

Contaminants in Fish from California Lakes and Reservoirs





Background

- Problem
 - lack of statewide information on contaminant impacts on the fishing beneficial use
 - lack of safe eating guidelines
 - especially for lakes
- New SWAMP monitoring began in 2007
- \$750,000 to \$1 million per year
- Significant partnerships and matching funds
- Five-year effort to cover all water body types, beginning with lakes
- Initial focus on sport fish



Lakes Survey

- Questions
 - 1. Condition of California lakes?
 - 2. Candidates for 303(d) listing?
 - 3. Candidates for additional sampling?
- Focus on screening of indicator species
- 2007 2008





Sampling Locations

- 272 lakes sampled
- 50 random
- 222 popular
 - 22 extra in Region 4



Assessment Thresholds

- Fish Contaminant Goals (FCGs)
 - Purely risk-based
 - Useful goals for risk minimization
 or elimination
- Advisory Tissue Levels (ATLs)
 - Take benefits into account
 - For OEHHA use in advisories/safe eating guidelines
- State Board 303(d) Threshold



Klasing and Brodberg, 2008

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/fish/ gtlsv/index.html



Methylmercury: Severity of the Problem

- Based on highest species in each lake
- 21% above 440 ppb
- 35% above 300 ppb
- 42% above 220 ppb
- 55% above 150 ppb
- 68% above 70 ppb



Methylmercury: Spatial Distribution

- Based on highest species at each lake
- Low concentrations in many Sierra Nevada and southern CA lakes
- Not just a northern
 CA problem
- Species distribution has a big influence
- Red lakes a high priority for followup



- Methylmercury: Spatial Distribution
- Standard size largemouth bass: apples vs. apples
- One "clean" lake in northern California
- Seven clean lakes in southern California
- Sources: mining, what else?







PCBs: Severity of the Problem

PCBs: Spatial Distribution

- Based on highest species average at each lake
- Note different scale from mercury
- Elevated concentrations in highly urbanized areas
- Other high lakes scattered in rural areas



Other Contaminants: Severity of the Problem

- Each had <1% above no consumption ATLs
- Dieldrin: 20% above Fish Contaminant Goal (0.46 ppb)
- DDT: 13% above Fish Contaminant Goal (21 ppb)
- Chlordane: 9% above Fish Contaminant Goal (5.6 ppb)
- Selenium: 2% above 3 serving/wk ATL (2500 ppb)



Comparison to National Survey by USEPA (2009)

- 500 lakes
- 2000-2003
- MeHg: 49% of lakes had a predator above
 0.3 ppm (35% of lakes in SWAMP* above 0.3 ppm)
- PCBs: 16.8% of lakes had a predator above 12 ppb (17% of lakes in SWAMP* above 12 ppb)



Stahl et al. 2009. Contaminants in fish tissue from US lakes and reservoirs: a national probabilistic study. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 150: 3-19.

* Data shown for SWAMP are for highest species average in each lake, including predators and bottom-feeders.

Bottom Line: MeHg contamination is average, PCB contamination is below average

Summary Thoughts

- California now has one of the best datasets and has made substantial progress in defining the problem
- Data are proving valuable in setting priorities for developing TMDLs and safe eating guidelines





What's Next?

- Lakes followup
- The coast
- Rivers and streams
- Repeat the cycle
- Aquatic life
- My Water Quality
 - Is It Safe to Eat Fish and Shellfish From Our Waters?



That's all folks!

