

# Lake Tahoe Watershed Modeling

*Presented by:* Tetra Tech, Inc.

Leslie Shoemaker

Andrew Parker

John Riverson

Lake Tahoe TMDL  
Contractors Meeting

December 12-13, 2002




# Watershed Modeling Goals

- Provide estimates of watershed loading of sediment and nutrients to Lake Tahoe
- Provide input to the Lake Clarity Model
- Evaluate management scenarios to meet loading targets
- Estimate TMDL allocation components

*Integration of research results*




# Relationship to Other Tasks




# Evolution of the Watershed Model

## Phase I



Model Scoping with Workgroup Input  
Data Compilation (historic, ongoing)  
Preliminary Model Configuration and Calibration  
Hydrology  
Sediment  
Nutrients  
Preliminary TMDL Analysis

## Phase II



Model Reconfiguration Using Research Results  
Model Recalibration and Validation/Verification  
Loading Alternative Evaluation  
TMDL Analysis

Lake Tahoe TMDL Contractors Meeting (December 12-13, 2002)

# Watershed Model Project Timeline

TASK	2002		2003				2004				2005				Needs from Other Group Members
	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	
<b>1. Hydrology Model Development</b>															
1.1 Subwatershed Delineation															s/w monitoring site delineations, Tahoe Basin subcatchments
1.2 Meteorological Data Processing															meteorological grid, time series data files @ ground surface (either complete or example/partial)
1.3 Calibration															groundwater analysis results (flow), flow gage data, stream cross-sections, BMP hydrologic effects
<b>2. Sediment Model Development</b>															
2.1 Data Compilation															tributary and historic s/w monitoring data
2.2 Model Formulation Selection															stream channel erosion results, fine particles analysis results, input req'mts to lake clarity model
2.3 Calibration															calibration data set selection
<b>3. Nutrient Model Development</b>															
3.1 Data Compilation															historical tributary and s/w monitoring data, s/w monitoring data
3.2 Model Formulation Selection															
3.3 Calibration															calibration data set selection
<b>4. Preliminary TMDL Analysis</b>															
<b>5. Model Refinement and Verification</b>															
5.1 Model Refinement - Nutrients															wq statistical analysis results, atmospheric deposition analysis results
5.2 Model Refinement - BMPs															BMP analysis results
5.3 Verification															s/w monitoring data
<b>6. TMDL Analysis</b>															



# Model Selection


- Apply EPA's Loading Simulation Program in C++ (LSPC), a dynamic watershed model, to simulate hydrologic processes, erosion and sediment transport, and nutrient accumulation/transport for the Tahoe Watershed

# LSPC

- Loading Simulation Program, C++
- Streamlined Hydrologic Simulation Program Fortran (HSPF) algorithms for pervious and impervious land flow and pollutant transport, coded with Visual C++ in an object-oriented environment
- Visual C++ programming architecture allows for seamless integration with modern-day, widely available software such as Microsoft Access, and Excel
- Key watershed modeling component of the TMDL Toolbox (developed and maintained by EPA Region 4 with support from Tetra Tech)
- TMDLs successfully developed in AL, MS, SC, GA, CA, KY, TN, WV, VA, MD, AZ, OH, Puerto Rico, and U.S.V.I.

# TMDL Toolbox Overview

- Collection of models, modeling tools, and databases that have been used historically in determination of TMDLs
- Facilitates exchange of data among all components
- Developed modularly to support future expansion
- Public domain





# Key Considerations Used in the Design of LSPC

- Potential for very large-scale modeling (e.g. HUC-wide or Statewide)
- Increase efficiency of model setup and execution (eliminate unnecessary, repetitive user input, hence minimizes the chance of human error)
- Simplify model output
- Tailored for TMDL development
  - Handles potential nonpoint and point sources
  - Calculation tools
  - Archival system
- Highly adaptable design and programming architecture that allows for modular additions and/or improvements (e.g., hydraulic modification, BMP simulation)

