Regional Water Quality Control Board

NORTH COAST REGION (1)

SECTION 303 (d) LIST PROPOSALS

Page left blank intentionally.

Region 1: Albion River Sedimentation/Siltation

Water Body	Albion River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sedimentation-Siltation/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	USEPA has approved a TMDL for this water body-pollutant combination.
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	None.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should not be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a plan to implement the TMDL has not been adopted or approved even though the TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 1: Big River
Sedimentation/Siltation

Water Body	Big River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sedimentation-Siltation/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	USEPA has approved a TMDL for this water body-pollutant combination.
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	None.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should not be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a plan to implement the TMDL has not been adopted or approved even though the TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 1: Big River
Temperature

Water Body	Big River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Temperature/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	MWAT linked to Aquatic Life Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives/Historic Temperature Ranges/Sullivan 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds-Peer Reviewed Literature.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 4 years (96-2000), Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at Site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data show that 29 out of 34 locations exceed the criterion of Sullivan, 2000= 14.8 degrees. But 23 locations had MWAT values exceeded for sub-lethal effects (10 and 20% reduced growth). None of the sites exceeded the 24 degree lethal criteria. 19 locations MWAT values exceeded the MWAT criteria (17 degrees) for sub-lethal effects (10% reduced growth). MWAT values at 4 locations exceeded the available MWAT criteria for sub-lethal effects (20% reduced growth).
Spatial representation	34 Locations over the 200 sq. mile area in the Big River watershed.
Temporal representation	Data was collected over 4 years (96-2000), with at least two years of record at 15 locations.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Unknown.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Streambank modification/destabilization, Removal of riparian vegetation, Habitat modification, Nonpoint sources.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Watch List: Based on a letter sent from the NCRWQCB on January 31, 2002 the RWQCB feels there is insufficient information existing to list. The Maximum Weekly Average Temperature (MWAT) and the Maximum Weekly Maximum Temperature (MWMT) values for the Big River Watershed exceed the criteria values (Sullivan, 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds -Peer Reviewed Literature), that were used to translate the narrative Water Quality Objective for Region 1 for Temperature.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.

Region 1: Big River
Temperature

This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:
1. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage.
2. Beneficial uses apply to the water body.
3. Water quality standard used is applicable.
4. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate.
5. Data are numerical.
6. Other water body- or site-specific information including the effects of season and age of the data were considered.

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 1: Garcia River Sedimentation/Siltation

Water Body	Garcia River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sedimentation-Siltation/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	N/A
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	None.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a TMDL has been developed for the water body-pollutant combination. The TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 1: Gualala River Temperature

Water Body	Gualala River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Temperature/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	Maximum Weekly Average Temperature (MWAT) linked to Aquatic Life Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives/Historic Temperature Ranges/Sullivan 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 6 Years (1994-2000), Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	MWAT values exceeded criteria for sub-lethal effects (10 to 20% reduced growth) in the watershed at all or most locations. Maximum temperatures in one year at 15 locations was higher than 24 Degrees = Lethal.
Spatial representation	62 Locations over the 300 square mile area in the Gualala River Watershed.
Temporal representation	Data collected over 6 Years, with at least two years at 27 locations.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Unknown.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Streambank modification/destabilization, Removal of riparian vegetation, Nonpoint sources.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Watch List: Based on a letter sent from the NCRWQCB on January 31, 2002 the RWQCB feels there is insufficient information existing to list. The Maximum Weekly Average Temperature (MWAT) and the Maximum Weekly Maximum Temperature (MWMT) values for the Gualala River Watershed exceed the criteria values (Sullivan, 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds -Peer Reviewed Literature), that were used to translate the narrative Water Quality Objective for Region 1 for Temperature.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: 1. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 2. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 3. Water quality standard used is applicable.

Region 1: Gualala River
Temperature

4. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate.
5. Data are numerical.
6. Other water body- or site-specific information including the effects of season and age of the data were considered.

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 1: Gualala River Sedimentation/Siltation

Water Body	Gualala River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sedimentation-Siltation/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	USEPA has approved a TMDL for this water body-pollutant combination.
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	None.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should not be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a plan to implement the TMDL has not been adopted or approved even though the TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 1: Jacoby Creek Sediment

Water Body	Jacoby Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sediment/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight and a QA Plan was submitted as a reference.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	Turbidity linked to Aquatic Life Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan Water Quality objectives for Sediment, settable material and turbidity. Published Sedimentation Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 10 Years (1992-2002). Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at Site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Turbidity levels throughout the watershed from 1992- 2002, are recorded at levels detrimental to salmonids. Up to 1.6 feet of aggradation from 1992 to 2002 based on cross section surveys.
Spatial representation	Targeted Sites, 10 along the creek.
Temporal representation	Data collected over 10 years in 1992- 2002.
Data type	Numerical Data.
Use of standard method	Protocol/QAPP developed by Salmon Forever using EPA and USGS standard methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Silviculture, Road construction, Land development, Nonpoint source, Natural sources.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality

standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. Based on the review of available information the Beneficial Uses of Jacoby Creek are impacted due to sedimentation. The data have exceeded the criteria (Published Sedimentation Thresholds-Peer Reviewed Literature), used to translate the narrative Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives for sediment.

Region 1: Laguna de Santa Rosa
Sediment

Water Body	Laguna de Santa Rosa
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sediment/Water/Cold Freshwater Habitat; Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development; Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species.
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	
Water Body-specific Information	The Russian River watershed was listed for Sedimentation/Siltation in 1998. This listing applies to Santa Rosa Creek. Estimated TMDL Completion Date is 2011.
Data used to assess water quality	
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Maintain listing.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Maintain listing.

Region 1: Laguna de Santa Rosa
Temperature

Water Body	Laguna de Santa Rosa
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Temperature/Water/Cold Freshwater Habitat; Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development; Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	MWAT linked to Aquatic Life Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives/Historic Temperature Ranges/Sullivan 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 5 years (1997-2001), Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at site , Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	All 26 locations had MWAT values exceeding the (Sullivan 2000) criteria of 14.8 and 17 Degrees, used to translate the narrative WQO for temperature.
Spatial representation	26 Site locations in the Russian River Watershed.
Temporal representation	More than one season for 5 years.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Flow regulation/modification, Removal of riparian vegetation, Habitat Modification, Nonpoint Sources.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Based on a letter sent from the NCRWQCB on January 31, 2002 the RWQCB feels there is sufficient information and recommends to list the Russian River watershed. This listing includes the Laguna de Santa Rosa. The Maximum Weekly Average Temperature (MWAT) and the Maximum Weekly Maximum Temperature (MWMT) values for the Russian River Watershed exceed the criteria values (Sullivan, 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature) that were used to translate the narrative Water Quality Objective for Region 1 for Temperature.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Based on a letter sent from the NCRWQCB on January 31, 2002 the SWQCB feels there is sufficient information and recommends to list the Russian River watershed. This listing includes the Laguna de Santa Rosa. The Maximum Weekly Average Temperature (MWAT) and the Maximum Weekly Maximum Temperature (MWMT) values for the Russian River Watershed exceed the criteria values (Sullivan, 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature) that were used to translate the narrative Water Quality Objective for Region 1 for Temperature.

