

VIA E-Mail: commentletters@waterboards.ca.gov

April 24, 2006

Ms. Tam Doduc, Chair and Members State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 24th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 5/2/04 Item 7 550RP oleadline: 4/24/06 RECEIVED

APR 2006

SWRCB

Executive Offe.

APR 2016

Executive Offe.

Executive Offe.

APR 2016

Executive Offe.

Executive

Re: Comment Letter - May 2, 2006 State Board Hearing- SSORP

Dear Chair Doduc and Board Members:

This letter is to express our continued concerns regarding the current March 24, 2006 draft of the proposed Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements (WDR) for Wastewater Collection System Agencies and the accompanying Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP). We support the goals of the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) to decrease sewer overflows statewide. However, the proposed WDR will impose unprecedented new sewer management, operational and capital improvement programs on our local sewer system.

We are disappointed that the draft WRD has been changed to include an **outright prohibition** of local sewer overflows and that it does not differentiate between preventable and unpreventable overflows. We urge the State Board to include a reasonable affirmative defense provision that would provide our residents with protection against the costs of fines and lawsuits based on sewer overflows that occur even after our collection system is managed, operated and maintained according to the new state standards.

The proposed WDR process will present funding and logistical issues for our community, especially considering that the regulations will become effective after the adoption of our FY2005-07. We do not agree with the State Board's estimate that the increase in local sewer fees will be limited to \$72.00 annually for our residents. Currently the City of Monrovia's annual sewer assessment fee per household is \$48.24. At the projected annual cost per household of \$72, this represents an increase of 49%, a cost that once again we believe is underestimated. Should the State's projected cost prove to be accurate, this still represents a very significant increase to be borne by our citizens particularly those moderate to low income residents. We believe that the fees will be

Comment Letter – May 2, 2006 State Board Hearing- SSORP April 24, 2006 Page 2

substantially higher in order to comply, especially considering the very real threat of fines and litigation resulting from unpreventable spills.

The State must identify a funding source to support these WDR costs, rather than once again requiring cities to enact rate increases to cover the cost for the proposed regulations. The State Board should consider granting sufficient time for cities to address the very real issues of compliance, including preparation of revised master plans, reviewing operational and maintenance changes and the potential of accelerated capital improvement programming. Our City will require additional time to develop these revisions for our public outreach and information program, prior to considering new or increased sewer fees necessary to comply with these new state regulations.

It is important that the State Board assist cities in obtaining grants in order to comply with the new programs, since the new regulations may constitute an unfunded mandate. As you know, Congress is considering the elimination of the State Revolving fund, which would severely limit the financial options for our community in applying for federal sewer system grants. The State Board should be actively seeking sources of funding to assist communities in helping to pay for new planning and capital improvement costs of the new state regulations. Financial assistance is especially critical for the low-income residents of our community.

We urge the State Board to consider granting sufficient time for the cities to become familiar with the new statewide electronic database reporting spills. We understand that this reporting system has not yet been tested, local personnel have not yet been trained and there has been no trouble-shooting, yet we will be held responsible to date certain compliance. We remain concerned that our field staff will be unable to make the required legal and technical judgment call that a sewer spill has reached Waters of the United States or caused a public nuisance, prior to our having to report the spill on the new electronic database. These requirements should be eliminated from the electronic reporting template.

We request that the State Board not adopt the draft WDR without addressing these issues. The proposed WDR will prove to be unfair without providing these basic protections to the state's residents and businesses.

Sincerely,

Scott Ochoa
City Manager

CC:

City Council City Attorney

Director of Public Works