**Dynegy Morro Bay, LLC** 1290 Embarcadero Rd. Morro Bay, CA 93442





California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Coast Region Attn: Monitoring and Reporting Review Section 895 Aerovista Place, Suite 101 San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

### Re: ANNUAL 2010 NPDES REPORT: DYNEGY MORRO BAY, LLC

Dear Sir/Madam:

#### DISCHARGE MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM MORRO BAY POWER PLANT

In accordance with Order 95-28, NPDES Permit No. CA0003743 issued to the Morro Bay Power Plant, an Electronic Self Monitoring Report (eSMR) has been submitted via the State Water Resource Control Board's CIWQS online program to fulfill the annual 2010 discharge reporting requirement. The Morro Bay Power Plant (MBPP) is located at 1290 Embarcadero Road in Morro Bay, California. Please note that this report has been submitted by Dynegy Morro Bay, LLC, owner and operator of the Morro Bay Power Plant.

There was one exceedence of permitted effluent limits during the reporting period subject to this report, however this incident was not due to plant operation but rather outside factors. The plant operated its evaporator unit (Discharge 001C) from August 30 through September 1 and collected initial effluent samples on August 30. During this time period, the City of Morro Bay was conducting dredging operations in the Bay under management of the US Army Corps of Engineers.

Initial test results from Discharge 001C showed total suspended solids (TSS) to be above the monthly average limit of 30 mg/L with a concentration of 36 mg/L. However, the inlet sample collected concurrently, showed an elevated TSS value of 30 mg/L (inlet was equal to the discharge limit). Unfortunately, sample results were not received until after the close of the month. A follow-up TSS sample was collected on September 1 to confirm initial results. With only a single sample for the month, the monthly average limit was exceeded. While investigating the cause of the exceedence, plant personnel discovered that concurrent dredging operations in Morro Bay were showing comparable (30 mg/L or greater) TSS values in their monthly monitoring program. It is the MBPP's opinion that since both the inlet source water and dredging samples collected in the main channel of the bay showed elevated TSS levels, elevated discharge results were not due to plant equipment operation but to dredging operations disturbing bottom sediment in the bay. Sample results from the dredging monitoring program are included for the month of August as an attachment to this report for documentation purposes.

Following confirmation of initial test results, Peter von Langen of the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) was contacted and informed of the exceedence. The RWQCB

January 28, 2011 Regional Water Quality Control Board Annual 2010 ESMR

determined that the effluent TSS values did not pose a threat to human health or the environment and agreed that elevated values were unlikely due to MBPP equipment operation.

As a resolution to this matter, an explanation of this incident has been included in both the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter and annual 2010 NPDES reports, and MBPP has resolved to not run the evaporator the last day of the month or collect samples during dredging operations.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Should you have any questions or require additional information regarding this submittal, please do not hesitate to contact Ninah Rhodes Hartley of my staff at (805) 595-4229.

Sincerely,

Alum Porchet

Steven C. Goschke On Behalf of, and as agent for, Dynegy Morro Bay, LLC

SCG:nrh;

NRHartley [595-4229]

Bcc: File 403.40.02

VIA ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL

# ANNUAL REPORT DISCHARGE MONITORING & REPORTING PROGRAM

# MORRO BAY POWER PLANT

## 2010

Dynegy Morro Bay, LLC Morro Bay Power Plant 1290 Embarcadero Road Morro Bay, CA 93442

### EFFLUENT MONITORING REPORT 2010 Summary

#### Dynegy Morro Bay, LLC. Morro Bay Power Plant

#### **1. GENERAL OVERVIEW**

During 2010, discharges were made from discharge paths 001A, 001B, 001C, 001E and 001F. Discharge 001D, cooling water for the thermal compression salt water evaporators, was abandoned in June, 1995, after the evaporators were removed from service. Discharge 001E, the formerly RCRA permitted hazardous waste surface impoundments, were clean closed in place and all discharges were rerouted to the storm water conveyance system in April 2009. This discharge is no longer operated as an industrial discharge under the facility NPDES permit. It is now managed under the general industrial storm water permit.

Chemical analyses are performed by Creek Environmental Laboratories in San Luis Obispo, CA, by Abalone Coast Bacteriology in San Luis Obispo, CA, and by FGL Environmental located in Santa Paula, CA, all of which are ELAP certified. CRG Marine Laboratories of Canoga Park are used to perform trace metals analysis of the annually collected intake and Discharge 001 seawater samples using EPA 1640. Samples collected for bioassay analysis are analyzed by Aquatic Testing Laboratories of Ventura. All samples are analyzed using approved methods, and are either analyzed immediately in the field or are appropriately preserved and refrigerated until analyzed at one of the above mentioned offsite laboratories. Discharge flows are estimated from flow integrators and pump operating hours. Redundant, co-located temperature measurements are taken at both the intake and outfall using both RTD temperature sensors with wireless transmitters and submersible data loggers set to collect data every 5 minutes.

Following is a summary by calendar quarter of notable NPDES related issues during 2010.

#### 1.1. First Quarter 2010

During the first quarter 2010 monitoring and reporting period, there were no exceedences or violations of any discharge limits.

#### 1.2. Second Quarter 2010

During the second quarter 2010 monitoring and reporting period, there were no exceedences or violations of any discharge limits.

#### 1.3. Third Quarter 2010

During the third quarter 2010 monitoring and reporting period, there was a single exceedence of a permitted discharge limit; however this incident was not due to plant operation, but rather outside factors. The plant operated its evaporator unit (Discharge 001C) from August 30 through September 1 and collected initial effluent samples on August 30. During this time period, the City of Morro Bay was conducting dredging operations in the Bay under management of the US Army Corps of Engineers.

Initial test results from Discharge 001C showed total suspended solids (TSS) to be above the monthly average limit of 30 mg/L with a concentration of 36 mg/L. However, the inlet sample collected concurrently, showed an elevated TSS value of 30 mg/L (inlet was equal to the discharge limit). Unfortunately, sample results were not received until after the close of the month. A follow-up TSS sample was collected on September 1 to confirm initial results. With only a single sample for the month, the monthly average limit was exceeded. While investigating the cause of the exceedence, plant personnel discovered that concurrent dredging operations in Morro Bay were showing comparable (30 mg/L or greater) TSS values in their monthly monitoring program. It is the MBPP's opinion that since the both the inlet source water and dredging samples collected in the main channel of the bay showed elevated TSS levels, elevated discharge results were not due to plant equipment operation but to dredging operations disturbing bottom sediment in the bay.

