

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
COLORADO RIVER BASIN REGION
PUBLIC MEETING

Brawley Council Chambers
383 Main Street
Brawley, California

Thursday, March 20, 2014
10:07 a.m. - 11:58 a.m.

Reported by:
GERARDO D. CORONA, CSR
CSR NO. 12915

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

PANEL IN ATTENDANCE:

Regional Water Quality Control Board Executive Staff:

- Robert Perdue, Executive Officer
- Jose Angel, Assistant Executive Officer, Ombudsman
- Mary Serra, Supervising Water Resources Control Engineer
- Doug Wylie, Senior Water Resources Control Engineer
- Nadim Shukry-Zeywar, Senior Environmental Scientist

State Water Resources Control Board Staff:

- Fran Spivy-Weber, Board Member Liaison
- Tom Vandenberg, Legal Counsel
- Vanessa M. Young, Office of Enforcement, Staff Counsel
- James M. Ralph, Office of Enforcement, Staff Counsel

Board Members:

- Buford Crites, (Palm Desert)
- Thomas Davis, (Rancho Mirage)
- Jeff Hays, Vice-Chair, (Palm Springs)
- Edward Muzik, (Indian Wells)
- Ellen Way, Chair, (La Quinta)
- Nancy Wright, (Whitewater)

SPEAKERS:

- Ron Derma, Bard Water District, General Manager
- Patrick Harris, Seeley County Water District Board
- Carolina Villa
- Bill Plourd, El Toro Land and Cattle Company
- Ester Bejarano
- Luis Olmedo, Comite Civico del Valle

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

BRAWLEY, CALIFORNIA

MARCH 20, 2014

-000-

MS. WAY: It is 10:07, and I'm going to call the meeting today. I'd just like to welcome everyone here today, and we are very pleased to be here in Brawley. Thank you for opening your doors to us.

Is the City Manager here? Rosanna, thank you for having us. I really appreciate you for making all the accommodations, and to the staff who came down, to make sure everything is in working order. So I appreciate that.

Let's get started with the role call.

MS. WELLS: Buford Crites?

MR. CRITES: Present.

MS. WELLS: Tom Davis?

MR. DAVIS: Here.

MS. WELLS: Jeffrey Hays?

MR. HAYS: Here.

MS. WELLS: Ed Muzik?

MR. MUZIK: Here.

MS. WELLS: Ellen Way?

MS. WAY: Here.

MS. WELLS: Nancy Wright?

MS. WRIGHT: Here.

MS. WELLS: Madam Chair, we have a quorum.

1 MS. WAY: Excellent. Thank you very much.

2 Mr. Davis, would you please lead us in the flag
3 salute.

4 MR. DAVIS: Please join me to salute the flag.

5 (Whereupon the pledge of allegiance
6 was recited in unison.)

7 MS. WAY: Okay. I'd like to introduce Robert Perdue
8 to my right. He's our Executive Officer, and he would like
9 to say something.

10 MR. PERDUE: If you're a State Water Board employee
11 and you're on the clock and the public is standing, could
12 you kindly offer the public some seats.

13 MS. WAY: And further down in front, because we don't
14 have enough chairs, Jose Angel is our Assistant Executive
15 Officer. Tom Vandenberg, who seats to my right, is our
16 Legal Counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board.
17 Ms. Vanessa Young, is Legal Counsel from the State Office
18 of Enforcement. Where is Ms. Young? Welcome, thank you
19 for coming down. And Ms. Fran Spivy-Webber, who we always
20 enjoy having at our meetings is Vice Chair of the State
21 Board. Where is Fran? Hi, Fran. How are you?

22 I'd like to again welcome everyone to this
23 public meeting. As part of our housekeeping rules, I'd
24 like to request that if you have a cell phone or anything
25 that makes noise, that's disruptive, would you please turn

Page 4

1 that off for us.

