# Biological Health of the Malibu Creek Watershed



Katherine M. Pease, PhD Heal the Bay, Santa Monica, CA California Aquatic Bioassessment Workgroup November 19, 2014

#### Heal the Bay's Mission

- Making southern California's coastal waters and watersheds, including Santa Monica Bay, safe, healthy and clean
- Use science, education, community action, and advocacy to achieve our mission





**Downtown Los Angeles** 

Point Dume

Santa Monica

#### Santa Monica Bay

Long Beach

Palos Verdes Peninsula



#### **Stream Team Monitoring Program**

- Started in 1998
- Citizen science program
- Goals to determine and promote the environmental health of the Malibu Creek watershed
  - Collect high quality useable data
  - Monitor stream and water quality conditions
  - Restore stream and riparian habitats
  - Inform local and state-wide policy action related to water and stream quality





### **Stream Team Program**

- Volunteer statistics
  - Since 1998:
    - Over 6,000 volunteers
    - Over 40,000 hrs in watershed from staff and volunteers
  - Currently have over 100 active volunteers
  - In 2013, volunteers donated over 600 hours of time









#### Malibu Creek Watershed Report

- First time that Stream Team data have been comprehensively analyzed
- Evaluates 12 years of data collected by Heal the Bay's Stream Team staff and volunteers
- Assess the habitat, water quality, and biota of the Malibu Creek Watershed



#### Malibu Creek Watershed

- 35 miles west of Los Angeles
- Second largest watershed draining to Santa Monica Bay – 110 mi<sup>2</sup>
- Less than 25% developed





#### **Stream Team Current Projects**

- Water chemistry
  - 6 times/year at 12 sites
- Bioassessment
  - Yearly at 4-12 sites
- Freshwater swimming study
  - Pilot project over summer 2014 at 3 sites





# Water Chemistry Sampling

- Monthly data from 11-19 sites since 1998
  - Fecal Indicator Bacteria (total coliform, E. coli, Enterococcus)
  - Nutrients (nitrate, ammonia, phosphate)
  - pH
  - Dissolved Oxygen
  - Turbidity
  - Conductivity
  - Air & water temperature
  - Algae











#### Data are publically available

- <u>www.streamteam.healthebay.org</u>
- View, graph, download all Stream Team data





#### **Policy Outcomes: Listings**

- Data used to place stream reaches on Clean Water Act section 303(d) list of Impaired Waterbodies for CA
- Submitted data in 2006, 2008, 2010 to Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board



# **Policy Outcomes: Listings**

- Malibu Creek is listed for:
  - Nutrients (algae)
  - Bacteria
  - Benthic macroinvertebrates
  - Fish barriers (dams)
  - Invasive species
  - Scum/foam unnatural
  - Sedimentation/siltation
  - Trash







#### **Bioassessment Monitoring**

- 2000-2006: California Stream Bioassessment Protocol (CSBP) twice a year
- 2008-current: State Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Protocol (SWAMP) once a year
  - Physical habitat
  - Benthic macroinvertebrate sampling



#### **Benthic Macroinvertebrates**



Top row: Pollution Tolerant BMI (left to right); Scud, Midge, Snail, Leech. Bottom row: Sensitive BMI larvae (left to right); Dragonfly, Mayfly, Caddisfly, Stonefly. Photo credit: California Department for Fish and Game, Aquatic Bioassessment Laboratory

### **Bioassessment Monitoring**

- Use benthic macroinvertebrate data to generate Index of Biological Integrity (IBI)
- Southern California Coastal IBI based on 7 metrics; scale from 0-100
- Score of 39 or lower indicates biological impairment

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
81-100	61-80	41-60	21-40	0-20





# 1BI Decreases from Upper to Lower Watershed

Site	Site #	Average IBI	Average Category	Number Samples
Upper Cold Creek	R3	74	Good	16
Mid-Cold Creek	M11	51	Fair	12
Outlet Cold Creek	02	38	Poor	11
Upper Las Virgenes Creek	R9	42	Fair	9
Mid-Las Virgenes Creek 1	M13	19	Very Poor	8
Mid-Las Virgenes Creek 2	M30	21	Poor	1
Outlet Las Virgenes Creek	O5	26	Poor	12
Cheeseboro Creek	R6	51	Fair	7
Triunfo Creek	017	14	Very Poor	10
Medea Creek	07	19	Very Poor	11
Mid-Malibu Creek 1	M12	23	Poor	14
Mid-Malibu Creek 2	M15	23	Poor	14
Outlet Malibu Creek	O1	23	Poor	12
Solstice Creek 1	R14	66	Good	13
Lachusa Creek	R18	54	Fair	12
Arroyo Sequit	R19	63	Good	13



