Sources and Concentrations of Diazinon in the Sacramento Watershed during the 1994 Orchard Dormant Spray Season

_ 48

Copy



Board Members

Ed J. Schnabel, Chair Steven Butler, Vice Chair Charles Ahlem Jane Papazian Craig Pedersen William Porter John Sharrah Patricia M. Smith Clifford C. Wisdom

Gary M. Carlton, Executive Officer

Staff involved in the preparation of this report

Robert Holmes Christopher Foe and Victor de Vlaming

Forward

This project was funded by the State Water Resources Control Board with monitoring and assessment funds under contract number 3-004-150-0 with the United States Geological Survey and with Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board monitoring funds to conduct a diazinon loading study in the Sacramento River Watershed. The contents of this document do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the State Water Resources Control Board nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

1

cover photo: Victor de Vlaming

	TABLE OF	CONTENTS	,
•			

LIST OF TABLES	üi
LIST OF FIGURES	iv
LIST OF APPENDICES	v
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	4
METHOD AND MATERIALS	6
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
Quality Assurance/Quality Control Program	
Diazinon Degradation	
DRY WEATHER-Diazinon Concentration	14
Loads	10
WET WEATHER	16
First Storm-Diazinon Concentrations	10
First Storm-Diazinon Loads	
Second Storm-Diazinon Concentrations	
Second Storm-Diazinon Loads	
Third Storm-Diazinon Concentrations	
Third Storm-Diazinon Loads	
Other Chemicals	
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	30
LITERATURE CITED	31
TABLES	32
FIGURES	40
APPENDIX A: Description of Sampling Locations	59
APPENDIX B: Quality Assurance and Quality Control Tables and Figures	62
APPENDIX C: Summary of Diazinon Concentration and Loads	73
APPENDIX D: Summary of Other Chemicals Detected in Study	90

•

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Summary of stonefruit and almond acreage in the northern Sacramento River Valley 33
Table 2.	Recovery and precision data from six determinations of compounds amended at 100 ng/L into laboratory water at the U.S. Geological Survey Central Laboratory
Table 3.	Recovery of insecticides spiked at 100 ng/L into organic free Sacramento River water 35
Table 4.	Distance and estimated travel time of water to the City of Sacramento (Site 1) from all primary orchard sampling sites
Table 5.	Daily precipitation (inches) in Red Bluff, Colusa, Marysville, and Sacramento for January 1 through February 28, 1994
Table 6.	Summary of the number of days that the California Department of Fish and Game water quality criteria for protection of freshwater aquatic life were exceeded in the Sacramento Basin during the 1994 orchard dormant spray season
Table 7.	Diazinon concentration and loads in the upper Sacramento River Basin during the third storm of 1994

iii

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Map of sampling sites	41
Figure 2.	Summary of diazinon use on stonefruit and almond orchards in 1993-94	42
Figure 3.	Diazinon concentrations (ng/L) in the Sacramento River at Sacramento and	
	in principal tributaries draining orchard areas during dry weather in 1994	43
Figure 4.	Comparison of diazinon concentrations at Karnak on Sacramento South	
5	(site 4) and 36 miles upstream at Pass Road (Site 5) during dry weather	44
Figure 5.	Average percent contribution of diazinon to the Sacramento River at the	
U	City of Sacramento from principal waterways draining orchard areas during	
	winter dry weather periods	45
Figure 6.	Comparison of diazinon loads (g/day) during dry weather at Karnak on	
U	Sacramento Slough (Site 4) and 36 miles upstream on Butte Creek at	
	Pass Road (Site 5)	46
Figure 7.	Rainfall (inches), flow (CFS), and diazinon concentration (ng/L) for the	
ų	Sacramento River at Sacramento in January and February 1994	47
Figure 8.	Rainfall (inches), flow (CFS), and diazinon concentration (ng/L) for the	
-	Sacramento River at Colusa in January and February 1994	48
Figure 9.	Diazinon concentration (ng/L) in the Sacramento River and in the principal	
-	orchard tributaries between the Colusa and Sacramento for the first storm	
	(January 24 - 28)	49
Figure 10.	Diazinon concentration in Feather River watershed during each of three storms	50
Figure 11.	Diazinon concentration in Butte Creek, Sacramento Slough, and their tributaries	
	during each of three storms	51
Figure 12.	Predicted and measured diazinon mass load (kg/day) in the Sacramento River	
	at Sacramento during January 24 to 28 rainfall event	52
Figure 13.	Comparison of Feather River diazinon loads at Yuba City and at HWY 99 for	
	each of three storms	53
Figure 14.	Comparison of diazinon loads at Pass Road and at Karnak in Sacramento Slough	
	for each of three storms	54
Figure 15.	Diazinon concentration (ng/L) in the Sacramento River and in the principal	
	orchard tributaries between Cities of Colusa and Sacramento for the second	
	storm (February 6 to 11)	55
Figure 16.	Predicted and measured diazinon mass load (kg/day) in Sacramento River at	
	Sacramento during 9 to 13 February	56
Figure 17.	Diazinon concentrations (ng/L) in the Sacramento River and principal orchard	
•	tributaries between Colusa and Sacramento for the third storm (February 17 to 24).	57
Figure 18.	Predicted and measured diazinon mass load (kg/day) in Sacramento River at	
	Sacramento during 19 to 24 February	58

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A.	Description of Sampling Locations)
APPENDIX B.	Quality Assurance and Quality Control Tables and	Figures62	+ -
APPENDIX C.	Summary of Diazinon Concentration and Loads	73	į
APPENDIX D	Summary of Other Chemicals Detected in Study	90	,

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A million pounds of dormant spray active ingredients are applied annually in the Central Valley on half a million acres of stonefruit and almond orchards in January and February. Diazinon accounts for about half the use. Toxic concentrations of diazinon were measured in the San Joaquin and in the Sacramento River in February 1993 after the three largest storms of the month (Kuivila and Foe, 1995)¹. Diazinon was traced as far seaward in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta/Estuary as the City of Martinez. Diazinon-caused toxicity was observed 60 miles downstream of Sacramento. Studies have not been conducted in the Sacramento River watershed to determine the source of the diazinon.

These findings are of regulatory significance as the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board's (CVRWQCB) Basin Plan contains a narrative toxicity objective stating that "all waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses . . . in aquatic life." Related to this toxicity water quality objective, the Sacramento River and Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta-Estuary were placed on the Clean Water Act's 303(d) list by the CVRWQCB as impaired water bodies because of detecting toxic concentrations of diazinon in addition to other toxic chemicals, including chlorpyrifos.

Enyme linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) are a recently developed procedure that utilizes antibodies to measure concentrations of chemicals. An antibody has been developed specifically for diazinon. The procedure is appealing because it has a low detection limit and may be completed within hours facilitating real-time follow-up studies. Traditionally, State of California agencies have employed a capillary gas chromatograph/ion trap mass spectrometer (GC/MS) to quantify chemical concentrations. GC/MS is time consuming and analytical data are often not available for several weeks. The accuracy and precision of the ELISA procedure on surface water samples has not been assessed.

¹ Water samples collected in the Sacramento River at the City of Sacramento during one storm caused complete *Ceriodaphnia* mortality using the U.S. EPA three species bioassay procedure. Diazinon was assumed to be the primary cause of mortality.

Objectives of this study were threefold: (1) monitor diazinon concentrations in the Sacramento River after three rainstorms in January/February 1994 to ascertain whether insecticide pulses were present, (2) if pulses were observed, then determine the geographic sources of the insecticide, and (3) compare the accuracy and precision of ELISA and GC/MS methods to determine the utility of the ELISA procedure for analyzing surface water samples

Water year 1994 was critically dry. As in February 1993 (Kuivila and Foe, 1995), flow and diazinon concentrations increased in the Sacramento River at Sacramento in January/February 1994 after the three largest rain storms. Peak diazinon concentrations were 236, 253, and 51 ng/L. Eighty-five miles upstream at the City of Colusa, flow and pesticide concentration also increased after each storm. Maximum diazinon concentrations were 88, 200, and 105 ng/L. The primary source of the diazinon at the City of Sacramento during the first storm was from the Feather River drainage. Important sources to the Feather River were Jack Slough and the Bear River. The primary sources of diazinon in the Sacramento River at Sacramento during the second and third storms were the upper Sacramento Basin above Colusa and from the Sacramento Slough drainage. The principle sources of diazinon in the upper Sacramento River were not defined, but appeared to be located in the area between Bend and Vina. Important sources of diazinon in Sacramento Slough were the Main Drain inputs, Wadsworth Canal, and the Department of Water Resources (DWR) pumping stations at Obanion and Sacramento Avenue.

Comparison of instream diazinon concentrations with the California Department of Fish and Game's (DFG) recommended water quality criteria for protection of aquatic life demonstrated that the Sacramento River at Sacramento in January/February 1994 exceeded the DFG acute and chronic criteria for nine and 19 days, respectively. Similar multiple exceedances were also observed in the Sacramento River at Colusa, the Feather River at Yuba City and at HWY 99, Sacramento Slough at Pass Road and at Karnak, and at Colusa Drain. The DFG recommends that once every three years the acute criteria may be exceeded for an hour and the chronic one for four days without causing damage to aquatic ecosystems. The frequency of exceedance of the diazinon criteria was greater than recommended by DFG in several areas of the Sacramento watershed in January/February 1994.

One hundred and fifty-five field samples were analyzed by both ELISA and GC/MS. No statistical difference was noted in the accuracy and precision of the two methods suggesting that the diazinon ELISA procedure is acceptable for monitoring of surface waters.

INTRODUCTION

The Sacramento River Watershed encompasses over 16 million acres with about one percent¹ of the land mass being planted in stonefruit and almond orchards. Almonds and prunes constitute 90 percent of this acreage (Table 1). The highest density of orchards typically occurs in the deeper, well-drained soil adjacent to waterways in Butte, Glenn, Colusa and Sutter Counties. An annual application of dormant spray is recommended for all almond and stonefruit orchards in early winter primarily for the control of boring insects.

Approximately 500,000 pounds of dormant spray insecticide are applied annually in the Central Valley on half a million acres of stonefruit and almond orchards primarily to control boring insects. The pesticides are typically applied in January and February. Four insecticides are primarily employed with diazinon accounting for about half the market². Toxic concentrations of diazinon were measured in the San Joaquin and in the Sacramento River in February 1993 after the three largest storms of the month (Kuivila and Foe, 1995). Dormant spray concentrations in the San Joaquin River at Vernalis caused 100 percent *Ceriodaphnia* mortality in U.S. EPA three species bioassay procedures (U.S. EPA, 1989) for 12 days while acute toxicity was observed in the Sacramento River at the City of Sacramento for one day. Diazinon pulses from both watersheds were traced as far seaward in the Sacramento. Toxicity was observed as far west as Chipps Island, 60 miles downstream of Sacramento.

These findings are of regulatory significance as the CVRWQCB's Basin Plan contains a narrative toxicity objective: "all waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses . . . in aquatic life." In 1989, U.S. EPA endorsed (54FR23868) use of the EPA three species bioassays in assessing compliance with state narrative toxicity objectives. Both the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and the downstream Estuary have been placed on the Clean Water Act 303(d) list by the CVRWQCB as

¹ 171,000 acres.

² 73,000 pounds of diazinon were applied to orchards in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Sutter, Tehama, Yolo, and Yuba counties during January and February 1994.

impaired water bodies in part because of toxic concentrations of diazinon during dormant spray season.

Follow-up studies in the San Joaquin Basin confirmed that the application of dormant spray on orchards was the primary source of diazinon (Foe, 1995; Domagalski, 1996; Kratzer 1997). Loading studies (Kratzer, 1997) demonstrated that the Tuolumne and Merced Rivers and several small westside tributaries in Stanislaus County were the primary source of the insecticide. The results were surprising as some of the largest densities of orchards are along the Stanislaus River where little off site movement of diazinon was observed. These results are significant as they help focus the control actions on the primary locations responsible for the majority of the pesticide observed in the San Joaquin River and in the southern Estuary. No similar studies have been conducted in the Sacramento Basin to determine the source of the diazinon observed in the Sacramento River.

Enyme linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) are a recently developed procedure that use antibodies to measure chemical concentration. An antibody has been developed specifically for diazinon. The procedure is appealing because it has a low detection limit and may be completed within hours without the purchase of large amounts of equipment. Traditionally, State of California agencies have employed a capillary gas chromatograph/ion trap mass spectrometer (GC/MS) to quantify chemical concentrations. The GC/MS analysis is time consuming and analytical data are often not available for several days making real time follow up studies difficult. The accuracy and precision of the ELISA procedure was not known for field samples.

Objectives of this study were threefold. First, monitor diazinon concentrations in the Sacramento River watershed after rainstorms to ascertain whether pulses were present. Second, if concentrations of concern were observed, determine the sources of the insecticide. As part of this project, we compared the accuracy and precision of ELISA and GC/MS methods to determine the utility of the ELISA procedure on field samples.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Site Description And Sampling Locations: Water samples were collected at 30 sites (Figure 1) on the Sacramento River and tributaries in the winter of 1994. Sampling was associated with rainstorms. All samples were subsurface grab samples collected in one liter amber glass bottles. Samples were placed on ice for transport to the laboratory where they were stored at 4°C until analysis. Seven primary sampling sites were selected for daily monitoring after rainstorms (Appendix A, Table 1). These were located on the Sacramento River and on its major tributaries downstream of high densities of orchards. Orchards in Butte and Glenn Counties are predominately located along the upper Sacramento River and its tributaries. Water samples collected in the town of Colusa (Site 7) should detect any insecticides in stormwater runoff from the upper Sacramento River, with the exception of central Butte County. Orchards in central Butte County drain to Butte Slough above Pass Road (Site 5). Orchards in Sutter County predominately drain to Sacramento Slough between Pass Road and Karnak (Site 4). Orchards in Yuba County are located along the east side of the Feather River between Yuba City and HWY 99. Rainwater runoff from this area should be reflected in the insecticide loads from the Feather River at HWY 99 (Site 2). Flow information was available for each primary site enabling calculation of diazinon loads.

Secondary sampling sites were located along tributaries to the Sacramento River, Feather River, and Butte Slough for the purpose of identifying major sources of diazinon within each subbasin (Appendix A, Table 2). Samples were to be collected at least once during each rainfall event at the secondary sites.

Flow data were not available for all these locations, making source identification more qualitative in nature. Limited sampling occurred on the upper Sacramento River between Colusa and Red Bluff when it became apparent that a notable portion of the diazinon load originated in those areas. Sampling was from major bridges crossing the river (Appendix A, Table 2).

<u>Precipitation</u>: Rainfall data were obtained from the Desert Research Center's Atmospheric Science Center for four locations in the northern Central Valley: Cities of Sacramento, Colusa, Marysville, and Red Bluff. Rainfall information was collected for multiple sites as the valley is over 100 miles long and thus precipitation at any one location is not likely to be representative of the entire watershed.

<u>Diazinon Analysis:</u> Three types of diazinon analysis were conducted: Millipore Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) at U.C. Davis; Gas Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer (GC/MS) at the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) central laboratory in Arvada, Colorado; and /or GC/MS at the USGS laboratory in Sacramento.

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay: All water samples (n=332) were analyzed by ELISA following Millipore recommended procedures (1993)³ at U.C. Davis Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory within 14 days of collection. The Millipore ELISA detection limit for diazinon is 30 ng/L. An ELISA quality assurance program consisting of 178 samples (47 percent of the total sample number) was conducted: (1) 12 blank samples were analyzed, (2) 15 duplicate

ELISA kits used in this study were distributed by Millipore Corporation. The Millipore ELISA division has since been acquired by Strategic Diagnostics Inc., 128 Sandy Drive, Newark, DE 19713-1147.

analyses were performed on the same sample, (3) 152 samples were analyzed by GC/MS; 38 by the USGS in Sacramento, and 114 by the USGS central laboratory, and (4) approximately 16 percent of the samples were analyzed by GC/MS at both USGS facilities.

Gas Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer Analysis: Within ten days of collection, forty percent (n=152) of the samples were filtered through a 0.7 micron glass fiber filter and then extracted on solid phase C18 resin column cartridges. The cartridges were then stored in a freezer until shipped to the USGS central laboratory for elution and analysis by GC/MS. The central laboratory detection limit and mean percent recovery for diazinon are 8 ng/L and 77 percent, respectively (Table 2). No correction was made in this study for the less than complete recovery of diazinon. Complete details of the analytical procedure are described by Zaugg *et al.* (1995).

Ten percent (n=48) of the samples were prepared in a similar fashion for analysis at the USGS Sacramento laboratory which uses the same procedures (Zaugg *et al.*, 1995). The detection limit and mean percent recovery for diazinon at the USGS laboratory in Sacramento was 38 ng/L and 74 percent, respectively (Table 3). The Sacramento USGS GC/MS analysis was needed because methidathion, another dormant spray insecticide, was not reported in the central laboratory scan.

A quality assurance program was also performed on samples analyzed by GC/MS to assess accuracy and precision of the analytical process. Twenty deionized blank water samples were submitted to the USGS central laboratory to ascertain background contamination. These were prepared in the same way as the field samples. Five samples (three percent of the total) were split and were submitted blind to the USGS central laboratory as intralaboratory splits to ascertain repeatability of the analytical method. In addition, a surrogate deuterated diazinon sample was amended into USGS central laboratory samples to establish the efficiency of diazinon extraction and analysis.

Diazinon Degradation Experiment: A degradation experiment was conducted to assess the rate of loss of diazinon held in amber glass containers in the dark at $<4.0^{\circ}$ C. These experiments were necessary because some field samples were held for 14 days before analysis. The experiment consisted of spiking three one liter samples of both laboratory and Butte Creek water with 350 ng/L diazinon and measuring insecticide concentration 0, 10, 38, and 60 days later (spiked samples stored in dark at 4° C). Results were compared by analysis of variance to establish whether a loss occurred through time.

<u>Water Flow Data:</u> Flow data were obtained from the 1994 USGS's Water Data Report (USGS, 1994) and the Department of Water Resources' (DWR) California Data Exchange Center (CDEC, 1995). CDEC flow data was used almost exclusively at all primary sampling locations except at the HWY 99 bridge on the Feather River (Site 2). No flow information is available for the Feather River at its confluence with the Sacramento River. Therefore, the flow of the Feather River was estimated by summing the flow of the Sacramento River at the City of Colusa with that of Colusa Drain and Sacramento Slough and subtracting the flow of the Sacramento River at Verona.

Diazinon Mass Loading Calculations: Estimations of mass loading are helpful in determining sources of chemical contaminants. Loads were calculated by multiplying the measured diazinon

concentration at a site by the mean daily flow (results expressed as kilograms diazinon per day). If multiple estimates of diazinon concentration were available, then values were averaged to calculate a mean concentration for use in the mass load estimate.

<u>**Travel Time:</u>** To compare loads at different points in a watershed, one must have some estimate of water mass travel time. The travel time of water masses between the confluence of each Sacramento River tributary and the City of Sacramento was estimated from distance and water velocity measurements (DWR, 1962 - Table 4). Travel time estimates between 12 and 36 hours were rounded to one day while estimates falling between 36 and 60 hours were rounded to two days. Velocity measurements were not available for Butte Creek or the Feather River. Travel times were calculated by assuming a velocity of 1.25 miles per hour (Table 4).</u>

 $\psi \models$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results are presented below in three sections. First, the data from the pesticide quality assurance/quality control program is summarized with an emphasis on determining whether ELISA procedures might be substituted for GC/MS analysis. Second, diazinon concentrations and loads are presented for both tributaries and the mainstem Sacramento River during dry and wet weather. The main objective was to determine whether exceedances of the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG) Hazard Assessment criteria for diazinon (Menconi and Cox, 1994) occurred and to locate the geographic source(s) of diazinon observed in the river at the City of Sacramento after rainstorms. In addition, information is summarized on other common pesticides detected during storm flows.

Quality Assurance and Quality Control Program

A quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) program was carried out to assess the reliability of both the GC/MS and ELISA, and to determine whether the ELISA procedure could be used in place of GC/MS analysis for field monitoring.

<u>GC/MS</u>: The GC/MS program consisted of the submission of blanks, intra- and interlaboratory splits of the same sample, and amendments of deuterated diazinon. First, no chemicals including diazinon, were measured in any of the 20 blank samples analyzed at the USGS's central laboratory. Second, five intralaboratory split samples were analyzed at the USGS central laboratory (Appendix B, Table 1). In these five samples, the mean percent difference in diazinon concentration was 22 percent. Third, 25 interlaboratory split samples were analyzed by both the

USGS Sacramento laboratory and the central laboratory (Appendix B, Table 2). The mean percent difference was 19 percent (Appendix B, Table 2). These data are plotted in Figure 1 of Appendix B and the relationship was found to have a r² value of 0.93. Paired samples were compared by t-test to establish whether a difference might exist. None was noted (P>0.10) so both data sets were combined for subsequent analysis. Finally, the mean percent recovery of

164 deuterated diazinon amendments was 95 percent (Appendix B, Table 3).

ELISA: The ELISA QA/QCprogram at U.C. Davis Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory consisted of laboratory blanks, intralaboratory splits and interlaboratory comparisons employing ELISA and GC/MS analyses. No diazinon was detected in any of the 12 blank samples analyzed by ELISA. Fifteen samples were reanalyzed by ELISA to ascertain the repeatability of the results. The mean percent difference was 20 percent (Appendix B, Table 4). This value appears similar to the 22 percent difference observed in duplicate GC/MS analyses of other field samples.