2 The purpose of this public meeting is for the
3 Regional Water Board Members to obtain testimony and
4 information from concerned and affected parties within the
5 Colorado River Basin Region regarding water quality issues,
6 to learn about water quality projects and plans within the
7 region and at the State level, and to make decisions that
8 affect water quality based on information and other
9 evidence received.

10 We welcome hearing from the public at this
11 meeting. You may address the Board about any matter within
12 the Board's jurisdiction. For those items listed on
13 today's agenda, please make your presentation at the time
14 the item is being considered. If you have an item that you
15 would like to discuss that is not on the agenda, you will
16 have the opportunity to do so during the public forum part
17 of the meeting, which is coming up next.

18 Please keep in mind, however, that although the
19 Board can hear your comments, we, of course, cannot make
20 any decisions regarding them today. To ensure that all
21 agenda items are adequately heard, we may need to place
22 limits on the amount of time of your presentation;
23 therefore, we request that those persons wishing to speak
24 fill out a speaker request card.

25 And I try to keep your comments to three

1 minutes, but usually we're not too tough with that. If you
2 think your presentation may take a little longer, just let
3 me know.

4 Board members, let's take up the minutes from
5 the January 16th Board Member.

6 Do I have a motion to approve?

7 MR. CRITES: So moved.

8 MS. WAY: Moved by Mr. Crites. Seconded by Mr. Hays.

9 MS. WAY: Are there additions, corrections, any
10 comments regarding the minutes?

11 Seeing none, those in favor signify by saying
12 "Aye."

13 (Aye was said in unison.)

14 MS. WAY: Those opposed?

15 Minutes are approved.

16 We will now go to public forum.

17 Mr. Ron Derma, please come up. Thank you for
18 attending the meeting again. I recognize you, nice to see
19 you again.

20 MR. DERMA: Thank you very much.

21 MS. WAY: If you could just state your name and where
22 you're from for the record.

23 MR. DERMA: Okay. My name is Ron Derma. I'm the
24 General Manager of the Bard Water District, and I come out
25 here on behalf of the coalition that's being established

Page 6

1 for the Bard Water District drainage dischargers, and we're
2 still working on the title actually. We are working on the
3 Website. We're trying to be in compliance, and, in fact,
4 we have a public meeting tomorrow to help coordinate and
5 get some information from the water users.

6 But they sent me with a bunch of questions -- I
7 tell you -- they have some issues about water that's in the
8 certain drainages because there is so much property that
9 is, what they call, exempt, and how do we know that that
10 water isn't entering these other drains? They're so close
11 together. A lot of them are right across the drainage from
12 the other side -- I'm talking about the Indian reservation.

13 The Bard operates and maintains the Indian
14 reservation Indian Unit as far as -- and also the
15 Bard Unit, so that's why I'm here today. And some of the
16 water users on the Indian Unit are the same ones on the
17 Bard Unit, so that's why they have questions of how do we
18 know which water is actually in that water, and is there a
19 penalty for testing exempt waters.

20 A lot of the waters, the ground is being
21 irrigated up north, and it flows to the south to the river,
22 the natural. Then these drains were developed. Not
23 necessarily does the water come directly just right to that
24 drain, given the All-American Canal, you know, pushes the
25 water to the other side. I mean, the salt content on the

1 land shows it. So these are some of the questions that we
2 have.

3 MS. WAY: Okay. So if I can just stop you right
4 there. Mr. Derma, because we are not technical experts in
5 here, I'm going to refer to Mr. Angel, who is the contact
6 for this project. They should be down there working with
7 you, answering these questions and helping you figure out
8 this document

9 MR. DERMA: We would like a representative tomorrow to
10 come over.

11 MS. WAY: Okay. Do you know about that meeting?

12 MR. ANGEL: Jose Angel, Assistant Executive Officer.
13 Yes, we do know about the meeting, Madam Chair. We intend
14 to send staff to assist at least with some of the questions
15 or to answer some of the questions they may have. It's
16 either going to be Mary Serra or myself.

