CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL MEASURES OF SEDIMENT QUALITY AND TISSUE BIOACCUMULATION IN THE NORTH COAST REGION FINAL REPORT

October, 1998

California State Water Resources Control Board

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, North Coast Region

California Department of Fish and Game Marine Pollution Studies Laboratory

Moss Landing Marine Laboratories

University of California, Santa Cruz

Table 15. Station summary of chemistry, toxicity and benthic community results (** not used in station evaluations due to water quality exceedances, SV= screening values, see text for complete descriptions).

Station	quarry encountries, s.	Solvening Tale	ERL/TE	text for complete descriptions							
Number	Station	Sediment Chemistry	Exceed.	Tissue Chemistry	Repeat Tox	Tox	Benthics	Comments			
- Number				els, toxicity measured one or more times,							
10028.0	PORTO BODEGA MARINA	ERMO=0.214	11		11711 Y 51 X		Transitional	144411.155			
10006.0	BODEGA BAY-MASON'S MARINA	5 PEL exceedances	9		X		Undegraded				
14001.0	EUREKA WATERFRONT- II STREET	ERMQ=0.243, PELQ=0.528	8	>EPA SV for PCBs & MW value for CU		X	Undegraded	AG in top 95% for the state			
14002.0	EUREKA WATERFRONT J STREET	10 PEL exceedances	8	>EPA SV for PAHs & MW values for CU & HG		X	Undegraded	LMW PAlls in top 95% for the state			
	Stations which are add	l regional abomietry ser	oning law	els, non toxic, non-degraded benthic comi	460612100			and the second second			
10019.0	II. BAY-COAL/OIL/GAS PLANT	PELQ= 0.482	CHAIR ICY	EDS, INVANCANCY STORT STEEL DESIGNATION CONTRACTOR	uumitee)		Undegraded	Lindane in top 90% of the state			
		•			~: 11 600 AT MADAGA	e stan i dilikung sis		Share and a second seco			
	7 11 11-00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	il chemistry screening le	vel exceed	lances, single toxicity, non-degraded bent	hic comp		and the state of t				
10007.0	BODEGA-SPUD POINT MARINA		3			X	Transitional	Toxic once in both amphipod and SDI tests			
10017.0 10023.0	ARCATA BAY-EUREKA SL. H. BAY EUREKA STORM 23		6			X X	Transitional Undegraded				
10023.0	UNCONTAMINATED SITE-33D		4			X	Transitional				
Advantage delicate	viliantes vila allianat i camanalit de la samuntament	Constitution of a contratament of a constitution	od och beddigender	and the Book Company A. Common Common Line Common C				A STATE OF THE STA			
	rangan (1997) - Caralysia (1997) ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	al chemistry screening h	octores continues and continues of the contract of the contrac	dances, non toxic, non-degraded benthic c	ommani	ties .	3000				
10016.0	ARCATA BAY-JOLLY GIANT St.		10				Transitional				
10018.0	II. BAY-UNION OIL PLANT		6				Transitional				
10021.0 14004.0	II. BAY-CHEVRON TERMINAL DAVENPORT MARINE		0				Transitional Undegraded				
15001.0	II. BAY- HALBERSON SHORELINE		4				Transitional				
15001.0		2000	ensimistra	**	www.co.wee.co	tal mile.		and the second of the second o			
		al chemistry screening le	vel excee	dances, toxicty measured one or more tim	es, benth	***************************************	nunity not a				
10004.0 10020.0	ARCATA BAY-MCDANIEL SL. II. BAY-OLD PAC. LUMBER SITE		7			X		toxic R. abronius test; but 90% Fines			
10032.0	MOUTH OF ESTERO DE SAN ANTONIO)	,			X X					
10052.0			den ander som Kladide		ahwa angoni si Silada ah		Sacratifica de Chadiballo escenti	The second state of the second			
		regional chemistry scre	ening leve	els, toxicity not analyzed; benthic commu	nity not a	nalyzed	l				
14003.0	ARCATA BAY- JOLLY GIANT NORTH		4	> EPA SV for PCBs							
	Stations with no regiona	l chemistry screening le	vel exceed	lances, non toxic, benthic community not	analyzed						
10025.0	II. BAY HOOKTON SL.		6								
10037.0	II. BAY-MOUTH OF ELK RIVER		4								
-2	Stations with no regions	l chemistry screening le	vel evceec	lances, toxicty not analyzed, benthic com	nunity n	nt anoly	zod				
10022.0	HUMBOLDT BAY EUREKA SM.22	ACCESSED TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	ALIMANE S			or analy	LEU				
15002.0	II. BAY- WASHINGTON STREET		4					•			
		Party of Care and Ca	A Meridian Temp		in and the	Linder official					
10029.0	ESTERO AMERICANO-VALLEY FORD	ary analyzeo; toxicity m	easurea o	ne or more times; benthic community not	analyzec	www.ee.ch.com/enen					
10030.0	ESTERO DE SAN ANTONIO-VALLEY F					X** X		toxic M. edulis test; but exceeded NH3 by 4.2X			
10039.0	UNCONTAMINATED SITE-33C					X**		toxic M. edulis test; but exceeded NII3 by 4.7X			
10041.0	SALMON CREEK-34L					x		tone in chairs test, but exceeded [41]5 by 4.7A			
	Station and the state of	and the second second			ing Statement Ar	3 34 30 33 4					
10005.0	Stations with no chemis RUSSIAN RIVER MOUTH SMW 280.0	u y anaryzeu; non Toxic;	nentuic.c	ommonity not analyzed							
10015.0	ARCATA BAY-MAD RIVER SL.										
10024.0	II. BAY FIELDS LANDING										
10031.0	MOUTH OF ESTERO AMERICANO										
10036.0	SOUTHPORT CHANNEL-33B										

