## SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE CIVIL COMPLEX CENTER MINUTE ORDER

Date: 08/28/2008

Time: 11:39:13 AM

Dept: CX104

Judicial Officer Presiding: Judge Thierry Patrick Colaw Clerk: P. Rief

Bailiff/Court Attendant: Allison Hreha

Reporter: None

Case Init. Date: 02/09/2006

Case No: 06CC02974

Case Title: CITIES OF ARCADIA VS STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

Case Category: Civil - Unlimited

Case Type: Judicial Review - Other

Event Type: Chambers Work

Causal Document & Date Filed:

Appearances:

# MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL BY RESPONDENTS STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD AND CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD, LOS ANGELES REGION

There are no appearances by any party.

The Court, having taken the above-entitled matter under submission on August 26, 2008 and having fully considered the arguments of all parties, both written and oral, as well as the evidence presented, now rules as follows:

See attached ruling.

Court orders clerk to give notice.

Date: 08/28/2008 Dept: CX104

### MINUTE ORDER

Page: 1 Calendar No.:

To-NRDC-LA

THE CITIES OF ARCADIA, BELLFLOWER CARSON, CERRITOS, CLAREMONT, COMMERCE, DOWNEY, DUARTE, GARDENA, GLENDORA, HAWAIIAN GARDENS, IRWINDALE, LAWNDALE, MONTEREY PARK, PARAMOUNT, SANTE FE SPRINGS, SIGNAL HILL, VERNON, WALNUT, WEST COVINA, and WHITTIER, municipal corporations, and BUILDING INDUSTRY LEGAL DEFENSE FOUNDATION, a non-profit corporation, Petitioner Plaintiffs

**V**\$.

THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD; and THE CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD, LOS ANGELES REGION, etc., et alia,

Respondent Defendants

ORANGE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. 06CC02974

## NOTICE OF RULING

1. The Court rules on the Motion by Respondents for a New Trial as follows:

A. The Motion for New Trial under C.C.P. § 657 is denied.

(1) The Petitioners' objections to the declarations of Ms. Purdy, Ms. Egoscue, and Ms. Novak are sustained.

1

(2) The Respondents' request for judicial notice is granted for purposes of this motion only.

B. The Court is concerned about whether to leave the challenged Standards in effect during reenactment of the deliberative process of the next scheduled triennial review or a reopened triennial review. Ordinarily, one would expect that a failure to comply with Water Code § 13000/13241 requirements would invalidate Standards that do not comply with the law. The Court is reluctant to so rule now under the circumstances of this case. The Court is concerned about unintended consequences which cannot be predicted and which may result from immediate halting of all implementation, application and/or enforcement of the Standards in the Basin Plan as applied or to be applied to Stormwater, even with the recent modifications made to the Court's Writ on 1 August 2008.

As apply stated in Western Oil and Gas Ass'n v. U.S. EPA (9<sup>th</sup> Cir.1980) 633 F.2d 803, 813, "Our intervention into the process of environmental regulation, a process of great complexity, should be accomplished with as little intrusiveness as feasible."

C. Accordingly, pursuant to C.C.P. § 662 the Court vacates the judgment and writ filed on 2 July 2008 in the interests of justice, and a new judgment will be entered that follows the "remand without vacatur" procedure, i.e. that allows Respondents to use the Standards pending review by Respondents, Intervenors, or Petitioners.

D. Respondents shall prepare an amended judgment and writ consistent with this Order within ten (10) days of this Order. The amended writ should essentially remain in the form of the 2 July 2008 writ, however, the provisions of paragraphs (3) & (4) shall be excised in conformance with this nature and intent of this Order. Appropriate modifications to the judgment at paragraphs 2. (c), (d), and the last sentence of paragraph 3. should be made.

2. The Clerk shall give Notice as soon as possible and in any event before 29 August 2008

#### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

CITY OF ARCADIA, et al.	CASE NUMBER: 06CC02974
Plaintiff(s)	
v. STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD, et al.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL OF MINUTE ORDER, DATED 8-28-08
Defendant(s)	

I, ALAN SLATER, Executive Officer and Clerk of the Superior Court, in and for the County of Orange, State of California, hereby certify; that I am not a party to the within action or proceeding; that on 8-28-08, I served the Minute Order, dated 8-28-08, on each of the parties herein named by depositing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Postal Service mail box at Santa Ana, California addressed as follows:

Richard Montevideo, Esq. Peter J. Howell, Esq. Rutan & Tucker, LLP 611 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400 Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1950 Facsimile 714-546-9035

David S. Beckman, Esq. Michelle Mehta, Esq. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. 1314 Second Street Santa Monica, CA 90401 Facsimile 310-434-2399 Jennifer F. Novak, Esq. Michael W. Hughes, Esq. State of California, Dept. of Justice Office of the Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1204 Facsimile 213-897-2802

Michael J. Levy, Esq. State Water Resources Control Board Office of Chief Counsel 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Facsimile 916-341-5193

ALAN SLATER, Executive Officer and Clork of the Superior Court In and for the County of Orange

P. Rief, Deputy Clerk

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

DATED: 8-28-08

From-

To-NRDC-LA