Both ELISA and GC/MS were used to analyze 155 samples (Appendix B, Table 1). Thirty-eight of these GC/MS analyses were conducted at the Sacramento USGS laboratory and 117 at the central laboratory. Data are plotted in Figure 2 of Appendix B ($r^2 = 0.75$). A paired t-test was used to assess whether a difference might exist between methods; none was detected (P>0.3). Thus, the ELISA and GC/MS results were considered comparable and were combined in the subsequent analysis.

In conclusion, results of both the GC/MS and ELISA QA/QC program appeared satisfactory. Furthermore, no difference was evident in the accuracy and precision of the two methods, suggesting that ELISA is an acceptable procedure for determining diazinon concentrations in surface water monitoring.

<u>Diazinon degradation</u>: No change was noted in the concentration (analyzed by ELISA) of diazinon amended into laboratory and Butte Creek water after two months storage in amber glass containers at $<4^{\circ}$ C (P>0.05, ANOVA; Appendix B, Figure 3) suggesting that little error was caused by delaying the analysis of some field samples for up to 14 days.

Sources

Diazinon concentration and load data are presented below for portions of the Sacramento Watershed draining areas of high orchard density. Information on diazinon concentration is important as it indicates locations where insecticides may be a threat to aquatic life. To assess whether toxicity impacts on aquatic life could exist, diazinon concentrations were compared to the DFG Hazard Assessment criteria. Load information is important because it indicates the major sources of contamination. Such information is needed to identify areas where control action is necessary to insure the protection of aquatic organisms. The strategy consisted of collecting concentration and load information at key locations in each basin during both dry and wet periods, as well as conducting detailed follow-up work in those locations which appeared to contribute the greatest amount of diazinon.

Precipitation: Water year 1994 was classified as critically dry in the Sacramento basin.⁴ Rainfall patterns at the Cities of Red Bluff, Colusa, Marysville, and Sacramento are presented in Table 5. Two significant storms occurred during the study: the first in late January and the second in early February. Each dropped 1.5 inches or more of rain throughout the Central Valley. A third, more minor, storm occurred in late February. Daily precipitation patterns at all locations appeared similar with the following exceptions: rainfall during the first storm was nearly an inch more at Marysville than at either Colusa or Sacramento while precipitation totals for the third storm at Red Bluff were at least double those at all other locations. The second storm appeared to be of similar magnitude throughout the Valley.

Dormant Sprav Usage: Seventy-five percent of the diazinon was applied in the Sacramento Basin during January 1994 (Figure 2). Presumably, most of the insecticide was sprayed during the first three weeks of the month as the last week was wet.⁵ Butte, Glenn, Sutter and Yuba Counties accounted for 90 percent of the use. Pesticide application rates, with the exception of Colusa County, were consistent with the reported orchard acreage (Table 1, Figure 2). Colusa County is reported to have a larger acreage in trees than was reflected in the pesticide use data.

DRY WEATHER

Diazinon Concentration: Diazinon concentrations were measured on five occasions during dry weather in 1994: 12, 17, 21, and 31 January and 4 February (Figure 3, and Appendix C,

2.5 inches of rain fell between 23 and 26 January at Red Bluff (Table _____)

⁴ Water year types are classified in California according to the natural water production of the major basins.

Table 1). These dates were selected because they were preceded by at least three days of dry weather (Table 5). The highest diazinon concentrations were observed in Sacramento Slough at Karnak (Site 4). On all occasions diazinon concentrations in the Slough exceeded the DFG chronic water quality criterion, and on three days the acute criterion. In contrast, the chronic criterion was only exceeded elsewhere on four occasions (Colusa Basin Drain on 31 January, Feather River on 12 January, and Sacramento River at Sacramento on 17 and 31 January). The Karnak data are particularly troubling as the Sutter National Wildlife Refuge is located immediately upstream and the watershed supports a late Fall Salmon Run. Juvenile salmon should be migrating down Sacramento Slough during February (Reynolds et. al., 1993).

No upstream dry weather pesticide data were collected for either the Feather or Sacramento Rivers as neither watershed routinely exceeded the DFG hazard assessment criteria. However, limited information was obtained at Pass Road on Butte Creek, some 36 miles upstream of Karnak, because of the diazinon exceedances. The Pass Road site is above the Sutter National Wildlife Refuge. Potential diazinon sources here are from orchards in the vicinity of Chico draining into Upper Butte Creek and from orchards surrounding the Main Drain site (Figure 1). Diazinon concentrations were always lower at Pass Road than at Karnak suggesting that major inputs were below Pass Road (Figure 4). However, on all dates diazinon concentrations at the Pass Road site exceeded the DFG's chronic water quality criterion. Loads: Daily dry weather diazinon loads were similar (196-258 gms/day) in all tributaries where orchards are a major land use with the exception of Colusa Drain (Figure 5; Appendix C, Table 2). This observation is notable as the flow of the Sacramento and Feather Rivers are about seven times greater than Sacramento Slough. High concentrations of diazinon in Sacramento Slough are responsible for its contribution to load. Colusa Drain always exported negligible amounts of diazinon.

Dry weather diazinon load information is available only for upper Butte Creek at Pass Road (Figure 6). On each sampling date the load increased downstream at Karnack. Mean daily loads were about four times greater at Karnak than at Pass Road suggesting that about 25 percent of the diazinon originated above Pass Road and about 75 percent below this point. The major sources of diazinon below Pass Road are likely to be Wadsworth Canal and the DWR pumping stations at Obanion and Sacramento Avenue.

WET WEATHER

First Storm - Diazinon Concentrations: Two inches of rain fell between 22 and 25 January in the City of Sacramento after a ten day dry period, three inches were recorded at the Cities of Marysville and Red Bluff (Table 5).

Baseline dry weather diazinon concentration and river flow before the storm at Sacramento ranged from <30 to 50 ng/L and from 10,000 to 15,000 CFS, respectively (Figure 7; Appendix C, Tables 1 and 2). Flow and diazinon concentrations began to increase at Sacramento on 24 January, peaked on the 27th at 24,000 CFS and 236 ng/L and returned to background levels by 4 February. Eighty-five miles upstream at Colusa, pesticide concentrations also began to rise on January 24th, but peaked a day later on the 25th at 90 ng/L and returned to baseline by the 29th (Figure 8).

Comparison of instream pesticide concentrations with the DFG Hazard Assessment criteria demonstrated that the acute criterion was exceeded for one day at Colusa (25 January) and for five days (24 to 28 January) at Sacramento (Figure 9, Table 6). Computation of a diazinon concentration four day running average demonstrates that instream values exceeded the DFG chronic criterion at the City of Colusa for five days (24 to 28 January) and at Sacramento for eleven days (24 January to 3 February; Table 6). It is assumed, although no data were collected, that the 85 miles of river between Colusa and Sacramento also exceeded the chronic criterion for the five day time period between 24 to 28 January as both the up and downstream locations were above the criterion throughout the time period.

Higher diazinon concentrations were observed in the Feather River than in the Sacramento River (Figure 10). Diazinon concentration in the Feather River at Hwy 99 began to rise on 25 January, peaked on the 26th and returned to baseline by the 29th. Twenty miles upstream at Yuba City, diazinon concentrations were rising on the 24th, peaked on the 25th and decreased to background concentrations by the 28th (Figure 10).

Comparison of Feather River diazinon concentrations to DFG hazard assessment water quality criteria demonstrates that the recommended acute value was exceeded for four days at both

Yuba City (24 to 27 January) and at HWY 99 (25 to 28 January; Table 6, Figure 10). Furthermore, four day instream running average concentrations exceeded the DFG chronic criterion at Yuba City for five days (24 to 28 January) and at HWY 99 for eight days (24 to 31 January). Comparison of the timing of up and downstream exceedances suggest that the intervening 20 miles of River between Yuba City and HWY 99 likely exceeded the DFG acute and chronic water quality criteria for at least three (25 to 27 January) and five (24 to 28 January) days, respectively. Diazinon concentrations were available for tributaries only for 24 January (Figure 10). All sample concentrations, except for the Yuba River at Marysville, exceeded the DFG acute water quality criterion.

During the first storm, the highest diazinon concentrations were observed in Sacramento Slough (Figure 9). Diazinon concentrations at Pass Road began to rise by 25 January, peaked on the 26th but had not returned to baseline by the 28th when sampling ceased (Figure 11). Thirty-six miles downstream at Karnak, diazinon concentration began to rise on the 25th, a day later than at Pass Road, peaked on the 27th at 1400 ng/L, but had not returned to baseline by the last day of sampling (31 January). All diazinon concentrations recorded at Pass Road, and seven of eight measurements at Karnak, exceeded the DFG acute water quality criterion (Figure 11, Table 6). Diazinon concentration was measured on 24 January only in the primary agricultural tributaries to the lower Sacramento Slough/Butte Creek between Karnak (Site 4) and Pass Road (Site 5). The 24th was at least one day prior to the entry of the largest pesticide concentrations. Concentrations in the Main Drain (Site 15), Wadsworth Canal (Site 14), DWR pumping station at Obanion (Site 13), and at Sacramento Avenue (Site 12) all exceeded the DFG acute water quality criterion (Figure 11).

Diazinon concentrations in Colusa Basin Drain were the lowest recorded for any major input to the lower Sacramento River (Figure 9). Diazinon concentrations exceeded the DFG acute water quality criterion on 27 January (94 ng/L) only, though, the four day running average diazinon concentration exceeded the chronic criterion for five days (24 to 28 January, Table 6).

1

First Storm - Diazinon Loads: Measured diazinon loads (kg/day) in the Sacramento River at Sacramento and predicted contribution from each tributary are presented in Figure 12. Measured loads were calculated by multiplying the daily flow rate of the river at a site by the observed diazinon concentration at that site. Predicted loads were estimated by summing the contribution of each tributary after accounting for travel time to Sacramento. Differences between the predicted and measured loads are an indication of the reliability of the load estimates. Substantial error may have occurred in estimating travel time, in employing a single daily grab sample to estimate pesticide concentrations, and analytical errors in measuring diazinon concentrations and river flow rates. The largest single source of error was probably caused by collecting a single grab sample daily.

The sum (four day total) of the predicted daily loads from tributaries over estimated actual measurements at Sacramento by about sixty percent during the first storm (Figure 12). The largest difference occurred on 27 January when the measured load was only about forty percent of the predicted one.

At Sacramento, maximum load was measured on 27 January (Figure 12). About 90 percent of the load appeared to have originated from the Feather River. One possible explanation that the predicted load was greater than estimated is that the maximum diazinon concentration in the river at Sacramento may have been about double the measured value and may have persisted for less than a day. Similar pulses with high amplitude and short duration have been observed in the San Joaquin River basin after large storms (Kratzer, 1997). Diazinon loads decreased at Sacramento in succeeding days mainly because of decreased contributions from the Feather River. The maximum load from Sacramento Slough peaked one day later than the Feather River and declined slowly. This resulted in the pesticide pulse at Sacramento having a "tail." Contributions from the Sacramento River above Colusa were relatively constant throughout the storm at about 1.2 kg/day, while Colusa Basin Drain contributed less than one percent of the total load.

Comparison of the diazinon load of the Feather River at Yuba City and at HWY 99 demonstrate that the watershed above Yuba City contributed only 250-400 gms/day or about 4 percent of the total load at HWY 99 (Figure 13). Therefore, 96 percent of the diazinon must have originated from below Yuba City. The load estimate at Yuba City does not include input from Jack Slough, which enters the river on the side opposite from our sampling site. While limited concentration information is available for the tributaries, it is apparent that Jack Slough and the Bear River may have been major sources of diazinon to the Feather River below Yuba City (Figure 10).

Sacramento Slough was the second most important source of diazinon in the Sacramento River at the Sacramento site (Figure 12). Comparison of the daily load of diazinon at Pass Road and at Karnak demonstrated that, on average, the load at Pass Road was only about 25 percent of that at

Karnak (Figure 14). This implies that about 75 percent of the diazinon load measured at Karnak entered below Pass Road. Again, while little information is available for the lower portion of the slough, likely sources appear to be Wadsworth Canal and the DWR pumping stations at Obanion and Sacramento Avenue (Figure 11).

Second Storm - Diazinon Concentrations: The second rainfall event was preceded by a seven day dry period and occurred between 6 and 11 February. Flow and diazinon concentrations increased in the Sacramento River and peaked on 10 February at Sacramento (Figure 7; Appendix C, Tables 1 and 2). At Colusa, flow and insecticide maxima occurred two days earlier, as in the first storm, on 8 February at 25,000 CFS and 200 ng/L, respectively (Figure 8).

Comparison of Sacramento River diazinon concentrations with the DFG water quality criteria demonstrated that the acute criterion was exceeded for two days at Colusa (8 and 9 February) and for four days at Sacramento (8 and 11 February; Figure 15, Table 6). The four day running average concentration at both cities exceeded the DFG chronic criterion for six days (7 to 12 February). It seems safe to assume that the intervening eighty-five river miles between Colusa and Sacramento exceeded the DFG acute water quality criterion for at least two days (8 and 9 February) and the chronic criterion for six days (7 to 12 February) and the chronic criterion for six days (7 to 12 February) and the same time period.

On the Feather River diazinon concentrations peaked at both Yuba City and at HWY 99 on 8 February at 120 and 147 ng/L (Figure 10), respectively. Both sites exceeded the DFG water quality criterion of 80 ng/L on 8 and 9 February. The four day running average concentration exceeded the chronic water quality criterion at Yuba City for four days (7 to 10 February) and at HWY 99 for eight days (7 to 13 February, Table 6).

Lower Feather River tributaries were sampled on three dates (8 to 10 February) during the second storm (Figure 10). All measurements taken on Jack Slough exceeded the DFG acute water quality criterion while only one Yuba River sample did so. Measurements on Honcut Creek exceeded the chronic criterion while diazinon concentrations on Bear River were below detection (Figure 10).

Diazinon concentrations were measured for eight days in Sacramento Slough at Karnak and Pass Road (7 to 14 February) and for three days in their principal agricultural tributaries (8 to 10 February; Figure 11). Diazinon concentrations at Pass Road were greater than at Karnak for the first three days of the storm whereupon concentrations became larger downstream. All tributaries except the pumping stations at Obanion and Sacramento Avenue discharged water with higher concentrations than at the Slough site on all three days monitored. Obanion and Sacramento Avenue inputs provided dilution flows. The high diazinon concentrations at Karnak may have originated in Wadsworth Canal, as it discharged water with 1,900 to 4,500 ng/l diazinon. All diazinon concentrations measured in the Sacramento Slough drainage exceeded the DFG acute water quality criterion, some by as much as 40 to 60 fold (Appendix C, Table 1).

Insecticide concentrations were measured for eight days at Colusa Basin Drain (Figure 15; Appendix C, Table 1). Diazinon concentrations were about three times greater than during the first storm and averaged 244 ng/l. Diazinon concentrations exceeded the DFG acute criterion for seven days at this site (Table 6).

Second Storm - Diazinon Loads: The difference between the predicted and measured diazinon loads at Sacramento during the second storm was less than in the first storm (Figure 16), with the exception of the first day (9 February), than during the first storm. The average percent difference between the observed and measured loads was 25 percent. In contrast to the first storm, the diazinon load at Sacramento exceeded the predicted load. Flow data were not available for the Feather River on 9 February so an assessment of Feather River diazinon loads are impossible to make and this undoubtedly contributed to the large difference between predicted and measured loads for that date.

The largest loads at the beginning of the storm were from the Sacramento River above Colusa and to a lesser extent from the Feather River. As the storm progressed, inputs from Sacramento Slough increased while loads from the upper Sacramento River and from the Feather River declined. Colusa Basin Drain never contributed a significant diazinon load.

The observation that the Sacramento River above Colusa might be a major source of diazinon was unexpected and, consequently, no monitoring sites were established to ascertain the source(s) in the upper Sacramento River.

The second most important source of diazinon was Sacramento Slough. Comparison of loads in Sacramento Slough at Karnak and at Pass Road demonstrated that 47 to 66 percent of the load

originated below Pass Road (Figure 14), this result being similar to the first storm. Major sources of diazinon below Pass Road were likely to have been from Wadsworth Canal and from the DWR pumping stations at Obanion and Sacramento Avenue as on each occasion the concentrations of diazinon at these two sites were the highest in Sacramento Slough. The Main Drain may have been a major source of diazinon to Butte Creek above Pass Road.

Diazinon loads in the Feather River, while less important than from the upper Sacramento River and from Sacramento Slough, were also estimated. The results demonstrate, like in the first storm, that 61 to 94 percent of the load originated below Yuba City (Figure 13). A major source may have been Jack Slough (Figure 10).

Third Storm-Diazinon Concentrations: The third storm was the smallest with about an inch of rain in Sacramento on 17 and 19 February (Table 5). Twice this amount fell in Redding. As with the first two storms, the flow of the Sacramento River at Sacramento increased and peaked on the 22nd, two to three days after the last precipitation (Figure 7). However, unlike previous storms, only a small increase in ambient diazinon concentration was observed. The average four day concentration at Sacramento, between the 21st and the 24th, exceeded the DFG chronic water quality criterion (Figure 17). Kuivila and Foe (1995) also noted a marked decrease in diazinon concentrations in the Sacramento River during the third storm of the month in 1993. The cause is unknown, but the authors speculated that two storms may be sufficient to 'cleanse' the watershed of diazinon after dormant spray applications.

At Colusa, both flow and diazinon concentration increased rapidly and peaked on

21 February at 20,900 CFS and 105 ng/l, respectively (Figure 8). Diazinon concentrations exceeded the DFG acute criterion for one day (21 February) and the four day running average value exceeded the chronic criterion for four days (18 to 21 February, Figure 17; Appendix C, Table 1). Limited sampling was conducted above Colusa (Table 7). These data are difficult to interpret as no flow measurements were available for Tehama and Hamilton City (Appendix C, Table 2). However, the results suggested that one or more sources exist between Bend and Vina. As a result, 27 miles of river (Red Bluff to Vina) exceeded the DFG acute criterion on the 17th, 49 miles (Vina to Butte City) on the 19th, and 40 miles (Ord Bend to Colusa) on the 21st. More sampling is required to determine the location of the sources of diazinon in the upper Sacramento River.

The Feather River, consistent with other storms, reacted the most rapidly of all the Sacramento River tributaries and had a peak diazinon concentration at HWY 99 on the 17th, the first day of the storm (Figure 10). Diazinon concentration on the 17th was 58 ng/l (Appendix C, Table 1). Jack Slough again appeared to be an important diazinon source. The DFG acute water quality criterion was exceeded in the Slough on all four sampling dates.

Sacramento Slough, as during previous storms, had the highest diazinon concentrations of any monitored tributary (Figure 17). Concentrations at Karnak were above the DFG acute criterion for five days (17 to 21 February) and above the chronic criterion for all seven days monitored (Figure 11; Appendix C, Table 1). Diazinon concentrations did not appear to change rapidly at the Karnak site. Concentrations in the Slough at the beginning of the third storm appeared similar to those measured at the end of the second one (Appendix C, Table 1). So, it is not

known whether the diazinon measured during the third storm resulted primarily from continued runoff from the second one or was 'new' runoff. Upstream monitoring suggested that the Main Drain, Wadsworth Canal, and DWR pumping stations at Obanion and Sacramento Avenue were important sources (Figure 11). Sixteen of twenty samples collected at these four sites exceeded the DFG acute criterion; 17 samples exceeded the chronic criterion.

Diazinon concentrations in Colusa Basin Drain also increased, producing a double peak on the 21st and 24th (Figure 17). The cause of the bimodal peak is not known though it may have been due to the bimodal rainfall pattern. Diazinon concentrations exceeded the DFG acute and four day running average criterion for two and seven days, respectively (Figure 17; Appendix C, Table 1).

Third Storm - Diazinon Loads: Fourteen and a half kilograms of diazinon were exported from the Sacramento River Basin during the third storm (Appendix C, Table 2). This is about a third of the load transported past the City of Sacramento during each of the previous two storms.⁶ It is not known whether the decrease in diazinon load occurred because the third storm had the smallest amount of rain or whether the previous two storms "washed off" most of the available insecticide. Kuivila and Foe (1995) also noted a decrease in loads exported from both the Sacramento and San Joaquin basins after the second storm of the month.

⁶ 39.1 and 43.6 kilograms of diazinon were calculated to have been exported during the first and second storms, respectively (Appendix C, Table 2).

The majority of the diazinon load originated from the upper Sacramento River during the third storm (Figure 18). As previously explained, the sources of the insecticide are not known, though much of it appeared to have originated in the 40 mile reach between Bend and Vina (Table 7).

Sacramento Slough was the second most important source of diazinon (Figure 18), exporting an estimated 2.5 kg/day of diazinon, or about 17 percent of the total load, from the Sacramento River watershed (Appendix C, Table 2). Unlike previous storms, the load seems to have come about equally from above and below Pass Road (Figure 14). As noted above, major sources appear to be the Main Drain, Wadsworth Canal, and the DWR pumping stations at Obanion and Sacramento Avenue.

In conclusion, water year 1994 was critically dry. As in February 1993, flow and diazinon concentrations increased in the Sacramento River at Sacramento after the three largest rain storms of the month, peak concentrations being 236, 253, and 51 ng/l. Eighty-five miles upstream at Colusa, flow and diazinon concentrations also increased after each rainstorm, maximum concentrations being 88, 200, and 105 ng/l. The primary source of the diazinon during the first storm was from the Feather River. Important Feather River sources were Jack Slough and the Bear River. The primary sources of diazinon in the Sacramento River during the second and third storms were from the Sacramento River above Colusa and from Sacramento Slough. The principal source(s) of diazinon in the upper Sacramento River were not identified, but appear to be located between Bend and Vina. Important sources of diazinon in Sacramento Slough were the Main Drain, Wadsworth Canal and the DWR pumping stations at Obanion and Sacramento Avenue. Colusa Basin Drain was never a major source of diazinon.