17 MS. WAY: Okay. Please don't worry. They will help
18 you. It's a lot. It's a lot.

19 MR. DERMA: They sign my check.

20 MS. WAY: And I'm sorry you didn't know more about it
21 before it was approved.

22 MR. DERMA: That's another question, too. We've been
23 watching to see when it's going to be on agenda so we could
24 come, and then I received a notice this morning that it was
25 approved on the 26th. I really didn't know this. I did

1 want to come and state these issues whenever it's on the
2 agenda. So we're not on the agenda today, but that's why
3 I'm here during the public meeting.

4 MS. WAY: So you had no idea that your discharge --

5 MR. DERMA: We sent the letter of intent because
6 there's a deadline and, you know, everybody is scared
7 because we want to be in compliance. We did get the
8 extension. We appreciate that extension. It did help.
9 But, like I said, we're still working with these people.
10 They do have questions, and I really don't have the answers
11 for them.

12 MR. CRITES: Madam Chair?

13 MS. WAY: Yes, sir.

14 MR. CRITES: Can we make sure that the questions that
15 you have are given to staff today so at least they have
16 some time to prepare for the responses that you'll need
17 tomorrow. If you would give those to Mr. Angel or whoever
18 that he so signifies so that they have an opportunity to do
19 that.

20 MR. DERMA: Some of the questions, because we sent out
21 flyers for them to appear at this public meeting in Bard, a
22 lot of them called and said, "We have questions."

23 I said, "Well, be there tomorrow."

24 So I really don't have them all logged exactly,
25 but I know that some of the questions are --

1 MR. CRITES: Okay. If you would meet with staff
2 literally right away and get those questions, at least the
3 ones you know, and that way they can begin that process of
4 making sure that they can give you an informed set of
5 responses.

6 MR. DERMA: Okay. Yes. There's no doubt that this is
7 all new to the people at Bard. We tried to follow kind of
8 Palo Verde, but, still, I think it's a little bit different
9 circumstances with the exempt property right across the
10 street from the property that we're discussing.

11 MS. WAY: I can understand your confusion. And I just
12 want to remind staff that again we're dealing with a very
13 small rural community that does not have the staff that
14 another area might have and fully understand the
15 complications of these documents, so if I could urge you to
16 please be patient and work with them. I thank you for
17 driving all the way over here today.

18 MR. DERMA: Thank you very much for allowing us to be
19 here. I just have a couple representatives from Bard. I
20 have Cheryl Cloud. She's been coordinating a lot of this
21 work, and Vice President Bill Scott, water user, Indian
22 Unit, Bard Unit.

23 MS. WAY: Thank you. If you also have any questions,
24 you can just write on paper out there and give to Ms. Serra
25 right here so we can make sure that we help you

1 understand.

2 MR. DERMA: Should we step outside?

3 MR. PERDUE: There's a small room, if you wish to go
4 in there.

5 MS. WAY: Mary, do you need to be in here now, or can
6 you go with them?

7 MR. PERDUE: Just across the hall.

8 MS. WAY: Thank you very much.

9 MR. DERMA: One more thing, if any of the Board would
10 like to come to the area to visit to see the situation,
11 feel free, give us a call, and we'll set up a meeting.

12 MS. WAY: Okay. We did that in Blythe too.

13 MS. WRIGHT: That would be good.

14 MS. WAY: I don't have anyone else in public forum.
15 Is there anybody else out there? Okay. We will close the
16 public forum at this time.

17 I wanted to straighten out a few things for the
18 Board members. The uncontested items are in the wrong
19 order. So 4 and 5 we're going to pull out because they
20 don't belong there. They're resolutions. They're not
21 uncontested items. I guess it was just an administrative
22 error.

23 So we're going to take items 3, 6, 7, and 8,
24 which are very simple name changes, just administrative
25 changes, uncontested. So let me read them for the record.

