Regional Water Board reviews the prescriptions in all cases where a discharge has a moderate potential to impact water quality. The implementation of the BMPs and the on-the-ground ~~-~~prescriptions identified for each activity, and project, along with the monitoring of their effectiveness, ~~will result in~~constitutes BPTC ~~and will assure.~~

Any limited degradation that ~~pollution or nuisance will not~~ nevertheless may occur ~~and that the highest water quality is~~ consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the state ~~is maintained.~~ The NPS activities and projects regulated by this Waiver provide economic, recreational, and environmental benefits. Good timber management provides lumber products, improves carbon sequestration, and provides local employment, particularly in areas of the State with chronic underemployment. Vegetation manipulation improves forest health and biodiversity, reduces risk of catastrophic wildfire, improves public safety, and provides biomass for energy production. Watershed restoration improves the ability of damaged watersheds to provide high-quality water as well as improving riparian and aquatic habitats. Range management provides natural fodder for livestock, helping to hold down food costs. Forest recreation enhances physical, emotional, and mental well-

being. Fire suppression minimizes the human, water quality, and environmental damage caused by wildfire, and post-fire recovery further minimizes such damage. ~~Good road~~Road management provides safe access for all of the foregoing activities, while minimizing the overall impact on water quality and the forest environment. For these and other reasons, any degradation of water quality that may occur as a result of the NPS activities regulated under this Waiver is consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the State.

~~65-69.~~ As lead agency under CEQA, the State Water Board provided notice of intent to adopt a mitigated negative ~~declaration (SCH No. [REDACTED])~~ for this Waiver on June ~~4~~10, 2011 ~~-(Cal. Code Regs, title 14-CGR-§, section 15072.)~~ The mitigated negative declaration reflects the State Water Board's independent judgment and analysis. After considering the document and comments received during the public review process, the State Water Board ~~hereby determineshas determined~~ that based on the whole record, there is no substantial evidence that the proposed project, with mitigation measures, will have a significant effect on the environment. The documents or other material, which constitute the record, are located at 1001 I Street, 15th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814. The State Water Board will file a Notice of Determination within five days from the issuance of this order. Mitigation measures necessary to reduce ~~or eliminate potential~~ significant environmental impacts on the environment to less than significance are incorporated as conditions of approval below. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting consistent with CEQA Guidelines §15097 is incorporated into the Monitoring and Reporting Program attached to this Order and the required certification at project completion.

~~66-70.~~ The State Water Board has reviewed the contents of this Waiver, its accompanying Initial Study and Mitigate Negative Declaration, written public comments and testimony provided after notice and hearing, and hereby finds that the adoption of this Waiver is consistent with applicable basin plans, and is in the public interest.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that pursuant to ~~CWC §13269~~Water Code section13269, the State Water Board waives the requirement to submit a ROWD and the requirement to establish WDRs for discharges of wastes that result from emergency actions, as defined in California Code of Regulations, title 14-CCR-§, section 15269, on NFS land, provided the USFS notifies- the relevant Regional Board of the discharge by posting the incidents on its web site and maintains records for Water Board staff review, as appropriate; and

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to ~~CWC §13269~~Water Code section13269, the State Water Board waives the requirement to submit a ROWD and the requirement to establish WDRs for discharges of wastes resulting from those NPS activities on NFS lands described in finding 4. The following conditions shall apply:

Statewide General Conditions

The following general conditions shall apply statewide to all projects and activities in both Category A and Category B:

1. Each National Forest shall manage and maintain designated riparian zones (as defined in finding 14(c), ~~see footnote below⁶~~)) to ensure retention of adequate vegetative cover in accordance with the NWFP, the SNFPA, and the southern California LRMPs.

Exceptions to this condition may be approved by the Executive Officer of an affected Regional Water Board. In order for staff of an affected Regional Water Board to determine the adequacy of the justification for an exception, the justification must identify the proposed canopy reduction and expected recovery time, provide an estimate of the pre- and post- project shade or solar impacts, identify the amount of soil disturbance and reduction in ground cover, provide a description of how sediment transport to surface waters will be prevented, and explain how such an exception will result in a net long-term benefit to water quality and stream temperatures.