Region 1: Laguna de Santa Rosa
Nutrients

Water Body	Laguna de Santa Rosa
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Nutrients/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	Nitrogen and Phosphorus linked to Aquatic Life Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	The RWQCB initially used a USEPA goal for phosphorus to interpret the data. The use of the phosphorus goal does not address the conditions present in the Laguna de Santa Rosa. There is significant disagreement over phosphorus limitation in the Laguna. The response of water bodies to nutrient enrichment differ among water bodies and one applicable nutrient objective is not available. USEPA and the state are in the process of developing nutrient objectives for the bioregions of California.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 5-6 Years (1995-2001), Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at Site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Even though there are 10 water chemistry samples, there is no applicable guideline that can be used to interpret the narrative standard. Even though a phosphorus goal is not applicable in this specific situation, it is clear that the Laguna de Santa Rosa does not meet standards for low dissolved oxygen. It is also clear that nutrient concentrations are a probable cause of the low oxygen concentrations. New monitoring should be completed that identifies the contribution of nutrients and their relationship to the observed low oxygen concentrations.
Spatial representation	Targeted Sites, 10 along the creek.
Temporal representation	Data collected over 4 seasons.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	USEPA Standards, and Standard Methods for examination of Wastewater and Water.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Point source, Nonpoint source, Internal nutrient cycling.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the Monitoring List. The Desired Goal used to determine the nutrients listing, does not take into consideration the nutrient cycling or site-specific conditions taking place in the Laguna de Santa Rosa. Placement on the Monitoring List will allow the RWQCB to better define and understand which pollutant contributes to or causes the low dissolved oxygen in the Laguna de Santa Rosa. Stakeholders have committed to work in cooperation with the RWQCB to develop a TMDL

analysis for dissolved oxygen that will provide a better understanding of nutrients and their influence in the Laguna de Santa Rosa. Nutrients will be addressed in the development of the Dissolved Oxygen TMDL. This stakeholder process should be transparent and inclusive of all participants.

Region 1: Laguna de Santa Rosa
Diazinon

Water Body	Laguna de Santa Rosa
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Diazinon
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	
Water Body-specific Information	
Data used to assess water quality	In November, 1999 results from the City of Santa Rosa were non-detect for all pesticides, including diazinon. As presented in the RWQCB November 16, 2002 303(d) List Update Recommendations report, a 1997 Department of Pesticides Regulations study reported that two of the fifty two samples from the Russian River above the reporting limit, at concentrations above that believed to be detrimental to freshwater organisms. The RWQCB recommends placing the Russian River watershed on the Watch List for diazinon, but not specifying individual tributaries.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Exclude the Laguna de Santa Rosa from Listing for diazinon.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be excluded from Listing. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that only two of the water quality measurements exceeded the applicable water quality criteria. The RWQCB recommends placing the Russian River watershed on the Watch List for diazinon, but not specifying individual tributaries.

Region 1: Laguna de Santa Rosa
Chromium, Copper, and Zinc

Water Body	Laguna de Santa Rosa
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Chromium, Copper, and Zinc
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	
Water Body-specific Information	
Data used to assess water quality	Available copper, chromium, and zinc water quality and sediment data, including additional (new) data has submitted by the City of Santa Rosa collected from Santa Rosa Creek and Laguna de Santa Rosa. Comparison of these data to applicable criteria (maximum contaminant level, an agricultural criterion, public health goals, aquatic life criterion, and California Toxic Rule criteria) shows that all available data are below applicable criteria. The RWQCBs previous assessment did not include comparison to CTR. The City of Santa Rosa continues to monitor both Santa Rosa Creek and the Laguna de Santa Rosa for these metals, and the RWQCB will continue to review the results when available.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Exclude from Listing.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be excluded from Listing. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that none of the water quality measurements exceeded the applicable water quality criteria.

Region 1: Laguna de Santa Rosa
Low Dissolved Oxygen

Water Body	Laguna de Santa Rosa
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Low Dissolved Oxygen/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	Dissolved Oxygen linked to Aquatic Life Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO, RWQCB's Basin Plan Objective for Dissolved Oxygen.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 5-6 Years (1995-2001), Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at Site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Water Chemistry Total Samples n=1792, with 1612 below the 7.0 mg/L Objective.
Spatial representation	Data collected at 4 attainment points along the water body.
Temporal representation	Data collected over 4 seasons.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	City of Santa Rosa Monitoring, North Coast RWQCB monitoring.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Nonpoint source, Point Source, Internal nutrient cycling.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. A TMDL was completed for dissolved oxygen in 1995, but recent data

show that water quality objectives are not yet being met, and additional measures need to be taken to address this problem. Recently, the City of Santa Rosa in cooperation with the RWQCB has committed to fund a study to develop a TMDL analysis for dissolved oxygen that will be used to set waste load and load allocations for the Laguna de Santa Rosa.

Region 1: Lake Mendocino
Mercury

Water Body	Lake Mendocino
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Water/Fish Consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight. TSMP QAPP was used.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	Mercury is linked to Fish Consumption.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	U.S. EPA Tissue Residue Criterion.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 3 years (1999 - 2001), Data measured at site, species present in the water body, environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	The 1999 data show that all three of the fish samples exceed the U.S. EPA tissue residue criterion. The preliminary data from 2001 show that six of the ten samples exceed the U.S. EPA tissue residue criterion. These intensive monitoring studies of fish tissue mercury levels in Lake Mendocino in cooperation with the Office of Environmental Health and Hazard Assessment show that the mercury levels in Lake Mendocino exceed the U.S. EPA tissue residue criterion.
Spatial representation	Data were collected spatially within Lake Mendocino.
Temporal representation	Data were collected during May in the 1999 study and during September in the 2000 study.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	RWQCB methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction, Non-point Source
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Monitoring List
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses have been established. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used.

Region 1: Lake Mendocino
Mercury

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 1: Lake Sonoma
Mercury

Water Body	Lake Sonoma
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Water/Fish Consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight. TSMP QAPP was used.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	Mercury is linked to Fish Consumption.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	U.S. EPA Tissue Residue Criterion.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 3 years (1999 - 2001), Data measured at site, species present in the water body, environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	The 1999 data show that all six of the fish samples exceed the U.S. EPA tissue residue criterion. The preliminary data from 2001 show that seven of the twelve samples exceed the U.S. EPA tissue residue criterion. These intensive monitoring studies of fish tissue mercury levels in Lake Sonoma in cooperation with the Office of Environmental Health and Hazard Assessment show that the mercury levels in Lake Sonoma exceed the U.S. EPA tissue residue criterion.
Spatial representation	Data were collected spatially within Lake Sonoma.
Temporal representation	Data were collected during May in the 1999 study and during September in the 2001 study.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	RWQCB methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction, Non-point Source
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Monitoring List
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses have been established. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used.

Region 1: Lake Sonoma
Mercury

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 1: Mad River
Temperature

Water Body	Mad River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Temperature/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	MWAT linked to Aquatic Life Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives/Historic Temperature Ranges/Sullivan 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 4 years (97-2001), Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at Site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	MWAT values at all 11 locations exceeded 20 degrees and are higher than the criteria for sub-lethal effects (10 to 20% reduced growth). Maximum temperatures at most of the 11 locations were higher than 24 Degrees (= Lethal) in most years.
Spatial representation	Targeted 11 sites along the 503 sq. miles of the creek.
Temporal representation	Data collected over 4 years. Data was available from 11 locations, with at least 2 years of record at most locations.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Monitoring was conducted as part of the permitting process from 1997-2000).
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Flow regulation/modification, Removal of riparian vegetation, Habitat modification, Nonpoint sources.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Watch List: Based on a letter sent from the NCRWQCB on January 31, 2002 the RWQCB feels there is insufficient information existing to list. The Maximum Weekly Average Temperature (MWAT) and the Maximum Weekly Maximum Temperature (MWMT) values for the Mad River Watershed exceed the criteria values (Sullivan, 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds -Peer Reviewed Literature), that were used to translate the narrative Water Quality Objective for Region 1 for Temperature.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: 1. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage.

2. Beneficial uses apply to the water body.
3. Water quality standard used is applicable.
4. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate.
5. Data are numerical.
6. Other water body- or site-specific information including the effects of season and age of the data were considered.

