Matt St. John  
NCRWQCB  
5550 Skylane Blvd.  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

May 14, 2001

Dear Mr. St. John:

The Redwood Coast Watersheds Alliance and the Greenwood Watershed Association hereby request 303(d) listing for the following south coast Mendocino creeks: Greenwood Creek, Elk Creek, Alder Creek, Mallo Pass Creek, Brush Creek, Schooner Gulch. We further request listing for the following north coast Mendocino creeks: Cottaneva Creek, Hardy Creek, Juan Creek, Howard Creek, DeHaven Creek and Wages Creek.

These relatively small watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean independently of major rivers, and which support current or historical coho salmon and steelhead populations and other beneficial uses of water, were mistakenly left off the EPA’s 303(d) list of impaired waterbodies. That mistake should now be rectified.

Enclosed you will find our report of information gathered about these watersheds, including many summary tables of information. The information is almost all from public documents, such as Louisiana Pacific’s Sustained Yield Plan for Coastal Mendocino (SYP 95-003), Louisiana Pacific Fish Distribution and Temperature studies, and Timber Harvest Plan files of the CA Department of Forestry.

We are also including a study of road impacts in Greenwood Creek, entitled Greenwood Creek Watershed Project Road Survey Summary Report, by Forest, Soil & Water, Inc. (Dr. Fred Euphrate), which includes the data from a Hagans and Weaver road survey of approximately 25% of the Greenwood Creek watershed. The report identifies over 600 sites of erosion on small landowners’ roads, a table of “Sites of High Erosion,” and identification of 17 priority sites for restoration work. Restoration work on these roads was conducted in 1996-98, but much work remains to be done to control erosion.

The major timber industry landowner, Mendocino Redwood Company, has either not conducted similar studies on MRC timber land in Greenwood Creek and in other coastal watersheds, or has not disclosed the surveys. Similarly, MRC’s various management documents contain no watershed assessment or planning information, and are merely documents that state general, overall ownership policies and goals. This leaves a big hole in the information set for these watersheds. However, information from other sources, such as previous owner L-P’s Sustained Yield Plan, provides significant information.
indicating serious impairment of the beneficial uses of water in the above mentioned watersheds, including precipitous declines in coho salmon populations and a tremendous loss of the big trees needed to shade watercourses and filter water.

In the case of Greenwood Creek, there is also a town water supply at risk. In a separate submission to the NCRWQCB, the Elk County Water District documents extremely high turbidity in Greenwood Creek and other impacts and costs that indicate serious impairment and require the 303(d) listing process for monitoring and standard setting. The Greenwood Creek Watershed Road Survey indicates that one of the sources of the turbidity is the erosion from rural roads.

Though turbidity data is not available for the other small coastal streams (as far as we know), the similarities between Greenwood Creek and these other creeks, as to logging history, current logging, current roadage and stream crossings, geology and hydrology, historical and current fish species, declines and losses of fish species, and other indicators, point to similar impairment and on-going impacts in these other coastal streams.

Greenwood Creek, upon which an entire town depends for its drinking water, and the other creeks mentioned, which are quickly losing their salmonid populations, all require 303(d) listing, in order to begin the process of monitoring and the setting of standards necessary to preserve the beneficial uses in these watersheds.

Elk Creek is an excellent example of why. L-P Fish Distribution surveys in 1994-96 found "<10" (fewer than 10) coho salmon in Elk Creek. Five years later, the current timber owner, Mendocino Redwood Company, placed evidence of a year 2000 fish survey into Timber Harvest Plan 1-00-363 MEN. The evidence consisted merely of a list of species found last year. The coho salmon was absent from the list. In other words, the coho salmon in Elk Creek have gone from "<10" fish to zero fish over the last five years, according to this survey evidence. MRC has a total of 16 current logging plans in Elk Creek, most of it clearcutting, and including considerable new road construction. A study of the water quality issues in Elk Creek is long overdue, as is a setting of water quality standards.

In another example, Cottaneva Creek, on the north Mendocino coast, is one of only 8 (out of 27 watersheds studied) where L-P found coho salmon in the 1994-96 Fish Distribution surveys.

I will provide you with an inventory of the enclosed documents by fax tomorrow, on May 15.

Sincerely,

Mary Pjerrou
President, Redwood Coast Watersheds Alliance
and on behalf of the Greenwood Watershed Association
Documents attached to Redwood Coast Watersheds Alliance letter of 5/14/01
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1. All of the above documents referenced by title page, on-line location or other reference, in their entirety.
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5. Mendocino Superior Court cases CV 78423, 81923, 60728 and 81119, in their entirety including all administrative records, legal briefs, declarations and court documents.

6. All National Marine Fisheries Service Final Rules, Habitat Designations and other listing documents for coho salmon and steelhead trout.
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