2. Each National Forest shall actively address legacy or pre-existing discharges and/or threats to water quality- by making substantial improvements through the life of this Waiver. Substantial improvement means that the USFS implements actions every year that reduce threats to water quality from legacy sites. Sediment delivery sites must be inventoried, prioritized, and scheduled for remediation. -Each ~~forest~~National Forest is expected to make reasonable progress towards completing inventories and remediating legacy NPS sites, especially where timely implementation is necessary for sediment and temperature TMDL compliance. Requirements for addressing legacy NPS discharges and/or threats to water quality include:
 - a. Within 6 months of adoption of this Waiver, each National Forest shall provide to the Executive Director of the State Water Board and to the Executive Officer of any affected Regional Water Board, for inclusion in Attachment E to this Waiver, a list of watersheds, including:
 - 1) The watershed name, code, and other identification;
 - 2) The dates on which the following were completed or is scheduled for completion:
 - a) the watershed assessment; and/or
 - b) the watershed restoration plan (including legacy site identification, prioritization, and scheduling for remediation); and/or
 - ~~b)c) _____~~ watershed remediation activities consistent with prioritization and schedule.
 - b. This list shall be updated annually as necessary.
 - c. Water Board staff will:

⁶ A "designated riparian zone" refers to the Riparian Reserve for those forests under the NWFP and to the Riparian Conservation Areas for those forests under the SNFPA.

- 1) Review the schedule provided from 2.a. above, and the annual updates
 - 2) Confer with the USFS on the priority and progress of inventories and remediation of sites; and
 - 3) Accordingly modify Attachment E on an annual basis.
- d. Each National Forest shall make legacy site inventories available to staff of any affected Regional Water Board for review and allow inspection of sites as needed to assist in prioritization.
3. In accordance with the schedule of future actions (Attachment B), the USFS shall, through a statewide collaborative process with the Water Boards:
 - a. Review its guidance for fire suppression and BAER activities specifically regarding protection of water quality;
 - b. Continue to review and refine its WQMH BMPs and the processes by which they are implemented; and
 - c. Make such changes as may be necessary to avoid, minimize, and mitigate impacts of NPS activities to water quality.
 4. The USFS shall work jointly with Water Board staff to resolve any issues associated with prioritization of watersheds, especially with regard to addressing existing discharge sites and/or instream restoration activities aimed at improving beneficial uses.
 5. Each National Forest shall notify staff of any affected Regional Water Board when a Schedule of Proposed Actions is posted on their web site to inform staff of upcoming activities. If possible, the National Forest should indicate which projects are likely to be enrolled in Category B.
- Each Forest shall invite
6. Regional Water Board staff ~~of any affected Regional Water Board is encouraged~~ to participate in development and review of any proposed ~~project or activity covered by for which~~ the USFS ~~WQMH and this Waiver~~ plans to seek waiver enrollment. For one or more alternatives under consideration during project NEPA review, a National Forest may request a letter from an affected Regional Water Board regarding the degree to which an alternative is likely to satisfy waiver conditions.
 7. Each National Forest shall include within the ~~project~~ environmental document prepared pursuant to NEPA, contracts, grazing permits, agreements, and other instruments used to direct the activities of contractors, grazing permittees, USFS personnel, or volunteers, or any other third parties specified in this Waiver, the specific on-the-ground prescriptions that are designed to apply and implement the USFS BMPs. The intent is to provide clarity and transparency in how the BMPs will be ~~met~~ implemented and to facilitate the monitoring of BMP implementation (Monitoring and Reporting Program, part 1).
 8. CEQA mitigation measures set forth in the accompanying mitigated negative declaration are incorporated into this Order ~~by reference~~ and shall constitute enforceable conditions under this Order.

9. All project or activities described in finding 4 that are undertaken by the USFS shall comply with: a) the USFS Guidance and USFS WQMH, b) all applicable mitigation measures identified in the accompanying mitigated negative declaration and incorporated herein, and c) ~~except for condition 12 below,~~ all applicable Waiver conditions, including specific conditions for projects enrolled under Category B. Every activity covered by this Waiver must be conducted in accordance with the project description in any accompanying USFS NEPA documents, including any project modifications, and with the site specific on-the-ground prescriptions designed to implement the BMPs identified to avoid any adverse impact(s) to water quality, including any contained in the project's Erosion Control Plan and any additional site specific on-the-ground prescriptions necessary to implement the applicable mitigation measures identified in the accompanying Mitigated Negative Declaration.
10. For any projects or activities described in finding 4 that are undertaken by contractors, grazing permittees, USFS volunteers or any other third parties specified in this Waiver:
 - a. Each National Forest shall include in the contract or grazing permit, or other document controlling activities on the ground:
 - 1) The site-specific on-the-ground prescriptions that articulate the USFS Guidance and WQMH, and additional water quality measures identified in the NEPA or other environmental document for the project, and any additional site-specific on-the-ground prescriptions necessary to implement the applicable mitigation measures identified in the accompanying ~~mitigated negative declaration~~ Mitigated Negative Declaration;
 - 2) A copy of the pertinent Erosion Control Plan developed pursuant to WQMH BMP 2.13.
 - ~~2)3)~~ 3) A copy of this Waiver; and
 - ~~3)4)~~ 4) A provision stating that the contractor, grazing permittee or other responsible third parties specified in this Waiver are subject to ~~all statewide general~~ conditions of this Waiver (except general condition ~~12~~ 13 – 16 below), and, in particular, general conditions 13–15.
 - b. Contractors, grazing permittees, USFS volunteers or any other third parties ~~specified in this Waiver shall comply with general Waiver conditions 13-15.~~ responsible for discharges from activities or projects under this Waiver shall comply with statewide general conditions 13 – 16 below as well as all the site-specific, on-the-ground prescriptions as described in 10.a.1). The State Water Board or a Regional Water Board may take enforcement action for violation of this provision against the third party or the USFS or both.
11. In general conditions 9 and 10 above:
 - a. The reference to the USFS Guidance includes:
 - 1) Following the Wet Weather Operation Standards as developed for each ~~forest~~ National Forest, and
 - 2) Minimizing erosion and riparian disturbance from roads, watercourse crossings, road decommissioning, or other activities that have the potential to discharge sediment to or affect heat loading in waterbodies.