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 1: Mattole River Sedimentation

Water Body	Mattole River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sedimentation and Temperature/Water/Cold Freshwater Habitat; Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development; Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species.
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC plan were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	In-stream sediment indicators linked to salmonid requirements. Temperature thresholds (MWAT) linked to salmonid sensitive life-stage requirements.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan water quality objectives for sediment, settleable solids, and turbidity; published sediment thresholds from peer reviewed literature, aerial photo interpretation. Basin Plan water quality objective for temperature; Sullivan, et al 2000 published temperature thresholds, stream temperature modeling.
Water Body-specific Information	Analysis of 1941 to 2000 aerial photo sets. 2002 road and stream survey data. 1994-2001 stream temperature data. Riparian vegetation conditions throughout entire watershed. Thermal infrared survey of entire mainstem and six large tributaries. Water temperature data collected every 1-1.5 hours throughout summer.
Data used to assess water quality	Stream substrate parameters. Channel morphology responsive/vulnerable to increased flows and input of upslope sediment. Water temperature data collected every 1-1.5 hours throughout summer.
Spatial representation	Targeted 40 road and stream surveys; 44 square miles of aerial photo analysis, complete representation of current and potential stream shade conditions, thermal infrared survey of entire mainstem and six large tributaries; well distributed stream temperature monitoring.
Temporal representation	Aerial photo data collected represents a 60 year period, stream temperature data collected over seven years.
Data type	Numeric data, aerial photo analysis, measured instream parameters, remotely gathered thermal infrared and vegetation coverages.
Use of standard method	Forest Science Project stream temperature data collection protocol, WA State Watershed Analysis Manual.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Road construction, Timber harvest activity, Livestock grazing-riparian/upland, and Natural sources, Silviculture, Logging Road Construction.
Alternative Enforceable Program	None.
RWQCB Recommendation	Maintain Listing.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the

water body should not be removed from the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are still exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem. Maintain Listing. Original Listing Date:1993. Estimated TMDL Completion Date:1/06.

Region 1: Navarro River Temperature

Water Body	Navarro River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Temperature/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	USEPA has approved a TMDL for this water body-pollutant combination.
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	None.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should not be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a plan to implement the TMDL has not been adopted or approved even though the TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 1: Noyo River Sedimentation/Siltation

Water Body	Noyo River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sedimentation-Siltation/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	USEPA has approved a TMDL for this water body-pollutant combination.
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	None.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should not be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a plan to implement the TMDL has not been adopted or approved even though the TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 1: Redwood Creek Sedimentation

Water Body	Redwood Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sedimentation/Water/Cold Freshwater Habitat; Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development; Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species.
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC plan were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	In-stream sediment indicators linked to salmonid habitat requirements.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan water quality objectives for sediment, settleable solids, and turbidity; published sediment thresholds from peer reviewed literature.
Water Body-specific Information	1975-1995: particle size distribution data; 1977-1999: channel morphology data; 1973-2000 suspended sediment data; 1999 turbidity data; 2002 road inventory data.
Data used to assess water quality	Fine sediment loads exceed TMDL thresholds, particularly in the lower watershed. Channel morphology responsive/ vulnerable to increased flows and input of upslope sediment. Suspended sediment loads do not consistently meet TMDL threshold. Road densities throughout basin exceed densities protective of water quality. 15% of roads have been decommissioned, and 6% have been upgraded.
Spatial representation	Targeted 4 to 15 sites (depending on variable) throughout 282 square mile watershed.
Temporal representation	Data collected over 25 year period.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	USGS sampling. Peer-reviewed monitoring/sampling techniques.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Harvest-related erosion, Road-related surface erosion, gullies, Road crossing failures, Natural landslides, Logging road construction, Natural sources, Erosion/Siltation.
Alternative Enforceable Program	None.
RWQCB Recommendation	Maintain Listing.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should not be removed from the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are still exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem. Original Listing Date:1993. Estimated TMDL Completion Date: 7/07.

Region 1: Redwood Creek
Temperature

Water Body	Redwood Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Temperature/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	MWAT linked to Aquatic Life Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives/Historic Temperature Ranges/Sullivan 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 7 years (94-2001), Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at Site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	MWAT values at 23 of the 31 locations exceeded criteria (Sullivan 2000) for 14.8 degrees C. 10 locations exceeded the criteria sub-lethal effects (10% reduced growth) 17 degrees C. 5 locations in the estuary, 3 locations in the mainstem, and 1 on Lacks Creek exceeded the criteria available for (20% reduced growth) sub-lethal effects. Maximum temperatures at 6 locations were higher than 24 Degrees Celsius (= Lethal).
Spatial representation	Targeted sites 31 locations over the 294 sq. miles of the creek.
Temporal representation	Data was collected over 7 years (94-2001), with at least two years of record at 20 locations.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	USGS sampling.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Landslides in the Redwood Creek Watershed/Floods/Erosion of decommissioned roads, Removal of Riparian Vegetation, Streambank Modification/Destabilization, Erosion/Siltation, Nonpoint Sources.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Watch List: Based on a letter sent from the NCRWQCB on January 31, 2002 the RWQCB feels there is insufficient information existing to list. The Maximum Weekly Average Temperature (MWAT) and the Maximum Weekly Maximum Temperature (MWMT) values for the Ten Mile River Watershed exceed the criteria values (Sullivan, 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds-Peer Reviewed Literature), that were used to translate the narrative Water Quality Objective for Region 1 for Temperature.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.

Region 1: Redwood Creek
Temperature

This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:
1. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage.
2. Beneficial uses apply to the water body.
3. Water quality standard used is applicable.
4. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate.
5. Data are numerical.
6. Other water body- or site-specific information including the effects of season and age of the data were considered.

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 1: Russian River Temperature

Water Body	Russian River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Temperature/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	MWAT linked to Aquatic Life Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives/Historic Temperature Ranges/Sullivan 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 5 years (1997-2001), Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at site , Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	All 26 locations had MWAT values exceeding the (Sullivan 2000) criteria of 14.8 and 17 Degrees, used to translate the narrative WQO for temperature.
Spatial representation	26 Site locations in the Russian River Watershed.
Temporal representation	More than one season for 5 years.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Unknown.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Flow regulation/modification, Removal of riparian vegetation, Habitat Modification, Nonpoint Sources.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Based on a letter sent from the NCRWQCB on January 31, 2002 the RWQCB feels there is sufficient information and recommends to list this water body. The Maximum Weekly Average Temperature (MWAT) and the Maximum Weekly Maximum Temperature (MWMT) values for the Russian River Watershed exceed the criteria values (Sullivan, 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature) that were used to translate the narrative Water Quality Objective for Region 1 for Temperature.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: 1. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 2. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 3. Water quality standard used is applicable. 4. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality

standards is adequate.
5. Data are numerical.
6. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 1: Russian River
Pathogens

Water Body	Russian River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Pathogens/Water/REC-1
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	Pathogens/Bacteria (i.e. Fecal coliform) to REC-1 Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 15 Years (1987-2001), Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at sites.
Data used to assess water quality	Bacterial Data : 72% of the fecal coliform data from 1986-1994 at Healdsburg Memorial Beach exceed the WQO. 75% of the fecal coliform data from 1992-1994 at Monte Rio beach exceed the WQO.
Spatial representation	Healdsburg Memorial Beach and Monte Rio Beach areas, sample sites unknown.
Temporal representation	All of the Samples were collected in the summer months.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Unknown.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Point sources, Nonpoint sources.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem. Data has shown these water bodies have exceeded the WQO for pathogens. List the Monte Rio area from the confluence of Dutch Bill Creek to the confluence of Fife Creek. Also list Healdsburg Memorial Beach from the Highway 101 crossing to the railroad crossing upstream of the beach. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: 1. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 2. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 3. Water quality standard used is applicable. 4. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 1: Russian River
Pathogens

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 1: Santa Rosa Creek Sediment

Water Body	Santa Rosa Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sediment/Water/Cold Freshwater Habitat; Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development; Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species.
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	
Water Body-specific Information	The Russian River watershed was listed for Sedimentation/Siltation in 1998. This listing applies to Santa Rosa Creek. Estimated TMDL Completion Date is 2011.
Data used to assess water quality	
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Maintain Listing
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Maintain Listing