# LSPC MODULES

- GIS
- Data management
- Data inventory
- Data analysis
- Watershed model
- Model results analysis





# Hydrology Model Development

TASK	2002		2003				2004				2005			
	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4
<b>1. Hydrology Model Development</b>														
1.1 Subwatershed Delineation														
1.2 Meteorological Data Processing														
1.3 Calibration														

## Key considerations and data needs:

- Subwatershed delineation
  - Full basin for TMDL analysis (existing Tahoe subwatersheds)
  - Site-level for calibration (monitoring site delineations)
- Landuse category selection




# SUBWATERSHED DELINEATION


- Subdivision of the watershed into discrete components
- Delineation based on:
  - elevation (topographic data)
  - stream connectivity
  - location of flow and water quality monitoring stations
- Each subwatershed is modeled with 1 representative stream
  - streams are assumed trapezoidal
- Each subwatershed is modeled with 1 representative meteorological time series

# Land Simulation

- Each subwatershed is represented by multiple land units (based on landuse coverages)
- Land units are either pervious or impervious
- Model algorithms are run for each individual land unit







### ***Watershed Loading Schematic***

- Tributaries converging to discharge to lake*
- Direct drainage to lake*

5	Urban
4	Rural



## 2 SCALES OF DELINEATION

1. Subwatershed delineation for calibration to historical and ongoing monitoring sites
  2. Subwatershed delineation for entire Tahoe Basin
- Calibrated model parameters from the calibration subwatersheds will be validated at a larger scale using the entire Tahoe Basin subwatershed distribution




# Subwatershed Delineation


- Need to define a suitable level of segmentation
- Consistent with other research

## *Factors to Consider*

Lumped → Distributed



**2 Segments**



**8 Segments**

### Watershed


- Land use/Sources
- Soils
- Topography/elevation
- Weather station location
- Monitoring points
- Existing management

### Management

- Planning
- Regulatory
- Impact
- Alternatives analysis


# Elevation Considerations

- Subdivide incoming stream basins based on elevation
- Impacts hydrology processes (snowmelt and atmospheric variability with elevation)



# Existing Coverages

- 597 subwatersheds in TRPA coverage
- Each stream segment is subdelineated
- Possible starting point (will likely aggregate many individual subwatersheds)






# Calibration Delineations

- Different scale than for full-basin analysis

Stormwater Monitoring Sites

Existing Subwatershed Coverage



# Landuse Selection

- What is the suite of land use categories that will be described individually?

Lumped → Distributed



Anderson  
Level 1



Anderson  
Level 2

## *Factors to Consider*

- Predominant Landuse
- Type of Impacts
- Management categories
- Future Land Use Conversion
- Data Availability
- Resources



# Categorization for SW Monitoring

- Single family residential – 5 sites
- Multi family residential – 2 sites
- Commercial – 1 site
- Communications/utilities – none (minimal area)
- Institutional – none (minimal area)
- Agriculture/livestock – none (minimal area)
- Transportation – 6 CalTrans sites
- Recreation/open space – 1 site
- Mixed urban – 2 sites
- Bare – none (minimal area)
- Vegetated – 4 sites (1 completely vegetated, others are divided with urban categories)



# Draft Landuse Categories

- Residential
  - Single family residential
  - Multi family residential
- Commercial
- Mixed urban (including communications, institutional)
- Transportation
  - Primary roads
  - Secondary roads
- Recreation/open space/bare
- Vegetated
  - Undisturbed
  - Moderately disturbed
  - Highly disturbed
  - Burned zones

# Hydrology Model Development


TASK	2002		2003				2004				2005			
	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4
<b>1. Hydrology Model Development</b>														
1.1 Subwatershed Delineation														
1.2 Meteorological Data Processing														
1.3 Calibration														

## Data Needs:

- Meteorological grid
- Hourly time series data files (at ground surface), preferably in text files