Comparison of instream diazinon concentrations to the DFG's water quality criteria reveals that the Sacramento River at Sacramento during January/February 1994 exceeded the acute and chronic criteria for nine and 19 days, respectively (Table 6). Similar multiple exceedances also were observed in the Sacramento River at Colusa, the Feather River at Yuba City and at HWY 99, Sacramento Slough at Pass Road and Karnak, and in Colusa Basin Drain. DFG recommends that their acute criterion may not be exceeded more than once every three years for an hour and their chronic criterion for no more than four days. Obviously, the frequency of exceedance of both the acute and chronic diazinon criteria was much greater than that during January/February 1994 in many Sacramento Basin waterways.

Other Chemicals: Thirty pesticides were detected in the USGS central laboratory scan (Appendix D, Table 1). No chemical concentration, with the exception of diazinon, was above a recommended water quality criterion or toxicity effect level found in the published literature. This included chlorpyrifos and malathion, two other dormant spray insecticides. No information was available for permethrin and esfenvaleratc. Pesticides identified in the GC/MS scan at USGS Sacramento laboratory are in Appendix D, Table 2.

28'

Interestingly, the herbicide simazine was ubiquitous at low concentrations throughout the basin.⁷ Simazine is commonly applied in the watershed on almonds and on right of way (Department of Pesticide Regulation, 1997). Atrazine, another triazine herbicide, was common in the Sacramento River at Colusa, the Feather River at HWY 99, and at Colusa Basin Drain.⁸ Concentrations of atrazine increased in Sacramento Slough at Karnak and in samples collected at the DWR pumping station at Sacramento Avenue after 17 February, suggesting a recent local application. Atrazine is commonly applied to corn and along road sides (Department of Pesticide Regulation, 1997).

Carbofuran and molinate were detected in the discharge from all waterways where rice is grown. Thiobencarb, another commonly used rice herbicide, was detected in only 58 percent of the samples. The three chemicals are typically applied in rice culture in May and June about six months earlier. The Central Valley Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento River has a conditional prohibition for discharge of irrigation return flows containing carbofuran, molinate and thiobencarb if concentrations are above the Basin Plan performance goals.. Measured concentrations were below performance goals for all three pesticides.⁹

- ⁷ Simazine was detected in 94 percent of all samples at concentrations between 10 and 1,200 ng/l (Table 1, Appendix D).
- 8 Atrazine was detected in 46 percent of all samples at concentrations between 3 and 5,300 ng/l (Table 1, Appendix D).
- ⁹ Values for carbofuran, molinate, and thiobencarb ranged between 23 to 370, 22 to 420, and 2 to 42 ng/l. Performance goals for the three pesticides are 0.4, 10, and 1.5 ug/L, respectively (Central Valley Basin Plan, 1990)
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Michael Marchetti for assisting in the collection of samples, and Dr. Joseph Domagalski for managing the USGS contract. Dennis Westcot, Jerry Bruns, and Val Connor provided valuable comments on a previous draft.

ì

:

LITERATURE CITED

California Data Exchange Center. 1993. Accessed by internet at http://cdec.water.ca.gov./ Data maintained by California Department of Water Resources, Division of Flood Control, Sacramento, CA

Crepeau, K.L., J.L. Domagalski, and K.M. Kuivila. 1994. Methods of analysis and quality assurance practices of the U.S. Geological Survey Organic Laboratory, Sacramento, CA. Determination of pesticides in water by solid phase extraction and capillary-column gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. OFR-94-362. U.S. Geological Survey, Sacramento, CA

Department of Pesticide Regulation, 1997. Pesticide use report database.

Department of Water Resources. 1962. Bulletin no.111 Sacramento River Water Pollution Survey. Appendix A hydrography, hydrology, and water utilization.

Domagalski, J. 1996. Pesticides and pesticide degradation products in stormwater runoff: Sacramento River Basin, Sacramento, CA. Water Resources Bulletin.32:5, pp 953-964.

Foe, C. 1995. Insecticide concentration and invertebrate bioassay mortality in agricultural return water from the San Joaquin Basin. Staff report. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, Sacramento, CA. 150 pp.

Kratzer, C.R. 1997. Transport of diazinon on the San Joaquin River Basin, California. U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 97-411. National Water Quality Assessment Program.

Kuivila, K. and C. Foe. 1995. Concentration, transport and biological impact of dormant spray pesticides in the San Francisco Estuary, California. *Env. Tox. and Chem.* 14:1141-1150.

Menconi, M. and C. Cox. 1994. Hazard assessment report of the insecticide diazinon to aquatic organisms in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River System. California Department of Fish and Game. Environmental Services Division Administration report 94-2. 58 pp.

Reynolds, F.L., T. J. Mills, R. Benthin, and A. Low. 1993. Restoring Central Valley streams: A plan for action. State of California, the Resources Agency, Department of Fish and Game.

U.S. Department of Commerce. 1987. Census of Agriculture. Volume 1. Geographic area series. Bureau of Census, Wash. D.C.

U.S. EPA. 1989. Short term methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater organisms. EPA -600/4-89/01.

U.S. Geological Survey. 1994. Water Resources Data California Water year 1994. Northern Central Valley Basin, and the Great Basin from Honey Lake Basin to Oregon State line. 431 pp.

Zaugg, S.D., M.K. Sandstrom, S.G. Smith, and K.M. Fehlberg. 1995. Methods of analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory. --Determination of pesticides in water by C-18 solid phase extraction and capillary-column GC/MS with selected ion monitoring, U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 95-181, 49p.

ί.

Tables

1 ŋ

ł

32

				Orchards				•
County	Almonds	Apples	Apricots	Peaches	Pears	Plums	Prunes	Sum of Orchards
Butte	37,870	150		2,118			10,071	50,209
Colusa	16,900						4,800	21,700
Glenn	14,659						7,229	21,888
Sutter	4,299	411	33	7,189	648 -	48	20,663	33,291
Tehama	6,928			69			8,660	15,657
Yolo	7,546		[;] 664	119	515		2,175	11,019
Yuba	1,840	·		3,834			11,934	17,608
Total	90,042	561	697	13,329	1,163	48	65,532	171,372

Table 1. Summary of stonefruit and almond acreage in the northern Sacramento River Valley. The data are from the U.S. Department of Commerce (1987).

	Mean			Preliminary
	observed	•	Mean	estimated
Compound	concentration		recovery	MDL
	(ng/L)		(%)	(ng/L)
Alachlor	86	1	86	9
Atrazine	89	,	89	17
Chlorpyrifos	83		83	5
Cyanazine	96		96	13 -
Dacthal (DCPA)	82		82	4
Diazinon	77	,	77	· 8
EPTC	80		80	ʻ~ 5
Ethafluralin	54	1	54	- 1 3
Ethoprop	80		80	12 -
HCH, alpha-	77	•	77	<u>;</u> 7
Malathion	90		90	14
Metolachlor	92	•	92	· · · 9
Metribuzin	42		42	12
Molinate	82	: 1	82	7
Napropamide	83		83	10
Parathion-methyl	73		73	35
Pendimethalin	46		46	18
Phorate	77	i.	77	11
Prometon	77		77	8
Simazine	76		76	8
Febuthiuron	88		88	15
Ferbufos	74		74	12
Thiobencarb	85		85	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Frifluralin	47	• •	47	12
Atrazine, desethyl	12		12	3
Carbaryl	151	•	151	· <i>0</i> 46
Carbofuran	· 108	1	108	13
Terbacil	75	1	75	30
Dimethoate	11		11	v0'24

Table 2. Recovery and precision data from six determinations of compounds amended at 100 ng/L into laboratory water at the U.S. Geological Survey Central Laboratory (Zaugg et al. 1995).

ng/L, nanogram per liter; MDL, method detection limit

10/11/99 Used 0.005 ppb moc ja pronamede. Nor listed a upor + no usponse france. For.

Compound	Mean observed concentration (ng/L)	 Mean recovery (%)	Modified estimated MDL (ng/L)
Carbofuran	82	 82 '	44
Diazinon	74	74	38
Methidathion	75	 75	31.
Molinate	89	89	110
Simazine	. 74	74	60

Table 3. Recovery of insecticides spiked at 100 ng/L into organic free Sacramento River water (Crepeau et al. 1994)

ng/L, nanogram per liter; MDL, method detection limit

Table 4. Distance and estimated travel time of water to the City of Sacramento (Site 1) from all primary orchard sampling sites. Travel times are rounded to whole days (i.e. 12 - 36 hours = 1 day, 36 - 60 hours = 2 days). River velocities are from DWR (1962).

	Location (Site #)				Velocity	······································	Travel Time				
			miles above S	Sacramento	(mph)	(Hour	s)	(Days)			
	City of Colusa (7)		85	•	1.50	60		2			
	Colusa Drain (6)	· ··	30		1.25	24		1			
	Karnak (4)		21		1.25	17	: `	1			
36	Feather River (2)	5.11	20	~~	1.25	16		1			
	City of Sacramento (1)		0		1.00	0		0			
-						·					
		• • •				. <u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	•		÷				• .	•			

.

.

Table 5. Daily precipitation (inches) in Red Bluff, Colusa, Marysville, and Sacramento for January 1 through February 28, 1994 (Desert Research Institute, 1997).

Location			<u> </u>												Date																
Red Blu	ff																														
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	_ 11 _	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
January	0.21	0	0	0.11	0.01	0	0	0.11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.03	0.16	1.16	0.59	0.68	0.02	0	0	0	0	0
February	0	0	0	0	0	1.43	0.71	0.14	0	0.34	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.16	0	1.09	0.22	0.13	0	0.	0	Т	0.61	• 0	0			
Colusa																												•			
January	0	0.05	0	0.03	0.12	0	0	0.08	T	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0.44	0.06	0.38	0.02	0	0	0	0
February	0	O	0	0	0	0.58	1.22	0.14	0	0.03	0.01	0	0	0	.0	0	.0.35	т	т	0.49	. 0	0	0	0	0	0.12	Ţ	0			
Marysv	ille																														
January	0	0	0	0.28	0.11	0	0	0	0.05	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.98	0.64	0.6	0.62	0	0	0	0	0
February	0	0	0	0	0	0.2	1.5	0.3	0	0.01	0.08	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.05	0	0.6	0.08	0	0	0.	0	0.19	0.03	0		~	
Sacram	ento										:																				
January	T	0	<u> </u>	0.06	5 0	0	0	0.12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.25	0.47	0.79	0.43	0	0	0	0	0	0
February	0	0	0	0	Т	0.82	0.67	0.23	0	0.03	0	0	0	0	0	0.09	0.54	0.01	0.54	0	0.02	5_0	0	0	0	0.19	0	0			
<u></u>					<u></u>																						<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>
						•																									
T = Trac	c																														
																					٠						•				
																								•							
		÷																													
																·															

Table 6. Summary of the number of days that the California DFG water quality criteria for protection of freshwater aquatic life were exceeded in the Sacramento Basin during the 1994 orchard dormant spray season. DFG recommends that the acute criterion may be exceeded for one hour and the chronic one for up to 4 days once every three years without causing ecological damage.

Location	First (da	Storm ays)	Secon (d	d Storm ays)	Thir ((d Storm lays)	All Storms (days)		
	Acute	Chronic	Acute	Chronic	Acute	Chronic	Acute	Chronic	
Sacramento R. @ Sacramento	5	11	4	6	0	2	9	19	
Sacramento R. @ Colusa	1	5	2	6	1	1	4	12	
Feather R. @ Yuba City	4	5	2	4	0	0	6	9	
Feather R. HWY 99	4	8	2	7	0	0	6	15	
	-	;						-	
Sacramento S1. @ Pass Road	5	5	7	8	5	6	17	19	
Sacramento SI. @ Karnak	7	8	8	8	5	7	20	23	
		=							
Colusa Drain	1	5_	5	_ 5	2	7	. 8	17	

Table 7. Diazinon concentration and loads in the upper Sacramento River Basin during the third storm of 1994. The distance (miles) and travel time (days) between each site and the City of Colusa are indicated in the last two rows. Blanks indicate that no sample was collected.

	Diazinon concentration (ng/L) / diazinon load (kg/day)											
Date	Bend	Red Bluff	Tehama	Vina	Hamilton	Ord Bend	Butte City	Colusa				
17 Feb	< 30 ¹ /	80/1.8	120/	168/4.5	50/	< 30/		17/0.3				
18 Feb	< 30/		50/	120/4.3	134/	90/3.5	110/4.1	41/4.6				
19 Feb			- <30/	< 30/	30/	36/1.1		40/1.4				
20 Feb	<30/					· .		33/1.1				
21 Feb			< 30/	65/2.3	70/	100/4.4		105/5.4				
Distance (miles)	114	101	85	74	55	40	25	0				
Travel time (days) ²	2	- 1	1	1	1	1	0	0				

¹ELISA detection limit.

²Assumes a travel velocity of 3.0 miles per hour (Department of Water Resources, 1962).

Figures



Figure 2.1. The Sacramento Valley



Figure 1. Map of sampling sites (not to scale). Primary sites are numbered 1 through 7, secondary sites are numbered 8 through 22. See text for description of the sampling strategy.



Figure 2. Summary of diazinon use on stonefruit and almond orchards in 1993 - 94. Data from the Department of Pesticide Regulation (1997).



Figure 3. Diazinon concentrations (ng/L) in the Sacramento River at Sacramento and in principal tributaries draining orchard areas during dry weather in 1994. The two horizontal lines at 40 and 80 ng/L are the California DFG acute and chronic water quality criteria for protecting aquatic life.



Figure 4. Comparison of diazinon concentrations at Karnak on Sacramento Slough (Site 4) and 36 miles upstream at Pass Rd. (Site 5) during dry weather. The two horizontal lines at 40 and 80 ng/L are the DFG acute and chronic water quality criteria for protecting aquatic life.



Figure 5. Average percent contribution of diazinon to the Sacramento River at the City of Sacramento from principal waterways draining orchard areas during winter dry weather periods.



Figure 6. Comparison of diazinon loads (g/day) during dry weather at Karnak on Sacramento Slough (Site 4) and 36 miles upstream on Butte Creek at Pass Rd. (Site 5).



January and February 1994.



Figure 8. Rainfall (inches), flow (CFS), and diazinon concentration (ng/L) for the Sacramento River at Colusa in January and February 1994.

.



Figure 9. Diazinon concentration (ng/L) in the Sacramento River and in the principal orchard tributaries between the Colusa and Sacramento for the first storm (January 24 - 28). Upstream sites are listed to the left. The two horizontal lines at 40 and 80 ng/L are the DFG acute and chronic diazinon water quality criteria for protecting aquatic life. Blanks indicate absence of data. Astericks are for values less than the ELISA detection limit (30 ng/L).



Figure 10. Diazinon concentration in Feather River watershed during each of three storms. Downstream sites are listed to the right. The two horizontal lines at 40 and 80 ng/L are the DFG acute and chronic diazinon water quality criteria for protecting aquatic life. Blanks indicate absence of data. Astericks indicate that diazinon concentration were below the ELISA detection limit (30 ng/L). Note that diazinon concentrations are on a log scale. See Figure 1 for site locations.



Figure 11. Diazinon concentration in Butte Creek, Sacramento Slough, and their tributaries during each of three storms. Downstream sites are listed to the right. The two horizontal lines at 40 and 80 ng/L are the DFG acute and chronic diazinon water quality criteria for protecting aquatic life. Blanks indicate absence of data. Astericks indicate that diazinon concentration were below the ELISA detection limit (30 ng/L). Note that diazinon concentrations are on a log scale. See Figure 1 for site locations.

۲ ت



Figure 12. Predicted and measured diazinon mass load (kg/day) in the Sacramento River at Sacramento during January 24 to 28 rainfall event. Predicted values were estimated by summing the mass load from tributaries after accounting for travel times. Travel time estimates are presented in Table 4.



between the two locations. Note that diazinon loads are on a log scale.





e 1



Figure 15. Diazinon concentration (ng/L) in the Sacramento River and in the principal orchard tributaries between Cities of Colusa and Sacramento for the second storm (February 6 to 11). Upstream sites are listed to the left. The two horizontal lines at 40 and 80 ng/L are the DFG acute and chronic diazinon water quality criteria for protecting aquatic life. Blanks indicate absence of data. Astericks are for values less than the ELISA detection limit (30 ng/L).

ភ្ល



Figure 16. Predicted and measured diazinon mass load (kg/day) in Sacramento River at Sacramento during 9 to 13 February. Predicted values were estimated by summing the mass load from tributaries after accounting for travel times. Travel time estimates are presented in Table 4. The results suggest that the major source of diazinon in the Sacramento River at Sacramento originated from both Sacramento Slough and above Colusa. Flow data were not available for Feather River on 9 February.



Figure 17. Diazinon concentrationS (ng/L) in the Sacramento River and principal orchard tributaries between Colusa and Sacramento for the third storm (February 17 to 24). Upstream sites are listed to the left. The two horizontal lines at 40 and 80 ng/L are the DFG acute and chronic diazinon water quality criteria for protecting aquatic life. Blanks indicate absence of data. Astericks are for values less than the ELISA detection limit (30 ng/L).



Figure 18. Predicted and measured diazinon mass load (kg/day) in Sacramento River at Sacramento during 19 to 24 February. Predicted values were estimated by summing the mass load from tributaries after accounting for travel times. Travel time estimates are presented in Table 4. The results suggest that the largest source of diazinon in the Sacramento River at Sacramento was from above Colusa.

л Ю

Appendix A: Description of Sampling Locations



Figure 15. Diazinon concentration (ng/L) in the Sacramento River and in the principal orchard tributaries between Cities of Colusa and Sacramento for the second storm (February 6 to 11). Upstream sites are listed to the left. The two horizontal lines at 40 and 80 ng/L are the DFG acute and chronic diazinon water quality criteria for protecting aquatic life. Blanks indicate absence of data. Astericks are for values less than the ELISA detection limit (30 ng/L).

SS

digitize I strict Bridge + Town Bridge

Table 1. Primary sampling sites, description of their location, and rationale for selection.

Site No.	Location	Sampling Site Description	Sampling Rationale
site ch 3414 1	Sacramento R. @ City of Sacramento 34M09N04E35 store	Tower Bridge at Capitol Mall <i>I</i> 54 34M09N04E35	Integrates all inputs from the Sacramento Basin.
first 2	Feather R. @ Hwy 99 men Nicoland SIM12N03E14.	Hwy 99 Bridge	Integrates all upstream inputs to Feather River.
3	Feather R. @ Yuba City 58M ISN Q3E23	West bank below Hwy 20 Bridge	Integrates all inputs from upper Feather River Basin except Jack Slough. Source of Yuba City drinking water.
8 W X 4 Mgb	Sacramento SI. @ Karnak 51M11N03E20	Bridge off Ely Rd.	Integrates all exports from Butte Slough.
τ. γ 5	Butte Creek @ Pass Rd SIMILNOIE 31	Pass Road Bridge	Inputs from Chico area carried down Butte Creek.
ν.γ 6	Colusa Drain @ Knights Landing 38°48'45" 121°46'23" 57MIIN02E08	Road 99 E Bridge	Inputs from orchards along Coastal range draining to Colusa Drain
7	Sacramento R. @ City of Colusa 39"12'51'' 121"59'57' 06M16N01W29	Bridge on River Road at City of Colusa	Inputs from all orchards located along the upper River above Colusa including inputs from the Chico area.

Table 2. Secondary sampling sites.

Site No.	Location	Tributary to:
8	rlacer Co? I3N 05E 03 Bear River @ Berry Rd	Feather River
9	Yuba River @ Marysville	Feather River
10	Jack Sl. @ 14th Street in Marysville	Feather River
11	Honcut Ck. @ Chandler Rd.	Feather River
12	Sacramento Outfall @ DWR pumping plant on Sacramento Rd. Gastelle Rd?	Butte Slough
13	Obanion Outfall @ DWR pumping plant on Obanion Rd.	Butte Slough
14	Wadsworth Canal @ Franklin Rd.	Butte Slough
15	Main drainage canal to Cherokee Canal @ Colusa Hwy	Butte Slough
16	Butte City @ Hwy 162 Bridge	Mainstem Sacramento River
17 sample	Ord Bend @ Ord Bend Road Bridge	Mainstem Sacramento River
18	Hamilton @ Hwy 32 Bridge	Mainstem Sacramento River
19	Vina @ South Avenue Bridge	Mainstem Sacramento River
20 sampt	Tehama @ Aramayo Way Bridge	Mainstem Sacramento River
21	Red Bluff @ Balls Ferry Bridge	Mainstem Sacramento River
22	Bend @ Bend Ferry Road Bridge	Mainstem Sacramento River

Appendix B: Quality Assurance and Quality Control Tables and Figures

۶,

62

1 :

GC/MS at both the USGS Central Laboratory and at Sacramento, and at UC Davis by ELISA. The mean percent difference was 30 percent.