Region 1: Santa Rosa Creek
Temperature

Water Body	Santa Rosa Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Temperature/Water/Cold Freshwater Habitat; Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development; Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species.
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	MWAT linked to Aquatic Life Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives/Historic Temperature Ranges/Sullivan 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 5 years (1997-2001), Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at site , Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	All 26 locations had MWAT values exceeding the (Sullivan 2000) criteria of 14.8 and 17 Degrees, used to translate the narrative WQO for temperature.
Spatial representation	26 Site locations in the Russian River Watershed.
Temporal representation	More than one season for 5 years.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Flow regulation/modification, Removal of riparian vegetation, Habitat Modification, Nonpoint Sources.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Based on a letter sent from the NCRWQCB on January 31, 2002 the RWQCB feels there is sufficient information and recommends to list the Russian River watershed. This listing includes Santa Rosa Creek. The Maximum Weekly Average Temperature (MWAT) and the Maximum Weekly Maximum Temperature (MWMT) values for the Russian River Watershed exceed the criteria values (Sullivan, 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature) that were used to translate the narrative Water Quality Objective for Region 1 for Temperature.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Based on a letter sent from the NCRWQCB on January 31, 2002, there is sufficient information and recommends to list the Russian River watershed. This listing includes Santa Rosa Creek. The Maximum Weekly Average Temperature (MWAT) and the Maximum Weekly Maximum Temperature (MWMT) values for the Russian River Watershed exceed the criteria values (Sullivan, 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature) that were used to translate the narrative Water Quality Objective for Region 1 for Temperature.

Region 1: Santa Rosa Creek
Pathogens

Water Body	Santa Rosa Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Pathogens/Water/REC-1
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	Pathogens/Bacteria (i.e. E. coli.) linked to REC-1 Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	CA. Draft DHS Guidance for Freshwater Beaches, Swimming Advisory Posting.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 1-23 Years (1979/1980 and 2001), Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at Site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Bacterial Data n=38, 19 exceeding draft DHS Guidance standards NOT enough data to show exceedance of REC-1 WQO -Bacteria, but enough to show exceedance of the DHS guidance. The DHS guidance for fresh water beaches, which was used to post a swimming advisory for this water body.
Spatial representation	Targeted Sites, 12 along the creek.
Temporal representation	Data collected over 12 days in June/July 2001 and also during 4 separate months in 1979/1980.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	City of Santa Rosa and Draft CA. State DHS Guidance for Fresh Water Beaches.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Point sources and Nonpoint sources.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: 1. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 2. The evaluation guideline used is adequate. A Swimming Advisory for this waterbody is in effect, based on the use of this Draft CA. DHS Guidance for Fresh Water Beaches, impacting the Beneficial Use. There was not enough data to show exceedances of REC-1, WQO- Bacteria. 3. Data are numerical. 4. Standard methods were used. 5. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the DHS guidance. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded in high.

Region 1: Santa Rosa Creek
Chromium, Copper, and Zinc

Water Body	Santa Rosa Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Chromium, Copper, and Zinc
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	
Water Body-specific Information	
Data used to assess water quality	Available copper, chromium, and zinc water quality and sediment data, including additional (new) data has submitted by the City of Santa Rosa collected from Santa Rosa Creek and Laguna de Santa Rosa. Comparison of these data to applicable criteria (maximum contaminant level, an agricultural criterion, public health goals, aquatic life criterion, and California Toxic Rule criteria) shows that all available data are below applicable criteria. The RWQCBs previous assessment did not include comparison to CTR. The City of Santa Rosa continues to monitor both Santa Rosa Creek and the Laguna de Santa Rosa for these metals, and the RWQCB will continue to review the results when available.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Exclude from Listing.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be excluded from Listing. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that none of the water quality measurements exceeded the applicable water quality criteria.

Region 1: Santa Rosa Creek
Diazinon

Water Body	Santa Rosa Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Diazinon
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	
Water Body-specific Information	
Data used to assess water quality	In November of 1999 results by the City of Santa Rosa were non-detect for all pesticides, including diazinon. Presented in the RWQCB November 16, 2002 303(d) List Update Recommendations report, a 1997 Department of Pesticides Regulations study reported that two of the fifty two samples from the Russian River above the reporting limit, at concentrations above that believed to be detrimental to freshwater organisms. The RWQCB recommends placing the Russian River watershed on the Watch List for diazinon, but not specifying individual tributaries.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Exclude from Listing.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be excluded from Listing. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that none of the water quality measurements exceeded the applicable water quality criteria. The RWQCB recommends placing the Russian River watershed on the Watch List for diazinon, but not specifying individual tributaries. The tributaries of the Russian River should not be placed on the Monitoring List. The Russian River should be on the Monitoring List for diazinon.

Region 1: South Fork Eel River
Temperature

Water Body	South Fork Eel River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Temperature/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	USEPA has approved a TMDL for this water body-pollutant combination.
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	None.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should not be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a plan to implement the TMDL has not been adopted or approved even though the TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 1: South Fork Eel River
Sedimentation/Siltation

Water Body	South Fork Eel River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sedimentation-Siltation/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	USEPA has approved a TMDL for this water body-pollutant combination.
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	None.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should not be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a plan to implement the TMDL has not been adopted or approved even though the TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 1: South Fork Trinity River/Hayfork Creek Sedimentation/Siltation

Water Body	South Fork Trinity River/Hayfork Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sedimentation-Siltation/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	USEPA has approved a TMDL for this water body-pollutant combination.
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	None.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should not be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a plan to implement the TMDL has not been adopted or approved even though the TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 1: Stemple Creek/Estero de San Antonio
Sediment

Water Body	Stemple Creek/Estero de San Antonio
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sediment/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	Turbidity linked to Aquatic Life Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan Water Quality objectives for sediment. Published Sedimentation Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 5 Years (1996-2001), Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at Site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Have a narrative Objective for Sediment and Turbidity, Have data from 5 years for turbidity measurements. The data have exceeded the criteria (Published Sedimentation Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature). used to translate the narrative Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives for Sediment.
Spatial representation	Targeted stations, 3 sites along creek
Temporal representation	Data collected over 5 sampling years.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Dept. Fish and Game.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Soil Erosion, Nonpoint Source.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: 1. The data exhibited sufficient, insufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 2. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 3. Data are numerical. 4. Standard methods were used. 5. Other water body- or site-specific information including the effects of season and age of the data were considered. A TMDL was approved in 1997 for this Watershed and "sediment" was inadvertently not included as a stressor in the original 303(d) List, it should have been included. All the elements for sediment are addressed in the 1997 TMDL, but sediment was not listed as a stressor, nutrients were.

Region 1: Stemple Creek/Estero de San Antonio Sediment

RWQCB wants to amend the 303(d) list to include sediment so that the TMDL can be completed. The data have exceeded the criteria (Published Sedimentation Thresholds- Peer Reviewed Literature) used to translate the narrative Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives for sediment.

Region 1: Ten Mile River Sedimentation/Siltation

Water Body	Ten Mile River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sedimentation-Siltation/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	USEPA has approved a TMDL for this water body-pollutant combination.
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	None.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should not be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a plan to implement the TMDL has not been adopted or approved even though the TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 1: Ten Mile River Temperature

Water Body	Ten Mile River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Temperature/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	MWAT linked to Aquatic Life Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives/Historic Temperature Ranges/Sullivan 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds-Peer Reviewed Literature.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 7 years (93-2000), Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at Site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Maximum recorded temperatures did not exceed 24 degrees at any of the locations. 31 out of the 37 locations exceeded the 14.8 criteria (Sullivan 2000). MWAT values at 17 locations exceeded the 17 degree MWAT criteria for sub-lethal effects (10% reduced growth) MWAT values at 3 of the locations exceeded the MWAT criteria for sub-lethal (20% reduced growth).
Spatial representation	Data were available from 37 locations.
Temporal representation	2 years of data were available for all of the 37 locations with the exception of 3 of them. 5 years of data were available from 26 locations.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Unknown.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Streambank modification/destabilization, Removal of riparian vegetation, Habitat modification, Nonpoint sources.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Watch List: Based on a letter sent from the NCRWQCB on January 31, 2002 the RWQCB feels there is insufficient information existing to list. The Maximum Weekly Average Temperature (MWAT) and the Maximum Weekly Maximum Temperature (MWMT) values for the Ten Mile River Watershed exceed the criteria values (Sullivan, 2000 Published Temperature Thresholds -Peer Reviewed Literature), that were used to translate the narrative Water Quality Objective for Region 1 for Temperature.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:

Region 1: Ten Mile River
Temperature

1. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage.
2. Beneficial uses apply to the water body.
3. Water quality standard used is applicable.
4. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate.
5. Data are numerical.
6. Other water body- or site-specific information including the effects of season and age of the data were considered.

