

# 2006 CWA SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS

SAN DIEGO REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

USEPA APPROVAL DATE: JUNE 28, 2007

REGION TYPE	NAME	CALWATER WATERSHED	POLLUTANT/STRESSOR	POTENTIAL SOURCES	ESTIMATED SIZE AFFECTED	PROPOSED TMDL COMPLETION
Total Dissolved Solids						
9	R	Forester Creek	90712000	Fecal Coliform <i>Impairment Located at lower 1 mile.</i> <i>2002</i>	Agricultural Return Flows Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers Flow Regulation/Modification Unknown Nonpoint Source Unknown point source	0.92 Miles 2019
				Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers Spills Unknown Nonpoint Source Unknown point source	6.4 Miles	2005
				<i>mistake - not listed</i> Oxygen, Dissolved	6.4 Miles	2019
				pH <i>Impairment Located at upper 3 miles.</i> <i>2002</i>	Source Unknown Industrial Point Sources Habitat Modification Spills Unknown Nonpoint Source Unknown point source	6.4 Miles 2019
				Phosphorus <i>2006</i>	Source Unknown	6.4 Miles 2019
				Total Dissolved Solids <i>Impairment Located at lower 1 mile.</i> <i>2002</i>	Agricultural Return Flows Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers Flow Regulation/Modification Unknown Nonpoint Source Unknown point source	6.4 Miles 2019

# Fact Sheets Supporting “Do Not List” Recommendations



November 2006

## Region 9

---

<b>Water Segment:</b>	Forester Creek
<b>Pollutant:</b>	Oxygen, Dissolved
<b>Decision:</b>	Do Not List
<b>Weight of Evidence:</b>	<p>This pollutant is being considered for placement on the section 303(d) list under section 3.2 of the Listing Policy. Under section 3.2 a single line of evidence is necessary to assess listing status.</p> <p>Based on the readily available data and information, the weight of evidence indicates that there is sufficient justification against placing this water segment-pollutant combination on the section 303(d) list in the Water Quality Limited Segments category.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The data used satisfies the data quality requirements of section 6.1.4 of the Policy.</li> <li>2. The data used satisfies the data quantity requirements of section 6.1.5 of the Policy.</li> <li>3. Three of 10 samples exceeded the water quality objective and this does not exceed the allowable frequency listed in Table 3.2 of the Listing Policy.</li> <li>4. Pursuant to section 3.11 of the Listing Policy, no additional data and information are available indicating that standards are not met.</li> </ol>
<b>SWRCB Staff Recommendation:</b>	After review of the available data and information, SWRCB staff concludes that the water body-pollutant combination should not be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards for the pollutant are not exceeded.

**Lines of Evidence:**


---

<b>Numeric Line of Evidence</b>	Pollutant-Water
<i>Beneficial Use:</i>	IN - Industrial Service Supply
<i>Matrix:</i>	Water
<i>Water Quality Objective/ Water Quality Criterion:</i>	From the Basin Plan: Dissolved oxygen levels shall not be less than 5.0 mg/l in inland surface waters with designated MAR or WARM beneficial uses or less than 6.0 mg/l in waters with designated COLD beneficial uses. The annual mean dissolved oxygen concentrations shall not be less than 7 mg/l more than 10% of the time.
<i>Data Used to Assess Water Quality:</i>	Data were collected at Forester Creek by the City of El Cajon in 09/1997 and monthly from 04/2000-12/2000. Three of 10 averages were below 7.0 mg/L, which is more than 10% of the time (SWRCB, 2003)
<i>Spatial Representation:</i>	Samples were collected at Forester Creek. The exact sample location is unknown.
<i>Temporal Representation:</i>	Samples were collected in 09/1997 and monthly from 04/2000-12/2000. Averages were reported. It is unknown how many samples were

collected per month.

---