Following confirmation of initial test results, Peter von Langen of the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) was contacted and informed of the exceedence. The RWQCB determined that the effluent TSS values did not pose a threat to human health or the environment and agreed that elevated values were unlikely due to MBPP equipment operation. RWQCB staff requested that an explanation of the incident be included in the quarterly NPDES report to resolve the matter.

#### 1.4. Fourth Quarter 2010

During the fourth quarter 2010 monitoring and reporting period, there were no exceedences or violations of any discharge limits.

### Annual Intake & Outfall Samples (Source and Receiving Water Samples)

Samples of Discharge 001 effluent were collected on September 14, 2010 pursuant to the annual monitoring and reporting requirements contained in Monitoring and Reporting Program 95-28 (MRP 95-28). Though not required by MRP 95-28, and not reported in the attached Data Monitoring Report (DMR), samples were also collected at the MBPP Intake Structure in front of the Unit 3 and Unit 4 intake bays to assess source water analyte concentrations. The Intake Structure samples were collected approximately 20 minutes prior to collection of the Discharge 001 effluent samples to assure to the greatest extent practicable sampling of the same water mass. All samples were collected in appropriately preserved containers and transported under chain-of-custody control to ELAP certified laboratories for analysis as follows:

- FGL Laboratories (ELAP Certificate 1573)
  - PCBs
  - Trace Metals
  - o Ammonia as N
- Aquatic Testing Laboratories (ELAP Certificate 1775)
  - Chronic Toxicity (EPA 600/R-95/136)
- CRG Marine Laboratories (ELAP Certificate 2261)
  - Trace metals (EPA Method 1640)

As a result of past difficulties accurately determining copper and other target metals at background levels in seawater samples collected at MBPP's intake and discharge, and the prolifically documented matrix interference problems reported in the literature involving the analysis of marine and estuarine samples using various traditional analytical methods, duplicate split samples were collected and submitted to CRG Marine Laboratories for analysis by EPA Method 1640: *Determination of Trace Elements in Ambient Water by On-line Chelation Preconcentration and Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry*. MBPP has now submitted duplicate split samples of intake and discharge seawater samples to CRG for trace metals analysis by EPA 1640 since 2003.

EPA Method 1640 is a relatively new, state-of-the-art analytical method developed specifically by EPA for the determination of various metals at or below the very low EPA Water Quality Criteria (WQC) concentrations and is particularly suited for analysis of estuarine and marine samples. EPA method 1640 employs a pre-concentration step in the sample preparation process that selectively retains the analytes of interest while reducing the saline (high dissolved solids) seawater matrix effect. EPA Region IX has been approving the use of EPA 1640 as an alternate test procedure for the analysis of compliance related marine samples for some time now. Based on the known difficulties analyzing seawater samples for some of the trace metals using traditional methods, and CRG's extensive experience with marine samples and the extremely robust QA/QC package they reported along with the MBPP intake and discharge sample results, the CRG trace metal results are reported in the following data monitoring report forms enclosed with this report.

In addition to the samples collected for chemical analysis discussed above, Intake and Discharge 001 seawater samples were submitted to Aquatic Testing Laboratories for chronic toxicity determination. The bioassay specified in MRP 95-28 involves observing groups of juvenile red abalone (*haliotis rufescens*) for abnormal shell development following three days of being subjected to sample water. Different groups of juvenile abalone are subjected to different dilutions of the sample water with reagent water, including a group subjected to pure sample water (no sample dilution). ATL reported no observable effects in either the undiluted Intake or Discharge 001 samples resulting in a TUc for both of 1. This result is consistent with past results which have never shown any observable chronic toxicity associated with the MBPP discharge.

The following table presents a summary of the results for both the Intake and Discharge 001 samples. As part of their QA/QC regiment, CRG analyzed the Intake sample in replicate providing information relative to the precision of their analysis. To be conservative, the lowest result of CRG's replicate intake analysis is reported here. Comparing the results of the Intake and Discharge 001 samples, it is evident that the two samples are essentially indistinguishable.

Parameter	Method	Units	Reporting	Discharge	Intake
			Limit	001	
Chronic Toxicity		TUc		1	1
Ammonia-N	4500NH3H	mg/L	0.2	ND	ND
PCB	8082	mg/L	0.0005	ND	ND
Arsenic	1640m	mg/L	0.000015	0.00153	0.00149
Cadmium	1640m	mg/L	0.00001	0.0000694	0.0000719
Chromium	1640m	mg/L	0.00005	0.00249	0.00243
Copper	1640m	mg/L	0.00002	0.00175	0.00185
Lead	1640m	mg/L	0.00001	0.000111	0.0000650
Mercury	245.7m	mg/L	0.00002	ND	ND
Nickel	1640m	mg/L	0.00001	0.00147	0.00112
Selenium	1640m	mg/L	0.000015	ND	ND
Silver	1640m	mg/L	0.00004	0.000220	0.000220
Zinc	1640m	mg/L	0.00001	0.0111	0.00753

#### Bottom Sediment Monitoring & Reporting

On September 15, 2010, Tenera Environmental collected two replicate sediment samples from each of three discharge (A2, A4, and A5) and three reference sampling locations (A6, A7, and A8). Discharge locations A2, A4, and A5 are all located within the near-shore waters of Estero Bay in the general vicinity of MBPP Discharge 001. Reference location A8 on the other hand is located within Morro Bay near the MBPP Intake Structure (reflective of source water conditions) while reference locations A6 and A7 are located within Estero Bay but at considerable distance south and north of Discharge 001 respectively and outside of the identified area potentially influenced by Discharge 001. The samples were collected in appropriately preserved containers and submitted to CRG Laboratories in Torrance, CA for PCB, sulfide, and trace metals analysis. The samples for metals analysis were extracted using the weak acid leachate (WAL) method prescribed in MPR 95-28. Replicate samples from each monitoring location were also submitted to Earth Systems Environmental in San Luis Obispo for particle size distribution analysis.

