

**COMMENT LETTER 33 - SCOR, ED GROSSI (SEPTEMBER 24, 1996),
RECEIVED SEPTEMBER 24, 1996**

Comment Response 33-1

Comment Summary: The comment confirms the value of reclaimed water to agriculture and suggests a phased project with small to medium size storage sites.

Refer to Master Response 14, located in Section 6.2 of this document, regarding a phased project and small storage sites.

Response to Comment 33-2

Comment Summary: The comment provides a discussion of how to make the farm community an active partner in the Project.

The City of Santa Rosa welcomes collaboration with farmers and investors, and would prefer a project that only involves willing landowners. Irrigation contracts will only involve willing landowners; there is no proposal to condemn irrigation land. However, it may not be possible to implement a project that requires a new storage reservoir working solely with willing landowners. Refer to Master Response 14 for a discussion of permitting requirements for small reservoirs and public/private partnerships, located in Section 6.2 of this document.

Comment Response 33-3

Comment Summary: The comment urges use of smaller storage sites.

Refer to Master Response 14, located in Section 6.2 of this document.

Comment Response 33-4

Comment Summary: The comment suggests a phased project because of the cost benefits.

Refer to Master Response 14, located in Section 6.2 of this document.