Table 14. Sample summary of toxicity, sediment chemistry exceedances, benthic indices results. Only those bioassay protocols which showed toxicity are listed. Complete results are listed in the appendices (shaded survival indicates samples which were toxic; n/a indicates no chemical analyses)

Station				%		R. abronius	E. estuarius	Sed/Water	M. edulis*	ERM or PEL			ERL	TEL	Benthic
number	Station	IDORG	Date	Fines	TOC	survival	survival	Inter Tox.	porewater	Exceedances	ERMQ	PELQ	Exc.	Exc.	Indices
10004.0	ARCATA BAY-MCDANIEL SL.	304	11/30/92	90.0	0.58	66				Cr, Ni	0.112	0.226	5	5	
10005.0	RUSSIAN RIVER MOUTH SMW 280.0	305	2/25/93	48.0	0.99		92		NT (0.009)	n/a	n/a	ı√a	n/a	n/a	
10006.0	BODEGA BAY-MASON'S MARINA	306	2/25/93	98.0	2.00	38				Ni, ACE, FLA, PHN, PYR	0.175	0.335	8	9	
10006.0	BODEGA BAY-MASON'S MARINA REPI	1350	6/14/94	96.7	3.44	61				n/a	n/a	n/a	n⁄a	n⁄a	
10006.0	BODEGA BAY-MASON'S MARINA REP2	1351	6/14/94	94.1	3.50	52				n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
10006.0	BODEGA BAY-MASON'S MARINA REP3	1352	6/14/94	98.5	3.58	75		,		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
10006.0	BODEGA BAY MASON'S MARINA	1682	12/6/96	98.9	3.34		57	NT		Ni	0.165	0.312	6	9	0.7
10007.0	BODEGA BAY-SPUD POINT MARINA	307	2/25/93	27.0	1.00	80				n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
10007.0	BODEGA-SPUD POINT MARINA REPT	1353	6/13/94	19.8	0.43	86				ı√a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
10007.0	BODEGA-SPUD POINT MARINA REP2	1354	6/13/94	17.1	0.48	75				n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
10007.0	BODEGA-SPUD POINT MARINA REP3	1355	6/13/94	15.2	0.35	91				n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
10007.0	BODEGA-SPUD POINT MARINA	1683	12/5/96	16.7	0.64		56	T		Cr	0.095	0.187	3	2	0.6
10015.0	ARCATA BAY-MAD RIVER SL.	315	11/30/92	60.0	0.65	81	•			ıv'a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
10016.0	ARCATA BAY-JULLY GIANT SI.	316	11/30/92	61.0	0.75	78				Cr. Ni	0.153	0.301	5	10	
10016.0	ARCATA BAY-JOLLY GIANT SL	1580	4/18/96	79.5	2.68	•	80			Cr, Ni	0.188	0.362	6	10	0.5
10017.0	ARCATA BAY-EUREKA SL.	317	11/29/92	88.0	0.77	67				Cr, Ni	0.121	0.242	3	6	,
10017.0	ARCATA BAY-EUREKA SI,	1581	4/17/96	82.4	1.47		77			Cr. Ni	0.151	0.305	4	4	0.5
10018.0	H BAY-UNION OIL PLANT	318	11/29/92	74.0	0.76	94	-				ı√a	n/a	n/a	ı√a	,
10018.0	H. BAY-UNION OIL PLANT	1584	4/17/96	79.3	1.71		81		*	Cr, Ni	0.164	0.360	4	6	0.6
10019.0	H-BAY-COAL/OIL/GAS PLANT	319	11/29/92	72.0	0.65	82				*	n/u	n/a	n/a	n/a	
10019.0	H. BAY- COAL/OIL/GAS PLANT	1442	2/15/95							Cr. Ni, MNP2	n/a	n/a	4	6	
10019.0	H. BAY-COAL/OIL/GAS PLANT	1583	4/17/96	72.1	1.73		94			Cr. Ni, lindane	0.143	0.482	3	6	0.9
10020.0	H. BAY-OLD PAC, LUMBER SITE	320	11/29/92	83.0	0.70	70				Cr. Ni	0.111	0.225	3	5	
10020.0	H. BAY- OLD PAC, LUMBER SITE	1444	2/15/95							Cr. Ni, MNP2	n/a	n/a	4	7	
10021.0	H. BAY-CHEVRON TERMINAL	321	11/29/92	50.0	0.56	76				Cr. Ni	0.114	0.237	3	5	
10021.0	H. BAY-CHEVRON TERMINAL	1582	4/17/96	76.9	1.18		86	-		Cr, Ni, lindane	0.122	0.312	2	4	0.4
10022.0	HUMBOLDT BAY EUREKA SM.22	1448	2/15/95					٠		Cr, Ni, MNP2	n/a	n/a	4	5	
10023.0	H. BAY EUREKA STORM 23	323	11/29/92	67.0	1.00	74				Cr, Ni	0.137	0.274	5	6	
10023.0	H. BAY EUREKA STORM 23	1579	4/17/96	36.1	1.82		92	*		Cr, Ni	0.129	0.268	3	5	0.9
10024.0	H. BAY FIELDS LANDING	324	11/29/92	75.0	0.60	86				u∕a	เป	n/a	u/a	n/a	
10025.0	H. BAY HOOKTON SL.	325	11/29/92	94.0	0.54	80				Cr. Ni	0.107	0.220	3	6	

