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62 Voting Agencies

* Agoura Hills
* Alhambra
* Arcadia
* Artesia
* Azusa
* Baldwin Park
* Bell
* Bell Gardens
* Bellflower
* Beverly Hills
* Bradbury
* Burbank
* Calabasas
* Carson
* Claremont
* Commerce
* Covina
* Culver City
* Diamond Bar
* Duarte
* El Monte
* Gardena
* Glendora
* Glendora
* Hawthorne
* Hermosa Beach
* Hidden Hills
* Huntington Park
* Industry
* Inglewood
* La Verne
* Lakewood
* Lawndale
* Los Angeles
* Lynnwood
* Malibu
* Manhattan Beach
* Monrovia
* Montebello
* Monterey Park
* Paramount
* Pasadena
* Pico Rivera
* Pomona
* Redondo Beach
* Rolling Hills
* Rolling Hills Estates
* Rosemead
* San Dimas
* San Gabriel
* San Marino
* Santa Clarita
* Santa Fe Springs
* Santa Monica
* Sierra Madre
* South El Monte
* South Gate
* Torrance
* Vernon
* West Covina
* West Hollywood
* Westlake Village
Concerns w/ Current RWL Language

* Liability for sources outside of our complete control

* 3rd Party Litigation is expensive and diverts limited legal resources

* Ability to prioritize is needed; current language works against TMDL implementation
Support Option #5

- Supports CASQA Proposal
- Iterative process to include:
  - wet & dry weather flows
  - TMDL and non-TMDL pollutants
- Creates a clear compliance plan
- Prioritizes limited local resources to highest water quality concerns
## Option # 5 & CASQA Proposal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protective of Water Quality</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical and Cost Effective</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear and Unambiguous</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforceable</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Efficient</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptability</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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