Developing Biological Objectives for California Streams and Rivers

> Update to CABW November 2010

Karen Larsen Assistant Deputy Director Office of Information Management & Analysis









#### **Overview**

- Define Biological Objectives
- Describe Need for Biological Objectives
- Describe Process & Schedule for Developing Biological Objectives



November 2010

### What are biological objectives?

#### Water Quality Standards

Beneficial Uses Aquatic Life

Water Quality Objectives Biological Objectives

Antidegradation

Implementation 305(b), 303(d), Permitting



November 2010

### Why biological objectives?





**Biological Objectives for California** 

#### Why biological objectives?

**Excess Sand or Fines** 

**Bed Instability** 

# Stressor Extent from Perennial Stream Survey Biologically Impacted Habitat Disturbance Low Vegetation Complexity Low Habitat Complexity

0

Percent Stream Length

60

80

40

SWAMP Swrface Water Ambient Monitoring Program

Biological Objectives for California

20

# Why biological objectives?

# Without biological objectives:

- We cannot assess whether aquatic life beneficial uses are supported.
- We cannot determine whether chemical criteria are sufficient to protect aquatic life.
- Our methods for identifying impaired waterbodies is inconsistent.
- Developing biological targets on a project-by-project basis is expensive.



# **Policy Goals**

- Establish policy for identifying and protecting high quality streams (antidegradation).
- Develop objectives for identifying impaired streams.
- Establish realistic expectations by stream-type.
- Institute policy with statewide consistency AND regional flexibility.





# **Collaboration and Participation**



SWAMP Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program

**Biological Objectives for California** 

#### **Reference Condition**



## **Reference Condition**



#### **Reference Condition**





# **Identify Waterbody Expectations**



development intensity



nor realistic

**Biological Objectives for California** 

# **Identify Waterbody Expectations**



### **Stressor Identification**

- Need to determine site-specific explanations when objectives are not met
- Multiple approaches exist but have not been vetted in California
  - Correlation, Relative risk, Tolerance values, Mechanistic
- Goal is to provide recommendations for future use

SWAMP Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program

November 2010

# Schedule

- Project Kick-off Meeting *March 2010*
- Established Stakeholder Advisory Group
  - Held first meeting *May 2010*
  - Scheduled second meeting *November 2010*
- Established Scientific Advisory Group
  - Held first meeting October 2010
- Establish Regulatory Advisory Group January 2011
- Finalize reference condition, method standardization & information management – March 2011
- Waterbody classification *March 2012*
- Scientific Advisory Group review of Technical Reports June 2012
- Stakeholder Advisory Group review of Technical Reports September 2012
- Final Technical Reports to State Water Board December 2012

SWAMP Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program

November 2010

# Contacts

Karen Larsen, SWRCB klarsen@waterboards.ca.gov (916) 319-9769 Ken Schiff, SCCWRP Lead – Scientific Advisory Group kens@sccwrp.org (714) 755-3202 **Brock Bernstein** Lead – Stakeholder Advisory Group brockbernstein@sbcglobal.net