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 1: Trinity River Sedimentation/Siltation

Water Body	Trinity River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sedimentation-Siltation/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	USEPA has approved a TMDL for this water body-pollutant combination.
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	None.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should not be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a plan to implement the TMDL has not been adopted or approved even though the TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 1: Tule Lake and the Lower Klamath National Wildlife Refuge
pH

Water Body	Tule Lake and the Lower Klamath National Wildlife Refuge
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	pH/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data with a QA/QC were given the greatest weight.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	pH linked to Aquatic Life Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 6 years (1992-1997), Data measured at site, Species or indicator present at Site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	For the Klamath Straights Data showed in 1996, 10 pH exceedances out of 15 measurements (7.9- 10 range), 1997 data showed 13 pH exceedances out of 15 measurements (8.1 - 10 Range). The 1992-95 data showed 3 exceedances out of 11 samples (4.6- 9.12 range). For the Tule Lake Data showed in 1996 10 pH exceedances out of 15 measurements (7.5 - 10.0 range). 1997 data showed 13 exceedances out of 15 measurements and the 1992-95 the data showed 7 exceedances out of 11 samples (range 5 - 10.2).
Spatial representation	Klamath Straights-sampling station/Tule Lake-Pump D sampling station.
Temporal representation	April through October Data from 1992-1997 for Klamath and Tule Lake.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Unknown.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Nonpoint sources, Internal nutrient cycling.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: 1. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 2. Beneficial uses have been established. 3. Water quality standard used is applicable. 4. Data are numerical. 5. Standard methods were used. 6. Other water body- or site-specific information including the effects of season and age of the data were considered. Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. Data has shown that the pH values exceeded the WQO for pH.

Region 1: Tule Lake and the Lower Klamath National Wildlife Refuge
pH

The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List for pH for the portions of Tule Lake and Lower Klamath Lake National Wildlife Refuge.

Region 1: Van Duzen River/Yager Creek Sedimentation/Siltation

Water Body	Van Duzen River/Yager Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sedimentation-Siltation/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and benefical use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	USEPA has approved a TMDL for this water body-pollutant combination.
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	None.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should not be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a plan to implement the TMDL has not been adopted or approved even though the TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Water Bodies Proposed for the Monitoring List in Region 1

Water Body	Pollutant/Stressor	Rationale
Alder Creek		
	Sediment and Temperature	Data regarding instream conditions and sediment impact are not available in this watershed. Temperature data for Alder Creek provided by a recent survey (Pjerrou, 2001) indicate that high temperature levels may be a source of impairment of cold water fisheries in Alder Creek. Additional information on the temporal and spatial extent of elevated temperatures, including MWATs, are required to determine the extent of stream temperature impairment. Staff recommends conducting additional instream sediment and temperature assessments of Alder Creek to determine whether spawning and rearing habitat of cold water fisheries and other beneficial uses are impaired due to sedimentation and/or elevated temperatures.
Beith Creek		
	Sediment	Beneficial uses of concern include those associated with cold water fisheries (commercial and sport fishing, spawning, reproduction, and/or early development). Chief threats are sedimentation and increased runoff, and possibly urban runoff (Farhi, 2001) Based on the available information, it is difficult to determine whether the instream sediment conditions are impairing the cold water fishery. Additional information on instream sediment conditions, channel aggradation, and historic and current fish presence/absence is necessary to determine whether water quality objectives are being exceeded and beneficial uses impaired.
Brush Creek		
	Sediment	Data suggests low impact by fine sediments on the streambed. However, further information regarding instream sediment conditions is necessary to verify the transport capacity for Brush Creek and evaluate the conditions of the other southern Mendocino Coast streams. Staff recommends conducting additional instream sediment assessments in these southern Mendocino Coast streams to determine whether spawning and rearing habitat of cold water fisheries and other beneficial uses are impaired due to sediments.
Casper Creek		
	Pathogens	There is not enough data over a 30-day time period to make a determination of water quality objective exceedance for contact recreation, according to Basin Plan water quality objectives. While the results may be due to a residual effect of the sewer line break, the lack of baseline data makes it difficult to determine with any certainty. Given the anecdotal accounts of surfers getting sinusitis/ear infections, staff recommends putting Virgin Creek, Casper Creek, and Pudding Creek on the watch list and conducting baseline monitoring for pathogens to assess whether beneficial uses are threatened or impaired.
Cottaneva Creek		
	Sediment	Information regarding sediment loading, instream conditions, and sediment transport capacity of these streams is insufficient to determine whether beneficial uses are impaired. Staff recommends conducting instream sediment and temperature assessments of these northern Mendocino Coast streams to determine whether beneficial uses are impaired due to sediments.

Water Body	Pollutant/Stressor	Rationale
Dehaven Creek		
	Sediment	Fish population data and timber harvest histories were not available for these watersheds. However, both these streams have been documented to provide historic habitat for coho salmon which are currently absent from the watersheds (Pjerrou, 2001). Due to lack of fish population data, it is difficult to determine whether the instream sediment conditions have impaired the cold water fishery and other beneficial uses. Staff recommends additional research to characterize historic fisheries conditions, as well as obtaining more information on harvest histories and instream conditions necessary for making a beneficial use impairment determination.
East Fork Trinity River		
	Mercury	An assessment of water quality around abandoned mine sites in Trinity County revealed that water quality standards are being met, except at the site of the Altoona mercury mine at the northern end of Trinity County above the East Fork of the Trinity River (Trinity Journal, 2001). A USGS monitoring program, to be completed in 2002, will evaluate the impact of abandoned mines such as the Altoona mine on federal lands in the Trinity River watershed. Staff recommends assessing the results of the study when available to determine whether beneficial uses are impaired by mercury.
Elk Creek		
	Sediment	Data suggests low impact by fine sediments on the streambed. However, further information regarding instream sediment conditions is necessary to verify the transport capacity for Elk Creek and evaluate the conditions of the other southern Mendocino Coast streams. Staff recommends conducting additional instream sediment assessments in these southern Mendocino Coast streams to determine whether spawning and rearing habitat of cold water fisheries and other beneficial uses are impaired due to sediments.
Greenwood Creek		
	Sediment and Temperature	The most sensitive beneficial uses supported by Greenwood Creek include uses associated with the cold water fishery and municipal and domestic supply. There is conflicting evidence regarding the impairment of Greenwood Creek's instream conditions due to fine sediment. The results of all of these studies are mixed, and seem to indicate, at a minimum, the existence of localized degradation of streambed quality due to fine sediments. At this time, staff is unable to determine the contributing factors causing the impairment to the domestic water supply. It is unclear, based upon the available information, whether upstream timber harvest practices contributed to the bank erosion Furthermore, temperature data from two locations on Greenwood Creek spanning six years of record from 1992 to 2000 indicate that high temperature levels may be a source of impairment of cold water fisheries in Greenwood Creek. Based on the complicated circumstances regarding the drinking water supply, as well as the mixed information on the instream sediment conditions in Greenwood Creek, staff recommends putting Greenwood Creek on the Monitoring List for sediment. Staff also recommends that Greenwood Creek be added to the Monitoring List for temperature, and that additional temperature monitoring at more locations throughout the watershed be conducted to evaluate possible temperature impairment of the cold water fishery.
Grotzman Creek		
	Sediment	Beneficial uses of concern include those associated with cold water fisheries (commercial and sport fishing, spawning, reproduction, and/or early development). Chief threats are sedimentation and increased runoff, and possibly urban runoff (Farhi, 2001). Based on the available information, it is difficult to determine whether the instream sediment conditions are impairing the cold water fishery. Additional information on instream sediment conditions, channel aggradation, and historic and current fish presence/absence is necessary to determine whether water quality objectives are being exceeded and beneficial uses impaired.

