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COMPONENTS OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT

1. Sufficiently Sensitive Test Methods
2. Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Implementation
3. Compliance Options
SUFFICIENTLY SENSITIVE METHODS RULE

• New federal regulations* require NPDES permits to specify use of standard analytical methods that:

  • Have a minimum level (ML) at or below the water quality criteria or permit limitation for the measured pollutant or parameter
  
  • Have U.S. EPA approval as an alternative to the required standard methods

  • New Rule applies to numeric action levels, TMDL-numeric action levels, and numeric effluent limitations.

* (40 CFR122.21(e)(3) and 122.44(i)(1)(iv))
A Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL):

- A set of requirements that allocate the maximum amount of a pollutant that can be discharged for attainment of water quality standards
- Addresses 303(d) listed impaired water bodies
- Is incorporated into a Regional Water Board Basin Plan through a basin plan amendment
- Must be implemented through a permitting action to be enforceable
EXISTING 2014 INDUSTRIAL GENERAL PERMIT

- Attachment E lists approved TMDLs applicable to industrial dischargers

- 36 TMDLs within the following Regional Water Boards:
  - San Francisco Bay
  - Los Angeles
  - Santa Ana
  - San Diego
EXISTING 2014 INDUSTRIAL GENERAL PERMIT

• **Finding 40**: Regional Water Board staff required to develop TMDL-specific permit requirements for TMDLs listed in Attachment E

  • Regional Boards individually released proposed TMDL-specific permit requirements for public comments (March 2016)

  • State Water Board staff conducted focused stakeholder outreach (2016-2017)

  • Proposed compliance option “alternatives” were developed through stakeholder participation
PROPOSED PERMIT AMENDMENT

• Waste Load Allocations translated to conform with existing General Permit regulatory structure

• Categorical translation of Waste Load Allocations for TMDL compliance:

  1) Compliance with existing General Permit requirements, including numeric action levels

  2) Compliance with more stringent TMDL-specific numeric action levels in addition to compliance with existing General Permit requirements, including numeric action levels

  3) Compliance with TMDL-specific numeric effluent limits in addition to compliance with existing General Permit requirements, including numeric action levels

• Pollutant identification modeled after current General Permit “Pollutant Source Assessment”
BASIS OF TMDL TRANSLATIONS

Compliance with existing General Permit requirements:
1. TMDL does not assign a Waste Load Allocation specific to industrial storm water discharges

Compliance with TMDL-specific Numeric Action Levels:
1. TMDL compliance deadlines are beyond the General Permit’s 5-year term
2. Concentration-based Waste Load Allocations or target with compliance location in the receiving water body (not at the industrial facility property line)
3. Mass-based Waste Load Allocations that are not directly translatable for intermittent storm water discharges

Compliance with Numeric Effluent Limits:
1. Concentration-based Waste Load Allocations specifically assigned to industrial storm water discharges at the point of discharge from the industrial facility
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant Category Translations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMDL Pollutants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator Bacteria (8 TMDLs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals (12 TMDLs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrients (5 TMDLs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxics/Pesticides (6 TMDLs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trash/Debris (2 TMDLs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salts (3 TMDLs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment (3 TMDLs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROPOSED COMPLIANCE OPTIONS

• Two proposed compliance options in Attachment I of the General Permit

  • **On-site compliance**: capture and use of industrial storm water and authorized non-storm water up to and including the daily volume of the 85th percentile 24-hour storm event; or

  • **Off-site compliance**: participation in agreements with municipalities resulting in off-site 85th percentile 24-hour storm event capture BMPs

• Applicable statewide

• Dischargers that successfully implement a compliance option are:

  • Deemed in compliance with discharge prohibitions, effluent limitations, and receiving water limitations, and

  • Exempt from the General Permit requirements listed in Attachment I
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 21, 2017</td>
<td>Public notice issued by Board clerk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 15, 2017</td>
<td>Start of public comment period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 18, 2017</td>
<td>Sacramento staff stakeholder workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 21, 2017</td>
<td>Los Angeles staff stakeholder workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 22, 2017</td>
<td>San Diego staff stakeholder workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 9, 2018</td>
<td>State Water Board Public Hearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 31, 2018</td>
<td>End of public comment period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter 2018</td>
<td>Possible Board consideration for adoption</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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