Each sample was individually analyzed for ten target analytes; eight metals (arsenic, cadmium, hexavalent chromium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, and zinc), PCB's, and total sulfides. The mean concentration for each replicate pair was then calculated. Both grouped and individual discharge monitoring station results were then statistically compared to the reference station results. Overall, the trends and observations from the 2010 Bottom Sediment monitoring effort were similar to past monitoring events. Following are the main summarized findings as reported by Tenera:

- No hexavalent chromium or PCBs was detected at any of the sampling stations, hence no statistical comparisons were made.
- Sulfides were only detected at reference station A8, hence no statistical comparison was made.
- Replicates collected from reference station A8, located within Morro Bay near the Intake Structure, had the highest concentrations of the seven constituents detected at the other stations and was the only station with detectable sulfides.

- In general, the samples taken from the three discharge stations and reference stations A6 and A7 were found to be both chemically and physically similar.
- Sediment samples from reference station A8 were chemically and physically unique in comparison to those from all other monitoring stations.

The final 2010 NPDES Sediment Monitoring Report was previously submitted to the RWQCB under a separate cover letter dated January 6, 2011. Please refer to this document for greater detail and in depth discussions of the sample collection methods, statistical analysis employed, and report findings.

#### Hydrographic Survey

Tenera Environmental performed a hydrographic survey of the area in front of, and adjacent to, the MBPP Intake Structure on July 20, 2010 between 1140 and 1633 PST. The area included the entire 240 ft width of the Intake Structure and adjacent areas, 100 feet to the southeast, 200 feet to the northwest and 300 feet offshore. The bottom surface of the bay in the survey area was mapped using a Biosonics DTX digital echo sounder mounted in a 13 foot skiff equipped with a differential global positioning system (DGPS). The skiff was piloted at 2 and 3 knots along predetermined tracks spaced approximately 15-20 feet apart first in a criss-crossing east-west to north-south trending pattern.

The results of the survey indicate that "[i]n general, the near-intake bottom depths were similar to those measured in years past near the intake structure and in the east to southeast region of the survey area." However, it was noted that there were noticeably deeper depths in an area extending from 60 ft to 225 ft offshore from the power plant intake and to the northwest from the shore to the navigation channel. The bottom of this area was measured to a depth of -18.0 to - 20.7 ft MLLW, which is on average 2.5 feet deeper than it was in 2009. Water depths directly in front of the intake bays and out to a distance of 160 feet ranged between -9.5 ft and -20.4 ft MLLW with an average of -17.4 ft MLLW. On average, the 2010 results were -2.0 feet deeper than the previous survey performed July 16, 2009. The results of the hydrographic survey were previously submitted to the Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board under a separate cover letter dated January 6, 2011. Please refer to this report for further detail and discussion.

#### Intake Approach Velocity Monitoring

Tenera Environmental performed intake approach velocity monitoring in front of the MBPP cooling water intake structure on September 2, 2010 between 0924 and 1140 PST. Velocities were measured in slack water with little tidal movement in front of the Unit 3 and Unit 4 in take bays using a 1 MHz Sontek Acoustic Doppler Profiler (ADP) from 0924 to 1140 PST. Each of Unit 3 and Unit 4's circulating water pumps were in operation at the time of measurement. Duke Energy, a previous owner of the MBPP, previously received RWQCB approval in 2004 to forego approach velocity testing of Unit 1 and Unit 2 since neither unit had seen operational service since the fall of 2003. Since neither Unit 1 nor Unit 2 operated during the 2010 monitoring and reporting period, approach velocity testing was again not performed. Should either unit be returned to service, approach velocity testing will be resumed and the RWQCB notified.

The results of the 2010 intake approach velocity monitoring indicate that the spatial average during the study was 0.64 fps with maximum and minimum speeds of 0.74 and 0.51 fps measured by the Sontek ADP. As in previous years, the average of Unit 3 speeds exceeded the Unit 4 average. The Sontek ADP measured its highest bay average speed at Unit Bay 3-2, as was the case in 2008 and 2009. The results of the Intake Approach Velocity Monitoring were previously submitted to the Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board under a separate cover letter dated January 6, 2011.

### 2. OPERATOR CERTIFICATION

Morro Bay Power Plant is a private treatment facility that treats only industrial waste. Operators of this facility are not required to be certified under Title 23 CCR. The NPDES discharge program is administered and monitored by the following staff members:

Steven C. Goschke	Plant Manager
Thomas A. Lott	Plant Engineer
Ninah Rhodes Hartley	Environmental Compliance Specialist

Dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, and residual chlorine are measured in the field by trained field technicians from Creek Environmental Laboratories and FGL Environmental. During 2010, samples collected pursuant to the requirements of Monitoring & Reporting Program 95-28 were analyzed by the following ELAP certified laboratories using approved and industry standard analytical methods:

- Creek Environmental Laboratories (ELAP Certification 1958)
- Abalone Coast Bacteriology (ELAP Certification 2661)
- > FGL Laboratories (ELAP Certification 1573),
- CRG Marine Laboratories (ELAP Certification 2261)
- Aquatic Testing Laboratories (ELAP Certification 1775)

### 3. FACILITY OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE MANUALS

The primary operating, maintenance, and contingency instructions and plans for Morro Bay Power Plant are contained in the documents listed below. These manuals are complete and valid for this facility.

#### <u>Manual</u> Morro Bay O&M Procedures Morro Bay Power Plant Operating Orders

Facility Emergency Plan, Morro Bay Power Plant

Date of Last Review Last Revised 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2011 Last Revised 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter 2010 Last Revised May 2009

### 4. SLUDGE MONITORING

Sludge is produced as a result of solids settling in the boiler wash, waterside rinse, and chemical cleaning holding ponds. Since the pond clean closure in fall 2007, no discharges have been made to the ponds, hence no annual cleaning or sludge removal has occurred in 2010. The only material collected in the ponds during 2010 has been rain water which has been tested and

discharged under the General Industrial Storm Water Permit (WDID 3 40I021953). As of December 2008, the MBPP began operating under the Industrial Storm Water General Permit (WQ Order No. 97-03-DWQ) and was granted permission by the RWQCB in a letter dated January 20, 2009 to treat all discharges from the surface impoundments as storm water discharges. No chemical boiler cleanings or stack washes were conducted during the 2010 reporting period.