Water Body	Pollutant/Stressor	Rationale
Hardy Creek		
	Sediment	Information regarding sediment loading, instream conditions, and sediment transport capacity of these streams is insufficient to determine whether beneficial uses are impaired. Staff recommends conducting instream sediment and temperature assessments of these northern Mendocino Coast streams to determine whether beneficial uses are impaired due to sediments.
Howard Creek		
	Sediment	Information regarding sediment loading, instream conditions, and sediment transport capacity of these streams is insufficient to determine whether beneficial uses are impaired. Staff recommends conducting instream sediment and temperature assessments of these northern Mendocino Coast streams to determine whether beneficial uses are impaired due to sediments.
Humboldt Bay		
	PCBs and Dieldrin	Preliminary 1999-2000 data (SWRCB, 2001) from the State Mussel Watch Program (SMWP) shows levels of dieldrin and Total PCBs in transplanted California Mussels that exceed maximum tissue residue levels for enclosed bays and estuaries (Humboldt Del Norte Pier, C Street, and J Street). Given that the SMWP results are considered preliminary, and the lack of supporting information, staff recommends conducting additional monitoring at these sites for Total PCBs and dieldrin through the State Mussel Watch Program. Additional study may be conducted through the Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program.
	Sediment	According to accounts submitted for the 303(d) List update, sedimentation from streams which drain into the Bay, such as Jacoby Creek, has led to aggradation near the mouths of these creeks (Friedrichsen, 2001). Further, elevated turbidity and suspended solids can result in decreased light penetration through the water column, impacting aquatic plants such as eelgrass and the organisms dependent on them. It is not clear based on the available information whether water quality objectives are being exceeded and beneficial uses impaired in Humboldt Bay. Staff recommends additional study to determine whether beneficial uses are threatened due to sedimentation in Humboldt Bay.
Juan Creek		
	Sediment	Information regarding sediment loading, instream conditions, and sediment transport capacity of these streams is insufficient to determine whether beneficial uses are impaired. Staff recommends conducting instream sediment and temperature assessments of these northern Mendocino Coast streams to determine whether beneficial uses are impaired due to sediments.
Klamath River		
	Sediment	Beneficial uses may be impaired in portions of the mainstem Klamath (particularly in the lower Klamath River) and tributaries to the Klamath River (Beaver Creek and tributaries to the Klamath below the confluence with the Trinity River have been specifically identified) due to excessive sediment loading and instream sediment conditions. Insufficient information is available at this time to make a listing determination. Staff recommends focused study of the instream sediment conditions to assess beneficial use impairment of the mainstem and tributaries.
Laguna de Santa Rosa		
	Nutrients	Even though there are 10 water chemistry samples, there is no applicable guideline that can be used to interpret the narrative standard. Even though a phosphorus goal is not applicable in this specific situation, it is clear that the Laguna de Santa Rosa does not meet standards for low dissolved oxygen. It is also clear that nutrient concentrations are a probable cause of the low oxygen concentrations. New monitoring should be completed that identifies the contribution of nutrients and their relationship to the observed low oxygen concentrations.

Water Body	Pollutant/Stressor	Rationale
Mad River Slough		
	PCBs	Preliminary 1999-2000 data (SWRCB, 2001) from the State Mussel Watch Program (SMWP) shows levels of Total PCBs in transplanted California Mussels sampled at the mouth of Mad River Slough that exceed maximum tissue residue levels for enclosed bays and estuaries. Given that the SMWP results are considered preliminary and there is little supporting information, staff recommends conducting additional monitoring of Mad River Slough for Total PCBs through the State Mussel Watch Program. Additional study may be conducted through the Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program.
Mallo Pass Creek		
	Sediment	Data suggests low impact by fine sediments on the streambed. However, further information regarding instream sediment conditions is necessary to verify the transport capacity for Mallo Pass Creek and evaluate the conditions of the other southern Mendocino Coast streams. Staff recommends conducting additional instream sediment assessments in these southern Mendocino Coast streams to determine whether spawning and rearing habitat of cold water fisheries and other beneficial uses are impaired due to sediments.
Pudding Creek		
	Pathogens	There is not enough data over a 30-day time period to make a determination of water quality objective exceedance for contact recreation, according to Basin Plan water quality objectives. While the results may be due to a residual effect of the sewer line break, the lack of baseline data makes it difficult to determine with any certainty. Given the anecdotal accounts of surfers getting sinusitis/ear infections, staff recommends putting Virgin Creek, Casper Creek, and Pudding Creek on the watch list and conducting baseline monitoring for pathogens to assess whether beneficial uses are threatened or impaired.
Russian River		
	Diazinon	In November of 1999 results by the City of Santa Rosa were non-detect for all pesticides, including diazinon. Presented in the RWQCB November 16, 2002 303(d) List Update Recommendations report, a 1997 Department of Pesticides Regulations study reported that two of the fifty two samples from the Russian River above the reporting limit, at concentrations above that believed to be detrimental to freshwater organisms. The RWQCB recommends placing the Russian River watershed on the Watch List for diazinon, but not specifying individual tributaries. The tributaries of the Russian River should not be placed on the Monitoring List. The Russian River should be on the Monitoring List for diazinon.
Schooner Gulch		
	Sediment	Data suggests low impact by fine sediments on the streambed. However, further information regarding instream sediment conditions is necessary to verify the transport capacity for Schooner Gulch and evaluate the conditions of the other southern Mendocino Coast streams. Staff recommends conducting additional instream sediment assessments in these southern Mendocino Coast streams to determine whether spawning and rearing habitat of cold water fisheries and other beneficial uses are impaired due to sediments.
Shasta River		
	Sediment and Nutrients	Information on instream sediment and nutrient conditions available during the 303(d) List update process was insufficient to determine whether water quality objectives are being met and beneficial uses supported in the Shasta River. Staff recommends additional assessment of instream sediment conditions, to evaluate whether beneficial uses are currently impaired as a result of excessive sediment.

Water Body	Pollutant/Stressor	Rationale
Tule Lake and Lower Klamath Lake National Wildlife Refuge		
	Low Dissolved Oxygen and Unionized Ammonia	The available data are insufficient to support a listing for numeric objective exceedance. California does not have a standard for un-ionized ammonia. US EPA criteria were used for assessment of available data collected in 1996-1997. The US EPA criteria vary depending on temperature, pH and sensitive species present; the criteria become stricter as pH and temperature increase. Based on the information available during the 303(d) List update period, there are not sufficient data to list these surface waters for un-ionized ammonia. These surface waters should, however, be prioritized for additional un-ionized ammonia testing, including pH and water temperature. Additional work is suggested to evaluate the toxicity of un-ionized ammonia and the protection of the beneficial uses of these water bodies. In addition, the seasonal status of un-ionized ammonia concentrations should be examined.
Usal Creek		
	Sediment	The available data suggest that instream sediment conditions may contribute to a decline in the salmonid fishery. Staff recommends conducting additional instream monitoring and fish population surveys to determine whether spawning and rearing habitat of cold water fisheries and other beneficial uses are impaired due to sedimentation.
Virgin Creek		
	Pathogens	There is not enough data over a 30-day time period to make a determination of water quality objective exceedance for contact recreation, according to Basin Plan water quality objectives. While the results may be due to a residual effect of the sewer line break, the lack of baseline data makes it difficult to determine with any certainty. Given the anecdotal accounts of surfers getting sinusitis/ear infections, staff recommends putting Virgin Creek, Casper Creek, and Pudding Creek on the watch list and conducting baseline monitoring for pathogens to assess whether beneficial uses are threatened or impaired.
Wages Creek		
	Sediment	Fish population data and timber harvest histories were not available for these watersheds. However, both these streams have been documented to provide historic habitat for coho salmon which are currently absent from the watersheds (Pjerrou, 2001). Due to lack of fish population data, it is difficult to determine whether the instream sediment conditions in Dehaven and Wages Creeks have impaired the cold water fishery and other beneficial uses. Staff recommends additional research to characterize historic fisheries conditions, as well as obtaining more information on harvest histories and instream conditions necessary for making a beneficial use impairment determination.

