

GCCWRA

RUELOF. Etein, Jeff Brown, Terri Hogue, Megan Burke

S. Ca. Coastal Water Research Project &

Fire in California

- Fire is a regular occurrence in California
- Frequency of fires increasing
- Fire alters runoff patterns
 - Higher flows
 - More sediment
 - More nutrients

Little is known about effect of post-fire runoff on water quality



Post-fire Sources of Pollutants

- Gasses, aeriallydeposited particulates
- Fire retardants/fire suppression chemicals
- Sediment
- ASH and partially burned organic matter



Downstream Effects of Fire

- Fires often occur in watersheds with water bodies of concern
 - Impaired waterbodies
 - Sensitive areas
 - Recreational areas
 - Estuaries
 - Ports and harbors
 - Contaminated sediment



Challenges of Data Collection

Unpredictable
 Numerous potential questions
 Fire does not respect jurisdictional boundaries

Need a coordinated regional p

Effects of Post-fire Runoff on Surface Water Quality: Development of a Southern California Regional Monitoring Program with Management Questions and Implementation Recommendations

> Eric D. Stein and Jeff Brown







Southern Californía Coastal Water Research Project

Technical Report 598 - August 2009

SCCWRP Technical Report

Management Questions

- 1. How does post-fire runoff affect contaminant <u>flux</u>?
- 2. What is the <u>effect</u> of post-fire runoff on downstream receiving waters?
- 3. What are the factors that influence how long post fire runoff effects <u>persist</u>?

How Does Fire Affect Flux? Direct effects ast 0 To 12 Hours st 12 To 24 Hours 6 Days Previous To Last 24 Hours t Management Team - Type 1 ent Management Team - Type 2 dent Management Team - Other Fire Use Management Team Dry Canyon One Canyon Anacaba Island 🔮 San Timetao atalina Island San Nicolas Island Agua Hedionda **Indirect Effects** Droctor Valley Little Cedar Cyn © 2007 Europa Technologies Google Image © 2007 DigitalGlobe Image NASA



Sampling Approach

- Continuous flow monitoring
- Pollutograph sampling
- Focus on metals, PAHs, and nutrients



Direct Effects



Fire Produces Higher Runoff and Sediment



Increased Metal Flux (Zinc)



Increased PAH and Nutrient Flux Total PAHs Nitrate + Nitrite 0.20 -16 14 Flux (kg/km²), mean + std error Flux (kg/km²), mean + std error 0.15 -12 10 0.10 -8 6 0.05 -4

2

0

Natural

Post-Fire

0.00

Post-Fire

Urban

Persistence of Effects 2007 Santiago Canyon Fire



Attenuation of Concentrations







2003 Simi/Malibu Fires



Zinc Concentrations in Ballona

Creek



Indirect Effects of Fire on PAHs



Persistence & Recovery



2009 Station Fire Largest Fires in LA County History Over 160,000 acres burned

2009 Station Fire



Benthic Invertebrate Sampling

- Burned sites
 - Upper Los Angeles River
 - 6 sites
 - Previously sampled in 2008 -2009

Sampling June 2010

- Unburned/Control sites
 - Upper San Gabriel River
 - 5 sites
 - Previously sampled in 2005-2008

Persistence & Recovery

2003 Cedar Fire (San Diego)
Collaboration with CDFG
Approximately 60 sites sampled for benthic invertebrates
2003 (pre-fire) - 2009

Conclusions and Next Steps

- Post fire runoff may contribute to increased metals and PAHs
 - Greater than ten-fold increase in mass and concentration in many situations
- Effects appear to be relatively short-live
 - Levels generally return to pre-fire levels within one year
- Indirect effects associated with ashfall can also lead to higher metals and PAHs
- Need additional sampling to better understand biological effects and recovery

QUESTIONS

Eric Stein 714-755-3233 erics@sccwrp.org www.sccwrp.org



2003 Simi Valley Fire



Post Fire Copper Loading



Post Fire Metals Loading



Post-Fire Zinc Loading







Before and after photos of Rancho Bernardo Commur



San Gabriel Dam August 2004 vs. April 2005

Effect of Rainfall

