

2006 CWA SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS

SAN DIEGO REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

USEPA APPROVAL DATE: JUNE 28, 2007

REGION TYPE	NAME	CALWATER WATERSHED	POLLUTANT/STRESSOR	POTENTIAL SOURCES	ESTIMATED SIZE AFFECTED	PROPOSED TMDL COMPLETION
			Total Dissolved Solids			
				0.92 Miles	2019	
9	R	Forester Creek	90712000	Fecal Coliform <i>Impairment Located at lower 1 mile.</i>	Agricultural Return Flows Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers Flow Regulation/Modification Unknown Nonpoint Source Unknown point source	6.4 Miles 2005
				Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers Spills Unknown Nonpoint Source Unknown point source		
				Source Unknown		
				pH <i>Impairment Located at upper 3 miles.</i>	Industrial Point Sources Habitat Modification Spills Unknown Nonpoint Source Unknown point source	6.4 Miles 2019
				Oxygen, Dissolved <i>mistake - not listed</i>		
				Phosphorus	Source Unknown	6.4 Miles 2019
				Total Dissolved Solids <i>Impairment Located at lower 1 mile.</i>		
				Agricultural Return Flows Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers Flow Regulation/Modification Unknown Nonpoint Source Unknown point source	6.4 Miles 2019	

Fact Sheets Supporting
"Do Not List" Recommendations



November 2006

Region 9

Water Segment:	Forester Creek
Pollutant:	Oxygen, Dissolved
Decision:	Do Not List
Weight of Evidence:	<p>This pollutant is being considered for placement on the section 303(d) list under section 3.2 of the Listing Policy. Under section 3.2 a single line of evidence is necessary to assess listing status.</p> <p>Based on the readily available data and information, the weight of evidence indicates that there is sufficient justification against placing this water segment-pollutant combination on the section 303(d) list in the Water Quality Limited Segments category.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data used satisfies the data quality requirements of section 6.1.4 of the Policy. 2. The data used satisfies the data quantity requirements of section 6.1.5 of the Policy. 3. Three of 10 samples exceeded the water quality objective and this does not exceed the allowable frequency listed in Table 3.2 of the Listing Policy. 4. Pursuant to section 3.11 of the Listing Policy, no additional data and information are available indicating that standards are not met.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation:	After review of the available data and information, SWRCB staff concludes that the water body-pollutant combination should not be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards for the pollutant are not exceeded.

Lines of Evidence:

Numeric Line of Evidence	Pollutant-Water
<i>Beneficial Use:</i>	IN - Industrial Service Supply
<i>Matrix:</i>	Water
<i>Water Quality Objective/ Water Quality Criterion:</i>	From the Basin Plan: Dissolved oxygen levels shall not be less than 5.0 mg/l in inland surface waters with designated MAR or WARM beneficial uses or less than 6.0 mg/l in waters with designated COLD beneficial uses. The annual mean dissolved oxygen concentrations shall not be less than 7 mg/l more than 10% of the time.
<i>Data Used to Assess Water Quality:</i>	Data were collected at Forester Creek by the City of El Cajon in 09/1997 and monthly from 04/2000-12/2000. Three of 10 averages were below 7.0 mg/L, which is more than 10% of the time (SWRCB, 2003)
<i>Spatial Representation:</i>	Samples were collected at Forester Creek. The exact sample location is unknown.
<i>Temporal Representation:</i>	Samples were collected in 09/1997 and monthly from 04/2000-12/2000. Averages were reported. It is unknown how many samples were

collected per month.
