#### **Bioassessment in the Lahontan Region**



tom suk Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board tsuk@waterboards.ca.gov

#### <u>Outline</u>

- About the Lahontan Region
- Use of bioassessment in various programs



Lessons Learned





#### surface water resources

• 700 + 1akes

 3,170 miles of streams

• 2 ONRWs



# Programs:

• SWAMP • WDRs / 401 • NPS • NPDES • TMDLs • other





### SWAMP bioassessment



#### 6 watershed basins

Truckee Tahoe Carson Walker Mono Upper Owens

#### **IBIs based on:**

- benthic macroinvertebrates
- periphyton

#### **Other SWAMP bioassessment**

- Martis Valley
- Amargosa River
- other coordinated efforts

# "Core Regulatory"

• Grover Hot Springs

Bagley Valley Restoration

# Grover Hot Springs S.P.



• permitted discharge

chlorine
bromine

• Require toxicity tests ?







Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California

> (Phase 1 of the Inland Surface Waters Plan and the Enclosed Bays and Estuaries Plan)

> > 2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD California Environmental Protection Agency Chronic toxicity tests Toxicity effluent limitations

"...for all discharges that will cause, have reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to chronic toxicity in receiving waters."

#### GHSSP ~ \$60,000

### **Hot Springs Creek**

• Bioassessment sampling above/below facility

• UC-SNARL method ~ \$3,000

(paid by GHSSP)



### **Hot Springs Creek**



Total taxa



#### **Conclusion:** Grover Hot Springs

 High biological integrity both upstream and downstream of State Park discharges

- No impairment detected
- Savings of more than \$50,000

### **Lessons Learned:** Grover H.S.

#### • Be creative !!!



# **Bagley Valley Watershed Restoration Project**









### **Bioassessment @ Bagley Valley**



- Pre-project monitoring 1999 and 2000
- Post-project monitoring 2002 and 2003

#### Costs shared for:

- treatment sites
- reference sites





### Lessons Learned: Bagley Valley

- Rapid re-colonization / recovery is possible after stream channel re-construction
- Early establishment of baseline (pre-project) conditions is essential to document success
- Post-project evaluation is work, but worth it.
- Beware of exotic species introductions & budget for follow-up *!!!*





• West Walker River Grazing BMPs

9 treatment streams (NPS) 9 control streams (SWAMP)

small, med, large

phys, chem, bio 1999 - 2002



#### Figure 1c: Percent Riparian Cover Small Sites - 1999 and 2002



#### Increased at most treatment sites:

- riparian cover
- bank stability

Increased at 3 of 4 small Tx streams:

• D<sub>50</sub> particle size

**Decreased at 50%** of small Tx streams:

• percent F+S

#### **Lessons Learned:** NPS

- Grazing impacts depend in part on stream size
- Stream biota did not respond to reduced grazing pressure over short (3-year) term
- Long-term monitoring is needed
- To document short-term responses, need variables such as riparian cover, bank stability, substrate (e.g., percent fines + sand, D50 particle size)



#### • fish hatcheries



Lessons Learned: fish hatcheries

• You can't always trust your mother

(Biota can be impaired when chemical criteria are met.) Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

Heavenly Valley Creek

• Squaw Creek

• Truckee River



Heavenly Valley Creek Sediment TMDL

Narrative target:

"Improving trends in benthic invertebrate community metrics over time, approaching conditions in Hidden Valley Creek"

Squaw Creek Sediment TMDL Development of Biological Water Quality Targets



This map shows the stream reaches surveyed in 2000-2001 (flags) for development of water quality targets using benthic invertebrate bioassessment.

**Reference sites** Lacey Creek Perazzo Cr L. Truckee R (upper) L. Truckee R (middle) **Independence Cr Sagehen Cr** N. Prosser Cr **Prosser Cr Juniper Cr** Cold Cr Martis Cr **Pole Cr Bear Cr General Cr** 

Sed exposure sites: 2 Alder Cr Trout Cr

Squaw Cr sites: 6

#### Linkage to sediment dose

 AGNPS model used to identify sites over a range of potential sediment discharge (for dose-response)

 Model predictions + actual stream survey data



Biotic Index	Taxa Diver- sity	EPT Taxa	% EPT Taxa	Sensi- tive Taxa	Toler -ant Taxa	R-50 Index	Biological Condition Index
3.09 -	47.2 -	20.8 -	36 -	16.8 -	0.4 -	2.6 -	≥ 25
4.22	52.6	24.9	46%	19.9	1.7%	5.9	

Distributed Load	D-50 Size	%F+S
(tons/mile/m)	(mm)	Cover
< 400	> 40	< 25

## **Truckee River TMDL ??**



#### Lessons Learned: TMDLs

Jury is still out, but we believe that bioassessment will prove valuable for:

- Establishing endpoints (DFC, numeric targets)
- Monitoring attainment of numeric targets
- De-listing decisions

# Leviathan Mine













Lessons Learned: Leviathan Mine

Bioassessment has been invaluable in documenting biological recovery of receiving waters

**Every stakeholder has accepted the bioassessment results** 



#### tsuk@waterboards.ca.gov