## SUMMARY OF MONITORING PROGRAM AND REQUIRED REPORTS MONITORING OF PLANT INFLUENT AND EFFLUENT

- PART 1: Descriptions of intake and discharge paths
- PART 2: 2010 Discharge Tabular Summary
- PART 3: 2010 Discharge Trend Charts
- PART 4: Certification for Ocean Plan Constituent Monitoring

## PART 1

-

## INTAKE AND DISCHARGE FLOW PATH DESCRIPTIONS

#### DYNEGY MORRO BAY, LLC. MORRO BAY POWER PLANT EFFLUENT MONITORING REPORT ORDER NO. 95-28

#### INTAKE

Temperature readings are taken at the intake structure before the bar racks by both RTD temperature sensors with wireless transmitters and submersible data loggers set to collect data every 5 minutes. Grab samples for pH determination are collected using a 5-gallon plastic bucket cast from the shore. Sample is analyzed in the field by trained and qualified FGL Environmental personnel.

#### **DISCHARGE 001A**

Flow of once-through cooling water is estimated from pump operating hours and pump efficiency on a daily basis.

Grab samples for pH and residual chlorine analysis are collected in plastic sample bottles at the outfall channel, beyond the point dividing units 1 & 2 and units 3 & 4 discharge tunnels. To ensure to the greatest extent practical that the same water mass is sampled; discharge samples are collected 15-20 minutes after sampling the intake. Total dissolved oxygen, pH and residual chlorine are measured immediately in the field using field portable instruments by trained and qualified FGL Environmental personnel.

Parameter	Container	Preservative	Analytical	Frequency
			Method	requency
Residual	Not	Not	SM 4500G	Weekly when
Chlorine	Applicable	Applicable	(field measurement)	chlorinating
pH	Not	Not	EPA 150.1	Weekly when
	Applicable	Applicable	(field measurement)	discharging
CAM	500 ml	HNO <sub>3</sub>	EPA 6010 or EPA 200.8 (ICPMS) and	Annually
Metals	plastic		EPA 1640(ICPMS)	
			and for mercury: EPA 7470, EPA 245.1,	
			or EPA 245.7m	
Chronic	5L Plastic	None	Short Term Methods for Measuring	Annually
Toxicity			Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and	5
			Receiving Waters to West Coast Marine	
			Organisms (EPA/R-95/136)	
Ammonia	500 ml	$H_2SO_4$	EPA 350.1 or EPA 4500NH3H	Annually
	plastic			

#### Table 1: Discharge 001A

Temperature readings are taken in the outfall canal approximately 60 feet down stream of the concrete discharge headwork by submersible data loggers set to collect data every 5 minutes.

Back-up temperature readings are also taken immediately in front of the discharge headwork by RTD temperature sensors with wireless transmitters also set to collect data every 5 minutes.

#### **DISCHARGE 001B**

Screen wash flow is estimated from scheduled daily operation cycles.

#### **DISCHARGE 001C**

Brine discharge from the vapor compression evaporator is estimated by subtracting the volume of product produced from the volume of feed water supplied to the evaporator. The effluent stream is composed of both evaporator brine and overflow sea water from the feed water stilling tank. Grab samples of evaporator brine are collected in both 1 liter glass bottles containing HCl preservative and 500 ml plastic bottles for analysis of oil & grease and total suspended solids respectively. The samples are transported to FGL Environmental and/or Abalone Coast Bacteriology under chain-of-custody and analyzed within applicable holding times. Concurrent evaporator make-up (influent) samples are collected to assess influent loading.

#### Table 2: Discharge 001C

Parameter	Container	Preservative	Analytical	Frequency
			Method	
Total Suspended Solids	250-500 ml plastic	None	EPA 160.2 or SM 2540D	Weekly when discharging
Oil & Grease	1 L glass	H2SO4	EPA 1664	Weekly when discharging

#### **DISCHARGE 001D**

Discharge 001D, cooling water flow to the thermal compression evaporators, is no longer in use. The thermal compression evaporators have been replaced with an evaporator that does not require cooling water. Accordingly, the attached influent and effluent monitoring report does not include data for discharge 001D.

#### DISCHARGE 001E

On October 23, 2009, at their regularly scheduled hearing, the RWQCB rescinded WDR R3-2004-105, which required management of the surface impoundments as an industrial discharge. Furthermore, in a letter dated May 8, 2009 from the RWQCB Executive Officer, MRP 95-28 was revised to no longer require the collection of impoundment discharge samples, and allowed that any future discharge analysis be conducted with the annual storm water discharge sampling events pursuant to the General Industrial Storm Water Permit and the facility Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). Therefore, previously required sampling and analysis of pond discharges for oil & grease, pH, total suspended solids, and CAM metals are no longer conducted and all discharges are now directed to the facility storm water conveyance system.

#### **DISCHARGE 001F**

Flow from the oil-water separator system is estimated from daily integrator readings. Grab samples of the system effluent are collected for total suspended solids and oil & grease analysis from a sample tap on the discharge header using the containers and preservatives shown in Table 4. The samples are submitted under chain-of-custody to FGL Environmental and/or Abalone Coast Bacteriology for chemical analysis.