Page 11

1 Item No. 3 is R7-2014-0013, "Revised Waste
2 Discharge Requirements for Imperial Landfill Incorporated
3 Owner/Operator, Allied Imperial Landfill Closure and Post
4 Closure Maintenance of Allied Imperial Class III Landfill
5 Unit 1, and Allied Imperial Active Class III Landfill
6 Unit 2, Municipal Solid Waste Management Facilities
7 Imperial, Imperial County."

8 So there's one landfill that's closed, a second
9 one that's open --

10 MR. CRITES: With errata.

11 MS. WAY: With errata? Okay. I was not given that.
12 Here it is. I apologize. Yes, a very short errata.

13 Just in a quick explanation, two landfills. One
14 is closed. They've been paying a fee on both, so they're
15 going to combine them so that they can pay one permitting
16 fee each year.

17 Item 6, "Granite Construction Board Order
18 R7-2003-0080, Change of Name and/or Ownership of Facilities
19 Having Waste Discharge Requirements East of Twentynine
20 Palms, San Bernardino County." Simple name change.

21 Item 7 --

22 MR. VANDENBERG: Madam Chair?

23 MS. WAY: Yes.

24 MR. VANDENBERG: I'm sorry. I have to interrupt.

25 Item 6, the Board Order at the Board is going to consider

1 adopting this R7-2014-0030. It's going to change the name
2 on the existing old order.

3 MS. WAY: Oh, okay. I usually read that. I
4 apologize. Is someone keeping stenographer notes?

5 So I apologize. That's the old order, and the
6 new order is R7-2014-0030.

7 Item 7 is R7-2014-0031, "Revised Waste Discharge
8 Requirements for Tamarisk Park Domestic/Process Wastewater
9 Disposal Ponds, Desert Center, Service Area 51, Riverside
10 County." It's a mobile home park.

11 Item 8 is R7-2014-0037, "Rescission of Waste
12 Discharge Requirements, Board Order R7-2013-0019 for Seeley
13 County Water District, Owner/Operator, Seeley County
14 Wastewater Treatment Plant; Evaporation/Percolation Pond
15 Pilot Project, Seeley, Imperial County."

16 Basically what they found out is this is not a
17 good spot for this test pilot. They're shutting that down,
18 and they'll try something somewhere else.

19 Okay. So is there a motion for items 3, 6, 7,
20 and 8, 3 with errata?

21 MS. WRIGHT: So move.

22 MS. WAY: Moved by Ms. Wright.

23 MR. DAVIS: Second.

24 MS. WAY: Seconded by Mr. Davis. You guys are so
25 quick.

1 Any questions regarding any of those? Okay.
2 Seeing none, all those in favor signify by saying "Aye."

3 (Aye was said in unison.)

4 MS. WAY: All those opposed?

5 Motion carries.

6 We're going to now do things a little backwards.
7 We're going to do Item No. 5, which is one of the
8 resolutions, and it's R7-2014-0025. It's a Resolution to
9 Approve Proposed Revisions to the Clean Water Act Section
10 303(d) List of Impaired Water Bodies in the Colorado River
11 Basin Region.

12 And is there errata for this, Soni?

13 MS. WELLS: Yes.

14 MS. WAY: There is errata, yes.

15 Okay. Dr. Zeywar, you're going to come and talk
16 to us about this, so thank you very much.

17 MR. ZEYWAR: Sure. Thank you, Madam Chair and members
18 of the Board. Thank you everyone. My name is Nadim
19 Shukry-Zeywar. I am the senior for the TMDL Unit at the
20 Regional Water Board. And today with staff who worked on
21 the 303(d) list updates, Dr. Joeng-Hee Lim, we are going to
22 present this item to you.

23 I will start with giving you an overview of the
24 Clean Water Act Section 303(d) program, and then Dr. Lim
25 will give you the specifics about this item.