b. The reference to the USFS Guidance and WQMH includes any modifications to those documents during the life of this Waiver, so long as the Executive Director of the State Water Board determines that such modifications are equally or more protective of water quality.

12. ~~The~~For purposes of enforcing the contract or permit, the USFS maintains exclusive authority to determine whether contractors or grazing permittees are complying with the terms and conditions of the contract or grazing permit.
13. Activities conducted under this Waiver must comply with all applicable water quality requirements. Water quality requirements include water quality standards, as well as all other requirements, including guidelines, TMDLs, and prohibitions, set forth in water quality control plans and policies adopted or approved by the State Water Board.
14. Discharges of waste not specifically regulated under this Waiver are prohibited except in compliance with the California Water Code.
15. Activities authorized under this Waiver shall not cause a pollution, contamination, or nuisance as defined by ~~CWC~~ Water Code section 13050.
16. Activities authorized by this Waiver shall comply with all other applicable local, state, and federal regulations and/or required permits, including all requirements of the California Endangered Species Act (Fish and Game Code sections 2050-2097) and the Federal Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C.A. sections 1531-1544)..
- ~~16-17.~~ Projects that have: 1) potential significant environmental impacts not identified in the Initial Study, have 2) potential significant impacts that are substantially more severe than the impacts identified in the Initial Study, or have 3) environmental impacts that cannot be reduced to less than significant levels through mitigation identified in the Initial Study- cannot receive coverage under this Waiver and will need to submit a ROWD to the affected Regional Water Board and be subject to appropriate CEQA review. Prior to enrolling any activities or projects under the Waiver, the Regional Water Board shall ensure that any potentially significant environmental impacts identified in the Initial Study are mitigated to a less than significant level through site-specific on-the-ground prescriptions.
- ~~17-18.~~ Staff from each National Forest shall meet at least annually with staff from each affected Regional Water Board to discuss and rectify any issue with Waiver compliance, legacy remediation, watershed restoration, TMDL implementation, monitoring, or any other issues associated with this Waiver. The issues and the resolution thereof shall be reported to the State Water Board Executive Officer within 10 days of each annual meeting.
- ~~18-19.~~ By March 15 of each year, USFS shall submit an annual report to the State Water Board Executive Director ~~setting forth.~~ The report shall include the preceding federal fiscal year's activities, the status of any work set forth in the schedule of future actions, a review of the schedule for future actions, and any needed revisions

to the existing schedule. The work discussed shall include, but is not limited to, the following: 1) WQMH and/or Waiver amendments, 2) legacy problem remediation and/or watershed restoration, 3) monitoring program, and 4) changes needed to address changes in USFS Guidance, funding fluctuations, or unforeseen natural events. This report shall summarize the findings of the monitoring program conducted pursuant to the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment C) and the WQMH, including actions taken or to be taken to correct any detected violations of water quality requirements.

~~19-20.~~ The State Water Board Executive ~~Officer, no more than two board members~~Director, one State Water Board member, Regional Water Board representatives, and the USFS Regional Forester (or designee) shall meet at least annually to review the USFS annual report and to discuss and resolve any issues that have not been satisfactorily resolved by the meetings required by condition 4718 above.