	\checkmark	· /	₩.	V	V	
Location	Site	Date	ELISA	GC(Sac)	GC(Colo)	% difference*
Feather River @ Hwy 99	2	01/04	30		40 ·	25
		01/25	270/260	293		10
		01/26	960	782		19
		01/26	960		.760	21
		01/27	420/460	379		14
		01/27	420/460		370	16
		01/28	440/450	150		66
		01/28	440/450		180	60
		02/08	155		140	10
	**************************************	02/09	145		110	24
		02/13	140		21	85
				1		
Feather River @ Yuba City	3	01/04	16		36	55
		01/24	100		66	34
		01/25	160	171		6
	_	01/27	95	63		34
		01/28	85	38		55
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	02/08	90		150	40
	-	02/09	100		100	0
		•				
acramento Slough @ Karnak	4	01/12	30		82	63
		01/12	30	86		65
		01/17	110		87	21
		01/21	60/65		93/83	29
		01/24	44	89		51
		01/24	44		89	51
		01/25	88	106		17
		01/25	88		130	32
		01/26	130	104		20
		01/26	130		140	7
·		01/27	1400	1120		20
		01/27	1400		1400	0
		01/28	440	502		12
		01/28	440		640	31
		01/29	500		590	15
		01/30	320		410	22
		01/31	175		320	45
		02/04	80	86		. 7
		02/04	80		180	56
		02/11	850		800	6
		02/12	590		480	19
		02/13	500		290	42
		02/14	250/230		220	8
	·	02/17	290		170	41
		02/18	95		180	47
		· 02/19	90		150	40
		02/20	130		160	19
		02/21	190		170	11

* percent difference is (high-low)/highx100
| Location | Site | Date | ELISA | GC(Sac) | GC(Colo) | % difference* |
|--|------|-------|-------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Butte Creek at Pass Rd. | 5 | 01/04 | 28 | | 57 | 51 |
| , | | 01/12 | 35 | 79 | | 56 |
| | | 01/24 | 145 | 105 | | 28 |
| | | 01/24 | 145 | | 110 | 24 |
| | | 01/25 | 180 | 226 | | 20 |
| | | 01/26 | 300 | | 350 | 14 |
| | | 01/26 | 300 | 353 | • | . 15 |
| , | | 01/27 | 230 | 219 | | .5 |
| | | 01/28 | 190 | 161 | | 15 |
| ······································ | | 02/04 | 125 | 62 | | 50 |
| | | 02/08 | 1000 | | 1000 | 0 |
| | | 02/09 | 330 | | 280 | 15 |
| | | 02/10 | 300 | | 240 | 20 |
| | | 02/11 | 180 | | 160 | 11 |
| | | 02/12 | 170 | | 150 | · 12 |
| | | 02/13 | 450 | | 160 | 64 |
| | | 02/17 | 180 | | 140 | 22 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 02/20 | 165 | | 81 | 51 |
| ······································ | | 02/21 | 80 | | 110 | 27 |
| | | | | | | |
| Colusa Drain | 6 | 01/24 | 30 | | 36 | 17 |
| | ~ | 01/24 | 30 | 36 | 1 | 17 |
| | | 01/26 | , 30 | 42 | | 29 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 01/26 | 30 | | 53 | 43 |
| | | 01/27 | 42 | 60 | | 30 |
| , | | 01/27 | 42 | | 180 | 77 |
| • | | 01/28 | 48 | 55 | | 13 |
| | | 01/28 | 48 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 53 | - 9 |
| | | 01/31 | 42 | | 49 | 14 |
| | | 02/08 | 360 | | 300 | 17 |
| · · · | | 02/09 | . 210 | | 170 | 19 |
| | | 02/10 | 350 | | 340 | 3 |
| | | 02/11 | 380 | | 360 | 5 |
| | | 02/12 | 230 | | 22 | 90 |
| ······································ | | 02/13 | 420 | | 120 | 71 |
| | | 02/14 | | 1 | 84 | 4 |
| | | 02/17 | 38 |] , ' | 49 | 22 |
| | | 02/18 | 30 | | 54 | 44 |
| | | 02/19 | 30 | | 44 | 32 |
| • | | 02/20 | 57 | | 41 | 28 |
| | | 02/21 | 65 | · | 80 | 19 |
| | , | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Sac. River @ Colusa | 7 | 01/24 | 60 | | 52 | 13 |
| | | 01/24 | 60 | 55 | | 8 |
| | | 01/27 | 42 | 46 | | 9 |
| · | | 01/28 | . 90 | 38 | | 58 |
| | | 02/04 | 48 | | 20/17 | 61 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1 | 02/04 | . 48 | 13 | | 73 |
| | | 02/08 | 180 | | 220 | 18 |

* percent difference is (high-low)/highx100

Location	Site	Date	ELISA	GC(Sac)	GC(Colo)	% difference
Sac. River @ Colusa	7	02/09	125		140	11
		02/10	30		57	47
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		02/13	34	·	23	32
		02/20	41/30		28	21
		02/21	80		130	38
		·		·····	·	<u>.</u>
Bear River	8	01/24	135	203		33
		01/04	160		120	25
				•	•••••	
Yuba River @ Marysville	9	01/24	48	35		27
·		02/09	190	[2	99
			•			
Jack Slough	10	01/04	95	· · ·	200	53
	<u> </u>	01/24	1250	767		39
		01/24	1250	•	390	69
		02/08	330		640	48
		02/09	280	·	250	11
		02/10	300		190	37
L		02/17	230		210	9
		02/18	400		220	45
		02/19	290		190	34
	J	02/20	220		32/160	56
					, 	
Honcut Creek	11	01/04	200		150	25
	1	01/24	73/130	105		3
DWR Pump Plant @ Sac. Rd	12	01/04	200		420	52
		01/24	270	230		15
·		01/24	270		290	7
		02/08	1800	l	2800	36
		02/09	940		1000	6
		02/10	700	l	500	29
		02/17	370		190	49
		02/18	110	·	150	27
		02/19	140		160	13
		02/20	290		150	48
				······		
WR Pump Plant @ Obanion Rd.	13	01/04	280		130	54
		01/24	115	122		6
		02/08	350		300	14
		02/09	580		760	24
		02/10	580		530	8
		02/17	340/270		220/220	28
		02/18	210		270	22
	·			·····		
Wadsworth Canal	14	01/04	700		170	76
		01/24	1250	569		54
		01/24	1250		740	. 41

* percent difference is (high-low)/highx100

LADIE I. (LUIILIIIUCU)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				·
Location	Site	Date	ELISA	GC(Sac)	GC(Colo)	% difference*
Wadsworth Canal	14	02/08	2000		4800	58
		02/10	1800		2000	10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		02/17	190		140	26
		02/18	310/330		360/380	14
		02/19	420		430	2
		02/20	290		220	24
		02/21	550		550	0
				•		
Main Drainage Canal	15	01/04	57	. L	200	72
		01/24	1350	1342		1
		01/24	1350		1500	10
		02/08	2000		2900	31
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		02/09	800		1000	20
	[02/10	× 600		550	8
	[02/17	340	•	230	32
		02/18	400		360	10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		02/19	95/165		180	28
		<u> </u>	ha <u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Butte City	16	02/18	155		64	59
	······································		· ·			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································			
Hamilton	18	: 02/18	230		38	83
		1		,		
Vina	19	02/17	165		170	3
		02/18	210		29	86

* percent difference is (high-low)/highx100

.1

Table 2. Difference in diazinon concentration (ng/L) of split surface water samples analyzed by GC/MS at both the USGS Central Laboratory and at Sacramento. The mean percent difference was 19 percent.

		V		V	
Location	site (#)	date	Arvada	Sacramento	% difference*
Feather R.@ Hwy 99	2	01/26	740	782	5
		01/27	370	379	2
·····	· · · · · ·	01/28	180	150	17
Sac Slough@ Karnak	4	01/12	82	86	5
		01/24	89	89	0
		01/25	130	106	17
	1	01/26	. 140	104	26
		01/27	1400	1120	20
		01/28	640	502	22
		02/04	180	86	52
Butte Creek	5	01/24	110	105	5
		01/26	350	353	1
Colusa Drain	6	01/12	18	20	10
		01/24	36	36	0
		01/25	60	30	50
······································		01/26	53	42	21
	1	01/27	180	60	67
		01/28	53	55	4
		02/04	. 29	25	14
Sac R.@Colusa	7	01/24	52	55	5
		02/04	20/17	13	30
ack Slough	10	01/24	390	767	49
	10				
WR Pump Plant@Sac Rd.	12	01/24	290	230	21
Vadsworth Canal	14	01/24	740	569	23
fain Drainage Canal	15	01/24	1500	1342	11

*percent difference is (high-low)/highx100

Table 3. Percent recoveries using GC/MS of 164 deuterated diazinon amendme into separate samples of laboratory water at USGS Central Laboratory. Mean 95 percent.

% Recovery	% Recovery	% Recovery	% Recovery
90	100	100	70
90	100	100	100
100	80	90	100
100	100	90	100
90	100	100	100
80	100	90	100
80	100	100	90
80	100	100	100
80	100	90	100
100	100	100	100
90	100	100	100
90	100	80 -	100
90	100	90	80
80	90	100	90
80	100	90	100
90	100	100	100
80	100	100	100
80	100	100	100
. 80	100	100	100
80	100	90	90
. 90	100	100	90
80	100	100	100
80	100	. 100	100
100	100	100]
100	100	100	
100	100	100	
100	100	100	
100	100	100	
100	. 100	100	n 4 .
100	100	100	
100	100	100	et ,
90	100	100	
80	100	100	
80	100	100	1. 1. 1.
80	100	100	
100	90	100	
90	100	100	• •
100	100	100	
90	100	100	,
90	100	100	
80	100	100	
80	100	100	
100	100	100	·
100	100	100	
80	100	90	
100	90	100	•
70	80	100	

Table 4. Differences in diazinon concentration (ng/L) of the same field sample analyzed twice at UC Davis by ELISA. The mean percent difference was 20 percent.

location	site	date	split 1	split 2	% difference*
Sac R. @ Tower Bridge	1	01/19	28	33	18
		01/24	42	43	2
		02/02	32	30	6
		02/17	28.	32	14
		02/23	53	49	8
Feather R.@Hwy 99	2	02/25	270	260	4
		02/27	420	460	10
		02/28	440	450	2
Sac Slough @Karnak	4	01/21	60	65	8
		02/14	250	300	20
Sac R.@Colusa	7	02/20	41	30	27
Honcut Creek	11	01/24	73	130	78
O'Banion	13	02/17	340	270	21
Wadsworth Canal	14	02/18	310	330	6
Aain Drainage Canal	. 15	02/19	95	165	74

* percent difference is (high-low)/highx100



Figure 1. Correlation of diazinon concentration (ng/L) in surface water samples analyzed by GC/MS at the USGS Central Laboratory and at Sacramento. Data are presented in Table 2, Appendix B.



Figure 2. Correlation of diazinon concentration (ng/L) in surface water samples analyzed at the USGS Central Laboratory and at Sacramento by GC/MS, and at UC Davis by ELISA.



Figure 3. Mean change in concentration (ng/L) and +/-1 SE of diazinon spiked into three replicate flasks of laboratory and Butte Creek surface water and held for up to a 60 day time period. Analysis by ELISA.

Appendix C: Summary of Diazinon Concentration and Loads

5

..

. .

Site 1: Tower Bridge @ Sacramento	ELISA	GC/MS Arvada Colorado	GC/MS Sacramento California	Áverage	Size 2: Feather R. @ Hwy 99	ELISA	GC/MS Arvada Colorado	GC/MS Sacramento California	Average
Date	1	1			Date		1		
Jan 1	1	1			Jan 1		1		
2	1	1			2		[·	
3	bdt	1		bdt	3		1		75
4		1			4	30	40	·	- 33
5	l bdr	1		bdt	5		1		
6		1	1		6		1		
7	bdt	1		bdt	7		}		
8		1			8				
		;			9				
	hdr			bdt	10				
11					11.				
12	1 hdt			bdt	12	bdt		44	44
12		1			13		1 - 1		
14	hdr			bdt	14				
14					15 1				
16	+				16	-		1	
- 17	46	;		46	17	36			36
19		;			18				
10	28/33	,		30.5	19 1				
20	1		<u>_</u>		20 1			1	
20	bdr			i -	21	bdt		• • • • •	bdt
					22			1	
	<u>.</u>				73			·	
2	47/43			42.5	74	bdt	1		bdt
	40		<u> </u>	40	. 25]	270/260		293	265
<u> </u>	1 07			97	76 1	960	760	782 1	834
20	236			736	77	420/460	370	379	407.25
27					28 1	440/450	180	150	305
28				- 133	29 1	30	1	1	30
29	1 87 1			82	30	bdt l		1	bdt
30	76			76	31	bdt	1	1	bdt
<u>51</u>			<u>}</u>	41	Ech 1		1	1	
7 7	32/30 . 1			31	2 1				
	1 39		<u> </u>	39	3 1			1	
4	bdt		i	bdt	4 1	bdt		12	i
5			<u> </u>		5 1			1	
6	<u> </u>				6 1				h de
7	bdt l		i	bdt	7 1	bdt	I		Dat
8	1 107 1		i	107	8 1	155 1	140	·	147.5
0	126			126	9 . 1	145	: 110	1	127.5
10	253 1			253	10 1	55 1		- 1	55
11	180			180	11	bát l		1	DOL
17	46			46	12	37 1	1		31
12	28 1			28	13 1	140	21 1		80.5
14	33			33	14	bdt l			odt
15	bdt 1		<u> </u>	bdt	15 1		1		
16	40 1			40	16	1			69
17	28/32			30	17. 1	58 1			hdt
18	bdr J			bdt	18	bdt		1	bdt
10	31		1	31	19	bdt		1	30
20	38		i	38	20	30	1		bdt
21	29 1		i	29	21 1	bdt l			bdt
22	44 1		1	44	22	bdt	<u></u>		bdt
23	53/49 1		1	51	23	bdt			
24	41 1		1	41	24				
25	bdt i		i	bdt	· 25			1	
26	bdt I		1	bdt	26				
27	i		1		27			i	bdt
28	i		Ì		28	bdt			
Mar 1	1				Mar 1				
2	1		1		2			<u>_</u>	
3	1				3			1	bdt
4	1				4 1	Dat			

bdt = below ELISA (<30 ng/L) detection limit, blank = not sampled

1 ľ

Site 3: Feather @Yuba City	R. ELIS	A C	GC/MS Arvada olorado	GC/MS Sacramenta California	Averag	e	Site 4: Sacramento Slough @ Karn	ak ELIS	A	GC/MS Arvada Colorado	GC/MS Sacramento California	Average
Date				1	1		Date				1	
Jan 1				1			Jan 1					
2			:	1	ļ		2				1	<u> </u>
3				1		_	3		!_		1	1
4	10		36	!	26		4	180				1 180
5					<u> </u>	_	5			100		1 100
6				ļ	ļ		6		<u> </u>			<u> </u>
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>								!
					<u> </u>						+	¦
10				/ <u> </u>		┥┟						
11						-1 }	11				<u>;</u>	
12	bdt			33	33	┥┟	12	30		82	86	66
13	1		1			-1 h	13	1			1	
14	1	1	1	·		71	14	1	1		1	
15			Ī			<u>_</u> [15	1	1		1	
16		1	i				16		1.		1	
17	bdt	1	1		bdt		N7	1 110		87	11	98.5
18		<u> </u>	1				18	1	1		1	
19	- <u> </u>				<u></u>	\neg	19	·'	<u>_</u>		<u> </u>	
20						$\neg \downarrow$	20	1 10111	<u> </u>	2 /07		76.76
21	1000		!	·	Ddt	\downarrow \vdash	21	1 00/03		כבורי	<u> </u>	لئدد،
22			<u></u>			$+$ \vdash		ļ				
24	100		6		97	+ $+$		1 44		89	89	74
24	1 160			171	165 5	+ $+$		1 88		130 1	106 1	108
26	1 100				100	┥┝	26	1 130		140 1	104	124.66
77	1 95		1	63	79	$+$ \vdash	20	1400		400 1	1120 1	1306.66
28	1 85	- <u>-</u>		38	61.5	+ $+$		440	-	540 1	502 1	527.33
29	1	- <u></u>				+	28	1 500	- <u>i</u>	i 00		545
30	1	1	1			1 -	30	320	1 4	10 1	1	365
31	l bdt	1	1	<u> </u>	bdt	1 Γ	31	175	1 3	120	1	247.5
Feb 1	1	1	ł				Feit 1		1	1	1	
2	1	1	1				2	·	1			
3	1	_!	1				3 1		1			
4	i bat		<u> </u>	12	12	╎┝┈	4	80	<u> </u>	80 1		1122
3		- <u>-</u>				!				<u> </u>		
7	l bdt	- <u></u>	<u> </u>		hdt	╎ ┝		90	<u>(</u>	<u>i</u>		. 90
8	90	1 150	$\frac{1}{1}$		120				÷	<u>-</u>		90
9	1 100	1 100	2 1		100		<u> </u>	160	- 			160
10	bdt	1			bdt				1 15	00 i	1	1500
11	bdt	<u> </u>	1		bdt		11 1	800	1 8	50	1	825
12	bdt	1	1		bdt		12 1	590	4	30	1	640
13	90	1	İ	j	90		_13	500	1 29	20 1	1	395
14	bdt	1	1	1	bdt		14	250/230	1 2	20	1	233.33
15		1	1				15		1	1	1	
16		1					16		<u> </u>	!	<u> </u>	
17	bdt	!			bdt	-		290	$\frac{1}{1}$		<u>-</u>	137 4
18.	Ddt	ļ			bdt	 		<u></u>	1 18			120
20 1	bdt	!			bdt		<u> </u>	130	12			145
21 1	bdt	·			hdt	}	20 1	190	1 17	~ 	<u> </u>	180
22	bdt	;			bdt	-	22 1	65	; <u> </u>		<u> </u>	65
23	bdt	·			bdt		23 1	77	;	<u>i</u> -	i	.77
24				<u> </u>		-	24 1		; 		1	
25				·····		-	25 1	·	1	1	1	
26			1	1			26			1	1	
27	1		1	1			27			1	1 .	
28	bdt		1		bdt		28 1	90		1		90
Mar 1			1				Mar 1	1		1		
2			1				2				<u></u>	
							3			<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	11
4	bdt				bdt	L	4	44		<u> </u>		

bdt = below ELISA (<30 ng/L) detection Imag blank = not sampled

Site 5: Butte C	reek	LISA	GC/MS Arvada Colorado	GC/MS Sacramento California	Average		Site 6: Colusa Drain	ELISA	GC/M Arvad Colora	LS S do C	GC/MS acramento California	Average
Date			1				Date		1			
Jan 1			<u> </u>	1			Jan 1					
2			<u>!</u>		<u> </u>	-1	2					
3		29	1		L	-1	3			!		1.1-
		28	1 3/	<u> </u>	42.5	41	4	bdt	-		<u> </u>	000
<u> </u>			1			-1	5		41			41
	<u></u>	·	!	·	••	44	6					
	ļ		!	<u> </u>	·	┥╽	<u></u>	Ļ				
8	÷			ļ		44	8					
9			1		<u> </u>	-1 -	9					
		···	<u> </u>	ļ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-1 -	10					
<u> </u>			<u> </u>		·	┨┠	11					
12			<u> </u>	79		- -	12	bdt	18			19
13						┤┟	13	<u> </u>				
						╡╏	14	<u> </u>				
13			· ·			\downarrow \vdash	15	<u> </u>				
10				· · · · ·	10	\downarrow \vdash	16	1			<u>-</u>	
10 -		·•			48	+	17		1.1	-+		
10 5	+					\downarrow \vdash	18	<u> </u>	1			
20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				╡┝		<u> </u>			- 	
21					67	+		l hdr	1 36		<u> </u>	36
<u></u> つつ				!	02	┨┠						
~ 23					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+		<u> </u>				{
<u>, 1</u>		5 1	110 4	105 1	120	$ \vdash$		30	1 36		36	34
	1 19	<u> </u>		226 1	202	+		hdt	1 60		30	45
<u></u> 26	1 30		350 1	3(1 1	114 12	$ \vdash$		30	1 43		42	41,66
- <u></u>	1 22	 +	<u> 0.c.</u> i		224.22	$ \vdash$	20	47	1 180	<u> </u>	60	94
27		~ +		161	175 5	$ $ \vdash		48	1 53		55	52
20	1 13			101			20		<u> </u>	- <u>-</u>	<u> </u>	
30	- <u>i</u> -			··· /			30		1			{
31		·····			- 60	\vdash	31	42	49			45.5
<u>-1</u>	1			1		\vdash	Eeh I		1	- 	;	
2		<u> </u>	<u>1</u>	<u> </u>			2 1		; 	1		
3	- <u> </u>		·····	·			3. 1		1	1		
4	1 12	5 1		62 1	93.5		4	bdt	1 . 29	1	25 1	27
5	1	;;;;;;;;-	·				5 1		1	1		
6	1	ŀ	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		6 1	· ·	1	1	1	
7	1 150	,	i		150		7 1	bdt	Je .	1	1	bát
. 8 -	1 100	0 1	1000		1000		8 1	360	300	1	1	330
9	1, 330)]	280 1	<u> </u>	305		9 1	210	170	1	1	190
10	1 300	1	240	i	270		10 1	350	340	1		345
1	180		160	i	170		11	380	360	1	1	370
12	170	1	150 1	1	160		12	230	22	1	1	126
13	450		160	1	305		13	420	120	1		270
14	63	1	1.	. 1			14 . 1	81	84			82.5
15	T	1	1	i			15				<u> </u>	
16	1			İ			16		Ι,	1		
17 ·	180	. 1	140		160		17	38	1. 49			43.5
18	100	1			100		18	30	54	1		42
19	120	1	1	1:	120		19	30	44	1		3/
20	165	I	81		123		20	57	41	<u> </u>		49
21	80		110	11	95		21	65	80	<u>!</u>		163
22	30			1	30		22	bdt		ļ	<u> </u>	125
23	bdt			1.	bdt		23	125		<u> </u>		<u></u>
24	<u> </u>			11		<u> </u>	24			<u> </u>		
25							25	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
26								!		<u> </u>		
7		1		<u> </u>			27	(·	1		bdt
8	60			!	60	 	28	bat		¦		
<u>u 1</u>	۰					<u> </u>	Mar I					
	•					 			·	<u> </u>		
<u> </u>						<u> </u>						bdt
	45				45	L	4		ليستعب	t		

bdt = below ELISA (<30 ng/L) detection limit; blank = not sampled

rapie r. (continued)

