From: "Kolb, Ruth" <RKolb@sandiego.gov>
To: Alan Monji <AMonji@waterboards.ca.gov>
CC: "McFadden, Kris" <KMcFadden@sandiego.gov>

**Date:** 12/21/2009 4:06 PM

**Subject:** RE: RB9 303(d) list - Comments Dec 16, 2009 hearing

Hi Alan,

Here's a summary of my verbal comments:

The City of San Diego supports the development of the 303d list to protect and restore water quality based on sound science. The City of San Diego appreciates the Regional Board staff's efforts to compile the listing. Additionally, the city appreciates that the Regional Board staff accepted some of our comments regarding the proposed listings that were not based on sound science.

The City has concerns regarding 2 listing categories:

First: 4 toxicity listings where all types of tests (water, sediment, different test animals) were combined together to allow for the listing to be generated. This is a concern because the causes of the toxicity can be from different problems.

Second: the Selenium listings were based on results of grab or acute samples compared to chronic criteria, which is not sound science.

This concludes my comments.

Happy Holidays! Ruth

Ruth Kolb City of San Diego Storm Water Department 9370 Chesapeake Drive, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92123 858.541.4328

----Original Message----

From: Alan Monji [mailto:AMonji@waterboards.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, December 21, 2009 3:19 PM

To: Chris Crompton; Kolb, Ruth

Subject: RB9 303(d) list - Comments Dec 16, 2009 hearing

Happy Holidays Ruth and Chris:

Thanks for coming to last weeks hears and submitting your comments. We are now focusing on gathering up the administrative record to send up to State Board. As part of the record, we would like to include your public comments from the Board meeting. Could you send me a summary of your comments or your speaker notes so it is added into the record accurately.

Thanks

Alan