~~20-21.~~ Non-timber construction projects on USFS land that disturb one or more acres of soil, or disturb less than one acre but are part of a larger common plan of development that in total disturbs one or more acres are subject to the General Permit for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Construction Activity (Construction General Permit, 2009-0009-DWQ) and any future revisions. Such projects in the Lake Tahoe Basin are subject to the General NPDES Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activity in the Lake Tahoe Hydrologic Unit (Order No. R6T-2011-0019 and any future revisions). This includes such activities as clearing, grading and disturbances to the ground such as stockpiling, or excavation, but does not include regular maintenance activities performed to restore the original line, grade, or capacity of a facility. ActivitiesConstruction activities subject to ~~this~~the above-referenced General ~~Permit~~Permits cannot be enrolled under this Waiver.

~~21-22.~~ Each National Forest shall notify staff of any affected Regional Water Board in writing at least 90 days prior to the proposed application of pesticides, unless Regional Water Board staff agrees in writing to a lesser notice. The notification shall include the type of pesticide, method and area of application, projected date of application, and measures that will be employed to assure compliance with an applicable basin plan. Subsequent changes to the proposal must be received by the affected Regional Water Board in writing forthwith, and in no event less than fourteen (14) days before the application, unless Regional Water Board staff agrees in writing to a lesser notice.

~~22-23.~~ The USFS shall submit to staff of any affected Regional Water Board a copy of any application to the State Water Board for a NPDES permit (Order 2004-0009-DWQ) for the use of aquatic pesticides.

~~23-24.~~ The USFS may submit information on low risk uses or applications of pesticides (e.g. use around buildings/facilities, borax stump treatment for root disease) for consideration by staff of an affected Regional Water Board to develop a list of activities exempt from the notification in condition 2422 above.

- ~~24~~-25. In the event that unforeseen circumstances resulting from the Waiver have the effect of unreasonably constraining USFS activities, the USFS may seek consideration for modifications to the Waiver by written request to the State Water Board. Such modifications may include, but are not limited to, removal, for cause, from Waiver coverage of any of the following: a) an individual project or activity; b) class of projects or activities; and/or c) one or more national forestsNational Forests.
- ~~25~~-26. In situations where multiple activities are included as part of an application for coverage under the Waiver, the National Forest shall identify it as a Category B activity if any of the activities fall under that category.
- ~~26~~-27. The USFS and, as applicable, each National Forest, shall comply with the monitoring and reporting requirements contained in the Monitoring and Reporting Program of this Order. (Attachment C). Monitoring and reporting requirements are issued pursuant to ~~CWC~~ Water Code sections 13267 and 13269 and may be modified from time to time by the Executive Director of the State Water Board. USFS shall submit to the State Water Board's Executive Director: 1) a summary of the status and results of this program about one month prior to the annual meeting between State Water Board and USFS management specified in item 4920 above, and 2) a comprehensive analysis of monitoring results about one year prior to expiration of this Waiver.
- ~~27~~-28. In the event an unauthorized discharge of waste occurs as a result of activities on NFS lands, the USFS shall notify the Executive Officer of the affected Regional Water Board within 48 hours of the discovery of the discharge, providing a brief description of the nature of the discharge, any impacts from the discharge, and remedial actions taken to abate and clean up the discharge. A written report shall follow within 14 days of the notification of discharge that identifies the nature, circumstances/causes, and extent of impact of the discharge, proposed future corrective actions and the implementation schedule for such corrective actions if any are needed.
29. This Waiver, including enrollments under this Waiver, shall not create a vested right, and discharges of waste shall be considered a privilege, as provided for in Water Code section 13263.

A. Waiver Categories

Category A ~~—~~ Low Risk Activities

This category includes activities and projects that as proposed have a low likelihood of impacts to water quality, and as such, they do not require ~~no~~ additional conditions. beyond the Statewide General Conditions in this Waiver, any project-level restoration requirements, or any specific project review by the Regional Water Board. Activities in this category include, but are not limited to, those listed below. If a particular Category A

project or activity is determined to have a potentially significant impact on the environment, that project or activity must be treated as a Category B activity. The USFS may add additional types of activities to this classification, subject to approval by the State Water Board Executive Director.

1. Christmas tree harvest under individual permits (does not include commercial Christmas tree cutting);
2. Cutting of firewood under individual permits (does not include commercial firewood cutting on federal lands);
3. Hazard/Danger tree removal in designated camp sites;
4. Hazard/Danger tree removal along roads;
5. Tree planting and revegetation of disturbed areas with no mechanical site preparation;
6. Routine annual road and OHV trail maintenance, such as culvert cleaning and low impact replacement/modification/upgrading outside of designated riparian zones, road surface improvements (paving, patching, blading, gravel surfacing), brushing, ditch cleaning and cross drain cleaning;
7. Hand Thinning without assistance from heavy equipment and no risk of discharge;
8. Minor activities in small areas that are conducted by hand crews outside of riparian zones;
9. Activities conducted in compliance with Road Use Permits; and
10. Dispersed camping, camping in developed recreation sites, use of non-motorized trails, fence building, signpost installation, and similar low-impact, dispersed activities.