Page left blank intentionally.

Reference List for Region 1

Staff Report

California Regional Water Quality Control Board. North Coast Region. 2001. 303(d) List Update Recommendations. November 16, 2001.

Contacts

Acker, Charles. Elk County Water District, 12/5/1997

Acker, Charles. Elk County Water District, 5/10/2001

Adelman, Brenda. Russian River Watershed Protection Committee, 5/15/2001

Alden, Henry. Gualala Redwoods, Inc., 9/26/2001

Ambrose, Jon. Georgia-Pacific Corporation, 12/11/1997

Blue, Gerry. 5/10/2001

Boland, Margaret J. Department of Agriculture, 5/14/2001

Booth, Lyn. Environmental Health Department, 5/14/2001

Brauner, Ed. City of Santa Rosa, 10/8/2001

Brown, Jon C. Department of Parks and Mendocino, 2/20/2001

Brown, Margaret. private citizen, 12/10/1997

Brucker, Peter. Salmon River Restoration Council, 5/14/2001

Bush, Bernard. Redwood Creek Landowners Assoc., 10/8/2001

Cissne, John M. 4/13/2001

Conner, Kelly. Fruit Growers Supply Company, 5/15/2001

de Vall, Norman. Greenwood Watershed Association, 12/11/1997

Dixon, Rex and Charlotte. 5/10/2001

Elliott, Richard L. Department of Fish and Game - Region 1, 12/1/1997

Euphrat, Fred. Forest, Soil & Water, Inc., 12/11/1997

Farhi, Seth. 5/14/2001

Fenton, Clark. Salmon Forever, 5/14/2001

Finger, Elizabeth. Jacoby Creek Protection Association, 5/14/2001

Friedrichsen, Gary L. 5/10/2001

Gienger, Richard. Sotoyome Resource Conservation District, 5/15/2001

Halstead, Ted. 4/7/2001

Herman, Thomas. Barnum Timber Co., 10/5/2001

Herman, Thomas M. Barnum & Herman, 5/11/2001

Hofstra, Terrence D. USDI, CDPR, Redwood National and State Parks, 5/15/2001

Kelly, Scott. HJW & Associates, Inc., 12/11/1997

Koch, Donald B. Department of Fish and Game - Region 1, 8/31/1998

Koch, Gene.

Koken, Angela. 5/10/2001

Madej, Mary Ann. USDI, USGS, Western Ecological Research Center, 5/11/2001

McEnhill, Don. Friends of the Russian River-RiverKeeper Project, 5/15/2001

McEnhill, Don. Friends of the Russian River-RiverKeeper Project, 5/15/2001

McEnhill, Don. Friends of the Russian River-RiverKeeper Project, 5/15/2001

Oliveri, Mary Jane. City of Santa Rosa Public Works Department, 5/15/2001

Pjerrou, Mary. Redwood Coast Watersheds Alliance, 5/14/2001

Pjerrou, Mary. Redwood Coast Watershed Alliance, 10/9/2001

Quinn, Scott. Karuk Tribe of California, 5/15/2001

Rische, Carol. Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, 10/29/2001

Rosen, Elyssa. Sierra Club, 12/11/1997

Rosenblum, John. Rosenblum Environmental Engineering, 12/11/1997

Roth, James. Merritt Smith Consulting, 10/5/2001

Schmidt, Erik. 5/10/2001

Shulz, Tom. Louisiana-Pacific, 12/11/1997

Slota, Dennis. Mendocino County Water Agency, 5/15/2001

Small, Lynn M. City of Santa Rosa Utilities Department, 5/14/2001

Stansberry, Bob and Val. 5/12/2001

Starner, Keith. DPR, 4/26/2001

Surfleet, Chris. Mendocino Redwood Company, 10/1/2001

Tarvin, Jay. Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, 4/12/2001

Wunner, Robert. 5/10/2001

Technical References

Anderson, D. 1983. Status of Summer Steelhead Trout in Redwood Creek, Redwood National Park, California. In S. Viers, J. Stohlgren, and C. Schonewald-Cox, ed. Proceedings of the Fourth Conference on Research in California's National Parks, Transactions and Proceedings Series 9. T, 1-8. U.S. DOI, National Park Service.

Bisson, P., Bilby, R. 1991. Avoidance of Suspended Sediment by Juvenile Coho Salmon. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 4:371-374.

Brown, L. and Moyle, P. 1991. Status of Coho Salmon in California. Report to the National Marine Fisheries Service. Department of Fisheries and Wildlife - University of California at Davis.

Brungs, J., Jones, B. 1977. Temperature Criteria for Freshwater Fish: Protocol and Procedures. Environmental Research Laboratory - Duluth. US EPA.

Burns, J.W. 1970. Spawning Bed Sedimentation Studies in North California Streams. California Fish and Game 56(4). Pages 253-279.

California Department of Fish and Game. 1995. Stream Inventory Reports for Usal Creek and South Fork Usal Creek.

California Department of Fish and Game. Temperature monitoring data 1999.

California Department of Health Services. July 24, 2001. Draft Guidance for Fresh Water Beaches.

California Department of Pesticide Regulation. December 1997. Temporal Distribution of Insecticide Residue in Four California Rivers. Report No. EH97-06.

California EPA - Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. March 2000. Draft Evaluation of Potential Health Effects of Eating from Black Butte Reservoir (Glenn and Tehama Counties): Guidelines for Sport Fish Consumption.

California State Water Resources Control Board. 1998. Chemical and Biological Measures of Sediment Quality and Tissue Bioaccumulation in the North Coast Region - Final Report. Bay Protection and Toxic Cleanup Program.

California State Water Resources Control Board. 2001. State Mussel Watch Program: Preliminary Summary of 1999-2000 Data.

Campbell Timberland Management, LLC. Gulch 11/South Fork Ten Mile River THP No. 1-00-138 MEN.

Campbell Timberland Management. One Way Truck Road Timber Harvest Plan. # 1-01-080 MEN, submitted 3/22/01.

Campbell Timberland Management. Estimated Aquatic Vertebrate Populations North and South Forks Usal Creek 1993-2000.

Campbell Timberland Management. Scudder Gulch Timber Harvest Plan. #1-01-172 MEN, Submitted 5/15/01.

City of Santa Rosa Utilities Department: Subregional Water Reclamation System. Laguna Subregional Wastewater Collection, Treatment and Disposal Facility Self-monitoring reports for 2000 and 2001.

City of Santa Rosa. June - July 2001. Self-monitoring data.

Department of Fish and Game. December 6, 2000. Marin-Sonoma Counties Agricultural Runoff Influence Investigation: 1999 - 2000 Summary. Appended data 1990 - 1998.

Department of Fish and Game. Marin-Sonoma Counties Agricultural Runoff Influence Investigation: 2001 data.

Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Coast-Cascade Region.1994-1997. Water Temperatures on Jackson Demonstration State Forest.

Elliot, J. 1981. Some Aspects of Thermal Stress on Freshwater Teleosts. Pages 209-245 in A.D. Pickering, editor. Stress and Fish. Academic Press, London.

Forest, Soil & Water. 1996. Greenwood Creek Stream Survey: Data Analysis and Recommendations.

Georgia Pacific West, Inc. So. Fork Ten Mile River THP No. 1-99-167 MEN.

Gualala Redwoods Inc. 2001. Stream Report. Unpublished report.

Hawthorne Timber Co. North Side Smith THP No. 1-01-206 MEN.