Table 3: Discharge 001F

Parameter	Container	Preservative	Analytical	NPDES WDR Limit
			Method	
Total Suspended	250-500 ml	None	EPA 160.2 or	Weekly when
Solids	plastic		SM 2540D	discharging
Oil & Grease	1 L glass	H2SO4	EPA 1664	Weekly when
				discharging

## PART 2

## 2010 DISCHARGE TABULAR SUMMARY

								DISCHAR	GE SELF N	MONITORING	G REPORT														
CALIFORNIA REGI CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL COAST 895 AEROVISTA PI SAN LUIS OBISPO	D REGION PLACE, SUITE		4												MO . 129				) 1						
	FACILITY 3 4020030					BEGINNII YEAR/MC 10/01/01						ENDING YEAR/MO 10/12/31	УDAY			ST. CODE 06	5			NPDES PERMIT # CA0003743					
STATION ANALYSIS UNITS SMPL TYPE FREQ	DISCH 001 FLOW MGD RECORDE DAILY			INTAKE TEMPERA DEGREES  DAILY			DISCH 00 <sup>-</sup> TEMPERA DEGREES  DAILY	TURE		INTAKE TEMPERA DEGREES 	SF		TEMPERA DEGREES	DISCH 001 DISCH 001 TEMPERATURE RES CHLOR DEGREES F MG/L GRAB @HEAT TRMT WEEKLY					INTAKES PH PH UNITS GRAB WKLY@C	S		DISCH 001 PH PH UNITS GRAB WKLY@CHLOR			
	AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	LO	AVG	Н	LO	AVG	н	LO	AVG	ні	. LO	AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	LO	
JAN	3.6	18.8	2.0	56.0 56.2	57.2	54.5	56.4	57.2	54.9 55.5	no	heat	trmt	no	heat	trmt	no	chlorination		no	chlorination		no	chlorination		
MAR	4.9	22.0	2.0	54.4	57.0	52.8	54.9	57.1	53.6	no	heat	trmt	no	heat	trmt	no	chlorination		no	chlorination		no	chlorination		
APR	3.1	10.4	2.0	54.7	56.9	53.4	54.8	56.8	53.6	no	heat	trmt	no	heat	trmt	no	chlorination		no	chlorination	I.	no	chlorination	·	
MAY JUN	4.3	24.1 17.8	2.0	53.9 55.4	55.4	52.2 54.2	54.3	56.3 57.3	51.7	no no	heat	trmt	no	heat heat	trmt	no	chlorination		no	chlorination		no	chlorination		
JUL	71.9	405.2	2.0	57.0	59.0	55.9	58.9	69.0	55.0	no	heat	trmt	no	heat	trmt	no	chlorination		no	chlorination		no	chlorination		
AUG	63.8	405.2	2.0	57.2	58.8	55.5	59.2	72.3	55.0	no	heat	trmt	no	heat	trmt	no	chlorination		no	chlorination		no	chlorination		
SEP OCT	68.0 20.0	405.2 191.1	2.0 2.0	56.7 57.2	57.9 58.7	55.4 55.9	58.4 57.6	66.5 63.5	54.8 55.8	no no	heat heat	trmt trmt	no	heat heat	trmt trmt	no	chlorination chlorination		no	chlorination chlorination		no	chlorination chlorination		
NOV	6.0	56.9	2.0	55.0	57.2	52.0	55.5	57.9	52.5	no	heat	trmt	no	heat	trmt		chlorination		no	chlorination		no	chlorination		
DEC	4.0	19.5	2.0	54.1	55.9	51.5	54.2	55.5	52.1	no	heat	trmt	no	heat	trmt	no	chlorination		no	chlorination		no	chlorination no		
YEARLY	21.5	405.2	2.0	55.7	59.0	51.5	56.3	72.3	51.7	NO	HEAT	TRMT	NO	HEAT	TRMT		chlorination			chlorination			chlorination		
TIMES EXCEEDED TIMES EXCEEDED TIMES EXCEEDED	1	MAX: 725 = 0						MAX: TAKE + 30 =	÷ 0				1	MAX: ITAKE + 35 =	≂ 0		,		-	· · · · ·		рН < 7.0 = pH >8.3 = ( pH Diff. <0.2	0		

REMARKS:

(1) Flow data in March and November were normalized to 24 hour period to reflect changes due to Daylight Savings Time (2) ND = "Not Detected" at or above specified laboratory reporting limit (ex. <0.01).</p>

(2) ND = Not Detected a for above opposited above opposited in potential,
 (3) No chlorination occurred in 2010.

\_\_\_\_\_

PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER	
STEVEN C. GOSCHKE	

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED AGENT	DATE
Atum CArch	1-26-2011

0

								DISCHAR	GE SELF N	IONITORING	G REPORT												
CALIFORNIA REGI CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL COAST 1 895 AEROVISTA PL	REGION													MC 129	IEGY MORR RRO BAY PO 0 EMBARCA RRO BAY, C	DWER PLAN DERO							
SAN LUIS OBISPO,	CA 93401																PAGE (A)	2					
Q2	FACILITY I 3 40200300					BEGINNII YEAR/MC 10/01/01						ENDING YEAR/MC 10/12/31	D/DAY		ST. CODE 06			NPDES PERMIT # CA0003743					
STATION ANALYSIS UNITS SMPL TYPE FREQ	DISCH 001 FLOW 1000 GPD ESTIMATE DAILY			DISCHG 0 FLOW 1000 GPD ESTIMATE DAILY			DISCH 00 T. SUS SC MG/L GRAB WEEKLY			DISCHG 001C OIL & GREASE MG/L GRAB WEEKLY					DISCHG 00 FLOW 1000 GPD ESTIMATE DAILY			DISCHG C T SUS SO MG/L GRAB @ DISCH	DLIDS				
	AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	LO			AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	LO	<u> </u>		
JAN	1200	1200	1200	0.0	0.0	0.0		no discharge			no discharge					no discharge			no discharge				
FEB	1200	1200	1200	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	no discharge			no discharge					no discharge			no discharge				
MAR	1200	1200	1200	0.0	0.0	0.0		no discharge			no discharge					no discharge			no discharge				
APR	1200	1200	1200	0.0	0.0	0.0		no discharge			no discharge					no discharge		-	no discharge				
MAY	1200	1200	1200	18.7	266.8	0.0	13.0	13.0 no	13.0	ND (<5)	ND (<5) no	ND (<5)				no discharge no			no discharge no				
JUN	1200	1200	1200	0.0	0.0	0.0		discharge			discharge					discharge			discharge			<u> </u>	
JUL	1200	1200	1200	26.5	284.1	0.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	ND (<3)	ND (<3)	ND (<3)				no discharge			no discharge		ļ		
AUG	1200	1200	1200	17.6	281.1	0.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	ND (<5)	ND (<5)	ND (<5)				no discharge			no discharge				
SEP	1200	1200	1200	20.7	250.4	0.0	23.5	31.0	16.0	ND (<5)	ND (<5)	ND (<5)				no discharge			no discharge		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
ост	1200	1200	1200	0.0	0.0	0.0		no discharge			no discharge					no discharge			no discharge			· ·	
NOV	1200	1200	1200	0.0	0.0	0.0		no discharge			no discharge					no discharge			no discharge		<u> </u>		
DEC	1200	1200	1200	15.3	126.8	0.0	5.5	9.0	2.0	4.5	8.9	ND (<3)				no discharge			no discharge			<u> </u>	
YEARLY	1200	1200	1200	8.2	284.1	0.0	17.8	36.0	2.0	0.9	8.9	ND (<3)				no discharge			no discharge				
TIMES EXCEEDED TIMES EXCEEDED TIMES EXCEEDED							30-D AV 30 D MAX 100=			30-D AV 15=0 D MAX 20=0								30-D AV 30 D MAX 100					I