Application Procedures: No application is required for activities covered under Category A of this Waiver.

Monitoring: The USFS shall keep records of such activities, including any project checklists or environmental assessments produced prior to, during, or after the activity, for Water Board staff review, as deemed necessary.

Category B — Moderate Risk Activities

This category includes activities and projects that have potentially moderate impacts on water quality. They therefore require mitigation beyond the Statewide General Conditions, and Regional Water Board review and additional mitigation of the site-specific on-the-ground prescriptions proposed by the USFS to meet water quality requirements. Application for coverage under this Waiver is required for such projects and activities. Activities in this category include, but are not limited to:

1. Non-emergency fire restoration and rehabilitation of burned areas.
2. Pre-Commercial thinning in designated riparian zones, or using heavy equipment, or with burning.
3. Vegetation management, particularly prescribed burns, mechanical mastication, and the use of hand crews, adjacent to streams and drainages, or other situations or locations where likelihood of discharge exists.
4. Range management activities.

5. Understory or pile burning within designated riparian zones.
6. Activities conducted by hand crews in designated riparian zones and that pose a risk of discharge.
7. Road decommissioning.
8. Road upgradingimprovement and storm-proofing where there is potential for discharge.
9. Construction of new roads (or re-opening of previously decommissioned roads or roads that have been placed in "storage" status (where not subject to state-wide stormwater permit).
10. Motor vehicle trails and their use.
11. NPS activities associated with mining (e.g., roads, pads, and cleared areas as described in finding 38(b)).
12. Timber harvest and fuel reduction activities, including forest restoration projects and research and demonstration projects on fuel reduction.
13. Watershed projects, including but not limited to instreamimprovement or restoration projects and legacy NPS remediation projects that could cause violation of water quality requirements (except where Section 404 dredge and fill permits or 401 Water Quality Certification is required).
- 13-14. Non-emergency and non-routine road repairs (for example, slide removal or road slipout) such as from storm-related events with potential to impact water quality.

Certain factors increase the risk of potential water quality impacts and generally fall into four broad categories:

- The activity's proximity to water (e.g. inside a designated riparian zone vs. outside a designated riparian zone);
- The type and size of the activity;
- The severity of disturbance of ground surface, flow patterns and/or vegetation; and
- The on-the-ground conditions where the activity takes place (e.g. steep, erodible or unstable slopes; wetlands; unstable stream banks or channels; floodprone areas).

The Category B conditions below address those factors.

Category B General Conditions

1. The USFS shall conduct an interdisciplinary review of the proposed project or activity, including review by watershed specialists, and identify on-the-ground prescriptions needed to implement the USFS WQMH, and any additional necessary control measures for the proposed activity. Regional Water Board staff shall be welcome to participate in project development and review. The USFS shall clearly indicate within projectNEPA documents whether and where any of the following are included within a proposed project:
 - a. Activities within or which could affect:
 - 1) areas with intrinsically high erosion potential;
 - 2) known landslides or unstable areas;
 - 3) unstable banks or channels;
 - 4) floodprone areas;

- 5) wetlands⁷; or
- 6) designated riparian zones⁷.

b. Activities within or immediately adjacent to a designated riparian zone:

- 1) construction of new watercourse crossings or reconstruction/modification of existing watercourse crossings;
- 2) use or reconstruction of existing, or construction or use of new landings or skid trails;
- 3) equipment operations, except on existing permanent roads or crossings;
- 4) prescribed fire;
- 5) pile burning; or
- 6) road decommissioning.

c. Activities outside designated riparian zone:

- 1) heavy equipment use on slopes over 40% or in any of the areas listed in (a) above;
- 2) mechanical site preparation and/or prescribed broadcast burning; or
- 3) forest restoration, including timber harvest and fuel reduction projects involving thinning within outer edges of designated riparian zones which utilize endlining or heavy equipment;
- 4) instream restoration projects.