Site 7: Sac. Ri @ Colusa	iver ELIS	GC/ Arv SA Colo	MS ada rado	GC/MS Sacramento California	Average	ge	Site 8: Bear Ri	ver ELIS	A	GC/MS Arvada Colorado	GC/MS Sacramento California	Average
Date					1		Date				1	
Jan I							Jan I	1				
2					1		2					
3					L		3					
4	bdt				bdt		4	160		. 120	ļ	140
5		25			25	_	5					
6			{				6				<u> </u>	
			{		<u> </u>	{						
			ł				<u> </u>					
10						<u> </u>	10		-+			
10			\rightarrow			\neg	11					
12	bdt			10	10		12				j	
13							13				i	
14		1	Ť			-	14	1		i	1	
15					·		15	1				
16	1						, 16	·	1			
17	bdt	21	Ī		21		17	1		1		
18 2							18	1 .				
19 .			-+]			19	<u> </u>				
20				ļ			20	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
21	bat				bdt	_	21	<u></u>	<u> </u>			
							77	+				
	60				55.66		73	1 135			203 1	169
25	1 80			95 1	875	-	24	1 100	<u> </u>	·		
26	1 34				34	-	26	,		·		
. 27	42			46 1	44	-	20	<u>'</u>				i
28	90		<u> </u>	38	64	-	28	1	<u></u>			
29			<u> </u>			- 1	29	1	1	1	1	1
30	1	1	1	1		71	30	1	1	1	<u> </u>	
31	l bdt	18			18	71	31	1	ŀ	1	1	
Feb 1	1	1	T	·			Feb 1	1	1		· 1	
2	1	1	1	1] [2	1	1	1	1	
3	1	1		1] [3	1		1	!_	
4	48	1 20/17		13	24.5	4	4					
<u> </u>	1		<u> </u>			┥┝	5	<u> </u>				
	bdt					┦┟	6	l		<u> </u>		
	1 180	1 220		·	200	┥┝		l bdt			<u> </u>	bdt
9	1 125	1 140			132.5	+ +	9	bdt	+-	<u>_</u> _		bdt
10	30	1 57			43.5	i h	10	bdt	i –		i	bat
11	l bdt	1 29		i	29	i h	11		1		1	
12	bdt	1	1-	i i	bdt	1 1	12- 1		1	1	1	
13	34	23	1	1	28.5	1	13 1		1	1	- 1	
14	bdt/bdt	29		1	29	1 [14	·	1	1	1	
15] [15		1			
16	<u> </u>						16		<u> </u>			
17	bdt	17	\perp		17		17	50	ļ			30
18 .	bdt	41	<u> </u>	<u>_</u>	41		18		<u> </u>	!		
		40			40	$ \vdash$	19	DQI	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u>-</u>	bai
20	41/30	1 28			33	-	20		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
21 1	hdr	1 130	+		bdr		21 1		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
23	bdt	1	+		bdt		23 1				<u> </u>	
24		1	+			H	24		;			
25		i	† –		{	\vdash	25		<u>†</u>	¦		
26			İ.				26		i	i		
27		1	L				27					
28	bdt				bdt		28		1	1		
Mar I			1	1			Mar 1				!	
2			ļ				2		 	ļ		
			ļ							<u> </u>		
4	DOL		1		odt	L	4					

bdt = below ELISA (<30 ng/L) detection limit; blank = not sampled

╞

F

Sito 9: Yuba River @ Marysvillo	ELISA	GC/MS Arvada Colorado	GC/MS Sacramento California	Average	Site 10:Jack Slough	ELISA	GC/MS Arvada Colorado	GC/MS Sacramento California	Average
Date			1		Date	1	1	1	
Jan 1			ſ		Jan I				
2	1		1		2		1		
3	<u> </u>				3				
4	bdz			bdt	.4	· 95	200		147.5
5	<u> </u>	5		5	<u>''5</u>	:		<u> </u>	
6	ļ				66	<u> </u>	<u></u>		
7			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		17		ļ	ŀ	
8	<u> </u>			!	18		<u> </u>		
9	<u> </u>				9		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>* .</u>	
10		- <u> </u>			10		 		
11	1								
12		+		<u></u>					
14									
15				1			<u>├</u>		
16				 	16			i	
17		† – – – †	·					i	
18 1		+	; -		18		<u> </u>	1	
19		1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	119.			1	
20	· · · · ·	, , ,	· · · · ·		20 1		i i	1	
21		 			21 1		1	1	
22		1			22		1	·]	
23		1	1		23		. 1	1	
24	48		35	41.5	24	1250	390	767	802.33
25	an a state	1 - 1	.		25 1.			1	
26) · j			26	. 1		1	
27		1 1	1		27 1	1	· 1	<u> </u>	
28		11	I		28	<u> </u>	1		
		11			29	<u> </u>			
			<u> </u> ;		30				
31		<u> </u>			31	<u> </u>			
<u>Feb I</u>					Feol				
	· .	<u> </u>			2	·	<u> </u>		
					J	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		
			;		4				j
6								1	
7					7 1		FT F		1
8 1	bez i	· · ·	1	bdr	8	330	640 1	1	485
9 1	190	2 1		96	9	280 1	250 1	·	-265
10 1	bœ i	<u>-</u>	i	bdt	10 1	300	190	1	245
11		<u>-</u>			11 1		1	· ·]	
12		i	· · ·	j	12 I	1	1	1	
13	Ť	1	i		13 1	Ì	1	1	
14	1	i	. 1		14	, f	· · · ·	1]
15		1	1		15	. 1	1		
16		1	1		16	1	1		
17	bdr			bdt	17 1	230	210		210
18	báz (bdt	18 1	400	220	<u> </u>	240
	bar			bdt	19	290	190 1		127 22
20	DOL		<u>_</u>	bdt	20	20 1	1 100 1	<u>+</u>	<u> </u>
	bott	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	bdt	21		<u> </u>		
- 22			<u> </u>		22				
	<u> </u>					<u></u>			{
25	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		24				
26				{	22 1	<u> </u>	÷	i	1
27					20 1			1	
					- 28			i	
Mar I					Mar 1		·		
2					2 1	<u> </u>		1	
3		<u> </u>		{}	3 1	i	1		
4					4 1	i	1	1	

bdt = below ELISA (<30 ng/L) detection limit; blank = not sampled

Site 11: Hon Creek	icut E	LISA	GC/MS Arvada Colorado	GC/MS Sacrament California	Averag	ra	Site 12: DW Pump Plant & Sac. Rd.	R D ELISA	GC/M: Arvada	GC/MS Sacramento California	Average
Date			1			5	Date				
Jan I			1	1			Inn 1				
2		· · · ·	1		1		2			1	1
3			·				3				·
4		200	150		1 175		4	200	1 420		310
5			1	1	1					1	
6	· · · ·		1		1		6				
7			i	1	1		7			1	
8			1	1	1	-1	8			1	
. 9			1	1		\neg	9				
10			i	1	<u>† .</u>	-1	10				
11	1		l	1	1	-	11	1			
12					1		12		1	1 1	
13					<u> </u>		13			1 1	
14	.]	i		[]	<u></u>	-1 1	14		1	1 1	
15		· i			1	-1 1	15	~		1	
16				·	1	-1 h	16			1	
17		i			'	h	10		1	1	
18 5		i			<u></u>	-1 h	18			1 1	
19						-1 F	10		1 .	1 1	
20					·····	┥┢		- <u> </u>		j i	
. 21			·	·		-1 F	20				
77						┥┝				- <u></u>	
23				;		4 1-					
74	1 73/	130		105	107 66	-1 -		270	1 290	230	263.33
25				105	102.00	┥┝	24	- 2/0	1 200	<u> </u>	
26	_					-1 -		+		;	
27						┥┝	20		·	+	
28		<u> </u>	'		····	-1 -	27	- 	<u></u>	<u> </u>	
79	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		·····		┥┝				<u>, </u>	
30	· i	·	- <u> </u>		1	┥┝╴		+		<u> </u>	
31		<u> </u>	·			┥┝╴	30			<u> </u>	i
Ech 1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	·····		·····	┥┝╴	J[<u>,</u>		<u> </u>	i
7	<u> </u>					┥┝	7001		1	<u> </u>	
3		i				┥┝╴		1			
4	- <u>i</u>					+ $+$		<u> </u>	· <u>·</u> ··································	<u>, </u>	
5	- <u>;</u>					╡┝╴			1	· · · · ·	
6						┥┝╸		<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	
7						╡┝╴		<u> </u>	1	<u></u>	
						╏┝╍		1 1900	1 7800	1 1	2300
						!	8	1 040	1 2000	1	970
10	75	<u></u>			75	!		700	1 500	<u></u>	600
11								1 700	1 300	<u>_</u>	
12				<u> </u>				<u> </u>			
12							12		1	·	
13	- -		<u> </u>	·			<u>t</u>		<u></u>		<u> </u>
15	- <u> </u>	<u> </u>					14		<u>.</u>	<u>_</u>	
16		1	<u></u>				13		,	j	
17	hdt	. 	<u> </u>					370	190	i	280
19	hdr			·	hdt			110	150	<u> </u>	130
10	bdr				hdt		18	140	160		150
	hde		<u></u>		bdt			200	150		220
	1		<u>_</u>		out			57	1.1.0	<u> </u>	57
	;					-					
<u>44</u>	<u> </u>					<u> </u>				i	
	<u> </u>					<u> </u>				/	
24	<u> </u>		!	!		<u> </u>	24	·	<u>_</u>		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		!					!		
20	<u> </u>			!		-		!	!	<u></u>	
21	<u> </u>			<u>·</u>		 					
<u>40</u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	
<u>nar_i</u>		<u></u>		!		 	Mar I		 {		
			<u> </u>							<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		J				<u>-</u>	
4			1	1		L	4	([·	<u> </u>	l

bdt = below ELISA (<30 ng/L) detection limit; blank = not sampled

Date Image: Constraint of the second s		Site 13: DWR Pump Plant @ Obanion Rd.	ELIS.	A Colorad	S GC/M Sacrame lo Californ	S nto ia Avera	ge	Site 14: Wadsworth Cana	ELISA	GC/MS Arvada Colorado	GC/MS Secremento California	Average
Jan 1 Imp 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Date</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Date</td><td>T</td><td>1 I I I</td><td>1</td><td> </td></t<>		Date						Date	T	1 I I I	1	
2 - - - 2 - - - 3 120 150 205 -<		Jan 1						Jan 1			1	
3 280 130 205 4 280 130 205 5 170 633 170 635 7 1 170 635 170 635 9 1 170 635 170 635 9 1 170 635 170 635 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 111 1 100 100 100 100 100 112 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 121 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 131 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 132 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 132 1 111 100 100 100 100 100 133 1 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111		2	<u>_</u>					2			1	
4 120 120 265 6 1 265 5 1 1 6 1 265 5 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 13 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 13 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 13 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 13 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 13 1 1 <th1< th=""></th1<>								3				
S S S I I 6 7 1 1 7 1 1 7 1 1 1 7 1 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 13 1 1 1 1 1 1 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 15 1 1 1 1 1 1 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 16 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td>4</td><td>280</td><td></td><td></td><td>205</td><td></td><td>44</td><td>700</td><td>170</td><td>1</td><td>435</td></t<>		4	280			205		44	700	170	1	435
6 6 1 7 1 1 8 1 1 9 1 1 10 1 1 11 1 1 12 1 1 13 1 1 14 1 1 15 1 1 16 1 1 17 1 1 18 1 1 19 1 1 19 1 1 19 1 1 10 1 1 11 1 1 12 1 1 13 1 1 14 1 1 15 1 1 16 1 1 17 1 1 18 1 1 19 1 1 10 1 <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td>I</td> <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td><u> </u></td>		<u> </u>						5	I		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
7 1 1 8 1 1 9 1 1 10 1 1 11 1 1 12 1 1 13 1 1 14 1 11 15 1 1 16 1 13 1 1 18 1 1 1 1 18 1 1 1 1 19 1 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 21 1 1 1 1 22 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 33 1 1 1 1 34 1 1 1 1 35 1 1 1 1 34 1 1 <th1< th=""> 1 1 1 <</th1<>		66		I				6	L		<u> </u>]	
8 6 1 9 1 1 10 1 1 11 1 1 12 1 1 13 1 1 14 1 1 15 1 1 16 1 1 17 1 1 20 1 1 21 1 1 23 1 1 24 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 25 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 31 1 1 1 1 32 1 1 <th1< th=""> 1 33</th1<>	- Li	7			· · ·			7			11	
9 9 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 11 1 1 1 1 12 1 1 1 1 13 1 1 1 1 14 1 1 1 1 15 1 1 1 1 16 1 1 1 1 17 1 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 21 1 1 1 1 22 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 24 15 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 24 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 31 1 1 1 1		8						8			<u> </u>	<u></u>
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		9		<u> </u>				9			11	
11 1 1 1 1 13 1 1 1 1 1 13 1 1 1 1 1 1 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 15 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 19 1	- I	10	!					10				······································
112 1 1 1 1 13 1 1 1 1 14 1 1 1 1 16 1 1 1 1 1 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 19 1 1 1 1 1 1 21 1 1 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 23 1		11				1		11				
13 1 1 14 1 1 15 1 1 16 1 1 17 1 1 18 1 1 20 1 1 21 1 1 22 1 1 23 1 1 24 115 122 24 115 122 23 1 1 24 1 1 29 1 1 24 1 1 31 1 1 29 1 1 20 1 1 33 1 1 34 1 1 350 380 390 390 330 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		12		· 1	1			12				
14 1 1 15 1 1 16 1 1 17 1 1 18 1 1 20 1 1 21 1 1 22 1 1 23 1 1 23 1 1 23 1 1 23 1 1 23 1 1 23 1 1 24 15 122 13 1 1 25 1 1 26 1 1 27 1 1 28 1 1 30 1 1 21 1 1 22 1 1 30 1 1 31 1 1 33 1 1 14 1 1 15 1 1 16 1 <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>13</td> <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td> </td> <td></td>		<u> </u>	1		1			13		<u> </u>		
15 1 1 1 16 1 16 1 16 17 1 1 16 1 16 19 1 1 16 1 1 20 1 1 10 12 1 1 21 1 1 10 10 10 10 10 22 1 1 12 12 1 1 10 23 115 122 118.5 1 10		. 14 ,			1	1	[14		1	1	ł
16 1 1 17 1 1 18 1 1 19 1 1 20 1 1 21 1 1 22 1 1 23 1 1 24 115 122 25 1 1 26 1 1 23 1 1 23 1 1 24 115 122 16 1 1 23 1 1 24 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 24 1 1 1 30 1 1 1 31 1 1 1 32 1 1 1 33 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 13 1 1 1		15		1	1			15		1	1	
17 1 </td <td><u> </u></td> <td>16</td> <td>1.</td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>16 </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td>	<u> </u>	16	1.			1		16				
18 1 1 1 1 19 1 1 19 1 1 20 1 1 19 1 1 21 1 1 20 1 1 21 1 1 20 1 1 21 1 1 20 1 1 21 1 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 1 1 24 115 122 18.5 23 1 1 26 1 1 22 1 1 1 27 1 1 1 22 1 1 1 30 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 30 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 30 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td></td> <td>17</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>17 1</td> <td></td> <td>1 . Carlos</td> <td></td> <td></td>		17		1				17 1		1 . Carlos		
19 1 1 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 1 21 1 1 1 1 1 22 1 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 1 24 115 122 118.5 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 26 1	-	18 5	1	1	1	1		18			11	
20 1 1 1 1 1 21 1 1 1 1 1 22 115 122 118.5 24 125 121 122 118.5 24 115 122 118.5 24 1250 740 569 9955 23 1 1 1 26 1 1 1 27 1 1 1 26 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 29 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 31 1		<u> </u>			1			19		<u> </u>	<u></u>	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		20	1	1	1	1		20		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	_		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				21: 1		<u> </u>	· 1	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	_	22	1	1) :	• •] [22		1 1	et - 1	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			1	1		1		23		11	1	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		24	115	1	1 122	118.5] [24	.1250	1 740 1	<u>569 </u>	995
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		25	1	1]	1.		25		1	1	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		26	.	1	1	1	ר ר	26		1. 1	1 ; , 1	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		27	1	1	1	1	7 6	27		1 1	1	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		28	1	1	1	}	7 Г	28		1		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		29	1	1	1	1		29		1	1	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		30	[1	1			30		1. 1	1	
Feb 1 I I I I 2 I <tdi< td=""> I <tdi< td=""> <tdi< td="" td<=""><td>L</td><td>31 .</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>31 1</td><td></td><td>1 1</td><td>!</td><td>·]</td></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<>	L	31 .	1	1	1	1		31 1		1 1	!	·]
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	L	Feb I	1	1	1	1	7 F	Feb 1		1	11	
3 $	Ĺ	2	1	1	1	1		2		1	1	
4 1 1 4 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 8 350 300 325 8 2000 4800 1 3400 9 580 760 670 70 9 4500 1300 10 1800 2000 4800 1 3400 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 13 1 1 1 1 1 1 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 17 1340/270 120/270 240 30 </td <td>L</td> <td>3</td> <td>[</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3 1</td> <td></td> <td>1 1</td> <td>i</td> <td></td>	L	3	[1	1			3 1		1 1	i	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		4	1	1	1	11		4 1			1	
6 1 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 8 350 300 325 8 200 4800 1 4500 9 580 760 670 9 4500 1 4500 10 580 530 555 10 1800 2000 1900 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1900 1900 13 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 14 1		5			1] [. 5		<u> </u>	1	
7 1 1 7 1 1 8 350 300 325 8 2000 4500 1 9 580 760 670 9 4500 1 4500 10 580 530 555 10 1800 2000 1900 11 1 1 1 1 1 1900 100 1800 2000 1900 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1900 100 1900 14 1 <t< td=""><td>ļ</td><td>6</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>ł</td><td></td><td>] [</td><td>6 1</td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td><u> </u></td><td></td></t<>	ļ	6	1	1	ł] [6 1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
8 350 300 1 325 8 2000 4800 1 3400 9 580 760 670 9 4500 1 4500 1 10 580 530 1 555 10 1800 2000 1 4500 1 4500 11 1 <th1< th=""></th1<>		7	,	i	1			7		11	1	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		<u> 8 </u>	350	300]	325		8	2000	4800 1	<u> </u>	3400
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		9	580	1 760		670		9 1		4500	<u>.</u>	4500
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		10	580	530		555	7 [10 1	1800	2000	<u></u>	1900
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		11		·] [_	11		· 1		
13 1		- 12		1				. 12]	1		
14 14 14 14 14 14 14 15 16 15 16 16 16 16 17 $340/270$ $220/220$ 262.5 17 190 140 165 18 210 270 262.5 17 190 140 165 18 210 270 240 18 $310/330$ $360/380$ 345 20 666 0 666 20 290 220 2255 21 30 0 0 30 19 420 430 0 4255 21 30 0 0 125 0 10 10 24 0 0 125 0 0 10 10 27 0 0 0 10 10 10 10 28 0 0 10 10 10 10 10 28	·····	13		11		1] . [_	13	·	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
15 16 12 16 1		14		1 1)			14		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		15			1			15	}	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		16						16			1	
18 210 270 240 18 310/330 360/380 345 19 30 30 30 19 420 430 425 20 66 66 66 66 20 290 220 255 21 30 30 30 21 550 550 1550 22 1 1 22 1 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 23 1 <td></td> <td>17</td> <td>340/270</td> <td>220/220</td> <td></td> <td>262.5</td> <td></td> <td>17</td> <td>190</td> <td>140 </td> <td><u> </u></td> <td>160</td>		17	340/270	220/220		262.5		17	190	140	<u> </u>	160
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		18 ·	210	270]	240		18	310/330	360/380		343
20 66 1 66 20 290 220 255 21 30 1 30 30 1 550 550 1 550 22 1		19	30	1		30		19	420	430 1	<u>_</u>	443
21 30 30 21 550 550 550 22 1 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 1 24 1 1 1 1 1 25 1 1 1 1 1 26 1 1 1 1 1 28 1 1 1 1 21 550 550 1 1 28 1 1 1 21 28 1 1 3 1 1 1 4 1 1 1		20	66	1	1	66] [20 1	290	220	<u></u>	255
22 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 24 1 1 1 1 25 1 1 1 1 26 1 1 1 1 27 1 1 1 1 28 1 1 1 1 21 1 1 1 1 28 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 1		21	30		T	30		21	550	550 1	!	000
23 1 1 1 1 24 1 1 1 1 25 1 1 1 1 26 1 1 1 1 27 1 1 1 1 28 1 1 1 1 21 1 1 1 1 23 1 1 1 1 28 1 1 1 1 21 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 1		22		1			1 · L_	122	!	<u> </u>		
24 1 1 1 1 25 1 1 1 1 26 1 1 1 1 27 1 1 1 1 28 1 1 1 1 28 1 1 1 1 21 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 1				ł				23	ļ		·····	
25 1 25 1 1 26 1 1 26 1 1 27 1 1 1 1 1 28 1 1 1 1 1 28 1 1 1 1 1 21 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 1 1		24		T	1			24				
26 1 1 26 1 1 27 1 1 1 1 1 28 1 1 1 1 Mar 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 1		25	<u> </u>	1				25 [
27 28 27 28 Mar 1 28 1 2 1 1 3 1 1 4 1 1		26		<u> </u>	li			. 26		·		
28 1 1 28 1 1 Mar 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 1		27		1				27			i	
Mar 1 Mar 1 Mar 1 2 1 2 3 1 4 4		28	!	T	<u> </u>			28				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Mar 1					<u>نــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	Mar 1	<u> </u>	!		
	-	_2	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			2	<u>}</u>			
		3					↓			·		
		4					L	4	<u> </u>			ليحسب

bdt = below ELISA (<30 ng/L) detection femit; blank = not sampled

80

: .