*Either complete or partial example dataset*

# Meteorological Data Processing



Subwatershed



3 km meteorological grid

## *Subbasin Area-Weighting*

- Subbasin 1 =  
 $0.4 c + 0.6 d$
- Subbasin 2 =  
 $0.4a + 0.1b + 0.3c + 0.2d$
- Subbasin 3 =  
 $0.7 b + 0.3 d$




# Weather Data Application

	Land				Reaches			
	Temp	Snow	Water	Sediment		Water	Heat	Gen. Qual.
Precipitation	●	●	●	●		▲	▲	
Pot. ET			●	●		▲		
Air Temperature	●	●					●	
Wind Speed		●					●	●
Solar Radiation		●					●	
Dewpoint Temp.		●					●	
Cloud Cover							●	●

● Required  
▲ Optional

# Hydrology


- Hydrologic Components:
  - Precipitation
  - Interception
  - Evapotranspiration
  - Overland flow
  - Infiltration
  - Interflow
  - Subsurface storage
  - Groundwater flow
  - Groundwater loss



Source: Stanford Watershed Model

Lake Tahoe TMDL Contractors Meeting (December 12-13, 2002)

# Land Simulation Considerations



## TWO POSSIBLE METHODS:

- Energy Balance
  - COE, 1956; Anderson Crawford, 1964; Anderson, 1968
- Temperature Index or “Degree-day”
  - Rango and Martinec, 1995



# Land Simulation Considerations

Land Simulation


WATER

ATEM

SNOW


Weather Data	Energy Balance	Degree Day
Precipitation	Required	Required
Air Temperature	Required	Required
Solar Radiation	Required	Not Used
Dewpoint	Required	<i>optional</i>
Wind Speed	Required	Not Used
Cloud Cover	<i>optional</i>	Not Used

# Snowmelt Schematic



Lake Tahoe TMDL Contractors Meeting (December 12-13, 2002)

# Components of Hydrograph






# Stream Hydraulics


- Completely mixed reach (single layer)
- Unidirectional flow
- Flow routing by **kinematic wave or storage-routing method** (i.e. conservation of momentum not considered)
- Requires **function table** (FTable) for depth-volume-discharge relationship for each reach.
- Precipitation/evaporation accommodated
- Calculates outflow, depth, volume, surface area, and selected auxiliary variables (velocity, cross-sectional area, bed shear velocity/stress)

# Flow Diagram for HYDR Section of RCHRES



# Function Table

- ❖ **Area (surface) =**  
Top width \* length
- ❖ **Volume = Cross**  
**sectional area \***  
length
- ❖ **Outflow can be**  
**withdrawal,**  
**spillway discharge**  
**or outflow at the**  
**downstream end of**  
**a reach**
- ❖ **Stream Flow =**  
**Cross sectional**  
**area \* velocity**



Depth	Area	Volume	Outflow
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.08	10.81	0.86	2.12
0.80	11.36	8.84	98.09
1.20	11.68	13.45	192.51




# Hydrology Calibration

Level of Effort



- Analytical Considerations
  - Annual water balance
  - Seasonal / monthly distribution
  - Distribution of hydrograph components
    - Storm flow
    - Base flow
  - Snowfall / snowmelt influence



### ***Watershed Loading Schematic***

- *Tributaries converging to discharge to lake*
- *Direct drainage to lake*

5	Urban
4	Rural


# Hydrology Calibration Methods

- Hourly/Daily/Monthly Timeseries
- Monthly Scatter/Balance Plots
- Seasonal Plots (Multi-Year Composites)
- Flow Duration Curves
- Flow Accumulation Curves
- Cumulative Error Statistics
- Hydrograph Components



# Issues With the Water Balance

- Fine-tuning the watershed model minimizes the propagation of error in the reservoir



# Sediment Model Development

TASK	2002		2003				2004				2005			
	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4
<b>2. Sediment Model Development</b>														
2.1 Data Compilation														
2.2 Model Formulation Selection														
2.3 Calibration														