2. Each National Forest shall submit a complete application, as described below in the Waiver application section.
3. The USFS shall assess watershed conditions and propose and implement restoration activities to address identified water quality concerns in one of the following ways:
 - a. Where the project area, as defined in the project description, of a proposed individual project or activity is within a 5th-field hydrologic unit(s) for which inventory and prioritization of legacy sites has been initiated as part of a larger watershed planning effort, such a project or activity need not incorporate remediation of legacy sediment sites.
 - b. On the other hand, where the above condition is not met, any such project or activity must inventory, prioritize, and schedule for treatments existing legacy sediment sites as part of the proposed project activities. Where such treatments result in short term violation of water quality objectives, the project sites shall be monitored and adaptively managed to limit the impacts of the corrective actions.
 - c. Multi-forest, forest-wide, or multi-district activities, such as wildfire reforestation, recreational site improvement, road maintenance, prescribed burnsburning, powerline right-of-way maintenance, and grazing allotments, are exempt from this requirement.

⁷. A designated riparian zone refers to the Riparian Reserve for those forests under the Northwest Forest Plan and Riparian Conservation Areas for that portion of the Modoc NF under the Sierra Nevada Forest Plan.

4. Where the proposed activity includes direct or indirect effects to water quality, the National Forest shall conduct a cumulative watershed effects (CWE) analysis and include specific measures in the proposed activity needed to reduce the potential for CWEs in order to assure compliance with an applicable basin plan. The scale and extent of CWE analyses will be commensurate with the scale and intensity of the projects seeking coverage under this Waiver. CWEs analyses will follow guidance in the regional CWE policy, R-5 FSH 2509.22, Soil and Water Conservation Handbook, Amendment 1, and may range from qualitative reasoning to application and interpretation of quantitative models.
5. Each National Forest shall include the larger planning context (whether in a restoration plan or ~~other~~ an alternative document setting forth an inventory and prioritization for remediation of legacy sites) for specific activities in any request for coverage under this Waiver (e.g., how a particular activity or project fits within the watershed priorities developed through the planning process). If the proposed activity is in a watershed for which a watershed restoration plan (or alternative document) has not been prepared to conduct inventory, prioritization, and remediation of legacy sediment sites, the USFS shall include within the description of the proposed activity the remediation of any such sites that are within the project area.
- ~~6. The activity must be conducted in accordance with the project description in the accompanying USFS project document, including any project modifications, and the specific on-the-ground prescriptions designed to implement the BMPs identified to avoid any adverse impact(s) to water quality.~~
- ~~7.6.~~ The activity shall be monitored, pursuant to the Monitoring and Reporting Program, to assure that site-specific on-the-ground prescriptions have been required consistent with the mitigation measures of the Mitigated Negative Declaration and that all on-the-ground prescriptions are implemented and effective in avoiding any adverse impact(s) to water quality. Should such monitoring indicate that on-the-ground prescriptions were not implemented or that unacceptable impacts occurred, corrective measures to remediate the impact and implement the on-the-ground prescription shall be taken as soon as feasible.
- ~~8.7.~~ Where planned management activities and individual projects within designated riparian zones have resulted in burned areas, the responsible National Forest must prevent, minimize, and mitigate discharges to waters of the state by following the appropriate USFS BMPs and standard erosion control techniques.
- ~~9.8.~~ Areas where soil has been disturbed by project activities, excluding grazing, within designated riparian zones must be stabilized prior to the beginning of the winter period, prior to sunset if the National Weather Service forecast is a "chance" (30% or more) of rain within the next 24 hours, or at the conclusion of operations, whichever is sooner.
- ~~10.9.~~ Each National Forest shall report, within 10 days of discovery, to staff of the affected Regional Water Board, areas within designated riparian zones that are

disturbed by grazing that may result in a discharge that ~~threatens to violate~~violates water quality requirements, and any measures taken or planned to prevent, minimize, or mitigate the potential to discharge. Monitoring to verify the effectiveness of the remediation may be required by the Executive Officer of the affected Regional Water Board.