	Site 15: Main Drainage Cana	ELISA	GC/MS Arvada Colorado	GC/MS Sacramento California	Average		Site 16: Butte	City	ELISA	GC/MS Arvada Colorado	GC/MS Sacramento California	Average
	Date				[Derc			1		
	Jan 1						Jan 1			1		
	22						2			1		
.	3			,			3	1		1	L	
- -	4	57	200		128.5		4			<u> </u>	l	
Ļ	5						5			<u> </u>		
Ļ	. 6		<u> </u>				66			<u> </u>	·	
⊢	. 7						7			<u> </u>		
F	8					_	8			<u> </u>		
ŀ	<u> </u>					-	9			1		
┢	10					- 1	10			1		
H	17	<u>.</u>	 				11	-+				
	14	• [-	12			1		
	14		<u>;</u>				14			1		
	15		· · · · ·	- <u></u>		-	15	- <u>;</u> -		·i		
Γ	16			·	·	-1	.16					
E	17				· · ·	71	17	-i		1	1	1
	18	1				-1 1	18		1	1		
L	19		i			7, 1	19	1		(ĺ	
	20		1				20	1				
	21	<u> </u>] [21			1		
	22	<u> </u> !		· · ·]][· <u>22</u>	1				
<u> </u>	23	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>		l			
	24	1350 (1500	1342	1425	4 4	24	1	!			
\vdash	25	<u> </u>		!		-1 -	25	<u> </u>	!			
<u> </u>	26	<u> </u>				┥┝	26	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	÷		
1		<u> </u>			·	\dashv \vdash		<u>+</u>				
⊢		······································		<u> </u> -		┥┝	- 28	<u>-</u>	·			
H	30		<u>-</u>			┥┝		- <u>-</u>				
\vdash	31		<u></u>			┥┝	31	<u> </u>				
_	Feb I					╡┝	Ech 1	<u> </u>			i	
	2 1			i		i H	2	i -	1	ī	1	
	3 1	1		i		$\uparrow \vdash$	3	1	1	1	1	
	4		1	1		1 Г	4	1	· I		1	
	5 1		1	1		jΓ	5	1	-	. 1		
	6			·] [6	1	1			
	<u> </u>		· _				77	1	<u> </u>			
	8	2000	2900		2450		8	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
	9 .	800	1000		900		9	<u> </u>			<u></u>	
	10 1		330		575		10	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u>/</u>	
			!					<u>+</u>		÷_		
	12 1			·····			12	<u>¦</u>				
	14 1	<u>_</u>					13	 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
	15 1		<u> </u>				15	<u>† </u>				
	16		<u>_</u>				16	† –		i	i	1
	17	340	230 1		285		17	i –	1			
	18	400	360		380		18	<u>i </u>	155 1	64	1	109.5
	19	95/165 (180 1	14	46.66		19	1	1	1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	20	I	1100	1	100		20		1	1		
	21	bdt			bdt		21		!			
				<u> </u>			22	<u> </u>		1		
	- 43		<u> </u>							<u>_</u>		
	- 24		<u> </u>				24					
	26						- 25					
	27 1		<u> </u>		{	}—	27 1			<u> </u>		
	28			<u> </u>			28 1		- <u> '</u>			
	Mar 1				{		Mar 1		<u>i</u>	i		
	2		i				2		1	1		
	3	1					3 1		1			
	4						4					
						_						

bdt = below ELISA (<30 ng/L) detection limit; blank = not sampled

Site 18: Hamilt	on ELIS	GC/MS Arvada A Colorado	GC/MS Sacramento California	Average	Site 19: Vina	ELISA	GC/MS Arvada Colorado	GC/MS Sacramento California	Average
Date		· ·	1	1	Date	1		1]
Jan i				1	Jan 1		the state of the s	1	1
2			1	1	1 2	1 .		1	1
3				1	3	1			
4	1			1		· ·			
. 5					-				<u> </u>
6	÷		+			+			
7									
8	; <u> </u>		+	<u> </u>					
0									
10	·								
11	╧╾┠╼╧╼╼╼╼								
<u> </u>			·!						
13 '			<u> </u>		13			<u> </u>	
14			<u> </u>	·	14	<u> </u>			
. 15			11		15	· .		<u> </u>	
16					16	1	<u> </u>	11	
17				1.1	17	1		<u> </u>	
. 18 3		1	1 1		18)			
19		1	1		19	1	1	11	
20			i	{	20	1	1	1 . 1	
21	1		i i		21	1		1 1	
27			<u> </u>		77	1		1 1	
23	1				23	1	1	1 1	
24		1			74	1		1 1	
25	<u> </u>				25	i	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
76			·	i	26	<u>.</u>	1	1	
77	1				27	, ,	1	· · ·	
19					10	·····	11		
29					20				
			<u> </u>					<u></u>	
					30				
3[1				31				
	<u></u>				Feb 1		_ <u>_</u>		
			<u> </u>		2		· <u> </u>		
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	!	11		J!		10 1 1 1 1	<u>_</u>	
4					4		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>			·	5				
6	<u> </u>		1		6		<u>.</u>		
7	ļ	1			7		1		
8	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		8		1	<u>. </u>	
9'		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		9		<u>i </u>		
10	ŀ] :		10				
11		<u> </u>	1		+1 ·/		<u> </u>		
12	1	<u> </u>			12 1		<u> </u> !		
13			<u> </u>		13 1	<u></u>			
14					14	<u></u>	11	·	
15		1 .1	1		15		<u> </u>		·!
16	· ·		f*		16		<u>l:</u> 1		
<u>17'.</u>	50			50	17 1	165	1 170		167.5
. 18	,230	38	1	134	18	210	29		119.5
19	. 30	1 . 1	l,	30	19 1	bdt	1. 1		bdt
20 . (1	1		20 /			<u> </u>]
21	70	<u> </u> i		70	21	65			65
22		1			22	-	.	1	
23		1 1			23	i i	(1	
24		1	1		24 1		1	1	I
25		i-	i		25	i	1]
26	· · ·	†	j	{ i	26	. i		1	
27		1 1	i		27 1	j		1	
28	·····	ii	i		28		1	<u> </u>	
Mar 1		i-	<u> </u>		Mar 1	1		<u> </u>	
2 1		ji			2		1	1	
3 1		├	i		3 1	i		1	
4					4	j	1	1	
				1 1	· · · · · ·				

bdt = below ELISA (<30 ng/L) detection Emit, blank = not sampled

÷

	Site 1: Tow Bridge @ Sacramento		Diszinon acentration (ng/L) 'Average	n Discharg (cfs)	Concentrat	ion	Result 2.445 x = Diazi	x 10-3 non	Site 2: Feetbe @ Hwy 9!	r R. 9	Diszinon concentration (ng/L.) *Average	Discharge (cfs)	Concentration x Discharge	Result x 2.445 x 10-3 = Diszinon s/day
	Date			1					Date		7.70.000		A Discussion	
	Ian 1					-+	····	{	Inn 1		<u> </u>	i	[
	2			1		-t			2		j	i	i	
			bdt	14200	213000	-t	521				l	<u>.</u> 1	1	
				T					A		35	3618	126630	310
	+<		bdt	13600	204000	-+	499					1		
	6												i	
	•7		bdt	12800	192000	-+	075			<u></u>		·	ii	
	8				1 152000	-+		~					i	
	0			<u> </u>		-+-		~	0				;	
	+10		bdt	12600	189000	-+-	167		10				1	
	11				10,000	÷			10					
1	•17		hdt	12400	186000		455		17		44 1	3227	141988	347
1	13				100000	-			12				1	
ł	•14		bdt	11700	175500	+	179		14	. i			1	
ł	15	 -									i	1		i
t	16 1			······		÷		-1 6	15				<u> </u>	i
ł	17		46	11900	547400		1338	┈┥┝	17	-†	36 1	3186	114696	230
ł	18					÷	1000	}	19			1		
ł	19		30.5	12200	377100	+	910	┥┟	10					
٢	20				1 3/2100			-	70	<u> </u>	i	i		
ſ	11	- <u>†</u>	bdt	12200	183000	÷	447	-		-÷	bdt i	3236	48540 1	119
٢	22	<u> </u>	1			÷		┥┟	27	-i		1		
r	23		1			÷		┥┟		$\frac{1}{1}$	i	1		1
r	24	1 4	12.5 1	13200	561000	$\dot{-}$	1372	┥┟	••4	Ť	bdt i	3074	46110 1	113
r	25	- <u>i</u>	40 1	15900	636000	<u> </u>	1555	\neg \vdash	75	Ť	265 1		1	
	26		97 1	21800	2114600	†	5170	\dashv	76	-i	834 i	14943	12462462	30471 1
-	27	<u>i</u> 2	236 1	24000	1 5664000	$\dot{-}$	13848	\dashv F	77		407.25	6144 1	2624319 1	6416 1
-	28	1 1	51 1	22100	3337100	i –	81.59	ᅱᅡ		<u> </u>	305 1	7322	2233210 1	5460
	29	1 1	33 1	19200	2553600	<u>i</u>	6244	7 F	29	T	30	1	1	1
	30		82 1	17000	1 1394000	;	3408	\dashv \vdash	30	T	bdt /	1	J	
	31	<u>, </u>	76 1	15500	1178000	i -	2880	\dashv \vdash	•31	1	bdt	3739	56085 1	137
	Feb 1	1 4	41	14200	582200	†	1423	ήΓ	Freib 1	1	1		1	
	2	1 3	31 1	13200	409200	Î	1000	٦ F	2	1	1	. 1	I.	
	3	1 3	19 1	12600	491400	T	1201	1	3	T	1	1	1	
	•4	1 6	odt	12300	184500	Î	451	7	4	1	12	3245	38940 1	95
	5	1	Ī			Ī		7 Г	5	1	1			
_	6	1	1	· /		Î		1	6	T	1			
	-7	1 b	di I	13400	201000	Ī	491		•7	T	bat I	3354 1	50310 1	123
	8	1 1	37 1	19900	2129300	1 :	\$206	1 [8	T	147.5 1	1.	1	1
_	9	1	26	29800	3754800	1	9180		9	T	127.5	8000	1020000	2494
	10	1 24	53	29900	7564700	1	8496	īГ	10	1_	55	8374	460570	1126
_	11	18	30 1	26400	4752000	1	1619		•11 **	T	bdt	6705	100575	246
,	12	4	<u>6 I</u>	23800	1094800		2677	\Box	12	Ī	37	3659	135383 1	331
_	13	21	8	23400	655200	1	602] [13	I	80.5	5281	425120.5 1	1039
_	14	33	3 1	21500	709500	1	735		•14	1	bdt	5197	77955	191
	•15	<u>k</u>	it	19200	288000		704		15			1		
	16 .	40	2	17300	692000	1	692		16		<u> </u>		1	(77
	17	30)	16200	486000	1	188		17		58	4036	234088	372
_	*18	bo	lt	17100	256500	(527		*18	1	bdt [2650	39750 1	97
	19	31		19400	601400	1	470		+19	1	bdt	2734	41010	100
	20	38		23500	893000	2	183		20	<u> </u>	30	4952	148560 1	
		29		25000	725000	1	773		-21	1	bdt	3112	46680	114
		44		28900	1271600	3	109		<u>•22</u>	<u> </u>	bdt	6697	100455	240
_		51	13	28200	1438200 [3	516			!	bdt i	0073	100032	<u>- 40</u>
	24	41	2	25500	1045500	2	556		24	<u> </u>	!	<u> </u>		
-	-25	bdi	<u> </u>	2900	343500	8	40		25	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
-		bd	<u> 7</u>	0800	312000	7	50		26					
-	- 21			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	21			2700	40485	121
-	 Mag 1			<u></u>	·····		{		-28		<u> </u>	1 1		:=: {
-					<u> </u>				Mar I	· · · ·	<u></u>	<u> </u>		
-														
	4 1			·········			{	1			bdt i			
			1	,	1		4	1			1	,	•	

Table 2. Diazinon mass loading calculation. One half the ELISA detection limit (30 ng/L) was used to estimate 10005 when the concentration was below detection.

Note: Diazinon concentration average derived from Table 1.

• = 1/2 the ELISA detection limit used for calculation when value found was below detection limit (bdt).

Site 3: Feather River @ Yuba City	Diazinon concentration (ng/L) *Average	Discharge (cfs)	Concentration x Discharge	Result x 2.445 x 10-3 = Diazinon g/day	Size 4: Secremento Slough @ Karnak	Diazinon concentration (ng/L) *Average	Discharge (cfs)	Concentration x Discharge	Result x 2.445 x 10-2 = Diazinon g/day
Date	1	<u></u>			Date			1	
Jan 1	1			i	Jan 1		i	<u> </u>	l
2	1	1			2			1	<u> </u>
3				i	3			1	
×4	26	2000	52000	127	4	180	570	1 102600	251
5	1.			1	5 🗟	100	602	60200	147
6	1				6				
7	1	* .		!	7				
8	ii				8				
9	I I]		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	9.		· ·		
10					10				
11		1			11]			104
*12	33	1730	57090	140	12	66 1	<u>604</u>	43104	100
13	I	1			13				
14	1	<u> </u>			14				
. 15		1			15	· · · · ·			
16		l			16			63799 6 1	130
17 21	bdt	1730	25950	63	17 17	98.3	. 341 1	ا دەدىدد	
181	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				18				
19		!			19	!	1	<u> </u>	
20	<u> </u>				20	-76.26		42215 75 1	103
21 1		<u>l</u>	[21	<u> </u>	. 101 1	42.13.20	
22					22				
23			<u> </u>		73!		721	54094 1	132
*74	83	1790	148570 1	363	24	14	151 1	104657 1	256
*25	165.5 1	1760	291230	712	25	108 1	1120	140865 8 1	344
26	100	1740	174000	425	26	124.00 1	1130 1	7075373 1	4952
*27 1		1730	136670	334	27	1506.66 1	1530 1	790995 1	1934
*28	61.5	1730	106395	260	28	545 1	1360 1	741200	1812
29	1				29	345 1	1180 1	430700	1053
30 1	<u> </u>		26800 1		30	747 5 1	964 1	238590 1	583
31 1	odt	1/20 1	1		<u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	
Feo I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					 	1]
2 1	<u>_</u>				2	<u>_</u>	1		
	17 1	1770	206.10			115 33 1	648 1	74733.84	183
4 1		1120 1	_20040 1		<u> </u>	1	1	1	
					5 1			. 1	
	hat (1910	28650	70	7 1	90 1	873 1	78570 1	192
1 20	120	1910 1	218400	534	8 1	90 1	1290	116100	284
10	100 1	1780	178000 1	435		160 ;	1090	174400 1	426
10	bdr	1790 1	26850 1	66	10	1250 ;	2000 1	2500000 1	6113
11	bat 1	1750 1	26250 1	64	11 1	800 1	2160	1728000	4225
	bdt	1750	26250	64	12 1	640 1	1890	1209600 1	2957
13 1	90	1750	157500 1	385	13 1	395 /	1870 1	738650 1	1806
14	bdt	1730	25950 1	63	14.: 1	233.33 1	1940	452660.2	1107
15	·····		<u> </u>		15	1	1	1	
16	<u>'</u>		i		16	.1		1	- 275
17 . 1	bdt I	1780	26700	65	17	230 1	1200	2/000 1	- 274
18	bdt I	1750	26250	64	18	137.5 1	1000	1 0001	204
19 1	bdt I	1750	26250	64	19	120	694	163260 1	276
20	bdt (1780	26700	65	20	145 1	1060 1	122100 1	- 404
21	bdt i	1770	26550	65	21	180	- 223	100140 1	184
22	bdt 1	1750	26250	64	22	<u>65 i</u>	1100	130370 1	341
23	bdt i	1750	26250 (64	23	77 1	1810	1012210	
24	1	I			24				{
25 1		1			25	<u> </u>		/	
26	1		1		26	i	<u>-</u>		
27	1	·			27		1	101500	253
28	bdt i	1760	26400 ľ	65	28		100 1	1	
Mar 1					Mar I		<u> </u>		
2	1			·	2	<u>1'/</u>			
3				ا ا ا ا	3 1		828	36432 1	89
4	odt		l	ل	4	<u>_</u>	1000 1		

. - -

.-

~ -,

Note: Diazinon concentration average derived from Table 1. x = 1/2 the ELISA detection limit used for calculation when value found was below detection limit (bdt).

84

`1

1aoie 4. (commuea)

Site 5: Butte C	Diszinor Creek Concentrati (ng/L) *Aversor	Diacharge	Concentration X Discharge	Result x 2.445 x 10 = Diszinc g/day	-3 Site 6: Co Drain	luaa Diszinon concentrati (ng/L) *Average	on Discharge (cfs)	Concentration X Discharge	Result x 2.445 x 10-3 = Diszinos g/day
Date			A Discusinge	- Domy	Date	1		1 x Discussion	
Jan 1			+		Im 1			- <u>i</u>	[
2			<u> </u>	·····				<u>;</u>	i
							<u></u>		
4	42.5	1 266	11305	28	-	l bdt	185	2775	7
5						1 41	1 184	7544	18
6			<u>+</u>					1	
	·····		}		┥┝───╤──		- <u> </u>	<u>.</u>	
	·				-	·		1	
						······			
10				···		i	1	1	
11									
17	57	278	15846	30		1 19	1 181	3439	8
			13010				1		
14	<u>}</u>					<u> </u>	- <u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
15					- Ia		<u></u>		
<u> </u>		·			┥┝━━─╬──			l	
10	1 49		14000	27	<u>10</u>		1 74	409	1
	<u> </u>		14925						
18	<u>- </u>					<u> </u>	- !		
19	_ <u></u>	- 	!		19	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>		
							1 701	14006	
21	62	385	23870	58	21	36	1 391 1	14070	
		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	!!		
		<u> </u>	}				1		
24	120	496	59520	146	24	34	403	13702	
25	203	827	167881	410	25	45	<u> 433 </u>	19485	48
26	334.33	1120	374449.6	916	26	41.66	<u> 366 </u>	15247.56	37
27	1 224.5	890	199805	489	27	1 94	<u>1 454 i</u>	42676	104
28	175_5	<u>685 j</u>	120217.5	294	28	52	1 499 1	25948	63
29		11	ľ	1	29	1	11	1	
		1			30	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
31	1 60	409	24540	60	31	45.5	<u> 333 </u>	<u>15151.5 </u>	37
Feb 1	1	1	. 1		Feb 1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	1	<u>i</u>	1		2	1	11	1	
3	4	<u> </u>			3	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
4	93.5	446	41701	102	4	1 27	231 1	6237	15
5	1	11			5	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
6					6		<u> </u>	1	
. 7	150	394	59100	145	•7	ı bdt	548	8220	20
8	1000	1320	1320000	3227	8.	! 330	851 1	280830	687
9	305	2620	799100	1954	9	I 190	249	47310	116
10	270	2110	569700	1393	10	345	621	214245	524
11	1 170	1860	316200	773	11	370	897	331890	811
12	160	2400	384000	939	12	1 126 1	675	85050	208
13	1 305 1	1740	530700	1298	13	! 270 I	655	176850	432
14	63	1100	69300	169	14	1 82.5 1	436 1	35970	88
15	11		1		15	1 1	1	1	·
16	1	j			16	1 1	1		
17 .	1 160 1	588	94080	230	17	43.5	480	20880	51
18	<u> 100 i</u>	799	79900 I	195	18	42	493	20706	51
19	120 1	1460	175200	428	19	1 37 1	337	12469	30
20	123	1240	152520	373	20	1 49 1	428	20972	51
21	95 1	2210	209950	513	21	1 72.5 1	241 1	17472.5 1	43
22	30 1	2360	70800	173		l bát l	279 1	4185 1	10
23					22	1 125	658 1	82250 1	201
24	<u> </u>	i			24	<u> </u>	i	i	
25					25	- <u>i</u>	i	·	
26			<u></u>		26	- <u></u>	·····	i	
27 1			<u>i</u>				i		
28 1	60 1	803	48180	118	- ng	i bdt i	395 I	5925 1	14
Mar I					Mar 1	1 1			i
2	·····				2	<u> </u>	ii		
						;	1	i	j
4	45 1	605	17775 1	67	•4	bdt 1	571 1	8565	21
~			······	<u> </u>					است مستعب ش

Note: Diazinon concentration average derived from Table 1. • = 1/2 the ELISA detection limit used for calculation when value found was below detection limit (bdt).

