

**COMMENT LETTER 301 - TROUT UNLIMITED OF CALIFORNIA, STAN GRIFFIN
(OCTOBER 7, 1996), RECEIVED OCTOBER 8, 1996**

Response to Comment 301-1

Comment Summary: The comment cites “the paucity of scientific information” about the Russian River anadromous fishery and expresses opposition to Alternatives 5A and 5B because of a concern about impacts to anadromous fish.

Appendices L-1 (Anadromous Fish Migration Study Program, 1991-1994) and L-2 (Anadromous Fish Migration Study Program, 1991-1995) of the Draft EIR/EIS are dedicated completely to providing the results of a five-year study of anadromous fish in the Laguna de Santa Rosa area. Based on these studies, the EIR/EIS authors do not agree that there is a “paucity of scientific information.” Refer to Master Response 5 located in Section 6.2 of this document. Refer to Response to Comment 85-326 concerning anadromous fish and also, Master Response 2, located in Section 6.2 of this document, concerning project selection.

Response to Comment 301-2

Comment Summary: The comment is a letter from the City of Santa Rosa in response to comments about the study of anadromous fish in the Laguna area. The original comment letter from Trout Unlimited of California is also attached.

The letter from the City of Santa Rosa provides answers to questions raised by Trout Unlimited of California, and is consistent with Appendix L-2 (Anadromous Fish Migration Study Program, 1991-1995) of the Draft EIR/EIS.