1 So Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act
2 requires all the states to do two things. One, is to
3 identify and list impaired water bodies that do not meet
4 water quality standards. That's the item in front of you.

5 The second requirement for the states is to plan
6 for controlling those impairments on the list through
7 either a TMDL or any other control plans. They're giving
8 the states about 8 to 13 years to establish the control
9 plans.

10 The 303(d) list that you are going to consider
11 for approval today is a list, again, of impaired surface
12 waters that the states require to submit to the
13 U.S. EPA for its final approval every two years, and the
14 states need to assess all available and ready data at that
15 time before submitting the list.

16 The U.S. EPA after that has to either approve
17 the list or disapprove the list. If they approve the list,
18 it goes back to the state for developing control plans or
19 prioritizing the work.

20 If the state doesn't approve the list -- if the
21 U.S. EPA doesn't approve the list or the state does not
22 provide the U.S. EPA with a list, the U.S. EPA will have to
23 develop its own list for that particular state.

24 Along with the 303(d) list that you are
25 considering today, Section 305(b) of the Clean Water Act

1 also requires the states to report on its surface waters,
2 all the surface waters. This is not a matter of approval
3 or nonapproval, but we need to submit a report to the
4 U.S. EPA.

5 So by combining those two reports, the 303(d)
6 list and the 305(b) report, we call them integrated
7 reports. Then this approval process will go through the
8 State Board, which combines all the lists when making the
9 report, and then submit it to the U.S. EPA for approval.

10 So in conclusion, we are required to submit this
11 list to the U.S. EPA. The State of California is required
12 to do so. The U.S. EPA is also required to either approve
13 or disprove the list, and we have to follow up with the
14 approval or disapproval.

15 And now if you have any questions for me, I'm
16 going to answer them, but if you don't, Dr. Lim will come
17 and present to you the specifics.

18 MR. HAYS: The impairment is actually based on
19 historical treatment -- I mean -- testing?

20 MR. ZEYWAR: Yes, on testing up to -- close to this
21 point, whatever testing was done up to this point in time
22 and went through quality assurance procedures.

23 MR. HAYS: So the assumption is made that this is
24 based on historical data?

25 MR. ZEYWAR: Right.

1 MR. PERDUE: Madam Chair, may I?

2 MS. WAY: Yes.

3 MR. PERDUE: Dr. Zeywar, there was a point that you
4 brought up, which was quite fascinating, yesterday. If you
5 look on page 2 of the staff report under the "Colorado
6 River," there was a delisting of selenium. Can you explain
7 how that transpired?

8 MR. ZEYWAR: Again, when we assess all the data, we
9 are required to see if we need to keep them on the list or
10 remove them from the list, either we add or remove things.

11 So the Colorado River and the Salton Sea were
12 listed for selenium about ten years ago, maybe, because the
13 standard at that time was 2 micrograms -- 2 milligrams
14 bacteriogram. That was the objective that we were
15 comparing the data we have.

16 Now we have the Office of Environmental Health,
17 who came up with the standard previously, revised that
18 objective, instead of 2 to 7.4. And when we compare that
19 data to that standard or to that objective, we decided that
20 we need to take them out of the list.

21 MR. PERDUE: And were these fish that you collected to
22 do the sampling of that standard, was the amount in the
23 flesh? Sorry. Was it a fish?

24 MR. ZEYWAR: Yes, a fish filet sample.

25 MR. PERDUE: Thank you.

1 MR. CRITES: I noticed in one of the errata sheets,
2 that on the New River, one of the causes, potential
3 sources, changed from unknown to out of state, and that was
4 for nitrogen/ammonia, but all the ones above that are all
5 still listed as unknown.