Site 7: Sec. River @ Colusa	Disting concentration (zg/L) Average	Discharge (cfs)	Concentration x Discharge	Result x 2.445 x 10-3 = Discision g/day	Site 9:Yuba River @ Maryaville	Diszinon concentration (ng/L) *Average	Discharge (cfs)	Concentration x Discharge	Remit x 2.445 x 10-3 = Diszinon g/day
Date					Date		1.4		
Jan 1				!	Jan 1		1.1	[
2					2		L	<u> </u>	·
3		{	<u> </u>		3				
•4	bat	6660	99900	244	•4	bdt	1200	18000	44
5	25	6620	165500	405	5	5	1210	6050	13
6		1			6		·		
7					7				
8					8	·			
9					9				
10					10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
111					11				
	10	5740	57400	140	12		·		
13	}				13				
14					14	1	1 . 1		
15					15 1				
16		·			16		<u> </u>		
17 .	21	5740	120540	295	17		<u> </u>		
18 ,	1		(18				
19					19	1			
20			1		20 1		1	<u> </u>	
21 1	bet I	5680	85200	208	21 1	-			
22		<u> </u>	i		22		I	1	!
23 1	1		1		23	. 1	1		
24	55.66	7020	390733.2	955	24	41.5 1	1400	58100	142
75 1	87.5	12600	1102500	2696	25	1	1.1.2	1	
76	34 1	12300	418200 1	1022	76 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	}	
77 1	44	10900	479600	1173	77	1	. 1	1	
78 1	6- 1	9040	578560	1415	78 1	i	1	• 1	
					70 1		1	1	1
					30		1	1	
30 1	18	6710	120780	295	71		1	1	1
		0/10	120100 1		Empl 1		1	T	1
	·				7 1	i	i	I	. 1
	<u> </u>			 .	2 1			1	
<u>_</u>	74.5	5890	144305 1	353	4	1	1	1	
			1		5		1	1	
6 1					6 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•7 1	beit 1	8050	120750 1	295	7	<u>_</u>	1	1	
<u> </u>	700 1	20400 1	4080000	9976	+9 1	bdt i	1490 1	22350 1	55
<u> </u>	137 < 1	18200	7411500	5896		96	1220	117120	286
7 [43.5 1	12200 1	530700	1298	•10 1	bdt i	1160	17400	43
<u> </u>	1 100	11400	330600		11 1			1	
<u> </u>	<u> حن</u>	13200	108000	484	12		i-	1	
17 1	78 4 1	10200	290700	711	17 1		i i	1	
10 1	20 1	10200	256040	678	<u> </u>			1	1
16	<u>47</u>	0000			14 1 *	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	
	<u>_</u>		<u> </u>		13 (i-	1	
17		7480	127160		10 / +17 I	bdt	1140	17100 1	42
	41 1	1460	175600	1163	- <u>1/</u>	bdt l	1570	23550 1	58
<u>18 · 1</u>	<u>41 </u>	12000		1350	•10 l	bdt i	1300	19500	48
	40 33.00	13000	150700	1122	-19 1	bdt 1	1450	21750	53
	105	13200	430/00 1	5366		bdt i	1350 1	20250 1	50
	<u>- 100 </u>	16500	247500 1	605	<u>ا ليَّ</u>		·		
		13500	247300		<u> </u>			<u>_</u>	
<u></u>	<u>our </u>	1 00001	202300						
- 24					24		<u>í</u>		
			<u>_</u>	} }			<u> </u>	· 1	
20					20			i	1
27	1.10		167600					i	1
400	bdt	10500	157500	<u> 60</u>					
*28									
<u>*28</u> Mar 1					<u>Mar I</u>		<u> </u> ~	i	
*28 Mar 1				 	<u>Mar</u> 1 2				

Note: Diazinon concentration everage derived from Table 1. * = 1/2 the ELISA detection limit used for calculation when value found was below detection limit (bdt).

ł

86

1.11

160	1	7	
14.01	-	~	

14.01e 2.										T
Site 16: Butte Cit	Diszinon concentratio (ng/L)	n Discharge	Concentratio	Result x 2.445 x 10 = Diszin	c D-3 01	Site 17: Ord Be	Diszinon od concentratio (ng/L) *Average	n Discharge (cfs)	Concentration x Discharge	Result x 2.445 x 10- = Diezinor g/day
Data	Average	(CIS)	1 X Discharge	e growy		Data				
LAIC										1
Jan 1	1	1			_	Jan l				
2						22			+	
3	1	1		1		3		1	<u>}</u>	[
4						4			1	
5	1	1	1	1	7	5		1	1	
6	1	1			-	6			1	
					-	7	1.	1	1.	
		+	- <u>+</u>		-1			-f	1	
8	<u></u>								1	
9	<u></u>			·	_	y		+		
10	ļ				_	10				
11	<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_					
. 12	<u> </u>					12				
13	I	1				13		<u></u>	!	
14	1	1		1	.].	14	1	1		
15	l	1	1			15		1		
16	i	j	1	1	4	16	1	1	1	
17		<u> </u>	·		-	17	1	1	1	
	·				-	10	1 .	·····	1	
18 -			<u> </u>	·	4	18				
19		l		<u></u>	4	19				
20						20				
21				1		21		1		
<u>~</u>		· ·		1	7	22				
21				1	71	23	1	1		
					- }	74	1	1	1	
					4 }		1	<u> </u>	i	
					-	<u>ت</u>			i	
261				!	\downarrow	26	1	<u> </u>		
27	1	1			1 [27	!			
28		1		1.] [28	1			
29 1	1	1] [29	1	11		
30 1	1			1	7 F	30	1	1		
				<u>.</u>	7 F	31	1	1	1	
/	······				ήŀ	Eats 1	1			
					+ $+$	1	<u>.</u>	i	i	
<u> </u>					+ $+$	<u></u>	<u></u>			
3 1					+	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
4	<u> </u>	I			1 1	4	I	1		
5	1				1 L	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
6					ΙC	6			1	
7 [1	1			ТГ	7		1	·	
8 1			1		1 1	8		1	1	
<u> </u>					i -	9	1	i	1	
	·····	<u>.</u>			; ⊦	10	·			
10					+	10			1	
				·····	ΙL				<u>_</u>	
12			1			12				
13 1	1	1	1		1 L	13				
14		1			ΙC	14				
15	1	i	1			15		<u>l·</u>		
16 • 1	i-	i	Ť			16		1	1	
17						•17	bdt	7579	113685	278
10	109.5	15400	1686300	4123		18	90	15692	1412280	3453
10 1	10,0		1000500			10	36	12004	432144	1057
					H	19		1		
20						20	100	19106	1810500	4427
21		1			L	21	100	19100	101000	
22					L	22				
23 1	1	1				23				
24	i	i	i			24 1	1	1		
25	i	<u> </u>			1	25		1		
						26				
27							·			
					1	41				
28						28				
Mar 1						Mar 1				
2	•	. 1			Ĺ	2				
3 1						3				
4 1						4		1	1	
		1		1				the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s		

Note: Diazinon concentration average derived from Table 1. • = 1/2 the ELISA detection limit used for calculation when value found was below detection limit (bdt).

------- .--

Site 19: Vina	Diazinon concentration (ng/L) *Average	Discharge	Concentration x Discharge	Result x 2.445 x 10-1 m = Diazinon	Site 21: Rea	Bluff Bluff (ng/L)	Discharge	Concentration	Result : 2.445 x 1 = Diazin
Date	1	+	1	- Pust	Date	- Average	<u> </u>	1 ~ D we und ge	<u> </u>
Jan 1	1	+	†	+				÷	<u>.</u>
2		1	1	1				<u></u>	<u></u>
	+	†	<u> </u>		1			+	<u>.</u>
4		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	┤┝────┊──			+	1
<u> </u>	+		<u> </u>						1
		<u> </u>							<u>.</u>
0	<u> </u>	<u>├</u>	ļ		6				/
		[1 7				·
8				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8		·		
9]		9				
10					10		h. e. e	<u> </u>	,
t I					11			!	
12	1			,	12			1 1	
13					13		,	1	
14		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			14	 		1	
15		<u></u>		{	15				
16								i	
17		<u> </u>							
		!			17				·····
10 10			!		18				
		······	l		19	<u> </u>			
20		!	/]	20		<u></u>	- 1	
				<u></u>]	21		11	· !	
<u></u>		1	 	}	22		<u> </u>		
		_ · [1		23	1	1 1	:	
24		1	1		24	1	1	1	
25		1			25	1	1 1	1	
26	1	1	1	1	26	1	1 1		
27					77	1	1	}	
28 1					10	1	1 1		
20 1							<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
30 1		······					<u> </u>	1	
31 1					30		1	1	
			·		31				
					Febl		1		
<u></u>				<u></u>	2	<u>}</u>	<u> </u>		
					3	<u></u>	<u> </u>		
4		<u> </u>			4 :		<u></u>		
			<u> </u>		5		<u> </u>		
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	<u>\</u>		6		<u> </u>	I	
7.		1		I	7	1	<u> </u>		
8		I	1		8	1	<u> </u>	i	
9	J	I	1		9	1	11	·	
10 1	1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10:	1	11		
11 1		i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i	11	1	1	!	
12 1		<u>j</u>		1	1.7		1		
13			<u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u>	{	12		<u>i i i</u>	1	
14 1					14		ii		
15		<u>·</u> [14		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	· · · · · ·	
<u>_</u>				·	<u>├</u>		<u></u> [1	
14 1		<u> </u>		4670	10		9750	740000 1	1809
16	167 5	11029			1 17		1 11 1		
16	167.5	11038	1848865	4320	<u> </u>			1	
16 17 18	167.5 119.5	11038 14559 1	739800.5	4254	18		1	1	
16 17 18 *19	167.5 119.5 bdt	11038 14559 1 11046	739800.5 165690	4254 405	18 19			1	
16 17 18 *19 20	167.5 119.5 bdt	11038 14559 1 11046 	1848865 739800.5 165690	4254 405	18 19 20			!	······································
16 17 18 *19 20 21	167.5 119.5 bdt 65	11038 14559 1 11046 14325	1848865 739800.5 165690 931125	4254 405 2277	18 19 20 21				
10 17 18 *19 20 21 22	167.5 119.5 bdt 65	11038 14559 1 11046 14325 1	1848865 739800.5 165690 931125	4254 405 2277	18 19 20 21 22				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 17 18 ★19 20 21 22 23	167.5 119.5 bdt 65	11038 14559 1 11046 14325 	739800.5 165690 931125	4254 405 2277	18 19 20 21 22 23				·
16 17 18 *19 20 21 22 23 24	167.5 119.5 bdt 65	11038 14559 1 11046 14325 	1248865 739800.5 165690 931125	4254 4254 405 2277	18 19 20 21 22 23 24				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 17 18 *19 20 21 22 23 24 25	167.5 119.5 bdt 65	11038 14559 1 11046 14325 	1448865 739800.5 165690 (931125	4254 4254 405 22777	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 17 18 *19 20 1 21 22 23 24 25 26	167.5 119.5 bdt 65	11038 14559 1 11046 14325 	1448805 739800.5 165690 931125	4254 405 2277	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26				
16 17 18 *19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	167.5 119.5 bdt 65	11038 14559 1 11046 14325 	1448865 739800.5 165690 931125	4254 405 2277	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27				
16 17 18 *19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	167.5 119.5 bdt 65	11038 14559 1 11046 14325 	1448805 739800.5 165690 931125	4254 405 2277	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 27				
16 17 18 *19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Mar 1	167.5 119.5 bdt 65	11038 14559 1 11046 14325 	1448805 739800.5 165690 931125	4254 405 2277	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28				
16 17 18 *19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Mar 1 2	167.5 119.5 bdt 65	11038 14559 1 1046 14325 	1448805 739800.5 165690 931125	4254 405 22777	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Mar I				
16 17 18 *19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Mar 1 2 2	167.5 119.5 bdt 65	11038 14559 1 1046 14325 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1248805 739800.5 165690 931125	4254 405 22777	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Mar I 2 2				

1

Note: Diazinon concentration average derived from Table 1. x = 1/2 the ELISA detection limit used for calculation when value found was below detection limit (bdt).

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Site 22: Ben	d	Diszinon concentrati (ng/L) *Average	on	Dischar (cfs)	Be	Concentrati x Dischar	ioa ze	Result 2.445 x 1 = Diszin g/day	x 0-3 xoa
	Date		[
	Jan 1		1	1			1			
	2									
			}							
	3									
	4									
	<			1						
	6									· ·
	7	1		- 1				1		
		-		i						
	<u>_</u>									
	9						•	1		
	10		· · · ·	Ī				- 1		
	·····			÷				-i		-1
	<u> </u>									_
	1 12	- 1		- 1		- 1		- 1		- 1
	12							ī		
	13							+		
	14				-					
	15	T	•	T		T		T		· 7
	12	-+		÷	·			÷		-1
	<u> </u>				·	!				
	<u>17 t</u>			1						
ļ	18	- •		1		T		T		٦
		- i				÷				-1
ļ	19	_		_				_		4
	20			1						
ĺ	21	T		T		T		T		1
ł	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	-t		÷		-+		-i-		-1
ł					·····	<u> </u>		÷		
L										
I	24	1		1		1		1		1
t	25	1		Ť				Ť		-1
ŀ	<u></u>			+-		_ <u>-</u>		- <u>+</u> -		
L	26			1						
ł	27	Ē		T		1		T		
r	70	T		1		-		1		7
ŀ	د نو			<u> </u>				+		
Ĺ	29								•	
Ĺ	30	1		İ		Ĩ		T		1
٢	31	T		1		1		T		٦
┝		÷		÷				÷		÷.
-	Feb_I			<u> </u>				<u> </u>		-
	2			1				1		
	3			1		1		1		٦.
		<u> </u>		ī				i		Τ.
-	*			<u> </u>						4
_	5			i		1	•			
	6			i		1		ł –		Ŧ
	7	1		1		1		1		7
-	·	÷-		<u>.</u>		<u>+</u>		÷		4
	8			<u> </u>		1		1		4
	9					I.		1		1
	10			1		1		ī		7
-	• •			<u> </u>		+		<u>.</u>		Ť
		+				<u> </u>	·····	<u> </u>		-
	12	1				1		1		1
Ĩ	13	T				1		1		
	1.4	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		Î		1
-	14	+-				+		<u></u>		-
	15	1				1		L		
Ĩ	16	1	1			T	-	1		1
	17	† –	i			+		<u> </u>		1
	1/ .	<u> </u>			0/00	+		<u> </u>	200	1
	*18		bdt`		9683	1	145245		300	1
	•19		bdt l		8690.	1	130350		319	1
	20	1	;			1				1
•		 	<u> </u>		10662		160446		297	ł
	-21		DOL		10202	<u> </u>	120442		195	ł
	2					L				
Ĩ	23		1			1	1			1
-	24	;	1			† –				Í
		<u> </u>				<u> </u>				
_	25					1				
	26	L	1			1	1			
	27		Î		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1				
-	20		÷							
	20					ļ				1
	Mar 1									
	2		1							
	3		1							
			!-							
	- 4 1		· · ·		1					

Note: Diazinon concentration average derived from Table 1. • = 1/2 the ELISA detection limit used for calculation when value found was below detection limit (bdt).

Appendix D: Summary of other chemicals detected in study

:

Table 1. Summary of all pesticide concentrations (ng/L) measured above the U.S. Geological Survey Arvada, Colorado detection limit.

					NOY	de la					ä							Ŧ		. •		_				~9	ر . ^ر	کار		.0	þl	
	site	dale	alachlar	alpha bhe	. O, I	· arbaryl	arminetura	chierpyrifee	cymetics		decthyl stram	dectors	direction to	spice	allandarin	ethope op		settyl parti	artalachler mucrihaila	and its to	abinangende	aliterite and the set	scad	pronetod	approximate	°001				Lerbudes		
Feather R.@Hwy 99	2	01/04			T							40	1				7				-	T		Γ		1 1	4		Т			7
		01/26			.007		27			2		760	[—			- -	~ -		3	2	2	- -	-		-	20	0		-1-	-		
		01/27			. 007		36			2		370	<u> </u>				~ -		3	2	9	- -	-	-	-	26	0		_	_		-
		01/28			, 006		32			2		180				- -		- -	3	3	3	- -	-			1-17	0	5				
		02/08			. 005		23			2		140							3	2	4					29	0					
		02/09			.010]_2		110							2	2	4					28	0	52				
i		02/13		- _	. 608		_21		.]_1	<u> </u>	21	.			.	_				0	_ -	-		_	1	0					_
Feather R.@Yuba City	3	01/04	<u> </u>	- -				—				36		-		- -		[-				- -	-	-	-	-	4				-+-	-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		01/24		1-1						2		60	5	-		1-1-					-1	-1-		-	-	1 2	22					
		02/08	1-	1-1	,006	16			-	2		150	5 -	-		- - -	~		[-				-		1		50					-
		02/09	1	- -	37				-1	2		100	5		1				-			- -	- -	-	9		75					
			1-						-	-	1		-[-1-					[-			-1		-	~							
Sac. Slough@Kamak	4	01/05	7-				56			1		100	51-	110					3		89										7	
		01/12					21					82	2	7			_		3	1	00										9	
		01/17			· · ·		69					8	?								83						8]]
		01/21					63					9	3			_ _					<u>99 _</u>		- _	_	<u>. </u>	_	8				_5	
		01/21	_	_ _			55		_	-	_	8	3	_	-	_				1	00			_			5				6	_
		01/24	_	_ _		I	64	-	_		_	8	2	_[-	_				-1	30						9				5	
		01/25		_ _	I	<u> </u>	79		-	_	- -	1_13	의_	_	-	_				!	20						45	110			_6	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		01/26	_				75	2	_	_	_	14	⁰	_		_ _			6		30						67	30			4	
·		01/27	_ _	7 _			70	21	_	_	-	140	⁰[_	_		_ _	 		4		30						57	25			2	·
		01/28		2 _		1	83	빈_	_ _	_ _	_	64	0	_ _	_]				4		20			_ _			40	9			5	
		01/29	_ _	_ _	. <u> </u>		8		_ _	_ _		59	<u>0</u>]_				1		4		10	_	_ _			2	200	_ <u>.</u>		<u> </u>	6	
		01/30	-1-	_ _	-l		1_7	21_	_ _	__		41	0	__	_ _	__	<u> </u>	-	4	- [!]	00		_ _	_ _	_ _		40		<u> </u>		5	\
		01/31	_]_			_	-1^{-7}	<u> </u>		_ _	_ _	- -32	20 _	_ _	- -	_ _	.	-		_	00		_				130			.l	5	
		02/04	_ _				6	의_		_ _	_ _	-			-1				·{	[80			_ <u>`</u> _			70		·			·
		02/10	- -		- 	_					_ _		20 -	!	7 _	_ _		-		_	75		_ -				200	10				_
		02/11				_	- ?	<u>.</u> _	- -	- -	_ _	- 8	201-	_ _	_ _	_ _	·]		6	∖ _	<u>-91 </u> _		<u> _</u> -	_ _	_		280		.			
		$-\frac{02/12}{02/12}$			-		-1-?	š -	_ _		- -	$- -\frac{4}{3} $	80 -	_			.	_	<u> </u>	-	89			_ _	_		230					
·						- -"	비그	<u> </u>	- -		<u>- -</u>	$- -\frac{2}{3}$	201-	-;	<u>8</u>	- -	.	<u> - </u>	6	-	78		. -	_ _			210		-	-	<u> </u>	.
			- -		-	- -	- -;	2-			- -	-	읦 -		비느		·	-	-	-	- 66		- -		_ _		170				4-4	
					-12	귀	- -;	위			- -		낊-		-!-	_ -	-	_	1-7	╢━━╂╴	65		. .				150					┦──
			<u>-</u> -		-1	2	- -9	4 -		-1-	- -	- !	50 -	- -	4 -	- -	-	-	- <u> </u> ;	-	- 29		. .				160				4	
			<u>-</u> -		$-1-\frac{2}{3}$	니	-1-9	4-		_ -		- _!	읭 -		4 _	_1_	-		- 4	· -	62		- .		_	_	180	-12	·		-	-l
}		02/20			<u> · • ·]</u>	4_	-	읡-	<u> </u>				<u>- -</u>				-	-		·	53		┦━┞			<u> </u>	210					
L	<u> </u>	02/2		<u>I</u>	_ <u></u>			3					101				1_		13	J	72		Ц			_5	130		1		1	<u> </u>

reards were set to come = P.

- . 1 .

 \sim

cm

. ..

