

**From:** Cynthia Gorham-Test  
**To:** Mecklenborg, Amy  
**Date:** 9/15/2009 10:33 AM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Final Draft 305(b) and 303(d) Integrated Report

>>> "michael bailey" <[michaelebailey@cox.net](mailto:michaelebailey@cox.net)> 9/7/2009 7:54 PM >>>

This is an important report for the health of all the waterbodies in the San Diego Board's jurisdiction. For the first integrated report to be made, it is a great achievement. It all is based on sound and current science that supports each waterbody's listing in the category it is placed under. More scientific information was available for this report than for any previous report, which makes this the best done so far, and all the previous reports were well done. I also think that it was important that both USEPA and CDPH information was looked at and the more stringent of the two was chosen when deciding in which category to put a given waterbody in. I think this report has also greatly benefited by the State Board following your suggestion to let you make determinations based on sections of large water areas, like Mission Bay, instead of treating the whole Bay as a single unit.

I think Oso Creek has been put in the right category in the report. We do walks on the Oso Creek Trail and for along time up until a few weeks ago, whole stretches of the creek were covered in a brown coating on top of the water. The AT&T conduit pipeline under the La Paz Overpass Bridge had a hole in it and leaked water into the creek for a long time. It has only stopped and dried up in just the last six weeks. Thank you and best wishes, Michael E. Bailey, 25801 Marguerite Parkway, No. 103, Mission Viejo, CA 92692.