Examining Aquatic Indicators in Watershed Condition/Function Assessment

(Beyond IBIs)

Fraser Shilling Department of Environmental Science and Policy University of California, Davis fmshilling@ucdavis.edu

Definitions

- Indicators things we can measure around us that can tell us about components of a natural or human system
- Performance Measure similar to indicators, except often confined to management actions and other intentional human actions
- Index an aggregation of indicators that convey a story about a system

Watershed Assessment

California Watershed Assessment Manual Volumes I and II <u>http://cwam.ucdavis.edu</u>

Volume II: Indicators, Periphyton, Benthic macroinvertebrates, etc.



Management performance

Scale Independent!



Global Effort

- Millenium Ecosystem Assessment
- United Nations sustainability and environmental indicators
- Genuine Progress Index
- USEPA SAB framework
- Government Accounting Office
- Heinz Center: State of the Nation's Ecosystems
- USEPA Index of Watershed Indicators
- Water Quality Index (OR and BC)
- State of Puget Sound
- Chesapeake Bay Habitat Health Report Card
- Etc.....

California Effort

IBIs

Caltrans – valuation of environmental impacts and services

- Water agencies performance in water supply and water quality (DWR, SWRCB?)
- Ecosystem restoration (DFG, CALFED)

Watershed Assessment Framework (DWR, CALFED)



Management performance

USEPA-SAB



Basis for WAF (Governor's Strategy for Watersheds)

Essential Watershed Attributes



Watershed Assessment Framework



Modified SAB Framework



Potential Expression of WAF – Report Card

Category	Indicator Metric		Score	
Landscape Condition	Development	Impervious surface Fragmentation	?	
Biotic Condition	Native fish	Out-migrants Habitat	?	
Social/Economic Condition	Social welfare	Fishability School lunch programs	?	
Hydrology/Geomorphology	Erosion	TSS Bed-load movement	?	
Ecological Processes	Exotic invasion	Extent Rate of spread	?	
Natural Disturbance	Fire	Spread risk Succession/regeneration	?	
Chemical/Physical Properties	Toxics	Metals Pesticides	?	

Statistical Analysis Over Time



Time

Trends Analysis

Comparison Analysis and Aggregation

- Different indicators have different response patterns
- Makes aggregation and comparison among them challenging (but still possible)









Suitability of Indicator/Index

- Different indicators have different response patterns & sensitivity
- Should we use the most sensitive (and potentially noisiest)?
- An index may be less noisy, but also less sensitive to change









Feature vs. Process

- Features are measurable attributes at a particular moment
- Processes are fluxes or changes over time
- Features and patterns can influence processes and vice-versa



Primary productivity		
Erosion		
Nutrient cycling		
Land conversion		
Diversity		



How do we integrate the parts to say something about watershed or waterway "condition"?



Aggregation into Index

Aggregation of dis-similar indicators into an index of condition depends on definition of a goal for doing so, defined scales of analysis, references, and good application of statistics and logic.

Aggregation into Index

Basic Common Steps in Global Literature and Practice

Goals

Categories

Conceptual Model-Based

Re-Scaled Data

Condition, Performance, Health Score

Test and Confirm

Potential Expression of WAF – Report Card

Category	Indicator Metric		Score	
Landscape Condition	Development	Impervious surface Fragmentation	65 <u>+</u> 13	
Biotic Condition	Native fish, BMIs, frogs, algae	Out-migrants Habitat	43 <u>+</u> 22	
Social/Economic Condition	Social welfare	Fishability School lunch programs	84 <u>+</u> 3	
Hydrology/ Geomorphology	Erosion	TSS Bed-load movement	71 <u>+</u> 15	
Ecological Processes	Exotic invasion	Extent Rate of spread	34 <u>+</u> 8	
Natural Disturbance	Fire	Spread risk Succession/regeneration	57 <u>+</u> 31	
Chemical/Physical Properties	Toxics	Metals Pesticides	35 <u>+</u> 16	

Potential Expression of WAF – Report Card

Category	Indica	ator	Metric	Score
Biotic Condition				43 <u>+</u> 22
I	Native fish	Out-migrants Habitat		28 <u>+</u> 13
II	Amphibians	Native/non-native species		19 <u>+</u> 11
III	BMI community structure	Tolerant/into	composition olerant otera richness	46 <u>+</u> 28
IV	Periphyton	Community	composition	37 <u>+</u> 2
V	Habitat structure	Sediment ch woody struc	naracteristics, sture	51 <u>+</u> 19
VI	Trophic conditions			57 <u>+</u> 31

Watershed Biotic-Condition Indicators

BMI

- Weigel, 2003 (organic pollution tolerance, sediment tolerance, species richness, number or percent EPT, Amphipoda, Isopoda, Diptera, and Chironomidae taxa, and percent shredder, scraper, and gatherer)
- Ode et al., 2005 (percent collector-gatherer + collector-filterer individuals, percent noninsect taxa, percent tolerant taxa, Coleoptera richness, predator richness, percent intolerant individuals, and EPT richness)

Periphyton

 Hill et al., 2000 (1) algal genera richness; 2) the relative abundances of diatoms, Cyanobacteria, dominant diatom genus, acidophilic diatoms, eutraphentic diatoms, and motile diatoms; 3) chlorophyll and biomass (ashfree dry mass) standing crops; and 4) alkaline phosphatase activity)

IBIs

These indices may have a place as stand-along indicators of "biotic condition", or they may contain the parts needed to inform the "biotic condition" part of the WAF and similar constructs.

Future

- Development and incorporation of aquatic biota and habitat indicators (demonstrated relevance and sensitivity)
- Development and use of statistical methods to measure change while taking into account seasonality
- Use of contemporary methods in re-scaling of data and multi-objective decision-support
- Incorporation of aquatic indicators into watershed and other environmental score-cards

Contact

Fraser Shilling fmshilling@ucdavis.edu

http://cwam.ucdavis.edu