10. ~~Each Forest must ensure that grazing activities are consistent with ACS and AMS goals, the USFS WQMH, and the review of allotments according to the USFS rescission schedule (Attachment F). Except where~~USFS shall follow the rescission schedule for grazing allotments as it is set forth in Attachment F or as it may hereafter be amended. When USFS foresees that the schedule will slip, the Regional Office shall notify the State Water Board Executive Director, indicate the reasons for slippage, and propose an amended schedule. Additionally, USFS shall consider amending the schedule based on evidence of risks to public health and/or water body impairments associated with grazing allotments.
11. ~~A grazing allotment is ineligible for enrollment under this waiver if there is evidence of actual or potential violation of applicable water quality requirements associated with grazing on an allotment, all existing grazing allotments are covered by this Waiver, and USFS need not apply for new or continued Waiver coverage until the allotment renewal.~~the allotment.
12. ~~Prior~~A grazing allotment may be eligible for enrollment under this waiver in the following two cases:
 - a. **NEPA analysis and decision are completed during the life of this waiver:** ~~Where possible, prior to the scheduled review of a grazing allotment in accordance with the USFS rescission schedule (Attachment F),~~the responsible National Forest shall~~may~~ notify the Executive Officer of the affected Regional Water Board and request that staff participates in early review, inspections, and ~~provide~~provides comments and/or recommendations. Upon completion of the NEPA process and record of decision, the National Forest will~~may~~ submit an application for ~~continued~~ enrollment, along with the required NEPA documentation and record of decision, to the Executive Officer of the affected Regional Water Board. ~~Regional Water Board staff will then review the allotment provisions and site-specific prescriptions for conformance with the conditions of this Waiver and applicable water quality requirements. If the application is complete, an affected Regional Water Board shall accept or deny the application for coverage in writing within 30 days from its receipt of the application.~~
 - b. **NEPA analysis and decision will not be completed during the life of this waiver:** The responsible National Forest and the affected Regional Water Board will collaborate, using maps and other available information, in prioritizing such allotments for enrollment and in scheduling enrollment applications to avoid overloading agency staff resources. During its annual meeting with the permittee, the responsible National Forest will advise the permittee regarding the opportunity for waiver coverage and what lease modifications will be needed to achieve compliance with Waiver conditions. USFS may request Regional Water Board participation in this process. If the permittee agrees, the lease terms will be modified to satisfy water quality requirements. The responsible National

Forest may then submit a Notice of Application for the allotment to the affected Regional Water Board. The application must include information to support an informed, reasoned, and affirmative Regional Water Board decision regarding enrollment. This will typically require the following (or comparable) information:

- 1) The pertinent available NEPA documents (including any available public comments and responses), record of decision, and allotment management plan.
- 2) The modified permit, including the requirement to comply with waiver conditions, and the management practices needed to do so.
- 3) The results of USFS range condition monitoring on the allotment.

13. It is the intent of the State Water Board that, absent evidence of actual or potential violation of applicable water quality requirements associated with grazing on the allotment, Waiver enrollment of an allotment will continue until completion of the next scheduled NEPA review following waiver expiration or upon expiration of a second-generation waiver, whichever occurs first.

~~12~~-14. Each National Forest shall make information from inspections and monitoring of conditions on grazing allotments available to staff of an affected Regional Water Board upon request.

15. With the exception of grazing allotments that meet the criteria of 13(b) above, Category B projects for which the USFS seeks coverage under the Waiver are expected to be new projects. New projects are those projects which have undergone NEPA review after the adoption of this Waiver. USFS may seek coverage for ongoing Category B activities at the discretion of the Regional Water Board. To apply for coverage under the Waiver for ongoing activities, the USFS must submit an application with environmental and project information sufficient to support an informed and reasoned Regional Water Board decision regarding enrollment. The application must demonstrate that the USFS will implement site-specific on-the-ground prescriptions that articulate the USFS Guidance, the WQMH, and the conditions of this Waiver, and any additional site-specific on-the-ground prescriptions necessary to implement the applicable mitigation measures identified in the Mitigated Negative Declaration.

~~13~~-16. Each National Forest shall implement the designated riparian program (~~and e.g.,~~ AMS ~~or,~~ ACS) and prevent, minimize, and mitigate sediment and animal waste discharges to surface waters by following the appropriate BMPs and standard erosion control techniques (identified in any pertinent Erosion Control Plan) for activities adjacent to streams and drainages, or other locations or situations where likelihood of discharge exists.

~~14~~-17. The USFS will collaborate with staff of an affected Regional Water Board to evaluate research and demonstration activities on fuels reduction projects in designated riparian zones to ensure plans for those projects include appropriate design features to prevent or limit impacts to water quality and may require

- a. Additional monitoring as appropriate, such as quantitative monitoring of impacts to soils (compaction, infiltration rate, etc.), ground cover inventories, vegetation recovery, or water quality analysis; and
- b. Specific environmental triggers or thresholds that must not be exceeded during implementation.

~~15-18.~~ The USFS shall minimize new road construction in watersheds designated by the USFS as “Key Watersheds” and in high risk watersheds.⁸

~~16-19.~~ The USFS shall conduct, as identified by staff of an affected Regional Water Board, any additional assessments and environmental documentation for new roads associated with timber harvesting activities.

~~17-20.~~ For each project or activity for which the USFS has submitted an application, the USFS shall submit a Notice of Completion upon completion of the project or activity, certifying that all the conditions and monitoring and reporting required by this Waiver have been met and further that all mitigation measures set forth in the application were implemented. The enrollment under this Waiver will be terminated upon receipt of a Termination of Coverage letter from the Executive Officer, or 30 days after the Notice of Completion has been sent, whichever occurs first.