* (interstitial unionized ammonia values for M. edulis (mg/L))

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Sediment quality guideline values were used for comparison with chemical concentrations found within the North Coast Region. Chromium, nickel, PAHs, and lindane were found most often to exceed ERM or PEL guideline values. Due to relatively low chemical concentrations within the region, ERL and TEL guideline values also were used to provide a more relevant comparison to the chemical composition of the North Coast Region. Copper, mercury, and zinc were found most often to exceed ERL and TEL guideline values. Although ERL and TEL values are considerably lower than ERM and PEL guidelines, multiple exceedances of ERL and TEL guidelines may indicate possible impacts on the relatively pristine environment of the North Coast Region.

The upper 90th percentiles, for sediment quotient ranges, for the North Coast Region were ERMQ>0.201 and PELQ>0.422. These values are significantly lower than other summary quotient values calculated for the state (i.e., San Diego 90th percentile ERMQ>0.85 and PELQ>1.29). Nevertheless, this is to be expected because the North Coast is not as heavily populated or industrialized as much of California. It should be noted that lower summary quotient values should not be used to infer that chemical pollution does not exist at discrete stations within the region. It should be noted that in contrast to the mitigation approach employed in more urban/industrial coastal regions, prevention and prohibition are the primary approaches employed in the protection of the relatively unpolluted coastal resources of California's North Coast. Therefore, any anthropogenic pollution is of great concern.

Tissue samples were collected from 10 stations and were analyzed for a variety of chemicals. Samples included both resident and transplanted mussels, oysters, crabs and polychaete worms. When applicable, relevant SMWP data were reviewed for chemical contamination and provided supplemental information about stations. In general, measured tissue concentrations of organic contaminants, such as pesticides, BTEX and TPH, were below detection limits, indicating relatively low levels of tissue contamination in the North Coast Region. However, some trace metals were detected in patterns similar to those found in sediments. Metals that were detected in both sediments and tissues included chromium, nickel, copper, and mercury.

Toxicity within the region was examined using a variety of bioassays. Twenty-nine of 31 stations sampled were tested using solid phase amphipod survival tests. Of these stations, 9 were toxic at least once using either *Eohaustorius* or *Rhepoxynius*; amphipod survival ranged from 38-99%. Stations shown to be toxic were scattered along the northern section of the Eureka waterfront, at the northern most station in Arcata Bay, and at the three marinas in Bodega Bay. All samples that were toxic, and had synoptic chemical analysis performed on them, had at least one ERM or PEL exceedance and at least 3 ERL or TEL exceedances. However, multiple regression analysis of data from throughout the region showed no significant relationships between amphipod toxicity and chemical concentrations.

Benthic community structure within the North Coast Region was analyzed using a Relative Benthic Index. The low and high ranges of the index indicate the relative "health" of a station compared to other stations within the data set and was used to classify stations as degraded, transitional and undegraded. The RBI for the North Coast ranged between 0.4 and 0.9 and none were classified as degraded. Nine stations were classified as having transitional benthic communities. These stations were scattered throughout the study area, particularly in Bodega Bay. The three undegraded stations were located on the central portion of the Eureka Waterfront. Due to the relatively low pollution levels in this region, and the small benthic community sample, size specific patterns or relationship between sediment chemistry and RBI values were not found.

Five stations, Porto Bodega Marina, Mason's Marina, H Street, J Street, and Humboldt Bay Coal, Gas and Oil Plant were distinguished as stations of concern or interest for the region. These stations exhibited greater level impacts of toxicity, greater chemical concentrations, or biological impacts compared to the remaining 31 stations analyzed in the region, and correspond with issues of regional concern.