Agenda for Regional Board 303(d) Listing Policy Discussion

Objectives:
1. Identify major issues for RB involvement;
2. Form small work groups to develop straw proposals to address the issues;
3. Establish a time frame for completing the work consistent with Craig's time line for the overall policy

Agenda Item:	Assigned To:	Time:

ITEM A

1. **Review agenda and meeting purpose**   Joe Karkoski   10:00-10:15

2. Purpose: Meeting management, procedures.

3. Desired Outcome: Agreement on agenda and meeting objectives.

4. Background: Regional Board 303(d) listing staff have expressed an interest in developing specific proposals, and where possible, consensus items for the State Board's consideration in the development of the State-wide 303(d) listing policy. This meeting will establish the scope of that involvement and identify the process that will be used to develop recommendations.

5. Contact Person: Joe Karkoski (916) 255-3368

ITEM B

1. **Timeframe Listing Policy**   Craig J. Wilson   10:15-10:20

2. Purpose: Identify primary deadlines and key milestones for completion of the listing policy.

3. Desired Outcome: A clear understanding of when the listing policy must be adopted by the State Board and dates for other key milestones – such as internal draft; draft for PAG consideration; and formal public draft.

4. Background: State Board is legally required to adopt a listing policy by a specific date (June 2003?). To have a document available for State Board consideration by that time a number of key interim products must be completed on time. Identification of these dates will allow the Regions to determin` the most effective way of providing recommendations for consideration of State Board staff.

ITEM C
1. **Constraints – Legal** Craig J. Wilson 10:25-10:40

2. Purpose: Identify any key items that must be addressed in the listing policy.

3. Desired Outcome: A clear understanding of what must be included or considered in the listing policy.

4. Background: The legal considerations will provide a clear idea as to what State Board *must* provide in the listing policy vs. what it may provide.

ITEM D
1. **Identify Major Categories of Listing Policy** Group 10:40-11:10

2. Purpose: Identify the major categories of the listing policy that *could* be addressed by the group.

3. Desired Outcome: Consensus that all major categories have been identified.

4. Background: The group will need to have agreement on all of the major areas/ or categories of the listing policy that could be addressed. We will start with the listing framework document drafted by Craig and his staff. We will focus on identifying major categories (i.e. interpretation of narrative objectives) and not subcategories (i.e. interpretation of sediment narrative objectives).

ITEM E
1. **Identify Major Sub-Categories within Each Category** Group 11:10-11:40

2. Purpose: Identify the major sub-categories of the listing policy that *could* be addressed by the group.

3. Desired Outcome: Consensus that all major sub-categories have been identified.

4. Background: The group will need to have agreement on all of the major sub-categories of the listing policy that could be addressed. We will start with the listing framework document drafted by Craig and his staff. We will focus on identifying major subcategories (i.e. interpretation of sediment narrative objectives) that Regions may have an interest in addressing.

Break for Lunch (11:40-12:30)

ITEM F
1. **Identify Priorities for Regional Board Involvement** Group 12:30-1:30

2. Purpose: Identify which of the major categories and sub-categories the Regions will be willing to commit time to work on.

3. Desired Outcome: A list of items that will have the commitment of from 1-9 Regions to work on.

4. Background: The group will identify which categories are of great enough interest to get a commitment of (20-40 hours or some other agreed upon time) from their Region. A topic can have the involvement of one Region or many Region. By definition an issue is not a Regional priority, if a Region cannot commit staff time to developing specific recommendations.

ITEM G
1. **Identify Time frame for completion of recommendations** Group 1:30-2:00

2. Purpose: To provide specific recommendations in a timeframe consistent with the key milestones and final deadlines identified in Item B.

3. Desired Outcome: A clear timeframe for completion of the recommendations.

4. Background: The group will identify the timeline for completion of recommendations and identify workgroup leaders. Workgroup leaders will be in charge of convening meetings and ensuring timelines are met.

ITEM H
1. **Format of Recommendations** Group 2:00-3:00

2. Purpose: To provide a consistent a clear format for the recommendations that are made.

3. Desired Outcome: A clear consistent format for the recommendations that will be provided to the State Board, which will allow State Board staff to directly incorporate key policy items and supporting discussion.

4. Background: Review and potentially use format developed by Beach listings workgroup (not sure if this is the correct name).

ITEM I
1. **Agenda for Next Meeting (August 7)** Group 3:00-3:30

2. Purpose: To identify any additional items that need further discussion prior to work groups starting.

3. Desired Outcome: Clearly defined agenda topics for the next 303(d) Listing Policy group meeting.

4. Background: Group should identify any key items that need to be discussed prior to commencement of work group efforts. (e.g. parking lot issues)