Jobling, M. 1981. Temperature tolerance and the final preferendum - rapid methods for the assessment of optimum growth temperatures. Journal of Fish Biology. 19:439-455.

Jong, B. 1994. Chinook Salmon Spawning Habitat Quality Evaluation Studies: Shasta River and South Fork Trinity River Basins. California Department of Fish and Game.

Klein, R. 2001. Suspended Sediment Concentrations and Fluxes in Redwood Creek Tributaries. Unpublished data.

Knopp, C. 1993. Testing Indices of Cold Water Fish Habitat. North Coast Regional Water

Quality Control Board in cooperation with the California Department of Forestry.

Lewis, T. et al. 2000. Regional Assessment of Stream Temperatures Across Northern California and their Relationship to Various Landscape-Level and Site-Specific Attributes. Forest Science Project. Humboldt State University Foundation, Arcata, CA. 420 pp.

Ligon, F. et al. 1999. Report of the Scientific Review Panel on California Forest Practice Rules and Salmonid Habitat. Prepared for the Resources Agency of California and the National Marine Fisheries Service. Sacramento, California.

Lisle, T. and S. Hilton. 1992. The Volume of Fine Sediment in Pools: An Index of Sediment Supply in Gravel-Bed Streams. Water Resources Bulletin Volume 28, No. 2.

Lisle, T. and S. Hilton. 1999. Fine Bed Material in Pools of Natural Gravel Bed Channels. Water Resources Research. Volume 35, No. 4.

Madej, M. 1984. Recent Changes in Channel-Stored Sediment Redwood Creek, California. Report for Redwood National Park.

Madej, M. 1999. Temporal and Spatial Variability in Thalweg Profiles of a Gravel-Bed River. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 24, 1153-1169.

Marshack, J. 2000. A Compilation of Water Quality Goals. Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region.

Meehan, W. (Editor) 1991. Influences of Forest and Rangeland Management of Salmonid Fishes and Their Habitat. American Fisheries Society Special Publication 19. American Fisheries Society.

Mendocino Redwood Company. THP No. 1-01-316 MEN.

Mendocino Redwood Company. THP No. 1-01-358 MEN.

Mendocino Redwoods Company. Beeside Timber Harvest Plan. #1-01-104 MEN, submitted 5/10/01.

Mendocino Redwoods Company. Section 27 II Timber Harvest Plan. #1-01-072 MEN, submitted 3/15/01.

Natural Resources Management. Temperature monitoring data 1997-1999.

NCRWQCB. 2001. Compilation of reported sediment data from Redwood Creek. Unpublished data.

NCRWQCB. 2001. Database of Redwood Creek information.

NCRWQCB. August 14, 1997. Executive Officer's Summary Report by Peter Otis.

NCRWQCB. August 15, 2001. Gualala River Watershed Technical Support Document for the Total Maximum Daily Load for Sediment.

NCRWQCB. August 15, 2001. Gualala River Watershed Technical Support Document for the Total Maximum Daily Load for Sediment.

NCRWQCB. August 2, 2001. Draft Assessment of Aquatic Conditions in the Mendocino Coast Hydrologic Unit.

NCRWQCB. August 2001. Memo to file. Stemple Creek 303(d) Listing history.

NCRWQCB. February 28, 1996. Draft Report: Sediment Sample Results for Organic Chemicals, Metals, and Nutrients in the Laguna de Santa Rosa/Mark West Creek System and the Russian River 1985-1986 and 1995.

NCRWQCB. May 1996. Water Quality Control Plan for the North Coast Region.

NCRWQCB. Report to File “Russian River Bacteria Levels”. December 6, 2001.

NCRWQCB. Unpublished coliform data. 1979-1980.

NCRWQCB. Unpublished Data. Data collected under a U.S. EPA Clean Water Act 104(b)(3) Water Quality Grant for Monitoring on the Klamath and Lost Rivers. Sampling occurred from April 3, 1996 through October 18, 1996 and from April 2, 1997 through October 24, 1997.

NCRWQCB. Unpublished Laguna de Santa Rosa monitoring data. August/September 2001. Under contract with the Sonoma County Water Agency.

NCRWQCB. Unpublished Russian River and Laguna de Santa Rosa monitoring data. 1997-2000.

NCRWQCB. Unpublished Russian River coliform monitoring data. 1995-2001.

Newcombe, C., Jensen, J. 1996. Channel Suspended Sediment and Fisheries: A Synthesis for Quantitative Assessment of Risk and Impact. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. November 1996.

Ozaki, V. and C. Jones. 1998. Long-Term Channel Stability Monitoring on Redwood Creek, 1995-1997 Progress Report. Report for Redwood National Park.

Ozaki, V., M. Madej and D. Anderson. 1998. Summer Water Temperature Monitoring on Redwood Creek. Progress Report. Redwood Creek National and State Park.

Peterson, N., A. Hendry, and T. Quinnl 1992. Assessment of Cumulative Effects on Salmonid Habitat; Some Suggested Parameters and Target Conditions. Timber/Fish/Wildlife. TFW-F3-92-001.

Rasmussen, D. 1990. Toxic Substances Monitoring Program Ten Year Summary Report 1978-1987. State Water Resources Control Board.

Rasmussen, D. 1995. State Mussel Watch Program, 1987-1993 Data Report. Report No. 94-1 WQ. California State Water Resources Control Board.

Rasmussen, D. 1995. Toxic Substances Monitoring Program 1992-1993 Data Report. State Water Resources Control Board.

Rasmussen, D. 1997. Toxic Substances Monitoring Program 1994-1995 Data Report. State Water Resources Control Board.

Rasmussen, D. 2000. State Mussel Watch Program, 1995-1997 Data Report. California State Water Resources Control Board.

Redwood National and State Park, 2001. Unpublished temperature data.

Redwood National and State Park. June 6, 2001. Unpublished fish survey data.

Redwood National Park. 1999 RNSP Redwood Creek Summer Steelhead Trout Survey.

Ricker, S. 1997. Evaluation of Salmon and Steelhead Spawning Habitat Quality in the Shasta River Basin, 1997. California Department of Fish and Game. Administrative Report No. 97-9.

Santa Rosa Press Democrat, Empire News. August 4, 2001. Creek Pollution Unsolved.

Sigler, J., Bjorunn, T., Everest, F. 1984. Effects of Chronic Turbidity on Density and Growth of Steelheads and Coho Salmon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 113:142-150. American Fisheries Society.

Simpson Timber Co. Timber Harvest Plan 1-00-314-HUM.

Sonoma County Water Agency. Stream temperature-monitoring data 1997- 1998.

Sparkman, M. 2001. Redwood Creek Rotary Screw Trap Downstream Migration Study Redwood Valley, Humboldt County, California. April 4 – August 5, 2000.

Spence, B., Lomnicky, G., Hughes, R., Novitzki, R. 1996. An ecosystem approach to salmonid conservation. Report No. TR-4501-96-6057. ManTech Environmental Research Services Corporation, Corvallis, Oregon.

State Water Resources Control Board. 2001. Toxic Substances Monitoring Program: Preliminary Summary of 1999 Data.

Sullivan, K. et al. 2000. An Analysis of the Effects of Temperature on Salmonids of the Pacific Northwest with Implications for Selecting Temperature Criteria. Sustainable Ecosystem Institute.

Trinity Journal. March 19, 2001. Little Mercury from Mining Found in Trinity Waters.

US EPA. 1998. Redwood Creek Sediment Total Maximum Daily Load. US EPA Region IX.

US EPA. 2001. Water Quality Criterion for the Protection of Human Health: Methylmercury. Report No. EPA-823-R-01-001.

Van Kirk, S. 1994. Historical Information on Redwood Creek. Prepared for Redwood National Park.

Winchester, W., R. Raymond and S. Tickle. 1995. Lost River Watershed Area in California (Tributary to the Klamath River): Water Quality Characteristics. North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. September 29, 1995.

Yurok Tribal Fisheries Program. 2000. Lower Klamath River Sub-Basin Watershed Restoration Plan.

Page left blank intentionally.