# 1BI Decreases from Upper to Lower Watershed

Site	Site #	Average IBI	Average Category	Number Samples
Upper Cold Creek	R3	74	Good	16
Mid-Cold Creek	M11	51	Fair	12
Outlet Cold Creek	O2	38	Poor	11
Upper Las Virgenes Creek	R9	42	Fair	9
Mid-Las Virgenes Creek 1	M13	19	Very Poor	8
Mid-Las Virgenes Creek 2	M30	21	Poor	1
Outlet Las Virgenes Creek	O5	26	Poor	12
Cheeseboro Creek	R6	51	Fair	7
Triunfo Creek	017	14	Very Poor	10
Medea Creek	07	19	Very Poor	11
Mid-Malibu Creek 1	M12	23	Poor	14
Mid-Malibu Creek 2	M15	23	Poor	14
Outlet Malibu Creek	O1	23	Poor	12
Solstice Creek 1	R14	66	Good	13
Lachusa Creek	R18	54	Fair	12
Arroyo Sequit	R19	63	Good	13





#### IBI scores over time for 5 sites



#### **Developed/Impervious Area**



#### **Developed/Impervious Area**



#### **1BI and % Development**



Independent Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-value	p-value
Model 2				
Log(Developed area)	-15.92	0.90	-17.71	< 0.001
Year	-1.87	1.11	-1.69	0.09
Protocol – reach wide benthos	6.05	9.16	0.66	0.51
Protocol – targeted riffle composite	-3.44	6.03	-0.57	0.57
Season – spring	-2.41	2.84	-0.85	0.40
Season – winter	-4.54	5.72	-0.79	0.43
R² adjusted = 0.68				

#### Best-fit trendline crosses IBI=39 at 8.8% developed area

#### **1BI and % Impervious Area**



Independent Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-value	p-value
Model 1				
Log(Impervious area)	-25.54	1.35	-18.94	< 0.001
Year	-2.03	1.06	-1.91	0.06
Protocol – reach wide benthos	7.26	8.75	0.83	0.41
Protocol – targeted riffle composite	-2.90	5.76	-0.50	0.61
Season – spring	-3.12	2.71	-1.15	0.25
Season – winter	-4.91	5.46	-0.90	0.37
R <sup>2</sup> adjusted = 0.71				

Best-fit trendline crosses IBI=39 at 6.6% impervious area

#### **Conclusions & Future directions**

- Evidence of biological degradation in watershed
  - Advocate for limits on development and imperviousness
  - Promote low impact development (LID)
- Additional stressor assessment
- Examine SWAMP physical habitat variables



# **Policy Outcomes: TMDL**

- Benthic macroinvertebrate TMDL to address biological impairments in Malibu Creek & tributaries
- Submitted Heal the Bay's water chemistry and biological data and report to U.S. EPA
- Data were integral in the TMDL which determined that nutrients and sediment were the cause of biological impairments and set lower limits for both



## Impacts of Invasive New Zealand Mudsnails



Figure 4-4: Map of New Zealand Mudsnail Colonization of the Malibu Creek Watershed

FIGURE 4-4: New Zealand mudshalls (NZMS) were detected at red locations, and were not detected at green locations (surveys through 2008). Monitoring was conducted by Heal the Bay, SMBRC, and UCLA.



# IBI scores are lower post-NZMS invasion



- Data from 12 sites 2000-2013
- Significant difference: T<sub>89</sub>=-2.494, p=0.015

# NZMS impacts on benthic macroinvertebrates



New Zealand mudsnail Abundance

 Mayfly (*Baetis*) abundance is negatively related to NZMS abundance



#### NZMS impacts on diversity



 Benthic macroinvertebrate diversity decreases as NZMS abundance increases



#### NZMS impacts on CF+CG



 Percent CF+CG individuals decreases as NZMS abundance increases

#### **Future Directions**

- Examine response of other taxa and metrics to NZMS abundance/presence
- Include other explanatory factors: water quality, rainfall
- Also examining impacts of invasive crayfish on BMI







## Acknowledgements

- Stream Team volunteers and interns
- Heal the Bay staff
- Partners: National Park Service, State Parks, Mountains Restoration Trust, RCD-SMM, The Bay Foundation
- Jim Harrington
- Funding agencies