## Key considerations and data needs:

- Bank erosion versus upstream loads (CONCEPTS/AGNPS results)
- Particle-size distribution



# Sediment Load Estimation

- 2 potential options
- Option 1 (first phase of modeling)
  - Use LSPC algorithms for land erosion and sediment transport to predict overall sediment load
  - Represent suite of particle sized
- Option 2 (second phase)
  - Assuming significant channel erosion is identified through CONCEPTS/AGNPS modeling, incorporate channel erosion component in watershed model




# Erosion and Sediment Processes

- Pervious land areas
  - Erosion is a function of land use activity, soil characteristics, slope, land cover, and precipitation
  - Erosion occurs due to rainfall “energy”
    - Detachment of soil particles
    - Washoff of detached material



# Sediment Processes



# Sediment Budget and Transport

- Land Processes
  - Production and removal of sediment from land
    - Washoff of loose sediment
    - Scouring of soil matrix
- Stream Channel Processes
  - Transport, deposition and scour of sediment in the stream channels



# Nutrient Model Development

TASK	2002		2003				2004				2005			
	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4
<b>3. Nutrient Model Development</b>														
3.1 Data Compilation														
3.2 Model Formulation Selection														
3.3 Calibration														

## Key considerations and data needs:

- Groundwater baseflow concentrations
- Land use specific nutrient information

# Overland Water Quality Processes

- Urban Land Units
  - Impervious areas
    - Dust and dirt build-up functions
  - Pervious areas
    - Dissolved pollutants with runoff
    - Erosion and adsorbed pollutants with sediment
- Rural Land Units
  - Erosion and adsorbed pollutants with sediment
  - Dissolved pollutants with runoff


# Overland General Quality (Rainfall-driven processes)

- **Build-up**
- Washoff

## Constituent Build-up

- Accumulation at a constant rate for a constituent
- Computed at daily time interval

- ① *Build up*
- ② *Washoff*



Change of storage with time



# In-stream Simulation of Generalized Quality Constituent

- **Simulates dissolved and sediment associated general quality constituents**
- **Processes applicable to dissolved general quality constituents include:**
  - **Advection of dissolved material (dominant process in the watershed)**
  - **Decay processes (1<sup>st</sup> order decay used to represent net nutrient losses attributed to settling, transformations, etc.)**

# Model Refinement and Verification

TASK	2002		2003				2004				2005			
	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4
<b>5. Model Refinement and Verification</b>														
5.1 Model Refinement - Nutrients														
5.2 Model Refinement - BMPs														
5.3 Verification														

# Sediment Load Estimation Update


- Incorporate CONCEPTS/AGNPS results using stream reconnaissance:
  - Explicit simulation of channel erosion processes, e.g. extend CONCEPTS model to simulate all remaining streams in the basin or incorporate CONCEPTS algorithms into LSPC
  - Empirical formulations using CONCEPTS results, e.g. application of derived rating curves



# Reconciliation with Statistical Analysis...Options

- Selected replacement of HSPF sediment and nutrient loading algorithms with statistically-derived equations
- Application of statistically derived EMCs or landuse-based rating curves to watershed model-predicted flows
- Use statistical results as a confirmation/validation tool for the watershed model


## Land Use Calibration Points



### ***Data Needs:***

- *Monitoring site delineations*
- *Lake Tahoe subwatershed delineations*

## Validation Points



### ***Data Needs:***

- *Monitoring site delineations*
- *Lake Tahoe subwatershed delineations*


5	Urban
4	Rural




# Watershed Model Results

- Reach Output
  - Hourly flow and nutrient concentrations at downstream end of each reach
  - Cumulative results
- Land Unit Output
  - Hourly flow and nutrient loads for each land unit in each watershed
  - Evaluate contributions at the source level

# TMDL Analysis Considerations



# Allocation Steps





# TMDL Analysis Considerations