Waiver Application ~~Process~~ for Category B Activities

1. Each National Forest shall submit a written Notice of ~~Intent (NOI)~~Application (NOA) (Attachment G) ~~and application~~, to ~~an~~the affected Regional Water Board. USFS may request expedited review of critical projects.

~~1.~~ The ~~NOI~~NOA certifies USFS’ intent to comply with conditions of this Waiver.

2. ~~The NOI and~~ shall be signed by a USFS line officer or their authorized representative.

3. The ~~NOI~~NOA and application shall be filed after project approval by USFS, and at least 30 days prior to anticipated commencement of on-the-ground activities. Certified mail may be used to confirm the delivery date of the ~~NOI~~NOA and the initiation of the 30-day review period.

4. Regional Water Board staff will review the application and associated documents for conformance with the conditions of this Waiver and applicable water quality requirements. If the application is complete, an affected Regional Water Board shall accept or deny the application for coverage in writing within 30 days from its receipt of the ~~NOI~~NOA. In the event that a Regional Water Board or its Executive Officer, or

⁸ High risk watersheds are those watersheds that are at or above thresholds of concern for cumulative watershed effects, as determined by the Equivalent Roaded Area model, or in watersheds with 303(d) listed sediment-impaired waters.

his/her designee, denies or terminates waiver coverage of an individual project, it must, at the same time, provide USFS and the State Water Board Executive Director with a statement of reasons for that decision, supported by factual information and reasoned analysis. Should a Regional Water Board foresee that it may not be able to complete its review within the 30-day period, it shall immediately inform USFS. USFS may bring the matter to the attention of the State Water Board Executive Director.

5. The Executive Officer, or his/her designee, of an affected Regional Water Board has the discretion to adjust timeframes/time frames for acceptance of an application at the written request of the USFS, and the EO may, as necessary, add specific monitoring and reporting requirements.
6. To be complete, the Waiver application must contain the following information:
 - a. A brief description of the proposed activity. Reference to more detailed explanations in supporting documents is sufficient.⁹
 - b. Activity start and end dates; reference to more specific timelines in supporting documents is sufficient.⁷
 - c. The name(s) and contact information for primary project management personnel.
 - d. A description of compliance with the Waiver conditions in general terms, with on-the-ground prescriptions set out in the supporting documents being sufficient.⁷
 - e. An Erosion Control Plan developed in accordance with BMP 2.13 of the WQMH.
 - e.f. The proposed project's relation to the watershed restoration plan or other planning document, if one has been prepared, or a description of how the project fits within the basic strategy for watershed improvements if a watershed restoration plan or other such planning document does not exist.
 - f.g. Identification and proposed treatments of existing legacy NPS sediment sites if an inventory and prioritization of legacy sites has not been initiated in the project area, as described in the project description, (per condition 5) or reference to the legacy site inventory for watersheds with a watershed restoration plan.
 - g.h. _____ Copies of relevant portions of all project documents that set out the details of a project, especially on-the-ground prescriptions, including supporting documents that describe in detail the activities and management practices that will be taken to reduce potential environmental impacts to less than significant levels and prevent violations of water quality requirements (e.g., NEPA documents, including the decision memorandum if a categorical exclusion applies, technical reports, design criteria, assessments, watershed restoration plans, responses to public comments).
7. The USFS shall clearly indicate within project documents, and provide an index of, the specific on-the-ground prescriptions designed to meet the BMPs to avoid any adverse impact(s) to water quality. Specific on-the-ground prescriptions shall be included in all contracts and grazing permits.

⁹ Citation to a website or a publicly available electronic version of a document is acceptable.

This Waiver shall become effective on [REDACTED] and shall expire on [REDACTED], unless rescinded or renewed by the State Water Board

AUTHORIZATION

The State Water Board hereby authorizes its Executive Director to execute the attached Memorandum of Understanding with USFS (Attachment D);

Certification:

I, [REDACTED], Executive Director
I, Jeanine Townsend, Clerk to the Board
do hereby certify that the foregoing
is a full, true, and correct copy of
an Order adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board,
on [REDACTED].

[REDACTED]
Executive Director
Clerk to the Board

Attachments:

- A. Conformance with Nonpoint Source Program Plan and Policy
- B. Schedule of Future Actions
- C. Monitoring and Reporting Program
- D. Memorandum of Understanding
- E. List of Watershed Assessments and Watershed Restoration Plans
- F. Summary of USFS guidelines and rescission schedule for grazing allotments
- G. Notice of Intent (NOI) for USFS application and Notice of Completion (NOC)