6 What separates "unknown" from "out of state" in
7 these?

8 MR. ZEYWAR: What separates "unknown" from "known," if
9 we have data or information that tells us who are the
10 potential sources of impairments, we can say that. In the
11 list itself, there is a column that says "potential sources
12 at this time." If we know them, we say who they are. If
13 we don't, we say "unknown" until we do a source analysis or
14 until we get more data.

15 MR. CRITES: So is it then that I can conclude that
16 the only one of those listings that you have data for is
17 the ammonia/nitrogen one?

18 MR. ZEYWAR: That we have data that could direct us to
19 believe that out of state, especially Mexico, is the major
20 source, and this came through one of the comments from
21 Imperial County.

22 MR. CRITES: Okay. And under the Salton Sea, as an
23 example, you have a low dissolved oxygen, and the source
24 being unknown. Whereas, I think the configuration of that
25 body of water and its shallowness and the heat and the

1 fecundity of life in it and so on and so forth, equal low
2 dissolved oxygen, but you can't say that, right?

3 MR. ZEYWAR: We can't say.

4 MS. WAY: But in a time when you don't often see
5 things being delisted, I was pretty proud. Especially some
6 of the standards, I guess, have become -- let's say, they
7 haven't lowered the standard, but they've become more
8 realistic.

9 Anybody else have a comment? Okay.

10 MS. LIM: Good morning, Madam Chair, and members of
11 the Board. My name is Jeong-Hee Lim, Water Board Staff as
12 an engineer. I'll be presenting today regarding the
13 proposed revisions on Clean Water Act Section 303(d) and
14 305(b) integrated report.

15 During 2012 listing cycle, we added 19
16 pollutants newly onto the 303(d) list, state basically as,
17 we must prioritize these pollutants to develop TMDLs.

18 For the delisting, 7 pollutants are proposed to
19 be deleted from the Section 303(d), and you can see on the
20 screen those are them.

21 Now, I'd like to talk about the category changes
22 that we are proposing to the U.S. EPA for approval.
23 Regional Board Staff believes that there are existing
24 programs in this area which can address these impairments
25 without developing TMDLs.

1 Imperial County Farm Bureau TMDL Implementation
2 Program is one of the examples. The ICFB TMDL
3 Implementation Program addresses impairments caused by
4 organic chlorine pesticides, chlorpyrifos and diazinon for
5 Alamo River, Imperial Valley Drains, and New River.

6 Palo Verde Valley Agricultural River is another
7 example which addresses through (unintelligible)
8 impairments at Palo Verde Outfall drains.

9 To complete this update process and to fulfill
10 out statutory requirement, we notified interested persons
11 and affected parties and provided opportunity for public
12 comments on the proposed revision on the 303(d) list.
13 Forty five days of comments period commenced on January 27,
14 '14, and ended March 12, 2014.

15 We received the five official comment letters
16 from federal and local agencies and Regional Board Staff
17 forwarded all comments and responses to the Board members.

18 During these comments, there appeared two major
19 components. One of them is for the delisting of selenium,
20 and another regarding the new listings. The Fish and Wild
21 Life Service was concerned about the delisting of selenium
22 in Colorado River and Salton Sea due to fish eating, birds,
23 and wildlife.

24 We responded to the data support listing and
25 proper procedures valid for delisting.

1 Now, this is regarding the new listings. Main
2 comments were on source of impairments and control measures
3 and who is a responsible party for the impairments and
4 toxicity assessment methodologies.

5 Staff responded that the purpose of the drill
6 through delisting is not to identify source for control
7 measures or responsible parties, but merely we carry out
8 statutory requirements by identifying these impaired water
9 bodies.

10 We also responded that data assessment supports
11 all listings. And some of the comments that we agreed on,
12 modifying source of ammonia in New River from unknown to
13 out of state source as well as we modified toxicity
14 assessment methodology that they recommended.