REMARKS: (1) ND = "Not Detected" at or above specified laboratory reporting limit (ex. <0.01).

PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER

STEVEN C. GOSCHKE

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED AGENT	DATE
Aturn C Auschly	11/01/26

								DISCHAR	GE SELF N	MONITORING	REPORT										_	_		
CALIFORNIA REG CONTROL BOARE CENTRAL COAST 895 AEROVISTA P SAN LUIS OBISPO	) REGION PLACE, SUITE			DYNEGY MORRO BAY, LLC. MORRO BAY POWER PLANT 1290 EMBARCADERO MORRO BAY, CA 93442 PAGE (A) 3																				
Q2	FACILITY 3 4020030					BEGINNIN YEAR/MO 10/01/01						ENDING YEAR/MO 10/12/31	D/DAY			ST. CODE 06	1		NPDES PI CA000374					
STATION ANALYSIS UNITS SMPL TYPE FREQ	DISCH 00 OIL & GRE MG/L GRAB WEEKLY			DISCHG C COPPER MG/L GRAB @CHMW			DISCHG 0 IRON MG/L GRAB @CHMW8			DISCHG 00 FLOW 1000 GPD ESTIMATE DAILY				GRAB GRAB					INTAKES PH PH UNITS GRAB @CHMWS			DISCH 001 PH PH UNITS GRAB @CHMWST DIS		
	AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	LO	AVG	HI	· LO	AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	LO
JAN FEB	<u> </u>	no discharge no discharge no			no discharge no discharge no			no discharge no discharge no		8.9	25.5	3.4	ND (<5)	ND (<5) 6.0	ND (<5)	ND (<5) ND (<5)	ND (<5) ND (<5)	ND (<5) ND (<5)		no discharge no discharge no			no discharge no discharge no	
MAR APR MAY		discharge no discharge no discharge			discharge no discharge no discharge			discharge no discharge no discharge		4.5 5.7 5.0	9.6 34.5 18.9	2.1 2.8 2.0	6.2 1.5 4.5	31.0 6.0 9.0	ND (<5) ND (<5) ND (<5)	2.4 ND (<5) ND (<5)	12.0 ND (<5) ND (<5)	ND (<5) ND (<5) ND (<5)		discharge no discharge no discharge			discharge no discharge no discharge	
JUN		no discharge no discharge			no discharge no discharge			no discharge no discharge		5.8 9.8	49.7 59.7	1.6	0.8	4.0 13.0	ND (<5)	1.3 ND (<3)	6.5 ND (<3)	ND (<5)		no discharge no discharge			no discharge no discharge	
AUG		no discharge no discharge			no discharge no discharge			no discharge no discharge		9.2	30.1	2.8	10.6	16.0	5.0	3.6	18.0 3.0	ND (<3)		no discharge no discharge			no discharge no discharge	
		no discharge no		<u> </u>	no discharge no			no discharge no		5.5	16.8	2.0	1.8	5.0	ND (<1)	0.8 ND (<3)	ND (<3)	ND (<3)		no discharge no			no discharge no	
		discharge no discharge no			discharge no discharge no			discharge no discharge no		4.9 10.6	12.6 47.0	2.0 2.7	3.4 2.8	5.0 6.0	1.0 1.0	ND (<5) 2.2	ND (<5) 8.8	ND (<5) ND (<5)	5) no no discharge discharg			no discharge no		
YEARLY		discharge			discharge			discharge		7.1	59.7	0.9	3.5	31.0	ND (<1)	0.9	18.0	ND (<3)		no discharge			discharge	
TIMES EXCEEDED TIMES EXCEEDED TIMES EXCEEDED				30-D AVG 1 D MAX 1=0			30-D AV 1=0 D MAX1=0	0					30-D AV 30= D MAX 100=			30-D AV 15= D MAX 20=0								

REMARKS: (1) ND = "Not Detected" at or above specified laboratory reporting limit (ex. <0.01).

PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER	
STEVEN C. GOSCHKE	

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED AGENT	DATE
Alun CHORMY	11/01/26

