

**COMMENT LETTER 57 - PHIL TROWBRIDGE (SEPTEMBER 24, 1996), RECEIVED
SEPTEMBER 24, 1996**

Response to Comment 57-1

Comment Summary: The comment indicates that the “regional sewer district” has provided adequate public review, and responsible review by acknowledged experts, and that the alternatives have been adequately reviewed and provide the managing authorities with reasonable methods.

The EIR/EIS authors acknowledge and appreciate the comment's statement that the Draft EIR/EIS provides appropriate CEQA analysis for decision makers.

Response to Comment 57-2

Comment Summary: The comment suggests that the City could study wetland enhancement in the future.

The Draft EIR/EIS authors concur that wetland enhancement is a future option. Mitigation Measure 2.5.6: Total and Ammonia Nitrogen Source Control Program, on page 1-131 of the Draft EIR/EIS, discusses the potential for wetlands creation for nitrogen removal.

Response to Comment 57-3

Comment Summary: The comment is a copy on an article from Outdoor California entitled “The Secrets of Hidden Valley” dated September - October 1996.

The article is offered in support of Comment 57-2. Refer to Response to Comment 57-2.