] = below moc

* = intra laboratory split sample; blank = below detection limit

		- aite	dale	alachtar alpha bhe	Mendine	Carbary I	Curbe/unu	chlorpyrifes	automatica de la constante		Arethy a lighted		dimethos te		chaprop	nokhelem	methyf parathle	metalacibler		mellark	an properties 	aterate	presetors	4		aritzarik	nemidiuda	lerbaci	terbula	aliciulity
Bullo	Creek	5	01/04	1			46			1		57		5			· I	5		93					- <u></u>	11				9 3
			01/24				60			1		110		2				3		130						11				3 1
			01/26			5 5	55			2		350						4		110						260	- 9	11		7
			02/08			5				3		1000		2				2		84		_				440				5
			02/09			5	44			3		280]_	2	_ _			_2 _		120	_	_	<u> </u>			270				4
	t		02/10	_ _	-	5	42		_ _	3		240		3	_ _		.	_4_		76	7	_		_l`		300		7		2
	•		02/11		_	4	40		_	4		160		23	_ _			<u> </u>		75		_	-	_ _	16	190				8 4
		<u> </u>	02/12	<u> </u>		3	48	-		3.		_150	-	18 -	_ _			_? -		81		_	-		18	170			\square	7 3
			02/13		_	5	-49].		-2 -]-	_160		-1-	<u> </u>	. <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>6</u>	<u> </u>	_72			-1-	<u> </u> =	<u>-17</u> _	160		·	_ -	9 2
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		02/17	-	-	4.		·	_ -	-21-		<u>_140</u>		-2 -	_ _]_		-87			- _		<u> </u>	150		i	<u> </u>	4 3
			02/20			4	<u></u>	ŀ	-	-2 -		-::5	<u> </u>	—l-			_		l-	89		_ _	-!	_ _	-14 -	130	<u> </u>	┟───┝		311
			02/21		-	<u> - </u>	-	—- ·	-	<u>-</u>		-110	-	-	_ -	· [<u></u> -		<u> </u>		-	- -	-	-17 -	260		├ ─		
Colu	Isa Drain	6	01/05		-	3	39			2		41	-	2						86	8	-			╍╍╂╴	17	4	┟╼╼╂	-+	$\frac{1}{21}$
2		1	01/12		~!	4	25					18			-	·]				73		- -	~ -			22				12
, <u> </u>	······································		01/17		-	4	27			_ i		-i7		ï			_			72	-7	- -	-			11	. 6			14
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		01/21	-	-		29					36								85		-				14				15
		·	01/24			-	35			2		36								130	8					13				10 3
			01/25			5	46			3		60		2	· [4		120	10					410	23			12 2
			01/26				27	19		_ 3		53						2		81	76				68	68	4	34		21 3
-			01/27			17	50		21	15		180		1				56	6	110	- 30			320	60	.400	13	\Box		13 4
			01/28		_	6	49			3		53						6		140	7				-	430	70			13 3
			01/31			41	68			_4		49				ľ.,		4		180					14	360	21			12 1
	-	<u> </u>	02/04			8	39			_2	<u> </u>	29			1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2		120		_ _				97	- 13	,		10
			02/08			5	7 31	5	42	17		300			41		<u> </u>			75	440	3				- 900				10 23
<u> </u>			02/09	<u> </u>		29	47	-9	9	26	·	170		_3				35	<u> </u>	- 100	-200	3			36	980	48	أحصدا		12 6
			02/10			77	56	·		_6	3				·	_		310	8	100	85	2_			250	840	30	止		10 6
			02/11	-		49	62		13	16		360			l-	_	-	130	16	110	41			270	160	570	13	봐	┟╌╷┨	10 5
			02/12			3 -	30			<u> </u>		22				_	-			$\frac{71}{100}$		_			64	1.8		<u>i</u>		14
		_	02/13	-			48		16	113	·	120		_2	-	_ _	-	36		100	19	_	- -	260				1		11 4
	<u> </u>	_	02/14	-[]	_ - <u>`</u>	10	38	!	117	<u>6</u>	1	84	·I—		i —_i.	-		20		1 25	12	┠──┠~	16	120	_24			4	.	9 3
.			02/17			-기-	2	2	120	1_1		49	·			_ _	-	8		1 75	1 7	╞╧┥┥	_ -	94	18	220	<u> </u>	4	 	9 3
		_	02/18	_	_	-9-	$- \frac{29}{29}$	<u>'</u>	175	1-3		<u> 34</u>	.	 		_ _	- —	<u> _</u>	I—	84		_ -	<u>- </u> -	85	-18	300	·	_	. !	10 3
		_	02/19	-		_6 _	$- _{-\frac{2}{2}}$	귀	134	-6		44				_ _		-	I	83	57	 -		67	_17	290	.	-		
		_	02/20	-	<u> _ -</u>	4 -	<u> </u>	₹	-1-19	1-5	<u> </u>	41		-==	[]		-	-1		80		-		- 39	14	240	·	<u>+ </u>	_	11 3
		_	02/21	_		14		-	32	<u> _3</u>		. 80	!	10		_ _	-	33	·	81	110	┝─┤-	18	- 58	17	780			<u> </u>	7 8
			-1		+ ! ·				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1						1	1									1.	1	

•

								•															•									•	
											1					_		1					8 1			-			v		ę	ſ	
				÷ X		x 7]		-				£				Hon	Ĺ	-					ĩ	ş	- Pier		N I	ļ	7 7	1	q	
					_	ļ					4	[1		ž		Delec	- F		5	1			Į	l			ļ	4	ŧ	1	ġ	•
-		die.	date			a (·····	-1-		• 		l-			,								-1							<u>.</u>	ิส
E E	Jullo Creck	5	01/01					16 -			- -		- 57		15 -		·	-	-		- -	33 -	- -		-	-	- -					<u>-</u>	Η.
-			01/24		-			22 -		- -			-150-		-4 -		·	-1	-	-1-		읢-			-			260	-9			ž	4
-			01/20		-	-6-		48-		- -		- -	1000	[-		- -	·	-[-	-1-	-	84			-			440				5	1
			02/09	-	- -		-	44	- -		-j[-	-	280		2		-	-	- -	2	- -	20	-					270				4	
ŀ			02/10	-	- -		- -	42	· -	- -	3		240		3					4		76	7					300		7			2
Ē			02/11			4		40			4		160		23					8		75			_ _		16	190				8	4
ſ			02/12			3		48			3	_ _	150		18		-	_1_	_ _	-7]-	_ _	81	-	_ _	- -		18	-170 -					븱
			02/13	.	_ -	5	_ -	49			-2 -		_160		-1			_ _	-1-	-6 -	_ _	-72 -		- -		<u> </u>	-141-	-150					췌
- I.			02/17	. .	_ -	4		60			-2 -	-	140	-1	-2		-	-	- -		- -	-	ŀ	-			14-	-130		-		3-	쉬
			02/20	· ·	- -		- -	-20 .	-	·	-11-	-	-:::	-		[-	- -	- -	- -					-			-i7 -	260				- -	-
ł		ii	02/21	· ·	- -	- <u> -</u>		·	[-	[-	- - [·	-	-10	-		[-	- -	- -	- -		[- -	÷[- -							
	Colues Drain	6	01/05		- -	- 3		39	-		2		41		2	-	- -	- -		2	-1-	86	8					17	4			21	3
9			01/12					25			1		18				-			2		73						22				12	_
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		01/17			4		27			<u> </u>		_17		_1							72							6			14	_
			01/21			· ·		29					36		·		_ _	_				85		_ -						-		15-	_
			01/24	_				35	·		_2		36		_		_	_ _	_ -			130	8	_ -				- 13		_		101	긝
			01/25		_	5		46			_3		60	<u> </u>	2		_ -		-	4		120	-10	_ -				410	23	1-24	⊢ −+	12	싉
			01/26					27	19		_3		53		[]		- -	-	_ -			-81	-70			120	60		17	134	├	쇎	긝
			01/27							21	15		180		1		_ -	_	-	-20		-110	- 30	- -		320	_00	400		; 	├	iit-	귀
			01/28			6	<u> </u>	49							1		-	- -	ŀ			140					-14	- 360	- 21	1		12	눼
			01/31					10					- 49		1-				ŀ		—ŀ	-120				—-ŀ		97	$-\tilde{1}$	<u>it – t</u>	1	Tiot	
			02/04	-		5		- 11	-5	47	17		300		·	41	<u>-</u> -	[-	·	-7		75	440	3				900			11	10	23
			02/00		$\left - \right $	29		-47	5	-9	26		170		3				{	35		100	200	3		-	36	980	41	8	1	12	6
			02/10			77		56	1	<u> </u>	6	3	340	;[-1	310	8	100	85	2			250	840	3	0		10	6
			02/11	-		49		62		13	16		360		3					130	16	110	41			270	160	570	1	3		10	5
			02/12		-	3		30			1		22									71					64	18		6		14	
			02/13			11		48		16	13		120		2					36		100	_19			260	36	390	1	3		11	_4
			02/14			10		38		17	6		84					_		20	·	95	12		16	150	24	300	1	11-		1 2	
			02/17			5		20		20	7	<u> </u>	49	2	_					8		75	7				18	220		9		1-2	3
		_	02/18			6		_ 29		75	3		54	<u>! _</u>	_\					9		84	\	- -	<u> </u>	85	18	300				110	5
			02/19	2		6	.	23		34	6	<u>i</u>	4	<u>+</u>	_	-				8	I	83	57			67	$-\frac{17}{14}$	290				+#	1-3
			02/2	0	- -	4		24	<u>! </u>	19		2	4	<u> </u>	-						<u> </u>	80		2 -	10	- 29	-14	240		- -		14	
	·	_	02/2	<u> </u>		1-14	·}		-	32		2	<u> 8</u>	의	_ <u>_1</u>	의	-			<u></u>	· —	81	110	쒸ᅴ	10		+-1'	1_180			-	+	<u>⊢</u> °
					1.	ላ '	1	1		1									L	1	1	1	1		L	L	1						L

•

,

													•	• =						<u>~</u>	**										
· .							•	-		-	ä						1					9	•								•
				¥		_	2		X		5	-	S E	9	.	Ę	Ĩ	- Fa	B	-	P	le la		٤	ş	*	Į			f	g
			1	ž			3			я .	Ę		5.	, ł	Ę	and a second	Ţ	Ť	ŧ	Ĩ,		Į.	Ę	Ę	Į.		ą.	T P	ž	ł	, E
	alle	date	1	-	Ş	ð	8 3		6 _. .	5	-š	4		6 1	1 2	8	ð	ă	ē	Ā	â	Ř.	î.	. E .	. .	-	3	3	3	3	5
ac B @Colum	7	01/05	r	Τ				_ _	_	1	_[25				[·											
	<u> </u>	01/17		- -		- -	-				- -	21	_[_	_ -	_ _	-	-]	-							· · ·)					
			·	- -			-			- -				- -	- -	-	· · · · · ·		· ·									-			
		01/24				·									••••				.									-		-	
		01/31								—-\-	·			1-	- -	·\	·\	·\	-1	·\		- -						-\			i
i		02/04	 	_ -	3		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	-		-	[-	_ -		-	·	-	.	·[·		<u></u>				-			
•		02/04]_	-].			17		!-	_ _	.	.]	.	_	.	.]				.]			_	.	_	<u> </u>
		02/08			85					3		220		_ -		-	-	.	2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	21_1			17	440		_			
		02/09	Ĩ	<u> </u>	28		<u> </u>			2		140				-	-		<u> </u>						·	280			<u> </u>		_
		02/10					-			<u>_</u> !					_	-			1.	-	1.17	4_	<u> </u>		.	170		14	40		L
	·	02/11							ŀ			- 29				-	-		<u> - </u>		· [-				100		_			–
		02/13		·			·	·			-				-	-	-]	-	~		-	- -	<u> </u>		1 19	56		-	<u>-</u>	┼──	÷
	{	02/17	1	[[-5	{·	[·	[-		[·	—[·	- -	-	-	-	~ —	-	-	- -		<u> </u>	-	29		-	1-	┢──	+
		02/18	1		16					3		41														320					1
		:02/19			35	-				_2		40										2				110		_		ŀ	L
	ļ	02/20	.		26					_2	_	28]		_ _	_ _	-	1 _					<u> </u>	1	- 97	 	┥╧	┥╌	┤╌	┶
	-}	02/21	-		82	 				_2	2			<u> </u>	—	- -	- -	~\ <u>`</u>	리_	<u>-</u> }		- -	·}	-}		3 - 470			+-	+	+
Rear D. G.Berry Rd		01/04	-{				110					120				- -	-		31-	- 5	5	- -		-{	-	66			+	┼┷	╋
Dear R. Whenly Rd.	-	-1-01/04	-	- -		1—										- -	-1-		- -			-[-	-			-	1				1
Yuba R.@Marvaville	9	01/05	-	- -							1	5									•	- -	-		_	9			-		1
		02/09							<u> </u>			2														55					
																_ _	_ _	_	_ _		_ _					_	_			1_	\bot
Jack Slough@14th St.	10	01/04	1_	-	<u> </u>	- <u> </u>	160	 		- <u> </u>		200	<u> -</u>			_ -	<u>-</u> -		-l-	$-\frac{22}{22}$	0						2]		╧	_	
		01/24		_ _			210	[- 390	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u>-</u>			┈╢╌	- 21	0	_ <u> </u>	╧┝──		_	= 46	위	_ -	- <u> </u> -	- -	+
<u></u>		02/08	-	- -	-		1-120		·	-								- -				- -	-	-	-		<u>⊹</u> ,	-2- -	- ÷	- -	4-
	-	02/09		- -		-1	1 310			-	-	1-190				- -		- -	-	-1-42	iõ —	- -	-	-1	-1-	14	5/		-	-	- -
· · ·	-	02/17	-	- -			340	-		-	-	210								30	50					47				-1-	
	-	02/18					340					220	2							30	50					24	0				
		02/19					370	2	_			190	2]	.]	·	<u> </u>]_	_ _		<u>3</u>	10			_ _		15	<u>o </u>	10		_ _	4
		02/20	2	_ _		-	-1-68	<u> </u>	-	-	-	- ;27	<u>-</u>	-	· 	_ -		- -			40 -	_ -		- -		- 50	읽		<u> </u>		
		02/20	4-		-	-	-1-290	4	-	- -	-	-	4—	-		}} -					<u>- -</u>		┈┤┯	-		- 94	¥				+
Hand Crack O Chandles Rd			- -	~				-1-	-	-	-		51-	-	-	- ·	-	- -			38	·		-							+
Honcut CreekugChanoler Ko.	-[-11	01/0	- -	- -		-		4—		-	-	-	-	-	-	1-1	- -	[[-				- -	- -			-			-†-	-
DWR Pump Plant@Sac. Rd.	12	01/0	<u>-</u>	- -	-	- -	220	ō —	- -	-	-	42	ō	5						4	00					3	3	170			12
	-1	-101/2	4		-	-1-	29	ō1—	-1-	-1-	-	29	ōl		5					4	20		_ _	-		74	0 1	000			12

• = intra laboratory split sample; blank = below detection limit

	sile	date		alpea bic		timero .	ULEO COL	د بالمحركية الم	, Linear	ţ.	descrif surrout		dime/2004	ad o	ch-Curvlin				Bessiechier	ort ètan	n an an an an an an an an an an an an an	aprovide de	alledhecation	processes a	breaction	aproximate	÷		le condi			
WR Pump Plant@Sac. Rd.	12	02/08	22				130	10		4		2800		13						_	350						270	100			11	9
		02/09	34				140			_4		1000		5	·	-		-			280		_					<u>93</u>			<u> 4</u> _	_
		02/10	37	_			<u> 170 </u>			_3		_500		8				23		<u>11</u>	380		_				110	46			15	
		02/17			5300		180			_2	12			_5		_ .					300						760				16	
		02/18			5100		260			2	9	150		_4							310						1200	9			29	
		02/19			3100		260				7	160									260			·		<u> </u>	610	25			29	
		02/20			1400		260				5	150						<u> </u>			290						620	47			28	
			1															-												<u> </u>		
DWR Pump Plant@Obanion Rd.	13	01/04					55					130									96	_	1					<u>_</u>	1			
	· .	02/08					66	·[.	-3		300		·	—	_				·	140		- -				490					
		02/10	-	-			69					530		- <u>-</u> -			20			·	120		-				220					
		02/17					29			8		220									55						170					
		02/17		1			31			1		220									59	[1_				160				-	
		02/18	-	-			28	<u>-</u>]	-	- 6	2	270	-	-		-				-	- 53		-[-	·}—			100					
Wedeworth Canal@Empklin Pd	14	01/04			•	+	2		-		-	170		-						-	54	+				-	-		-	┼─	1	
Wadsworth Canalor Failkin Rd.		01/24	-	- -	-		30	5 -	-	-	-	740		-[-				-	47		- -				47				4	
		02/08					42	<u> [</u>			5	4800		3		1				1	54						460	2			3	
	-	02/09	_	_ <u> </u> _	-	116	6	2 _	-	- -	_	4500	2	_	-	-	1	.		-	<u>- 79</u>	<u>'</u>		-	-	_	- 430	읛	+		+	┣-
	-	02/10	-		-	-1-21		8-	-	-	- -	- 2000	- -	-	.	-	·	-	·	-		<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	22	<u>/</u>		-	╧	┢─
	-	02/18	- -		-	5	5 5	δ[-	-	-[-	- -		5	- -:		- -	·	-	·	-	70	5	- -	-	-		17	D	_ _		5	
		02/18					5	<u> [</u> _		_ _		38									72	2					17	0			7	1
	-	02/19		_ -		3	-1-4	읽_	_ _	- -	- -	- 43	<u> </u> _	_	-	-	- —	-	-[_ _		2	- -		_	-	$-\frac{30}{15}$		- -	- -	_{	┝
	-	02/20	- -			-	- 3	쉬-	-	- -	- -	- 55	ŏ -	- -	<u>-</u>	- -	-	-	-	3-				- -	-		- 64	<u> </u>	- -	- -		1-
	÷							ΞĒ												<u> </u>							_					1
Main Drainage Canal to Cheroke Canal@Colusa Hwy	15	01/04					8	8				20	<u>o</u>						_		17	<u>o</u>			_			_				
	_	01/24			_		8	<u> </u>	_ _	_ _	_ _	- 150	<u>0</u>	_ _	- -	_ _	- -	_	-	_ _	17	읽_		- -	_ _	_ -		<u> </u>		- -	<u> </u>	4-
<u> </u>	-		<u>+</u> -		_	- -	- 8	3-	- -	- -	4 -		81-	- -	-	- -	- -	ᅬ_	-	- -			}	- -	-		$-\frac{21}{6}$	<u> </u>	- -	- -		<u>-</u> -
		- 02/0	<u>_ -</u>			-	-	읡-	- -				3 -	- -	-		-	-!—	-			<u>×</u> -		- -	-	- -					*	+-

		dale	sinchier	alpha bhe	Arreator	curberri	arteñena	chlacpyrifes	automo e La	R.	dectry i strand	diezzine	detecthorate	and to	ملاحسارهمه	de sciencipe	main them	Aunt Prime		Decribrain	, at stilled	an propuezide	pendimethalla	powerste	prometoe	prosentide		وجال الأورش	kerbedi	herburkos	thebencarb	trifturalla
Main Drainage Canal to Cherokee		m/17					160														240	÷								·		
Canal@Colusa liwy		02/18		-			120					- 360				-					200										—–	
		02/19					_91					180			_						150						16					
		02/20		ŀ			- 21			3		1100				.	26				160										4	
Dutte City	<u> </u>	02/18			<u> </u>			_	 			<u> </u>			- 	-	•••		••• •••••	' 			 				<u> — 110</u>		-	-		
Hamilton	18	02/18		· -	26							38		 			 						-				78			_		
Vina	19	02/17	-	-	140	-5				2		<u>170</u> 29				-		 						 			<u>i30</u> 75		-	-		

Ĩ

.

1

95

• = Intra laboratory split sample; blank = below detection limit

a. 1

	site	date	diaziaon	molinate	Carbolanas	SIGNATION	methodication
Feather R.@Hwy 99	2	01/12	44	11	.013.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		01/25	293			329	143
		01/26	782	37		251	26
		01/27	379			279	<u> </u>
		01/28	150			172	
		02/04	12				
Feather R.@Yuba City	3	01/12	33				56
•		01/25	171				<u> </u>
		01/27	63			62	
		01/28	38				
		02/04	12				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						·	
Sac. Slough@Karnak	4	01/12	86	113	35	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
		01/24	89	121	38		
		01/25	106	132	42	<u> </u>	
•		01/26	104	165	37	48	
		01/27	1120	134	52	142	104
		01/28	502	168	55	215	75
		02/04	86	113	28	110	
Butte Creek@ Pass Road	5	01/12	79	140	49	8	
		01/24	105	128	50		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		01/25	226	150	36	85	· · ·
		01/26	353	142	30	263	
		01/27	219	127	44	154	
		01/28	161	147	64	125	
		02/04	62	84	13		
				· · · · ·			
Colusa Drain	6	01/12	20	98	27		
	· · · · ·	01/24	36	131	31		
	· · ·	01/25		108	26		
		01/26	42	109	20	117	665
		01/27	60	165	49	523	
* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		01/28	55	166	55	613	
·		02/04	25	168	25.4	120	
			·				
ac R.@Colusa	7	01/12	10				
		01/24	55				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		01/25	95			750	
		01/27	46			322	
······································		01/28	38			151	
		02/04	13			22	
							67
ar K.@Berry Rd.	8	01/24	203	91	82	132	
ida K.@Marysville	9	01/24	35				
		01/01			126	1240	1102
siougn	10	01/24	/0/	204		1348	
Pour Crock@Chandle Di			105				
Jucut Creek(() Chandler Kd	1 13 1	01/24	ו כטו				

Table 2. Pesticides identified in scan at USGS Sacramento Laboratory. Values are ng/L.

ŀ

.

	site	date	diazinon	molinate	carbofurna	simazine	methidathion
DWR Pump Plant@Sac. Rd.	12	01/24	230	475	157	803	49
DWR Pump Plant@Obanion Rd.	13	01/24	122	272	41	30	
Wadsworth Canal	14	01/24	569	57	15	86	58
Main Drainage Canal to Cherokee Canal@Colusa Hwy	15	01/24	1342	200	45		55

1

۰,