								DISCHAR	GE SELF N	IONITORIN	G REPORT													
CALIFORNIA REGI CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL COAST I 895 AEROVISTA PL SAN LUIS OBISPO,	REGION _ACE, SUITE		Y												MC 129	NEGY MORF DRRO BAY F 00 EMBARC, DRRO BAY, (	OWER PLA		4					
Q2	FACILITY 3 4020030					BEGINNI YEAR/MC 10/01/01						ENDING YEAR/MC 10/12/31	/DAY		ST. CODE NPDES PERMIT # . 06 CA0003743									
STATION ANALYSIS UNITS SMPL TYPE FREQ	DISCH 00 ARSENIC MG/L GRAB ANNUALL	Y		DISCH 00 CADMIUN MG/L GRAB ANNUALL	1 .Y		DISCH 00 LEAD MG/L GRAB ANNUALL	Y	1	DISCH 00 SILVER MG/L GRAB ANNUALL	Y		DISCH 00 HEX CHR MG/L GRAB ANNUALL	ом <u>Y</u>		DISCH 00 SELENIUI MG/L GRAB ANNUALL	и Ү	1	DISCH 00 MERCUR MG/L GRAB ANNUALL	Y _Y	T	DISCH 00 PCB'S MG/L GRAB ANNUALL	Y	1
	AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	LO	AVG	Н	LO	AVG	Н	LO	AVG	н	LO	AVG	<u>  н</u>	LO	AVG	HI	LO	AVG	н	LO
JAN																								
FEB															ļ	ļ			_					
MAR																								
APR																								
MAY																						-		
JUN																ļ								
JUL												-												
AUG																								
SEP	0.00153	0.00153	0.00153	0.00007	0.00007	0.00007	0.00011	0.00011	0.00011	0.00022	0.00022	0.00022	0.00249	0.00249	0.00249	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ост																ļ								
NOV																								
DEC																								
YEARLY	0.00153	0.00153	0.00153	0.00007	0.00007	0.00007	0.00011	0.00011	0.00011	ND <0.00004	ND <0.00004	ND <0.00004	0.00249	0.00249	0.00249	ND <0.000015	ND <0.000015	ND <0.000015	ND <0.00002	ND <0.00002	ND <0.00002	ND <0.0005	ND <0.0005	ND <0.0005
TIMES EXCEEDED TIMES EXCEEDED	6-M MED 0 D MAX 0.33 I MAX 0.88=	<b>=</b> 0		6-M MED 0 D MAX 0.05 I MAX 0.11:	5=0		6-M MED 0. D MAX 0.09 I MAX 0.23=	=0		6-M MED 0 D MAX 0.03 I MAX 0.078	03=0		6-M MED 0 D MAX 0.09 I MAX 0.23=	9=0		6-M MED 0 D MAX 0.68 I MAX 1.71=	=0		6-M MED 0 D MAX 0.00 I MAX 0.046	018=0				

REMARKS: (1) ND = "Not Detected" at or above the laboratory reporting limit specified in parenthasis "()".

(2) Though analyzed by both EPA 3010/2008 and EPA 1640, reporting limit for silver presented above is based on EPA 1640 analysis. Laboratory reported matrix interference problems using EPA 3010/2008 and therefore reported elevated reporting limits above six-month discharge limit for silver.

PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER	SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED AGENT	DATE
STEVEN C. GOSCHKE	Aturn C Aucht	11/01/26

								DISCHAR	RGE SELF N	IONITORIN	G REPORT													
CALIFORNIA REG CONTROL BOARE CENTRAL COAST 895 AEROVISTA P SAN LUIS OBISPC	D REGION PLACE, SUITI		Y			BEGINNI	NG					ENDING			129	NEGY MORF PRRO BAY P 10 EMBARC/ PRRO BAY, (	OWER PLA		5					
Q2	FACILITY 3 4020030					YEAR/MO 10/01/01	D/DAY					YEAR/MC 10/12/31	D/DAY			ST. CODE 06	E		NPDES P CA000374					
STATION ANALYSIS UNITS SMPL TYPE FREQ	DISS OXY MG/L GRAB					DISCH 001 DISCH 001 COPPER NICKEL MG/L MG/L GRAB GRAB ANNUALLY ANNUALLY				DISCH 001 DISCH 001 ZINC AMMONIA (N) MG/L MG/L GRAB GRAB ANNUALLY ANNUALLY							DISCH 001 CHRON TOX TUC GRAB ANNUALLY							
	AVG	н	LO		1	1	AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	LO	AVG	н	. TO	AVG	н	LO	<u> </u>		I	AVG	н	LO
JAN																								
MAR	9.6	9.6	9.6																					
APR																								
MAY	8.8	8.8	8.8																					
JUL	11.4	11.4	11.4												•									
AUG	-																							
SEP							0.00175	0.00175	0.00175	0.00147	0.00147	0.00147	0.01110	0.01110	0.01110	ND	ND	ND				1.0	1.0	1.0
NOV	9.1	9.1	9.1															,						
DEC																								
YEARLY	9.7	11.4	8.8				0.00175	0.00175	0.00175	0.00147	0.00147	0.00147	0.01110	0.01110	0.01110	ND <0.2	ND <0.2	ND <0.2				1.0	1.0	1.0
TIMES EXCEEDED TIMES EXCEEDED TIMES EXCEEDED	MIN <5 = (	)					6-M MED 0 D MAX 0.12 I MAX 0.32=	!=0		6-M MED 0 D MAX 0.23 I MAX 0.57=	3=0		6-M MED 0 D MAX 0.83 I MAX 2.20=	3=0		6-M MED 6. D MAX 27.3 I MAX 68.40	6=0			l		D MAX 11.4	=0	

REMARKS: (1) ND = "Not Detected" at or above specified laboratory reporting limit (ex. <0.01).

(2) Copper anzived by CRG Environmental Laboratories (ELAP Certified) using EPA method 1640 (ICP-MS-Chelation Preconcentration) to address known matrix interference due to high sodium (Na) levels in sea water.

PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER	
STEVEN C. GOSCHKE	

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED AGENT		DATE	
Alwin C Lorchy	<i>u</i>	01/	26

## PART 3

## 2010 DISCHARGE TREND CHARTS









\* No chlorination occurred during 2010. Biofouling system out of service.



\* No chlorination occurred during 2010. Biofouling system out of service.



\* No chlorination occurred during 2010. Biofouling system out of service.



















## PART 4

## CERTIFICATION FOR OCEAN PLAN CONSTITUENT MONITORING

### **Ocean Plan Constituent Monitoring**

The Monitoring and Reporting provisions for Morro Bay Power Plant's NPDES permit require annual sampling for a long list of pesticides and other organic pollutants. The permit also states:

"In lieu of sampling for these constituents, the Discharger may submit certification that such constituents are not added to the waste stream, and that no change has occurred from activities that could cause such constituents to be present in the waste stream. Such election does not relieve the Discharger from the requirement to meet the limitations set forth in the permit."

A list of the required constituents from the permit is shown in the following two pages. Constituents for which this provision applies are marked with the superscript 6.

Morro Bay certifies that none of these constituents are added to the waste stream, and that no change has occurred from activities that could cause such constituents to be present in the waste stream.

### CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL COAST REGION

## MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM NO 95-28

### **REVISED OCTOBER 15, 2004**

#### FOR DUKE ENERGY MORRO BAY, LLC MORRO BAY POWER PLANT SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY

### Influent and Effluent Monitoring

Representative samples of each waste stream discharged to the Pacific Ocean shall be collected and analyzed in accordance with the following schedule:

)

)

<u>Constituent</u> Flow Flow	<mark>Units</mark> MGD gpd	Discharge 001A 001B, C, D, E and F	Sample <u>Type</u> Pump Operating Data Estimated	Frequency Daily Daily when discharging
Temperature Total Residual Chlorine pH	°F mg∕l ∙ . 	001 & intakes 001 001 & intakes <sup>1</sup>	Grab Grab Grab	Daily & during heat treatment Weekly when chlorinating Once during discharge of
Dissolved Oxygen Suspended Solids <sup>2</sup> Oil and Grease Copper	mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l	001 001C, E & F 001C, E & F 001E	Grab Grab <sup>3</sup> Grab <sup>3</sup> Grab <sup>3</sup>	chemical cleaning & weekly when chlorinating Quarterly Weekly when discharging " " Once during each discharge of
Iron Copper Nickel Zinc Ammonia (as N) Chronic Toxicity <sup>4</sup> Arsenic Cadmium Chromium (III) <sup>5</sup> Chromium (Hex) <sup>5</sup> Lead Mercury Selenium Silver	mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l TUc mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l	001E 001 001 001 001 001 001 001 001 001	Grab Grab Grab Grab Grab Grab Grab Grab	chemical metal cleaning waste " Annually " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "
<sup>6</sup> Phenolic Compounds (non-chlorinated) <sup>6</sup> Chlorinated Phenolics <sup>6</sup> Radioactivity <sup>6</sup> Acrolein	mg/l mg/l pci/l mg/l	001 001 001 001 001	Grab Grab Grab Grab Grab	и и и и и и и и
<sup>6</sup> Antimony <sup>6</sup> Bis(2-chloroethoxy) Methane	mg/l mg/l	001 001	Grab Grab	и и И И

### Revised M & R Program No. 95-28

)

-2-

#### October 15, 2004

. –			-		October 15	, 2004
<sup>6</sup> Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) Ether	mg/l	001	Grab	11	"	
°Chlorobenzene	mg/l	001	Grab	н		
<sup>6</sup> Di-n-butyl Phthalate	mg/l	001	Grab	"	"	
<sup>°</sup> Dichlorobenzenes	mg/l	001	Grab			
<sup>6</sup> 1,1-dichloroethylene	mg/l	001	Grab			
Diethyl Phthalate	mg/l	001	Grab	11		
<sup>°</sup> Dimethyl Phthalate	mg/l	001	Grab	11		
<sup>6</sup> 4,6-dinitro-2-methylphenol	mg/l	001	Grab			
<sup>°</sup> 2,4-dinitrophenol	mg/l	001	Grab		łī	
Ethylbenzene	mg/l	001	Grab	17	11	
Fluoranthene	mg/l	001	Grab	17	**	
<sup>6</sup> Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	mg/l	001	Grab	n .	u	
Isophorone	g/l	001	Grab	"		
Nitrobenzene	mg/l	001	Grab	. 17		
<sup>6</sup> Thallium	mg/l	001	Grab			
Toluene	g/Ĩ	001	Grab	**	"	
<sup>6</sup> 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/l	001	Grab	17	n	
Tributyltin	μg/l	001	Grab	. 11		
<sup>6</sup> 1,1,1-trichloroethane	g/l	001	Grab	.,	"	
1,1,2-trichloroethane	mg/l	001	Grab	**		
Acrylonitrile	μg/l	001	Grab	11		
Benzene	mg/l	001	Grab	"	"	
<sup>6</sup> Benzidine	ng/l	001	Grab		"	
<sup>6</sup> Beryllium	μg/l	001	Grab	IF.		
<sup>6</sup> Bis(2-chloroethyl) Ether	μg/l	001	Grab	"	н	
<sup>6</sup> Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	mg/l	001	Grab	11	**	
<sup>6</sup> Carbon tetrachloride	mg/l	001	Grab		17	
<sup>6</sup> 1,4-dichlorbenzene	mg/l	001	Grab	. H	11	
<sup>6</sup> 3,3-dichlorobenzidine	μg/l	001	Grab	н	"	
<sup>6</sup> 1,2-dichloroethane	mg/l	001	Grab	••		
dichloromethane	mg/l	001	Grab	"	**	
<sup>6</sup> 1,3-dichloropropene	mg/l	001	Grab			
<sup>6</sup> 2,4-dinitrotoluene	mg/l	001	Grab	t <del>r</del>	"	
<sup>6</sup> 1,2-diphenylhydrazine	μg/l	001	Grab	11	"	
<sup>6</sup> Halomethanes	mg/l	.001	Grab		и.	
<sup>6</sup> Hexachlorobenzene	ng/l	001	Grab	18	**	
<sup>6</sup> Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/l	001	Grab	"	11	
<sup>6</sup> Hexachloroethane	mg/l	001	Grab	17	11	
<sup>b</sup> N-nitrosodimethylamine	mg/l	001	Grab	н .	**	
<sup>6</sup> N-nitrosodiphenylamine <sup>6</sup> PAHs	mg/l	001	Grab	"	11	
PCBs	µg/l	001	Grab	11	11	
	ng/l	001	Grab	п	**	
<sup>6</sup> TCDD equivalents <sup>6</sup> Tetrachloroethylene	µg/l	001	Grab	*1	**	
<sup>6</sup> Trichloroothul	mg/I	001	Grab	11	и	
<sup>6</sup> Trichloroethylene <sup>6</sup> 2,4,6-trichlorophenol	mg/l	001	Grab		"	
<sup>6</sup> Vinyl Chloride	µg/l	001	Grab	11	"	
	mg/l	001	Grab	11		

\* See Ocean Plan, Appendix I, Definition of Terms.

<sup>1</sup>Intake samples, when required, shall be coordinated so as to sample the same water mass (intake sampling time plus plant and conduit detention time yields discharge sampling time).