15 Once our Board adopts this resolution today,
16 step one will be to transfer all the information to the
17 State Board, and State Board will prepare state wide
18 integrated report, and they're going to go through their
19 own adoption processes. And after their adoption from
20 State Board, they will transfer all the documents to the
21 U.S. EPA for final approval, and U.S. EPA will decide
22 whether fully approved or partially approved.

23 In conclusion, Board Staff recommends the Board
24 to adopt Resolution R7-2014-0025 with errata. Thank you.

25 MS. WAY: Questions anyone?

1 MR. CRITES: Just very good staff reports, concise, to
2 the point, and well delivered. Thank you.

3 MS. WAY: I have a question. Many of the letters that
4 came back to you had concern over the addition of chloride
5 to the list. So can you tell us about that constituent and
6 why is it suddenly appearing in your samples, to your
7 guess.

8 MS. LIM: Yes. Chloride is kind of nature occurring
9 pollutant. Depending on the level, you decide whether it
10 is impairing water body or not. But U.S. EPA recommended
11 water quality, they're saying that 230 milligram per liter,
12 above that, it will affect our water body somehow.

13 So we were -- actually a lot of chloride out --
14 we didn't have data -- based on the available data we
15 accessed. So this year, through this period, we have a lot
16 of data that we assessed, and a lot of the chloride is
17 actually exceeding water quality standards. So we
18 recommended to delist it. And also a lot of agriculture
19 activity in this area, through the leeching, is also
20 another factor to cause high concentration of chloride in
21 our water body.

22 MS. WAY: Did the standard change? I'm just curious
23 as to how it's just coming up now. Just so you know, the
24 only reason you can farm in this whole region is because
25 you leech the salt out of the soil. If you see that white

1 cap on some fields in the desert, that's what that is. So
2 I just was curious as to how it never appeared before.

3 MR. HAYS: Has it increased, or did the standard
4 change?

5 MS. LIM: It hasn't changed, but sometimes it depends
6 on our needs, that we actually recommended to test some
7 water body. So somewhere it wasn't included or maybe it
8 wasn't getting attention from us, but for this 2012 listing
9 cycle, the data included was 2005 to 2008. So we're
10 basically talking about back to 2005 data.

11 MS. WAY: Oh, okay.

12 MR. ZEYWAR: If I may add, we are required to do two
13 things. We are required to solicit for data, and we are
14 required to assess the data at that time, whatever
15 available data at that time.

16 So for the chloride or for even others, if we
17 didn't receive the data at that time or we didn't have the
18 resources to assess it, whatever the reason, we just don't
19 delist at that time. We say, okay, until the next cycle.

20 As I said, it's every two years, supposedly
21 every two years. It takes sometimes four to six years
22 because of the huge amount of data and huge amount of work
23 that is involved.

24 But in response to that comment, again, we
25 responded that -- understand, it's difficult that chloride

1 standard might be achieved, but we are required to list it
2 because it's exceeding the standards. Now it's going to be
3 up to the State, up to the Regional Board, to decide what
4 to do about it without -- you know, draw plans right now or
5 put it down on the list, whatever. The Regional Board has
6 the flexibility to prioritize the work, and sometimes it
7 might come out of the list, like the selenium.

8 MS. WAY: But did I understand her correctly, it
9 wasn't a standard change?

10 MR. ZEYWAR: No, there wasn't.

11 MS. WAY: Anybody else have any questions?

12 MR. CRITES: Move approval.

13 MS. WAY: All right. Buford moves --

14 MR. PERDUE: Second.

15 MS. WAY: -- Item No. 5, which is R7-2014-0025 with
16 errata. It's been seconded by Jeff Hays. Any other
17 comments, questions, anything anyone would like to say?

18 All those in favor signify by saying "Aye."

19 (Aye was said in unison.)

20 MS. WAY: Those opposed?

21 Item passes.

22 We are now going to continue with Agenda
23 Item No. 4, which is Resolution R7-2014-0020, "Resolution
24 to Approve Pretreatment Program Submission for City of
25 Brawley, Imperial County."